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## PREFACE

This book is an attempt to present a fairly comprehensive account of the structure and usage of Standard Modern Greek (SMG), which is defined as the language ordinarily spoken and written at the present day by moderately educated people in the large urban centres of Greece. Today, despite the view frequently expressed by Greeks that the Modern Greek language is in a state of chaos, there is nevertheless a broad consensus about the general principles (and about most of the details) of the language.

The observations contained in this book are based on my own experience of learning to understand and to use the Athenian spoken language since 1965 , and on a large amount of spoken and written material collected systematically since 1974 , the date at which the military dictatorship fell and the 'language question' suddenly became close to being resolved through the virtual abandonment of what had hitherto been the official language (katharevousa). This material consists of articles in newspapers and magazines and recordings both of radio and television broadcasts and of live conversations and discussions. Especially close attention has been paid to the linguistic features employed by people who are speaking naturally and with no intention of impressing by their use of Greek. This is one of the reasons why only a few examples have been taken from creative literature. Most weight has been attached to the utterances of people born and bred in Athens who have completed their high-school education, since these are the chief bearers of SMG; but the speech of Greeks from other areas and from different educational backgrounds has also been studied by way of comparison. Although questionnaires have been employed to elicit which form out of a range of alternatives a speaker uses, and native informants have been consulted as to the acceptability of utterances recorded from other speakers, most of the spoken material was collected from the speech of people who were unaware that their use of the language was being studied. The reason for this is the unreliability of answers to direct questions about what form a Greek speaker uses, since, owing to the highly normative nature of language teaching in Greece, the speaker will usually specify the form which (s)he thinks
(s)he uses or ought to use, a response which is often contradicted in practice by his/her use of a different form in informal conversation. Examples taken from written texts are usually assigned a reference (enclosed in brackets); in most cases where such a reference is lacking, the example is either a commonly used phrase or has been noted from oral usage.

This book is not intended in any way to be a grammar. It does not possess any of the kinds of 'adequacy' which, according to Chomsky, are required of a grammar. Instead, it confines itself to an analysis, not without certain generalizations, of a large amount of material, which is not, however, treated as a finite corpus. I should also stress that I have no intention in this book of making any contribution to the study either of linguistic theory or of linguistic universals.

It may be thought presumptuous that someone who is not a linguist in the modern sense, but a 'language-and-literature' man, should write a descriptive analysis of contemporary Greek. As a student of Modern Greek literature as well as language, I am acutely conscious of the fact that certain forms of the language are normally acceptable only in certain situations, and that the gap which separates the grammar of much of Modern Greek literature from that of SMG is sometimes wide. In view of the existence of alternative forms, I feel that close attention must be paid to surface structures (that is, the structure of actual utterances) so that one is fully aware of the possibilities of variation which might not be accounted for in some 'model' of the language. I therefore make no apology for concentrating on the fluid situation which prevails on the surface of Modern Greek rather than attempting to discover the unchanging general principles underlying this surface structure. Although I have been helped tremendously by the writings of modern linguists on Modern Greek, the present book should perhaps be seen as a parallel study to the work of the transformationalists, examining the language in a way which differs from theirs without attempting to vie with it.

Since the language controversy in Greece is an issue that has polarized those who talk and write about Modern Greek, I must make clear where I stand on the matter. As a student of Modern Greek literature, I could hardly be anything but a supporter of demotic in its struggle against katharevousa. On the other hand, I can see a danger that demoticists might see this book as being 'reactionary', since it appears to condone the use of a whole host of katharevousa elements that are not strictly compatible with the grammar of traditional demotic. Such readers must
bear in mind that this book is an attempt at a descriptive, not a prescriptive, approach to Modern Greek. Thus, when I introduce certain katharevousa elements I may be far from approving of them; I am simply stating that such is the usage of at least some educated people.

Hardly ever has there been attempted a description, on a large scale, of the speech and writing of educated Greeks. Until the last couple of decades, linguists tended to view Modern Greek as two separate codes, demotic and katharevousa, and to study only the former, dismissing katharevousa influence on spoken Greek as interference between codes. The pioneering work of Thumb (1895) was a comprehensive description of the speech of the less educated; and, although Triandaphyllidis (1941) made many compromises with the language of the educated in his Grammar, the ideological aim of the descriptive and prescriptive grammarians of Modern Greek has usually been to promote demotic at the expense of katharevousa, as did Mirambel (1959). Now that katharevousa has more or less ceased to exist as a separate code, it is my task to show just how far it has affected the everyday usage of educated Greeks, and not to try (as do some demoticists) to revive 'genuine popular forms' which, having been displaced under katharevousa influence, do not appear in that usage.

Nevertheless, Mirambel's book has been a great inspiration to me; and I have been fortunate in that (as the bibliography to the present volume indicates) a remarkable amount of highly illuminating work has been done on Modern Greek since the publication of Mirambel's Description. I readily admit that much of my own book draws heavily on the results of this work; thus this book is as much a synthesis of work done by others as it is based on my own research.

Throughout this book I have borne in mind that readers may not have a thorough acquaintance with MG. It is for this reason (and because there is no grammar of the language available to the English-speaking reader, except Pring (1950)) that I have felt it necessary to include some sample paradigms in Appendix I.

In the Greek examples I have used the simplified historical orthography. When I have quoted from printed texts I have made the spelling conform with this system, although I have preserved the original punctuation. In my English renderings I have attempted to adhere as closely as possible to the original: in these renderings, square brackets indicate a word or words which do(es) not appear in the Greek, while parentheses indicate a word or words which appear(s) in the Greek but would be omitted in English. In the Greek examples, square brackets
indicate that what appears between them may optionally be deleted. Often, however, English renderings are followed by literal translations: just how literal these are depends on the circumstances.

Outside Chapter 1, neither the International Phonetic Alphabet nor strictly phonemic representations are normally used: when words or parts of words are transliterated, a semi-phonetic transcription is given.

The initial stages of the research for this book were carried out with the assistance of the Hayter Travel Fund, University of London. The bulk of the research was funded by the British Academy. This assistance is gratefully acknowledged.

I would like to express my thanks here to all those who have helped me with material, and especially Mrs Marion Sarafis, who kept me supplied with cuttings from the Greek press; to Dr J. T. Pring and Dr Henry Waring, who read through Chapter 1 and the section on intonation respectively and whose suggestions helped me to avoid many of the pitfalls inherent in Modern Greek phonetics; and to Dr Irene Warburton, who read the completed typescript and alerted me to a number of errors and ambiguities. Needless to say, the responsibility for any shortcomings is entirely my own.

Lastly, I would like to thank my wife, Jackie, both for her advice at various stages of my work on this book and-perhaps more importantly-for her patience and encouragement throughout the long period of research and writing.

Peter Mackridge
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## OTHER ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

A adjective；（in Appendix II）accusative

| acc. | accusative active |
| :---: | :---: |
| Adv. | adverb |
| AG | Ancient Greek |
| C | consonant |
| D | demotic |
| E | English |
| F | French; (in Appendix II) feminine |
| f. | feminine |
| fem. | feminine |
| G | German; (in Appendix II) genitive |
| gen. | genitive |
| impf. | imperfective |
| intrans. | intransitive |
| It. | Italian |
| K | katharevousa |
| L | Latin |
| lit. | literally |
| m. | masculine |
| masc. | masculine |
| MG | Modern Greek |
| N | noun; (in Appendix II) neuter; nominative |
| n . | neuter |
| neg. | negative |
| nom. | nominative |
| n-p | non-past |
| 0 | object |
| P | (in Appendix II) plural |
| pass. | passive |
| pers. | person |
| pf. | perfective |
| pl. | plural |
| R | Russian |
| S | subject; (in Appendix II) singular |
| sg. | singular |
| sing. | singular |
| SMG | Standard Modern Greek |
| Sp. | Spanish |
| T | Turkish |
| trans. | transitive |


| ult. | ultimately |
| :---: | :---: |
| V | verb; vowel; (in Appendix II) vocative |
| v.i. | intransitive verb |
| v.t. | transitive verb |
| Ven. | Venetian dialect |
| voc. | vocative |
| [. . .] | phonetic transcription (but see Preface) |
| /...\| | phonemic transcription |
| \{...\} | morpheme |
| $<$ | etymologically derived from |
| > | etymologically produces |
| $\leftarrow$ | morphologically or syntatically derived from |
| $\rightarrow$ | morphologically or syntactically produces |
| * | (before word(s) or form(s)) unacceptable |
| ? | (before word(s) or form(s)) of doubtful acceptability |

## INTRODUCTION

## 1 THE SPEAKERS OF GREEK

Modern Greek is spoken by about 12 to 13 million people. It is the sole official language of the Republic of Greece and one of the two official languages of the Republic of Cyprus. Since 1981 it has also been one of the official languages of the European Community. It is spoken as sole mother tongue by more than 95 per cent of the population of Greece (which totals about 10 million) and by half a million of the 600,000 or so inhabitants of Cyprus. It is also spoken by a significant number of people of Greek origin (perhaps 2 or 3 million) who are resident in many parts of the world, chiefly North America, Australia, Germany, and the United Kingdom.

The almost total linguistic homogenization of Greece has been a phenomenon of the past seventy years, which have seen a remarkable increase in the proportion of Greek speakers to the speakers of other languages (which in 1928 were, in descending order of numbers, Turkish, Macedonian Slav and Bulgarian, Ladino, Armenian, Koutsovlach, Albanian, Romany, and others: I am indebted to Angelopoulos (1979) for some of the information contained in these paragraphs). The same period has also seen the concentration within Greece of a large number of Greek speakers formerly resident in Asia Minor and Istanbul, the Ukraine and the Caucasus, Egypt, Bulgaria, and elsewhere in the Balkans and the Near and Middle East. Most of this change has come about through a series of population exchanges and of other mass movements, the most important of these being exchanges between Greece, Bulgaria, and Serbia/Yugoslavia in the period 1913-19, the repatriation of Greeks from the Soviet Union in 1919, the exchange between Greece and Turkey in 1923, the almost total annihilation of the Ladino- (Spanish-) speaking Jews during the Axis Occupation, the exodus of Greeks from Egypt during the Nasser regime, and, lastly, the gradual departure of almost the entire Greek minority of Istanbul.

Since the first Greek national census to include a question about mother tongue was that of 1928 and the last was that of 1951 , it is impossible to know the precise numbers of people with mother tongues
other than Greek in Greek territories either in 1913 or in 1983. In addition, the figures given in these censuses may well underestimate the actual numbers of people whose mother tongue was anything other than Greek. Today there are still well over 100,000 Turkish speakers in Thrace, and speakers of Koutsovlach (Arumanian) may be encountered in large numbers in Epirus and Macedonia; while speakers of Albanian and Macedonian Slav still remain too, in less significant numbers (the former scattered in various parts of Epirus, Attica, Boeotia, and the Peloponnese, the latter in Macedonia). My own impression, based on travels in various parts of Greece, is that all these minority languages (with the exception of Turkish) will have practically died out in Greece during the course of the next generation. One must bear in mind that most of the speakers of these languages are in fact bilingual in their mother tongue and in Greek (as was also the case to a large extent in the past); and that no education is officially available in Greece in any of these languages except Turkish, nor is any material published in Greece in any of the minority languages but Turkish and Armenian.

## 2 THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE GREEK LANGUAGE

Modern Greek is the sole descendant of Ancient Greek and as such is a member of the Indo-European group of languages.

After the end of the Golden Age of Classical Greece (i.e. after the late fourth century BC ) the Greek language underwent some rather radical changes in a relatively short period. The ancient dialects were for the most part superseded by the koine ('common language'), which was based largely on the Attic dialect of Athens, but with some features taken from other dialects. The koine spread throughout the Greek world (which included much of the Middle East and southern Italy as well as Greece and Asia Minor) and underwent a process of simplification as it was spoken and written as a lingua franca by a large number of geographically dispersed people, many of whom did not have Greek as their mother tongue. Thus by the time Constantinople was founded (AD 324), many of the changes that have occurred in the Greek language between Classical and modern times had already taken place, particularly in the sphere of pronunciation, but also in morphology, syntax, and vocabulary. (For a detailed survey of the linguistic changes which took place, see Browning 1983.)

Meanwhile, although such texts as the Septuagint (the Greek transla-
tion of the Old Testament carried out by seventy Jews in Alexandria in the third century BC ) and the New Testament (most of which was originally written in Greek) display many of the characteristics of the Greek actually spoken at the time, most serious writing was done in a language which attempted to approximate to Attic or some other Classical dialect. After Greek became the official language of the Eastern Roman (Byzantine) Empire in the sixth century AD, the continued existence of schools of Greek, in which the Classical language was studied as a model for contemporary usage, ensured that the Greek used for official purposes throughout the Byzantine period was of a more or less archaic variety. Some Byzantine authors actually wrote in Attic Greek, while others (especially hymnographers and chroniclers) used a heterogeneous range of varieties of Greek which were largely based on the Hellenistic koine, but which sometimes included more modern features.

The spoken language did not fully make its appearance in writing, however, until the twelfth and thirteenth centuries, when the central administration of the Byzantine Empire was weak, and especially after the conquest of Constantinople by the Fourth Crusade in 1204. It is during these centuries that texts began to be written in a language which is recognizably Modern Greek, even though their authors often introduce archaic elements into what is otherwise perhaps a fair representation of the language spoken at the time. The fact that most of these late Byzantine vernacular texts cannot be localized geographically according to the linguistic forms they employ suggests either that the MG dialects had hardly begun to diverge from each other, or that these authors were deliberately writing in a new koine, a new 'common' language. The modern Cretan and Cypriot dialects, however, are well represented in literary and non-literary texts from the thirteenth to the seventeenth centuries, that is, during a period between the end of Byzantine control over these islands and the beginning of the Turkish occupation.

Nevertheless, by the time the Greek state was founded, about 1830, the spoken language (at least in many of its chief dialects) had moved remarkably little away from the late Byzantine koine, which in turn was still relatively close to the Hellenistic koine. It is quite astounding not only how little the spoken Greek language had altered from Classical to modern times (even in comparison with the changes that took place between Latin and Italian, for instance), but that it should have survived at all despite Arab incursions and mass settlements by Slavs during the

Byzantine period, followed by conquests by Crusaders, Venetians, and Turks. The fact is that although it was only a tiny cultural élite that preserved a consciousness of Hellenic continuity from Classical times, Greek remained a prestige language because of the Byzantine Empire and the Eastern Orthodox Church. Greek was, after all, the original language of most of the New Testament, and it was the language in which church services were conducted in all the areas controlled by the Patriarchate of Constantinople. In addition, at the very centre of the Ottoman Empire, Greeks were entrusted by the Sultan with key administrative posts, including the government of Wallachia and Moldavia (from the early eighteenth century), so that, well after the fall of the Byzantine Empire in 1453, a knowledge of Greek was still highly prized in the Balkans as well as in other areas in the Eastern Mediterranean.

## 3 THE STANDARD LANGUAGE AND THE DIALECTS

There is hardly any correspondence between the dialects of MG and those of the ancient language. The MG dialects developed during medieval times but, considering the difficulties of access to some of the regions in which Greek was spoken, the dialects remained remarkably close to each other. The one that is, linguistically speaking, furthest removed from the core is Tsakonian, a descendant of the ancient Doric dialect, spoken in an inaccessible region of the south-eastern Peloponnese. All the other MG dialects are derived from the Hellenistic koine. Of these, the ones that diverged furthest from the koine were those of the Pontic-Cappadocian group (formerly spoken in Asia Minor), and those of southern Italy (where there are still Greek speakers today). (For an examination of the MG dialects, see Kondosopoulos 1981, and Newton 1972b.)

Just as the minority languages of Greece are fast disappearing, so are the dialects, which have gradually been giving way to a standard language since the Greek War of Independence (1821-9), and especially during the twentieth century. It was a convenient coincidence that the Peloponnese constituted the bulk of the territory liberated by the Greeks from the Turks during the War of Independence, since the dialects of the Peloponnese (with the exception of Tsakonian) were among those which remained phonologically and morphologically closest to the written language. When Athens became the capital of the Greek kingdom in 1834, it was settled in large part by Pelopon-
nesians, whose dialects soon superseded the rather different 'old Athenian' spoken by the inhabitants of pre-Independence Athens. (The only feature of the 'old Athenian' dialect that survives in Standard Modern Greek seems to be the verb $\tau \sigma o u \lambda a ́ \omega$ 'I roll' and its derivatives, $<\mathrm{AG} \kappa \nu \lambda i \nu \delta \omega$, later $\kappa v \lambda i \omega$.) At the same time, a significant proportion of the administrative and intellectual élite was composed of Greeks from Istanbul, whose dialect, despite a few northernisms, was remarkably close to those of the Peloponnese; and the cultural superiority of Greeks from the Ionian Islands (especially Corfu) resulted in features of their dialects (which were again close to Peloponnesian) entering the language of Athens. During the twentieth century the influx of Greek speakers from various areas outside Greece and the influence of universal education, the press, broadcasting, and compulsory military service, together with improved land, sea, and air communications, have made Greece (and especially Athens and Salonica) into a melting-pot in which speakers of various kinds of Greek have gradually sunk their linguistic differences; and the language which gradually developed out of this situation in the speech of the prestigious urban élites was Standard Modern Greek.

So far we have been talking about the spoken language. Meanwhile, from the foundation of the Greek state onwards, the written language for most purposes was katharevousa, which phonologically and morphologically did not stray very far from the Hellenistic koine. Although the written language was not the origin of SMG (in contrast with the situation with standard languages in many other countries), it none the less helped to produce the norms of the language through the amalgamation of some of its characteristics with those of the Peloponnesian and Istanbul dialects.

Today the speakers of SMG constitute the dominant speech community in Greece. Although it must be borne in mind that persons who have completed high-school education make up only 10 per cent of the population of Greece (see Petrounias 1978: 202) and that perhaps more than 50 per cent of the 3 million inhabitants of the Athens-Piraeus conurbation were not born there (see D 49. 51), it is especially in Athens (and Salonica) and among moderately educated people that SMG has developed, spreading geographically and socially to such an extent that it has largely displaced local dialects and special parlances. Today the speech (even the pronunciation) of moderately educated people from all parts of Greece tends to be hardly distinguishable from that of an Athenian. The Salonican (or northerner in general)
may give himself away by the use of the accusative instead of the genitive for the indirect object, or by his 'dark' $l$; the Cretan by his substitution of palato-alveolars for palatalized velars (e.g. [ t ] for [ c$]$ ); the Corfiote by his 'sing-song' intonation; and (especially) the Cypriot by his double consonants and by his addition of final $n$ to certain forms; but all these are rather small details, and one can speak tod $y$ y of a genuinely standard form of MG.

## 4 THE GREEK LANGUAGE QUESTION

Diglossia (the contemporaneous existence of two different varieties of the same language used for different purposes) has been a feature of the Greek language since the end of the fourth century BC, when the spoken language began to diverge perceptibly from the old norms which were being adhered to by writers. The situation in which at least some writers use a variety of the language which differs markedly from the spoken has continued until the present day. This does not mean that the written language has remained the same from a certain date onwards: on the contrary, despite intermittent reactions during which authors have attempted to return to the alleged purity of Attic or Hellenistic Greek, the written language has developed through a fairly constant process of compromise with the spoken. Meanwhile the spoken language (at least until the nineteenth century) has continued along its own path, undergoing developments which are partly potentialities within the Greek language itself and partly the result of influence from other languages (particularly Latin, Italian, and Turkish).

Between Hellenistic and modern times there were fairly well-defined areas within which each variety of the language functioned, even though there was no official codification involved. Thus for most of the Byzantine period the spoken language was tacitly excluded from writing. But the boundaries between the areas in which the different varieties were used were subject to alteration, and in the late Byzantine period it became acceptable for certain types of secular verse (chiefly romances) to be composed in the spoken language. With the end of the Byzantine Empire rather more areas of writing were opened up to the spoken language, although it tended to be confined (apart from belleslettres) to works deliberately intended for a popular audience.

Until the eighteenth century, then, because of a general agreement about which variety of language was suitable for each type of use, there
was no overt language question in Greece. The controversy really began in the late eighteenth century, when, under the influence of the French Enlightenment, Greek intellectuals began to publish significant numbers of secular works of an educational nature, in an effort to revive the arts and sciences which they perceived as being sadly lacking in Greece. In so doing they were (some consciously, others unconsciously) preparing the ground for the political independence of Greece. Some of these scholars believed that a Greek cultural rebirth was possible only through a return to Ancient Greek language and culture: they saw it as a prerequisite for this rebirth that the Greek people should learn not only to read but also to write and speak Ancient Greek, to such an extent that AG would actually supersede the 'vulgar' and 'debased' language spoken by their countrymen. Others felt that the Greek people could be enlightened only through the use of the spoken language in serious writing. (At that time spoken Greek was usually known as $\rho \omega \mu$ aicka 'Romaic', as opposed to $\epsilon \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \ldots \dot{a}$ 'Greek', which referred to the ancient language; by 1821 however $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \dot{a}$ was being used for both languages, a fact which has been the source of some confusion.) But these two groups were by no means the largest: the majority of the Greek Enlighteners (the most influential of these being A. Korais) preferred to use a variety of language which, while largely based on the structure of the spoken language, contained a large number of elements from Classical and Hellenistic, Greek, but also (although its proponents never admitted this) owed much to French turns of phrase. Each of these groups-the archaists (or Atticists), the vulgarists, and the compromisers-set about defending their own chosen variety of the language and attacking those who supported any other variety, in a host of polemical books and articles.

The terms $\delta \eta \mu о \tau \kappa \eta$ ' ('the people's language') and ка७apєv́ovбa ('the purifying language') were hardly used at this time (i.e. the halfcentury leading up to the Greek War of Independence of 1821), even though the latter was first used in 1796 and the former in 1818, as far as I can ascertain: they became current in the late nineteenth century. Nevertheless, the variety of language supported by the compromisers became what we know as katharevousa. This is not to say that Korais and his like-minded intellectuals actually invented katharevousa: the variety of Greek which they proposed as the language of the state and of education already existed, but more as a result of haphazard juxtapositions of ancient and modern elements than as a methodically planned compromise.

The establishment of the Greek state (c.1830) saw the institutionalization of katharevousa as the language of all governmental and administrative business, education, and the press. All other writing outside poetry also came to use katharevousa, while poets were divided: some wrote entirely in demotic, others entirely in katharevousa, and yet others sometimes in one variety and sometimes in the other. The official language gradually moved further away from the spoken between 1830 and 1880, as intellectual leaders called for increasingly more 'purification', which meant the arbitrary imposition of archaic features on to what was still a basically modern structure, without any attempt to assimilate these features to the essential rules of the modern language. By the 1850s, the gradual move towards a more archaic language was generally seen as 'progressive', the language of Korais being viewed as 'old-fashioned'.

As Mirambel (1964: 415) points out, rather than rejuvenating old words, katharevousa 'vieillit des mots neufs' by adding ancient inflexions and other features to neologisms. A good example is $\mu \pi \delta \mu \pi a$ (bómba) 'bomb', which became (and has remained) $\beta$ ó $\mu \beta a$ ( $v o ́ m v a$ ) for two reasons: (a) initial $\mu \pi$ did not occur in Ancient Greek; and (b) Western European $b$ was in any case transliterated into katharevousa as $\beta$ (pronounced $\nu$ ) because Ancient Greek $\beta$ (pronounced $b$ ) was transliterated into Western European languages as $b$ ! The advocates of katharevousa, blinding themselves to sound-changes which had taken place since Classical times, ignored the fact that the sequence $m v$ was non-existent not only in words of demotic origin, but in Classical Greek too (Classical $\mu \beta$ was pronounced $m b$, as is Modern Greek $\mu \pi$ ). The result is that this loanword was dressed up to look like an ancient word, while each letter was given its modern pronunciation, irrespective of the fact that this gave rise to a sequence of sounds which had been excluded, by natural processes, from the language.

The fact is, however, that spoken Greek is so close to Ancient Greek that the temptation to bridge the small gap which separated them was widespread. But, as Mirambel (1964: 417) points out, whereas the Hellenistic purists wrote in a particular dialect which had been alive at a particular time (viz. Classical Attic), the modern purists tended to accept any elements of post-Homeric Greek that did not smack of demotic.

During this period (1830-80) the language question was more or less dormant. The reaction against the increasing archaism of katharevousa came first from the poets, who saw the impossibility of writing true
poetry in such an artificial language, and then from the literary prosewriters and dramatists (in the 1880s and 1890s), who in their turn realized that they could not write stories and plays about everyday Greek life when most of the vocabulary associated with that life was excluded from writing. The conversion of Greek prose fiction and drama from katharevousa to demotic occurred with great rapidity. Having won literature over to their side, the demoticists went on, especially from the first decade of the twentieth century onwards, to demand that katharevousa be abolished altogether. Reaction against the threat of domination by demotic (often known as $\mu a \lambda \lambda \iota a \rho \eta$ ', or 'hairy language' since its proponents were reputed to have long hair) sometimes took a violent form. Riots broke out in Athens in 1901, when A. Pallis published his demotic translation of the New Testament, and again in 1903, when the National Theatre put on a performance of Aeschylus in a semi-demotic translation. In view of these incidents, and particularly because of the demoticists' insistence that demotic should become the official medium of education, a clause was included for the first time in the Constitution of 1911 declaring katharevousa to be the official language of the state and making it a punishable offence to attempt to alter this situation.

Despite this, demotic texts were introduced for the first time into primary-school readers in 1913, chiefly as a result of pressure from a demoticist lobby known as the Educational Society, founded in 1910, and demotic became the chief language of primary education between 1917 and 1920. But for some years thereafter, the situation was fluid, with successive governments increasing or decreasing the use of demotic in primary schools. For the most part, secondary schools and the University of Athens remained unaffected by demoticism: indeed, no Modern Greek of any sort, not even katharevousa, was taught in secondary schools until 1909; before this there was a total official disregard for Modern Greek culture. By this time, the language question had become an overtly political issue. Already, in the first decade of the century, demoticists were accused of involvement in a Russian plot to take over Greece, and the Russian Revolution only served to make such accusations all the more emotive. The proponents of both katharevousa and demotic now used the term 'national language' to refer to their own variety of Greek, accusing their opponents of attempting to jeopardize the unity of the nation. The controversy reached such a level of fanaticism that in 1941, during the Axis Occupation, a distinguished Classical scholar was dismissed from his teaching post at Athens

University for publishing an article printed according to the 'singleaccent system'.

Also in 1941, however, the cause of demotic made an historic step forward with the publication of the Modern Greek Grammar by Triandaphyllidis and others (Triandaphyllidis was one of the founder members of the Educational Society). The authors had been commissioned to write the grammar by the dictator Metaxas, who foresaw its use as an official grammar of demotic. Although the Axis Occupation meant that the grammar had considerably less impact than it should have done, it is nevertheless looked to as a more or less authoritative guide to morphology (it does not deal with syntax).

In 1964 the ruling Centre Union Party put demotic on an equal footing with katharevousa as the language of education. But this reform was short-lived, since the military dictatorship of 1967-74 confined the teaching of demotic to the first four grades of primary school. One of the beneficial consequences of the military regime was the anti-katharevousa reaction that followed it. Law 309 of 1976 instituted $\mathrm{N} \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \kappa \kappa \dot{\eta}$ ( $\Delta \eta \mu \sigma \tau \kappa k \dot{n}$ ) as the language not only of education but of the administration, while the 1975 Constitution sensibly made no mention of an 'official language'. The determination of the government of the time that Greece should join the EEC perhaps helped to expedite the process of demoticization. Efforts were made to train civil servants in the use of demotic, but naturally the process has been a gradual one, many bureaucrats being slow to come to terms with the change.

The situation in 1983 was that SMG was used throughout the education system and the administration, in almost all newspapers and all weekly magazines, and throughout the broadcasting system. Katharevousa was still used to some extent in the law, in the army, and in the Church. The official text of the Constitution was still in katharevousa (although there is also a-privately published-translation in demotic), while almost all laws were formulated in katharevousa and there was still much resistance among lawyers against demotic. The Church still used the Byzantine liturgy; the only authoritative text of the New Testament which could be used in church services was the original Greek one; and most documents issued by the Church of Greece were still in katharevousa. Nevertheless, the Archbishop of Athens delivered his Christmas message in demotic for the first time in 1981. Apart from this, much scholarly writing on the law, medicine and theology was still being done in katharevousa.

After the electoral victory of the socialist PASOK party in 1981, moves were made to introduce the monotonic system not only into schools, but into the administration. For schoolchildren this was generally felt to result in a great saving of time: it had been estimated that out of the 12,000 hours which the average child spent on grammar during twelve years' schooling, 3,000 were spent on learning how to use the accents and breathings. Outside the daily and weekly press, however, most printing was still being done in 1983 according to the simplified historical system.

Alexiou (1982: 172-3) presents an interesting case history of a typical Greek born in 1952 who graduated from Athens University in 1974. The language that he learned at home began to be 'corrected' as soon as he went to school at the age of six, when he encountered schoolbooks whose 'demotic' was in reality a hybrid variety designed to make it easier for pupils subsequently to learn katharevousa. In 1964 he was faced with new textbooks in true demotic; but in 1967 he had to revert to textbooks in katharevousa. At University, even if he had studied literature, he would have found that, although many of the texts he studied were in demotic, all lectures and all written work were presented in katharevousa. He would have emerged with a clear and thorough knowledge of neither demotic nor katharevousa (nor Ancient Greek, for that matter), but would perhaps have been most at home writing in a variety of Greek which contained features of all three. Worst of all, though, the inherent verbosity of katharevousa would probably have seriously affected his thought processes. What Labov (1970: 202) has written about Standard English is even more true of katharevousa: 'It is this verbosity which is most easily taught and most easily learned, so that words take the place of thought, and nothing can be found behind them.'

## 5 STANDARD MODERN GREEK

Since 1976 Modern Greek diglossia has more or less ceased to exist, and there is for most purposes a single, unified Greek language. In Standard Modern Greek the Greek language has come closer to developing a set of universally accepted norms than at any other stage in its history. We must however examine (a) what relation SMG bears to demotic and katharevousa, and (b) to what extent it is actually standardized.

In this book the term Standard Modern Greek is being used to refer
to the language normally written and spoken today by moderately educated Greeks in the urban centres. Although 'demotic' is often defined as 'spoken Greek', this term (or 'traditional demotic') will be used to refer to the language spoken by ordinary Greeks before the influence of katharevousa became pronounced. This demotic was characterized by a remarkable phonological and morphological coherence and homogeneity, and the demoticists of the 1880 s and 1890 s (led by Psycharis) attempted to enrich the language by means of new coinages, based on Ancient Greek roots, which were made to conform with the demotic rules. This attempt, however, met with widespread resistance, and educated speakers (whose spoken usage had traditionally diverged from the purity of demotic) appear to have preferred to use internal borrowings from AG with the minimum of adaptation to demotic rules. (For K features in SMG phonology, see 1.5.1; for morphology, see especially 4.5.1.2 and generally the whole of Chapter 5.) Thus the ordinary spoken language of educated Greeks became a mixture of demotic and katharevousa features, a mixture reflected in varieties of written Greek known as $\mu \kappa \kappa \eta^{\eta}$ ('mixed') and $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma a \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \phi \eta \mu \epsilon \rho i \delta \omega \nu$ ('language of the newspapers'); each of these comprised a fairly arbitrary mixture of K and D features. Meanwhile, although these written varieties contained many K features that were not normally used in speech, the spoken language of educated Greeks was gradually evolving into one which, although it lacked the symmetry of traditional D , had its own coherence. The outcome of this process
 language'); and, now that D and $\kappa a \vartheta o \mu i \lambda o v \mu \dot{\nu} \nu \eta$ are together established as the official language of Greece, one is entitled to designate them Standard Modern Greek. (There is no MG adjective corresponding to 'standard', and certain Greek linguists call this variety of the language Kowńn N $\epsilon o \epsilon \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \kappa \dot{\eta}$ 'Common Modern Greek'.)

In its written form, SMG has evolved out of the use of $D$ in progressively wider fields. As $D$ has moved into each domain which was hitherto occupied by K, it attracted to itself certain features of K (particularly vocabulary and fixed expressions, but also some concomitant phonological, morphological, and syntactical features) which have seemed indispensible to any discourse in the field concerned.

There has developed a common linguistic sense which has processed the various linguistic features suggested to it by the proponents of the different varieties of Greek, and has accepted some and rejected others.

The result is that, in the present situation, 'demotic' (strictly defined
as the homogeneous traditional spoken language unaffected by K ) is, paradoxically, primarily a written language. It is an ideal construct, codified by Triandaphyllidis' Grammar (1941), which, despite its author's professed aims ( $\mathbf{p} . \mathrm{xxi}$ ), is more normative than descriptive. In so far as it is descriptive, the Grammar is based not on the spoken language but on the MG folk songs and on literature (p. xxii). The syntax and usage of D have been further codified in hortative books and articles produced over a period of years (e.g. Nea Ikonomia 1965, Mesevrinos 1978, and Kriaras 1979: Tzartzanos's Syntax (1946-63) is in fact more descriptive, but this author too mostly confines himself to a study of folk songs and literature). It is with the rules of this 'ideal' demotic that many conscientious writers attempt to conform, avoiding $\pi о \lambda \cup \tau v \pi i a$ (the use of more than one surface realization of the same underlying form) and using the minimum of K grammatical features.

Clearly, literary demotic based on Triandaphyllidis's Grammar is part of SMG, but it is not coextensive with it. Since SMG is not an ideal language, but a real one, it contains many features that are not sufficiently accounted for by Triandaphyllidis, including alternative grammatical forms and much K influence. The idealist demoticists, in their desire to achieve the ideal demotic, often counsel the avoidance of K elements which are now firmly established in the spoken and written language of most educated people. They sometimes even go so far as to recommend the use of alleged synonyms for words of K origin, even though the items in question differ in meaning. They thus show that they value conformity to type above precision in expression, and hence they are reminiscent of the advocates of K : they are the purists of demotic. SMG, then, is a very broad category which covers a range of varieties, including both demotic and ка७oцıخovцév $\eta$.

It has been said that SMG is not a correct term, since MG presents a diversity of both free and conditioned variants. But 'a standard language is by no means what common usage would call a standardized language' (Pride and Holmes 1972: 8). There seem in fact to be two chief criteria which a standard language has to fulfil: codification (minimal variation in form) and elaboration (maximal variation in function) (Haugen 1966: 107). As far as the second of these is concerned, 'the definitive seal of approval as a fully recognized standard is dependent on the use of a language in two functions: fully official (governmental) and bellettristic' (Hall 1972: 150). Since SMG began to be used for all official purposes as recently as 1976, one cannot expect
it to be totally standardized; codification will gradually come about as Greeks become more and more accustomed to using it for every form of communication.

There is already evidence that certain alternative forms are rapidly predominating over certain others. Since the introduction of SMG into all levels of education and the administration, people who were inhibited by social pressures from using certain $\mathbf{D}$ forms now feel free to use them; and it has become fashionable, especially for young people, to eschew forms which are perceived as smacking of K. Fashion, therefore, as an integral part of social mores, may accelerate the process of standardization.

Unlike K, many of whose advocates actually saw it as an extension of AG, the official language of Greece today is not a parasite depending on AG for its existence: it is an autonomous language (just as traditional demotic was in its day) which is nevertheless free to use the resources of vocabulary provided by the ancient language.

This is not to say, however, that all Greeks are satisfied with the linguistic situation that prevails today. There is in some Greeks who claim to want to protect the language from various assaults (including the invasion of new loanwords) a hankering after diglossia: one feels that they would prefer administrative and scientific writing to be done in $K$, with $D$ being preserved unsullied for everyday conversation and for poetry. It seems to me, however, that the official introduction of SMG into all walks of life has made it at last possible for Greeks to make stylistic choices from the wealth of alternative words and forms: thus a new set of registers suitable for various situations is developing within this one language, where before there existed simply the invidious choice between K, D, and $\mu \kappa \kappa \tau \dot{\eta}$.

## 1

## THE SOUNDS AND ORTHOGRAPHY OF MODERN GREEK

### 1.1 THE SOUND SYSTEM

The sound system of Modern Greek is economical, consisting as it does of twenty-three distinctive sounds (according to Table 1.1). These twenty-three phonemes, and their various phonetic realizations, correspond quite closely to the sounds of Spanish, which possesses twentyseven phonemes.

## TABLE 1.1

| Vowels | Consonants |  |
| :---: | :--- | ---: |
| a | p | $\mathbf{t}$ |
| e | b | d |
| i | f | $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ |
| o | v | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| u | $\mathbf{k}$ | m |
|  | $\mathbf{g}$ | n |
|  | $\mathbf{x}$ | s |
|  | $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ | $\mathbf{z}$ |
|  |  | $\mathbf{l}$ |
|  |  | r |

This list (which corresponds to that of Setatos 1974: 14) is neither a definitive nor a universally accepted list of the MG phonemes. Some scholars (e.g. Koutsoudas 1962: 11) treat /j/ as a separate phoneme. For different treatments see Warburton (1970b: 16-17) and Ruge (1976: 17 and 24).

### 1.2 THE ALPHABET

Modern Greek has precisely the same twenty-four letters as Classical Greek. Table 1.2 gives each letter in upper and in lower case, followed by its Greek name and its most usual pronunciation(s).

TAble 1.2

| A $\boldsymbol{a}$ | $a ̈ \lambda \phi a$ | [a] | $\Xi \xi$ | $\xi i$ | [ks] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B $\beta$ | $\beta \ddot{\eta} \tau a$ | [v] | 0 o | ӧนккоо | [0] |
| $\Gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ | үáuца | [ T ], [j] | $\Pi \pi$ | $\pi i$ | [p] |
| $\Delta \delta$ | $\delta$ ¢́̇ $\lambda \tau \boldsymbol{a}$ | [ $¢$ ] | P $\rho$ | pó | [r] |
| E $\epsilon$ | E゙ $\psi 1 \lambda \bigcirc$ | [e] | $\Sigma \sigma$ ( $¢$ at end of word) |  |  |
| Z ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | ऽทัтa | [z] |  | oi'rua | [s], [z] |
| H $\eta$ | $\dot{\eta} \tau \boldsymbol{a}$ | [i] | T $\tau$ | tav́ | [t] |
| $\Theta \vartheta$ | $\vartheta \tilde{\eta} \tau \boldsymbol{a}$ | [ $\theta$ ] | $\Upsilon v$ | v̌ $\psi 1 \lambda 0 \nu$ | [i] |
| I 1 | $\gamma ı \bar{\omega} \tau a$ | [i], [j], [ç] | $\boldsymbol{\Phi} \phi$ | $\phi i$ | [f] |
| K к | кáma | [k], [c] | $\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\chi}$ | $\chi i$ | [x], [¢] |
| $\Lambda \lambda$ | $\lambda a \dot{\mu} \boldsymbol{\delta}$ a | [1] | $\Psi \psi$ | $\psi i$ | [ps] |
| M $\mu$ | $\mu i$ | [m] | $\Omega \boldsymbol{\omega}$ | $\dot{\omega} \mu \bar{\chi} \gamma \boldsymbol{a}$ | [0] |
| N $\nu$ | $\nu i$ | [ n ] |  |  |  |

Double consonants ( $\beta \beta, \kappa \kappa, \lambda \lambda, \mu \mu, \nu \nu, \pi \pi, \rho \rho, \sigma \sigma, \tau \tau$ ) are normally pronounced as if they were single; an exception is $\gamma \gamma$, for which see 1.4.2.2.

For $a l, \epsilon l, o l, v \iota, o v$, see 1.4.1.
For $\mu \pi, a v, \epsilon v$, see 1.4.2.1.
For $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\gamma} \iota, \gamma v, \gamma \in \iota$, see 1.4.2.2.
For $\nu \tau, \tau \zeta$, see 1.4.2.3.
(Some of the letters have alternative colloquial names, the vowels being called simply by their sound-e.g. ä, ée-and the consonants by the consonant sound followed by $-u$-e.g. $\beta o v, \gamma o v i$.

### 1.3 DIACRITICS

Throughout this study a distinction is made between stress, accent, and emphasis. Stress, which belongs to the phonetic domain, is defined in 1.8. An accent is one of the marks written over a vowel, usually (but not always) in order to indicate stress. Emphasis is a semantic concept, referring to the special importance attached to a certain word or words by the speaker/writer.

In the simplified historical orthography adopted in the present book, each initial vowel has one of the two so-called breathings written over it: when a word begins with a sequence of two written vowels which constitute a single vowel sound (or a vowel sound $+-f$ - or $-v$-), the breathing is placed over the second written vowel. These breathings have no synchronic value, but simply show whether the vowel was pronounced in Classical Greek with a preceding aspirate $/ \mathrm{h} /$ (in the case of the rough breathing or daveia (')), or without (in the case of the smooth breathing or $\psi\left(\lambda \eta^{\prime}(')\right)$. In addition, all words of two or more syllables (and most monosyllables) carry an accent. In words of two or more syllables, the accent is placed over the stressed vowel, while in monosyllables the accent over the vowel serves no phonetic function. The accent is written over the second vowel of a two-vowel sequence pronounced as a single sound (or as vowel sound $+-f$ - or $-v$-); if the stressed vowel is represented by a capital letter, the accent and breathing are written before this letter. When $a \iota, \epsilon \iota, o \iota, o v, a v$, or $\epsilon v$ represent two vowels pronounced separately, the second carries a diaeresis (") unless the first bears an accent or breathing (for further details, the examples of Greek words and sentences throughout this book can be observed). The accents are of two kinds, each of which has the same function: the acute ( $\grave{j} \xi \in i a\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$ ) and the circumflex ( $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \pi \omega \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta$ ( ${ }^{\circ}$ )).

Since the position of the stress in MG is rather unpredictable, and because it is a significant bearer of meaning, close attention has to be paid to its position; and it is advisable (especially for pedagogical purposes) that the use of the accent mark should not be discontinued (see also 1.7 and Appendix II).

### 1.4 PHONETICS

Having looked at the theoretical basis of the SMG sound system and at the alphabet and diacritics, we shall now examine in some detail the realization of each phoneme in speech, and its representation in the orthography. According to modern linguistic practice, the phonemic representation is given between solidi (slashes), while the phonetic representations, in the International Phonetic Alphabet, are enclosed in square brackets. Not all the subtleties of the IPA are being used here: [ K ] stands for what may be either a palatalized dental (or alveolar) lateral or a palatal lateral, [ n$]$ for a palatalized dental (or alveolar) nasal or a palatal nasal, and [ n ] and [1] for sounds which may sometimes be dental and sometimes alveolar.

### 1.4.1 VOWELS

The five vowel sounds of SMG are the following (the orthographic representation is shown in the right-hand column):

| [a] low central | $a$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| [e] mid front | $\epsilon, a \iota$ |
| [i] high front | $\eta, \iota, v, \epsilon \iota, o \iota, v \iota$ |
| [o] mid back | $o, \omega$ |
| [u] high back | $o v$ |

Their relative positions of articulation may be represented thus:

| $i$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $e^{u}$ |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

The vowel sounds of SMG are remarkably pure in quality. Vowel length is not a distinctive feature: it is fairly constant, each vowel being pronounced somewhere between English short and long vowels in length, but closer to the long vowels. A stressed vowel in SMG retains the same quality as, and is usually only slightly longer than, an unstressed vowel, the chief component of stress being extra loudness (see also 1.8).

Nevertheless, when unstressed /i/ precedes a vowel (except in words of learned origin and except when preceded by consonant $+/ \mathrm{r} /$ ), it is realized as one of the following: (a) the palatalization of a preceding velar consonant or of $/ \mathrm{l} /$ or $/ \mathrm{n} /$; (b) [j] if it is not preceded by a consonant, or if it is preceded by a voiced consonant (including $/ \mathrm{r} /$ ); or (c) [c] if it is preceded by a non-velar voiceless consonant in the same word. (As will be seen below, [j] and [c] are the palatalized counterparts of $[\gamma]$ and $[x]$ respectively, the first of each pair being voiced and the second voiceless.) The palatalization of a consonant slightly affects the quality of the preceding vowel, although this is not shown here in the phonetic representation.

| (a) | кıá入ıa | binoculars' | /kiália/ | [ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 'scops owl' | /giónis/ | [ ${ }^{\text {Jonis] }}$ |
|  | $\chi$ ¢óv七 | 'snow' | /xióni/ | ['çoni] |
|  | rajós | 'shore' | /rialós/ | [jallos] |
|  | maviá | 'sails; cloths' | /paniá/ | [palna] |
| (b) | ruatoós | 'doctor' | /iatros/ | [jaltros] |
|  | $\pi a \downarrow \delta i a ́$ | 'children' | /peotiá/ | [pe'bja] |


|  | oıтápıa | 'wheat' (pl.) | /sitária/ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (but ä $\gamma$ p | 'wildly' | /a̧rria/ | ['arria]) |
|  | átia | 'eyes' | /mátia/ | [1matça] |
|  | oós | 'who?' | /piós/ | [pcos] |

In words of learned origin, unstressed /i/ before a vowel often retains its vocalic value. But the situation is unstable, and unstressed /i/ in this context varies as to length and amount of friction. Examples of pairs of words which are, however, normally distinguished by the presence of [i] or of [j] or [ç] are the following (the first of each pair being of learned origin):

(For further examples of the $[\mathrm{i}] /[\mathrm{j}] /[\mathrm{c}]$ alternation, see 1.4.2.2 and 1.5.)

Apart from the normally obligatory realizations of $/ \mathrm{i} /$ as shown above, the high vowels /i/ and /u/ (and /e/ in certain positions) are often reduced in unstressed position in fast speech, although such reductions are avoided by those who make a deliberate effort to 'speak well'. When one of these vowels is reduced before or after a voiceless consonant, it is devoiced. If a reduced /i/ or /e/ follows a velar consonant, it may disappear, leaving the palatalization of the velar as the only sign of its underlying presence (see Theophanopoulou-Kontou 1972-3). For vowel elision, see 1.5.2.

### 1.4.2 CONSONANTS

### 1.4.2.1 Labials

There are four labial consonant phonemes in MG, in addition to the nasal / $\mathrm{m} /$ (for which see 1.4.2.4):
$\mathrm{p}[\mathrm{p}]$ voiceless bilabial stop $\pi$
b [b] voiced bilabial stop $\mu \pi, \pi$
f [f] voiceless labio-dental fricative $\phi,(a) v,(\epsilon) v$
$v$ [v] voiced labio-dental fricative $\beta$, (a) $v,(\epsilon) v$

Brackets round Greek letters in the last column indicate that the letter outside the brackets is pronounced in the manner indicated when it is preceded by the letter within brackets.

Like $/ \mathrm{k} /$ and $/ \mathrm{t} /$, the voiceless stop $/ \mathrm{p} /$ is unaspirated; and, like them, it is voiced after a nasal (becoming [b], [g], and [d]). The combination of letters $\mu \pi$ at the beginning of a word (and often medially) represents the voiced stop [b], just as $\gamma \kappa$ represents [ g ] and $\nu \tau$ [d]. The digraphs $a v$ and $\epsilon v$ are pronounced [af] and [ef] respectively at the end of a word (unless the following word begins with a voiced consonant) and before a voiceless consonant, and [av] and [ev] before a voiced consonant (including $/ \mathrm{l} /$ and $/ \mathrm{r} /$ ), or before a vowel within the same word; but before /f/and $/ \mathrm{v} /$ they simply become [a] and [e], on the principle that double consonants are pronounced as single.

| ітпобро́цио | 'racecourse' | /ipoOrómio/ | [ipol'romio] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pi о ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \varsigma$ | 'war' | /polemos/ | ['polemos] |
|  | 'in the war' | /stombólemo/ | [stom'bolemo] |
| $\lambda a ́ \mu \pi a$ | 'lamp' | /lámba/ | [ [lamba] |
| $\mu \pi а \mu \pi a ́ s$ | 'daddy' | /babas/ | [balbas] |
| ävev òp ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( | 'unconditional(ly)' | /ánef oron/ | [anelforon] |
| à̇окїทто | 'car' | /aftokínito/ | [aftolcinito] |
| ä̈po | 'tomorrow' | /ávrio/ | ['avrio] |
| Eüa | 'Eve' | /éva/ | ['eva] |
| évóposos | 'fertile' | /éforos/ | ['eforos] |
| Eüßoıa | 'Euboea' | /évia/ | ['evia] |

### 1.4.2.2 Velars

Each of the four velar consonants has a palatalized and an unpalatalized realization. In their unpalatalized form they correspond precisely in voicing and manner of articulation to the four labials and the four dentals.

|  | [ $k$ ] voiceless velar stop <br> [c] voiceless palatalized velar stop | $\kappa$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| g | [g] voiced velar stop |  |
|  | [ l ] voiced palatalized velar stop | $\boldsymbol{\gamma \kappa}$, $\gamma \gamma$ |
| x | [x] voiceless velar fricative | $\chi$ |
|  | [¢] voiceless palatalized velar fricative | $\chi, \iota$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ | [ $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ] voiced velar fricative | $\gamma$ |
|  | [j] voiced palatalized velar fricative | $\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \iota, \gamma \iota, \gamma \cup, \gamma \in \iota$ |

The velars are palatalized before the front vowels $/ \mathrm{e} / \mathrm{and} / \mathrm{i} /$ ．Although ［j］is often designated as a glide，it is probably more correct to call it a voiced palatalized velar fricative．The letter $\gamma$ may represent $[\gamma],[j]$ ， ［ g ］（before $\gamma, \chi$ ，or $\kappa$ ），or else［g］or［ ${ }_{\mathrm{j}}$ ］（after $\gamma$ ）．The digraphs $\gamma \kappa$ and $\gamma \gamma$ represent $[\mathrm{g}]$ or $[\mathrm{f}]$ at the beginning of the word，$[\mathrm{gg}],\left[\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{J}}\right],[\mathrm{g}]$ ， or［ f ］medially．

| ѐкклпбіа | ＇church＇ | ／eklisia／ | ［eklilsia］ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| картós | ＇fruit；wrist＇ | ／karpós／ | ［kar＇pos］ |
| бтóv картó | ＇on the wrist＇ | ／stongarpó／ | ［stoygar 1 po］ |
| кє́ $\rho$ aбa | ＇I treated（io a drink）＇ | ／kérasa／ | ［＇cerasa］ |
| тóv кépaoa | ＇I treated him（to a drink）＇ | ／tongérasa／ | ［ton＇jerasa］ |
| ärरos | ＇Angst＇ | ／ánxos／ | ［＇ajxos］ |
| $\chi$ х¢́pı | ＇hand；arm＇ | ／xéri／ | ［＇çeri］ |
| үа́цоя | ＇wedding；marriage＇ | ／$\gamma$ ámos／ | ［ ${ }^{\text {amamos］}}$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\rho}$ ós | ＇strong，robust＇ | ／rerós／ | ［je＇ros］ |
| кара́ßıа | ＇ships＇ | ／karávia／ | ［kalravja］ |
| rajoós | ＇shore＇ | ／rialós／ | ［ja＇los］ |
| rua入ıá | ＇glasses＇ | ／ialiá／ | ［ja＇кa］ |
| $\gamma \in \dot{L}$ oov | ＇hello；goodbye＇ | ／riásu／ | ［＇jasu］ |
| үкріра | ＇complaining＇ | ／grinia／ | ［lgrina］ |
| à $\nu$ á $\gamma \kappa \eta$ | ＇need；necessity＇ | ／anángi／ | ［a＇najfi］ |
| ка́入os | ＇corn（callous）＇ | ／kálos／ | ［＇kalos］ |
| кı ${ }^{\text {äd } \lambda \text { 人os }}$ | ＇and another＇ | ／kiálos／ | ［＇calos］ |
| $\chi \omega \dot{\nu}$ ¢ | ＇he thrusts＇ | ／xóni／ | ［＇xoni］ |
| $\chi$ ¢óv七 | ＇snow＇ | ／xióni／ | ［＇çoni］ |
| рádıa | ＇shelves＇ | ／ráfia／ | ［＇rafça］ |
|  | ＇scops owl＇ | ／giónis／ | ［＇Jonis］ |

It will be noticed that［j］may derive from $/ \mathrm{i}$／or from $/ \mathrm{\gamma} /$ ，and［ç］ from either $/ \mathrm{i} /$ or $/ \mathrm{x} /$ ．

## 1．4．2．3 Dentals

There are four dental consonants，in addition to the nasal $/ \mathrm{n} /$（for which see 1．4．2．4）．

| ［t］ | voi | $\tau$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d［d］ | voiced dental stop | $\nu \tau, \tau$ |
| $\theta$［日］ | voiceless dental fricative | $\vartheta$ |
| $\delta$［ర］ | voiced dental fricative | $\delta$ |

The digraph $\nu \tau$ represents [d] initially and [nd] or [d] medially. The digraphs $\tau \sigma$ and $\tau \zeta$ represent the voiceless and voiced affricates [ts] and [dz] respectively.

| $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \tau o ́ s$ | 'superfluous' | /peritós/ | [periltos] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| тоо́тos | 'way, manner' | /trópos/ | ['tropos] |
| тóv тро́то | 'the way' (acc.) | /tondrópo/ | [ton'dropo] |
| пávia | 'always' | /pánda/ | ['panda] |
| vtavtá | 'wet-nurse' | /dadá/ | [da'da] |
| $\vartheta$ ७'̇ $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ | 'I want' | / $\theta$ élo/ | ['0elo] |
| $\epsilon i \delta a$ | 'I saw' | /íSa/ | [ i రa] |
| тоакi¢ $\omega$ | 'I snap' | /tsakizo/ | [tsalcizo] |
| т̧ákı | 'hearth' | /dzáki/ | ['dzaci] |

### 1.4.2.4 Nasals

There are two nasal phonemes in Modern Greek. They have the following realizations:
m [m] voiced bilabial nasal
[ m ] voiced labio-dental nasal

$$
\mu, \nu
$$

n [ n ] voiced dental (or alveolar) nasal
[n] voiced palatalized dental (or alveolar) nasal or palatal nasal
[ g ] voiced velar nasal

$$
\nu, \gamma
$$

(i) Normally, when $/ \mathrm{m} /$ and $/ \mathrm{n} /$ precede a vowel they are pronounced [ m ] and [ n ] respectively. When palatalized (i.e. when followed by a weakened $/ \mathrm{i} /+$ vowel) $/ \mathrm{m} /$ becomes [ mj ] or (in some idiolects) [ mg ] (this last may occur even before stressed $/ \mathrm{i} /$ ); $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{is}$ palatalized to [ n ] not only before weakened /i/ + vowel, but (in some idiolects) before the full vowel [i]. The pronunciation [ mg ] and the palatalization of $/ \mathrm{n} /$ before $/ \mathrm{i} /$ (like that of $/ \mathrm{l} /$ in the same position) are not normally considered to be a sign of careful pronunciation, even though it is a feature of most of the chief Modern Greek dialects.
(ii) When a nasal precedes a consonant in Modern Greek various phenomena may occur: (a) the nasal may become assimilated in its place of articulation with the following consonant; (b) it may voice a following voiceless stop; (c) it may disappear as a separate sound, but nasalize the previous vowel; or (d) it may disappear altogether. These four phenomena are not all mutually exclusive, but which of them occur(s) at any given point depends on the nature of the following consonant, on the individual speaker (geographical provenance, education,
etc.), and sometimes on the etymology of the word. Nevertheless, (a), i.e. the assimilation of the nasal, is a normal characteristic of standard speech. Before consonants, the nasals are conditioned variants, agreeing in place of articulation with the following consonant: the dental [ n ] appears before dentals, [ m ] before bilabials, [ m ] before velars, and [ m ] before labio-dentals.

| (i) $\pi \rho a \dot{\gamma} \mu \mathrm{a}$ | 'thing' | /práyma/ | [1prayma] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \mu a$ | 'gaze' | /vléma/ | ['vlema] |
| Náoos | 'Nasos' ( name ) | /násos/ | [Inasos] |
| *A $\nu \nu a$ | 'Anna' | /ána/ | ['ana] |
| т̧áura | 'window-panes' | /dzámia/ | [Idzamja] or ['dzamna] |
| $\mu \pi \epsilon \tau 0 \nu t \in ́ \rho a$ | 'cement-mixer' | /betoniéra/ | [betolnera] |
| $\phi \omega v \dot{\prime}$ | 'voice; shout' | /foni/ | [folni] or [folni] |
| (ii) (a/b) 'Avt ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$, | 'Andrew' | /andreas/ | [an'dreas] |
| (a/b) ка́ a $^{\text {a }}$ | 'plain' | /kámbos/ | ['kambos] |
|  | 'need' | /anángi/ | [alnayıi] |
| (a) $\sigma \sim \mu \phi \omega v i a$ | 'agreement; symphony’ | /simfonia/ | [simfolnia] or [simfolnia] |
| (a) $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \phi i \lambda \omega \nu$ | 'of the friends' | /ton filon/ | [tomffilon] |

In traditional demotic, a nasal could occur only before a vowel or one of the voiced stops [b], [g], or [d] (which themselves were often underlying voiceless stops voiced by the preceding nasal, as in $\sigma \tau \delta \delta$
 influence of katharevousa phonology (which, briefly, entailed the wholesale introduction of all or most of the possible AG consonant clusters, but pronounced letter by letter in the Modern Greek manner) and the influx of foreign words into the language have led to the possibility of a nasal being followed by any one of the following: the voiceless fricatives $[f],[x]$, and $[\theta]$, the voiced fricatives [ v , $[\gamma]$, and [ $\delta]$, the sibilants [s] and [z], and the liquids [1] and [r]. Not all such combinations commonly occur within words, but the genitive plural of the definite article ( $\tau \dot{\omega} \nu$ ) and the masculine accusative singular of the third-person proclitic pronoun ( $\tau \dot{\partial} \nu$ ) may be followed by any of these sounds.

To begin with the combinations of nasal + consonant that existed in traditional demotic, some dialects always pronounced the nasal fully, others always omitted it completely, while others displayed a certain variety. Grammarians, on the other hand, have taught that these
combinations should be pronounced with or without the nasal according to whether or not the nasal was present in an earlier version of the word in question: thus AG ко́цßоs > ко́нлоs 'knot' ['kombos]; T tempel $>\tau \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon ่ \lambda \eta s$ 'lazy' [tem'belis]; but T soba > oó $\mu \pi a$ 'stove' ['soba]. This rule may have been well motivated as far as some dialects were concerned, since their speakers pronounced the voiced stops with or without a preceding nasal, just as they found them, with no interference from writing. With the rise of literacy, however, speakers have usually treated every instance of $\mu \pi, \nu \tau$, or $\gamma \gamma / \gamma \kappa$ alike, that is, either always with or always without the nasal, according to each speaker's idiolect. Furthermore, it cannot be expected that speakers will know the etymological origin of all the words they use. The situation today, at least in Athens, is that the absence of the nasal in such cases has become widespread, even in the speech of highly educated people, but especially among the young, the male and the less educated (this is phenomenon (iid) above). Those who pride themselves on their careful speech, however, still keep to the grammarians' etymologically based rule.

Nevertheless, it is common to hear speakers uttering hypercorrect forms in loanwords in which etymologically there was no nasal, e.g. movtépvos 'modern' as [mon'dernos] instead of [mo'dernos], and $\beta \epsilon \nu \tau \in ́ \tau a$ as [ven'deta] in both its meanings, i.e. 'star' (< F vedette) and 'vendetta' (< It.), despite the grammarians' precept that the two meanings should be distinguished in pronunciation.

The truth is that the presence or absence of a nasal before a voiced stop never makes a semantic distinction (with perhaps the single exception of $\beta \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\epsilon} \tau a$ ). Despite this, however, the suppression of the nasal in this position when its presence is etymologically motivated is still regarded by many as a sign of slovenly speech.

| $\nu$ Пópo | 'to Poros' | [stom'boro] or [stol bo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ка́цлтоs | 'plain' | ['kambos] or ['kabos] |
| $\delta \epsilon$ v $\tau \in \in \lambda \epsilon \omega \omega \sigma a$ | 'I haven't finished' | [రen'deKosa] or [GeldeKosa] |
| $\tau \epsilon$ | 'five' | ['pende] or ['pede] |
| отóv кóбu\% | 'in the world' | [stoy'gozmo] or [stolgozmo] |
| ${ }^{\text {'A } \gamma \gamma \lambda i a}$ | England' | [ay'glia] or [a'glia] |

On the other hand, one should beware of believing that the nasal is either present or not: between these extremes there appears in reality to be a continuum of possibilities which include a slight pre-nasalization of the stop or a slight nasalization of the preceding vowel, or both.

It is common, at least in Athenian speech, for the subjunctive
markers $\nu \dot{a}$ and $\vartheta \dot{a}$ to voice the $/ t /$ of a following proclitic pronoun; this phenomenon is not usually indicated in the orthography (e.g. vá to $\pi \dot{\omega}$ 'I should say' [nadolpo]; ७á $\tau o ́ ~ ' \lambda \epsilon \gamma a$ 'I would say' [ $\theta$ a'doleүa]).

In loanwords there are certain combinations of nasal + voiceless stop which, at least in some idiolects, resist the tendency towards voicing: thus one may hear, e.g. кov ${ }^{2} \pi a v i a$ 'folk-song band' as [kumpa'nia], or vтокоuнévto 'document' as [doku'mento]. In addition, when they have resulted from elision, such combinations normally resist voicing, the most common example being кávtє (< кávєtє) 'do!' (imperative) ['kante].

Some combinations of three sounds require some comment: namely a nasal followed by underlying $/ \mathrm{pt} /, / \mathrm{kt} /, / \mathrm{ps} /, / \mathrm{ks} /$, or $/ \mathrm{ts} /$. In the case of $/ \mathrm{pt} /$ and $/ \mathrm{kt} /$, which occur only in words of non-demotic origin, voicing does not normally take place, and often the $/ \mathrm{k} /$ or $/ \mathrm{t} /$ is omitted in speech:
> $\Pi \epsilon ̇ \mu \pi \tau \eta$ 'Thursday' ['pempti] or ['pemti] (cf. traditional demotic $\Pi \epsilon \phi \phi \tau \eta$, formed by dissimilation of $/ \mathrm{p} /$ before $/ \mathrm{t} /$ followed by deletion of the nasal before the fricative /f/; but contrast SMG $\pi a ́ \mu \phi \tau \omega \chi o s$ 'very poor', pronounced in full as ['pamftoxos]) $\sigma a \lambda \pi \iota \gamma \kappa \tau \dot{\prime} s$ 'bugler' [salpig'ktis]

The clusters $/ \mathrm{ps} /$, $/ \mathrm{ks} /$, and $/ \mathrm{ts} /$ are normally voiced only when they stand at the beginning of a word after a preceding nasal:

| кıба | 'I caught him' |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 位 $\psi 0 \chi \eta$ | 'in the soul' | [stim'bziçi] or [stilbziçi] |
| $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \psi \eta$ | 'flash' | ['lampsi] |
|  | 'I don't know' | [రen'gzero] or [రe'gzero] |
| $\Sigma \phi i \gamma \xi$ | 'Sphinx' | [sfigks] |

To turn now to fricatives after nasals: these sounds were never preceded by nasals in traditional demotic, and many speakers have difficulty in coping with nasals in such positions. It is probable that in some MG dialects an AG nasal before a voiceless fricative did not simply drop without trace, but nasalized the preceding vowel, at least in some words (e.g. ['äӨropos], AG and SMG ä $\partial \vartheta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \varsigma$ ). Today, despite intensive pronunciation drills in Greek schools over many decades, many speakers either omit a nasal where it is written before a fricative, or nasalize the preceding vowel instead of pronouncing the nasal (these are phenomena (iid) and (iic) respectively).

In traditional demotic (and according to the precepts of most
grammars), the final $/ \mathrm{n} /$ of the following words is dropped when the next word in the phrase begins with any sound except a vowel or a stop: the negatives $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ and $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$, the definite articles $\tau \dot{\partial} \nu$ and $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$, certain pronouns (cf. 4.5.3.1), and the indefinite article and numeral ĕvav. (One might add, for old demotic, the conjunction äd 'if' and the conjunction and preposition oáv 'when; like', which are normally written and spoken with the final $/ \mathrm{n} /(\nu)$ in all environments today.) Careful writers of demotic will usually take care to abide by these rules, although in practice the $/ \mathrm{n} /$ is often written or pronounced even where it is superfluous. The following are examples of the rule:

|  | 'I'm not coming' |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 'I won't come' |
|  | 'don't say it' |
|  | 'don't say anythi |
| $\tau \delta \dot{\nu} \mu \pi a \mu \pi \dot{\alpha}$ | 'daddy' (acc.) |
|  | 'uncle' (acc.) |
| $\tau \dot{\nu} \boldsymbol{\chi}$ Kairn | 'Katy' (acc.) |
| $\tau \eta$ Péva | 'Rena' (acc.) |
|  | 'a person' (acc.) |
| Ěva $\mu$ ¢̇va | 'a month' (acc.) |

### 1.4.2.5 Sibilants

Modern Greek has two sibilant phonemes:
$s$ [s] voiceless alveolar fricative $\sigma, \varsigma$
$z$ [z] voiced alveolar fricative $\zeta, \sigma, \varsigma$
These sounds are alveolar rather than dental, and, since there is normally no semantic distinction in MG between alveolar and palato-alveolar fricatives, they may be articulated by some speakers so far back as to be almost indistinguishable from [ J ] and [3] respectively. Other speakers, however, especially those who have had close contact with languages such as English or French, sometimes make a distinction in loanwords between those which originally contained [J] and [3] and those which contained [s] and [z]. The following are examples of this phenomenon.

| бока́кı | 'alley' | (<T sokak) | [solkaci] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| оо́к | 'shock' | (<F choc) | [sok] or [Jok] |
| ¢є́ $\sigma \tau \eta$ | 'heat' | (<AG $\dagger^{\prime}\left({ }^{\prime} \omega\right.$ ) | ['zesti] |
| $\zeta \in \lambda \epsilon{ }^{\prime}$ | 'jelly' | (<F gelée) | [ze'le] or [3elle] |

Such distinctions should by no means be considered to be either wide－ spread or counselled by grammarians；rather，they are instances of speakers attempting to imitate the sounds of a foreign language；the same is true of pronunciations such as［blœ］or［bl $\phi$ ］for［ble］（ $\mu \pi \lambda \epsilon \in$ ＇blue＇$<\mathrm{F}$ bleu），or［15okiy］for［Isokin］（oókw risqué $<\mathrm{F}<\mathrm{E}$ shock－ ing），or［3älbō］for［zam＇bon］（乡aumóv＇ham＇＜F jambon）．Never－ theless，some dialects of Modern Greek distinguish between alveolar and palato－alveolar fricatives．

The sound［s］is represented by the letter $\sigma$（written $\varsigma$ in word－final position），which is always pronounced［s］before vowels or voiceless consonants，and before a pause；［z］is represented by $\zeta$ before vowels and by $\sigma / \varsigma$ before voiced consonants（ $[z]$ does not occur before voice－ less consonants，according to the principle of＇identity of voice＇：see below，1．5）．There is some variation among speakers between［s］and ［ z ］before the liquids $/ 1 /$ and $/ \mathrm{r} /$ ．As has been seen above，$/ 1 /$ and $/ \mathrm{r} /$ are treated as voiced when they follow the digraphs av and $\epsilon v$ ．Never－ theless，$\sigma / \mathrm{S}$ is normally pronounced［ s ］before $/ \mathrm{l} /$ ，but as $[\mathrm{z}$ ］before $/ \mathrm{r}$ ，although some speakers display the opposite phenomenon：in fact， the pronunciation［sl］may be influenced by spelling．

| äббos | ＇ace＇ | ／ásos／ | ［lasos］ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢loau | ＇you are＇（sing．） | ／íse／ | ［ 1 ise］ |
| ко䒑䶹оиขıотท่s | ＇communist＇ | ／komunistis／ | ［komunilstis］ |
|  | ＇communism＇ | ／komunizmos／ | ［komunilzmos］ |
| $\Sigma \lambda$ áßos | ＇Slav＇ | ／slávos／ | ［＇slavos］ |
| тй¢ $\Lambda i \tau \sigma a s$ | ＇of Litsa＇ | ／tislítsas／ | ［tilslitsas］ |
| ＇Iopaì入 | ＇Israel＇ | ／izrail／ | ［izralil］ |

## 1．4．2．6 Liquids

There are two liquid phonemes：

| 1 ［1］de | （ or alveolar）la |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ［K］pal | alized dental（or | lveolar） | ateral or palatal lateral |
| $r$［r］alv | olar flapped |  |  |
| （ò）кá入os | ＇corn（callous）＇ | ／kálos／ | ［＇kalos］ |
| （ $\tau$ ó）кd́d入os | ＇beauty＇ | ／káos／ | ［kalos］ |
| $\mu a \lambda \lambda i$ | ＇wool＇ | ／mali／ | ［malii］or［ma＇ $\mathrm{K}^{\text {a }}$ ］ |
| $\mu a \lambda \lambda c a ́$ | ＇hair（of head）＇ | ／maliá／ | ［malka］ |
| òpos | ＇term；condition＇ | ／óros／ | ［loros］ |
| oopoós | ＇serum＇ | ／orós／ | ［olros］ |

While Setatos (1974: 14) designates these sounds as voiced, other linguists class them as 'neutral as regards voice' (e.g. Warburton 1970b: 16-17). While they may or may not voice the preceding underlying $/ \mathrm{s} /$ (see 1.4.2.5), they always voice the preceding labial fricative in words spelled with $a v$ and $\epsilon v$ (see 1.4.2.1). Nevertheless, /l/ and /r/ may be preceded by either a voiced or a voiceless consonant:

| oravpós | 'cross' | /stavrós/ | [stalvros] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| áßpós | 'courteous' | /avrós/ | [alvros] |
| but á $\phi \rho o ́ s ~$ | 'foam' | /afrós/ | [alfros] |

Table 1.3 summarizes the chief features of the SMG consonants.
TABLE 1.3

|  | Bilabial | Labio-dental | Dental | Alveolar | Palatal | Velar |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stop | pb |  | td |  |  | kg |
| Fricative |  | fv | $\mathbf{\theta \delta}$ | sz |  | $\mathrm{x} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ |
| Nasal | m | m | n | n | n | n |
| Lateral |  |  | 1 | 1 | $\kappa$ |  |
| Flapped |  |  |  | r |  |  |

Where the symbols are paired, the first is voiceless, the latter voiced.

### 1.5 SEQUENCES OF SOUNDS IN WORDS OF POPULAR AND LEARNED ORIGIN

In traditional demotic there were certain constraints regarding possible sequences of sounds, irrespective of whether these sequences might occur within words or across word boundaries. The most general of these were that
(i) there could be no instances of double consonant or vowel sounds;
(ii) in any sequence of obstruents (i.e. stops or fricatives), all the consonants were either voiced or voiceless.

More particular rules were the following:
(iii) [i] could not occur before another vowel (thus $/ \mathrm{i} /+\mathrm{V} \rightarrow$ [j] or $[¢]+\mathrm{V}$ ): if the $/ \mathrm{i} /$ was originally stressed, then the stress was transferred to the vowel (thus $/ \mathbf{i} /+\mathrm{V} \rightarrow[\mathrm{j}]$ or $[\mathrm{c}]+\mathrm{V}$ ).
(iv) two voiceless stops or two voiceless fricatives could not co-occur: such combinations were dissimilated to become voiceless fricative + voiceless stop (or, in the case of fricative $+/ \mathrm{s} /$, vice versa). Thus the following sounds were changed from Ancient to Modern Greek:

```
\(\kappa \tau\) or \(\chi \vartheta \rightarrow \chi \tau[\mathrm{xt}]\)
\(\pi \tau\) or \(\phi \vartheta\) (also \(\alpha v \vartheta / \epsilon v \vartheta) \rightarrow \phi \tau\) [ft]
\(a v \chi\) or \(\epsilon v \chi \rightarrow a v \kappa\) [afk] or \(\epsilon v \kappa\) [efk]
\(a v \sigma\) or \(\epsilon v \sigma \rightarrow a \psi\) [aps] or \(\epsilon \psi\) [eps]
\(\sigma \vartheta \rightarrow \sigma \tau\) [st]
\(\sigma \chi \rightarrow \sigma \kappa\) [sk]
```

Exceptions were the combination $\sigma \phi$ [ sf ], and the sequence [ pt ] in the apocopated preposition $\dot{a} \pi^{\prime}$ followed by the definite article, both of which remained unchanged.
(v) a nasal could be followed only by a vowel or voiced stop; thus voiceless stops and voiced fricatives were converted to voiced stops after nasals, and nasals were deleted before voiceless fricatives:

```
\(\mu \pi\) and \(\mu \beta \rightarrow \mu \pi\) [mb]
\(\nu \tau\) and \(\nu \delta \rightarrow \nu \tau\) [nd]
\(\gamma \kappa \rightarrow \gamma \kappa\) [ng]
\(\mu \phi \rightarrow \phi\) [f]
\(\nu \vartheta \rightarrow \vartheta[\theta]\)
\(\gamma \chi \rightarrow \chi[\mathrm{x}]\)
```

(vi) a voiced fricative could not precede a nasal. Here the fricative was deleted:

```
\(\gamma \mu \rightarrow \mu[\mathrm{m}]\)
\(a \nu \mu\) or \(\epsilon \nu \mu \rightarrow a \mu\) [am] or \(\epsilon \mu\) [em]
```

Nevertheless the combination $\sigma \mu[\mathrm{zm}]$ remained.
In addition, there were numerous other sequences of sounds in Ancient Greek which dropped out of the spoken language.

Under the influence of katharevousa, many ancient or pseudo-ancient sequences of sounds entered the written, and consequently the spoken language, even within the boundaries of the same word. In the following examples of words of katharevousa origin which are now found in SMG, it will be noticed that sometimes there are doublets, or pairs of words which derive from the learned and the popular tradition respectively, preserving the phonology of each of the historical forms of MG, namely katharevousa and demotic:
(i) double vowels:


'poet'
[piiltis]


| (iv) $/ \mathrm{kt} / \ddot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \kappa \tau a \sigma \eta$ | 'extent' | ['ektasi] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /xө/ è $\chi \vartheta \rho$ ós | 'enemy' | [ex'Өros] |
| /pt/ $\lambda \in \pi \tau$ á | 'minutes' | [le\|pta] |
| (cf. $\lambda \in \phi \tau \dot{a}$ | 'money' | [le'fta]) |
| /f0/ $\phi \vartheta$ iv $\omega$ | 'I decline' | [ ${ }^{\text {f }}$ ino ] |
|  | 'I spit' | [ 1 ftino]) |
|  | 'thank you' | [efxarilsto] |
| /fs/ каи́бщ\% | 'combustible' (n | g.) [ ${ }^{\text {kafsimo}}$ ] |
| (cf. ка́ $\psi$ ¢ $\mu$ o | 'burning' | [1kapsimo]) |
| /sө/ $\mu$ ¢б७ós | 'salary' | [milstos] |
| /sx/ oxéסıo | 'plan; pattern' | ['Isçeठio] |
| (v) $/ \mathrm{mv} / \beta \delta \dot{\mu} \beta a$ | 'bomb' | [lvomva] |
|  | 'Alexander' | [alleksanoros] |
| $/ \mathrm{mf} / \sigma^{\sim} \mu \phi \omega \nu \bar{\omega}$ | 'I agree' | [simfolno] |
| /nө/ $\pi$ ¢̇v७os | 'mourning' | [lpenOos] |
| $\mid \mathrm{nx} /$ è $\lambda$ é $\gamma \chi \omega$ | 'I check; I control' | [ellepxo] |
| /nү/ ovүү | 'author' | [sinyralfeas] |
| (vi) $/ \gamma \mathrm{m} / \tau$ тá $\mu \boldsymbol{a}$ | 'battalion' | [Itayma] |
| (cf. $\tau$ á $\mu a$ | 'vow' | ['tama]) |
| /vm/ $\mathrm{peṽ}^{\text {a }}$ | 'current' | ['revma] |
| (cf. $\rho$ ¢́ $\mu$ a | 'torrent' | ['rema]) |

In practice, there is some variation in the extent to which katharevousa sequences are fully pronounced. As has already been said, many speakers fail to pronounce a full nasal before a consonant. Similarly, /kt/ in many words of learned origin is commonly pronounced
 more widespread (and thus more acceptable to the speakers' linguistic sense) than, for instance, [ft] for /pt/ or [sk] for /sx/ (e.g. $\lambda \in \pi \tau 0 \delta \epsilon i k \tau \eta \mathrm{~s}$ [lepto'diktis] or [lepto'ठixtis] 'minute hand', but not *[lefto'סixtis]; коптораптои́ [koptora'ptu] 'seamstress, dressmaker', not *[koftora'ftu];
$\sigma \chi 0 \lambda \epsilon i o$ [sxollio] or $\sigma \chi 0 \lambda \epsilon t o ́$ [sxo'Ko] 'school', not ? [sko'Ko]). Conversely, some speakers pronounce certain perfectly ordinary demotic words according to katharevousa phonology (e.g. $\phi \vartheta a \dot{v} \omega \omega$ ['f fano] for ['ftano] 'I arrive'; $\chi \vartheta$ ७'́s [xӨes] for [xtes] 'yesterday'; even $\lambda \epsilon \pi \tau a \dot{a}$ [ $\mathrm{le}^{\prime} \mathrm{pta}$ ] for [ $\mathrm{le}^{\mathrm{l}} \mathrm{fta}$ ] 'money'). The pronunciations [ ft ] for $/ \mathrm{f} \mathrm{\theta} /$ and [ xt ] for $/ \mathrm{x} \theta /$ are very frequently (but not exclusively) found in the perfective passive stems of verbs (e.g. $\sigma \sim \mu \beta o v \lambda \epsilon \dot{\tau} \eta \kappa \kappa$ [ ft$]$ 'I consulted', $\sigma \tau \eta \rho i \chi \tau \eta \kappa a$ [ xt ] 'I supported myself', but $\phi \vartheta \omega o ́ \pi \omega \rho o$ [ $\mathrm{f} \theta$ ] 'autumn', öx७ך [x日] 'river-bank').

As for the opposition between [i] and [j] or [ç] before a vowel, again there is a certain variation among speakers. While most words of demotic origin with /i/ before a vowel will be pronounced with [j] or [ c$]$, words of learned origin vary according to their frequency of use, the most common tending to be pronounced with [j] or [¢], the less common with [i], or with either in free variation:
ä $\delta \in \iota a$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { (adj.) 'empty' (f. sing. or n. pl.) } \\
\text { (noun) 'licence; permission' }
\end{array} \text { ['aठja] } \begin{array}{l}
\text { ['aठia] or ['aठja] }
\end{array}\right.
$$

In the case of the noun here, the former pronunciation is likely to be used when the speaker is on his/her best linguistic behaviour, the latter when (s)he is talking more casually. (It is however noteworthy that when followed by a possessive pronoun, the noun is pronounced with
 different pronunciations according to their meaning and context, e.g.
 some words, both [i] and [j] or [ç] may co-occur, e.g. Xaŗŋףкvрıáкєьo [xadzici'rjacio] (name of an institution in Piraeus): here the katharevousa


### 1.5.1 DIPHTHONGS

Two vowel sounds in sequence are usually pronounced more or less as a diphthong in words of demotic origin: there may be greater stress on the first or on the second vowel, or both may be unstressed; the unstressed vowel(s) of a diphthong are reduced in length. The second
 ['klei] '(s)he cries', $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \eta \gamma \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ [eksi'jiseon] 'explanations' (gen.), po入óı [ro'loi] 'clock; watch', $\pi$ á $\omega$ ['pao] 'I go', $\nu a o \tilde{v}$ [na'u] 'temple; church', $\tau$ aís $\omega$ [ta'izo] 'I feed', $\tau$ ál $\sigma \in$ ['taise] '(s)he fed' (but cf. imperative $\tau$ áı $\sigma \hat{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon$ [tailseme] 'feed me', in which /taise/ is considered to consist of three syllables: cf. the last paragraph of 1.7).

### 1.5.2 FURTHER REMARKS ON SEQUENCES OF SOUNDS WITHIN AND ACROSS WORD- (AND MORPHEME-) BOUNDARIES

As has been indicated, in MG there is in principle no distinction between the pronunciation of sequences of sounds within words (or within morphemes) and their pronunciation across word- (or morpheme-) boundaries. This applies especially to the voicing of voiceless stops after a nasal, to the voicing of $/ \mathrm{s} /$ before a voiced consonant, and to the degemination of double consonants; these phenomena occur especially between the article and its noun and between a pronoun or negative and the verb:

| ós | .) | /okeros/ | [oce'ros] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| кацо́ | 'time' (acc.) | /tongero/ | tonjelro] |
| ¢ "Avvas | 'Anne's' | /tisánas/ | [ti'sanas] |
| ¢ Mapias | 'Mary's' | /tizmarías/ | [tizma'rias] |
| $\dot{\omega} \nu \nu$ vó $\mu \omega \nu$ | 'of the laws' | /tonómon/ | [to'nomon] |
| pronoun | the same a | $\nu \omega \mu \omega \nu$ | ] |
| at | 'of the turn' | /tistrofis/ | istro'fis] |
| (pronou | e same | $\tau$ | e food') |

In view of the possibility of ambiguity in certain cases, many trained speakers (such as broadcasters and public speakers in general) deliberately avoid running words together in this way, and one may hear
 resists the voicing of $/ \mathrm{s} /$ to [ z$]$ and then the latter's degemination) and $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \rho o \pi \dot{\eta}$ 'the turn (of events)' (acc.) [tintro'pi] (to avoid confusion with $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \nu \tau \rho o \pi \dot{\eta}$ 'shame' (acc.) [tindro'pi]). Sometimes speakers pronouncing nasal + voiceless stop across word boundaries may fail to assimilate the nasal, or fail to voice the stop; e.g. ठ̈rav $\pi \epsilon \rho a ́ \sigma \omega$ 'when I pass' [otanbe'raso], סév $\xi \in \in \rho \omega$ 'I don't know' [ర̌力'ksero]. Similarly, one may even hear a glottal stop between vowels, as in ò kaцós $\vartheta$ á tival aivpıos 'the weather will be fine' [oce'ros $\theta$ a'ine '?e

Educated speakers often pronounce double consonants separately within a word when the first instance of the consonant occurs at the end of a prefix of learned origin and the second at the beginning of the root word. This applies to the prefixes $\{\operatorname{pan}\},\{\sin \}$ and $\{e n\}$ (especially before $/ \boldsymbol{\gamma} /$ ) and $\{\mathrm{ek}\}$ :

| $\pi a \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau o s$ | 'very large' | [pam'mejistos] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\pi a \lambda \lambda a \ddot{k} o ́ s$ | 'of all the people' | [pallai'kos] |


| éa | or' | [sigrralfeas] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| è $\gamma \gamma \rho a \dot{\mu} \mu$ атоs | 'literate' | [eg'r ramatos] |
| е̇ккєขтркко́s | 'eccentric' | [eccendri'kos] |

There is no doubt that such people are influenced both by the spelling and by the etymology of the words concerned.

There are many instances in which vowel sounds may (or must) be deleted. One case of obligatory deletion is that of /e/ in the preposition $\sigma \epsilon$ before the definite article. The /u/ of the proclitic second-personsingular pronoun ooũ may optionally be deleted before a third-person pronoun; the /e/ of cival '(s)he/it is; they are' may optionally be elided, especially before a word beginning with a vowel or $/ t /$; the / $/ \mathrm{l}$ of àó 'from' may be elided before a definite article; and the final /e/ of certain imperative singular forms may be dropped before a clitic pronoun ( $\phi$ épe $\tau 0 \rightarrow \phi e ́ \rho^{\prime} \tau 0$ ). The vowel /i/ in particular is often omitted in casual speech in especially common words (such as the definite article):

| 'İa入ias | 'of Italy' | [tisital lias] or [tsitallias] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 'in the newspapers' | [stisefimelrioses] or |
|  |  | [stsefimelriðes] |
| eikooıтрia | 'twenty-three' | [ikosi'tria] or [iko'stria] |
| $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \delta \dot{\tau} \in \rho \circ$ | 'more' | [peri'sotero] or [per'sotero] |
| $\pi \epsilon$ ¢́puaı | 'last year' | ['perisi] or ['persi] |
|  | 'today's' | [simerilnos] or [simer'nos] |

In each of the above cases, the word in question is usually spelled as it is shown here, and it is the first of the two pronunciations which is considered to be correct.

Elision and prodelision are particularly frequent phenomena in MG when two vowel sounds meet at the end and beginning of two words which are closely connected with each other semantically and syntactically. (In the following paragraphs 'elision' refers to the deletion of a vowel at the end of a word, 'prodelision' to the deletion of an initial vowel.) Particularly susceptible to these phenomena are (a) the prepositions $\mu \dot{\epsilon}$, $\sigma \epsilon \in$ and $a \dot{a} \pi \dot{\delta}$ and the definite article before nouns and adjectives, and (b) the particles $\vartheta \dot{a}$ and $\nu \dot{\prime}$, the relative pronoun $\pi o v$ and proclitic pronouns before verbs. Much attention has been paid by linguists to the hierarchy of vowels in MG: this is the system of prevalence of one vowel over another. If the two vowels are identical, they may simply become degeminated; if they are different, one may prevail over the
other according to the following system ( $>$ here = 'prevails over'): $/ \mathrm{a}>\mathrm{o}>\mathrm{u}>\mathrm{e}$ or $\mathrm{i} /(\mathrm{i} /$ sometimes prevails over /e/, sometimes vice versa). Stress is irrelevant to which vowel disappears, and so is the order in which the vowels appear. Such elision or prodelision is so common in speech (although it is never obligatory) that the words are often written with the elision indicated by an apostrophe; in less colloquial styles of writing, however, the words are normally written in full.

```
/aa/ }->/\textrm{a}/\tau\dot{\alpha}ä\partial\lambda\lambdaa or \tau'ä\lambda\lambdaa 'the others' (neuter)
    \tauá äkov\sigmaa or \tau' äkov\sigmaa 'I heard them'(neuter)
    \varthetaá d̀va\phié\rho\omega or \vartheta' àva\phié\rho\omega 'I will mention'
/oo/ }->/0/ \tauó övo\mua or \tau' övo\mua 'the name'
```



```
/uu/ }->/\textrm{u}/ \tauoṽ oúpavoũ or \tau' ovं\rhoavoṽ 'of the sky'
/ee/ ->/e/ (\sigmaé è\muéva) \sigmaé \muéva or \sigma' è\muéva 'to me'(emphatic)
/ii/ }->\mathrm{ /i/ Ti Elval; or ti' 'val; 'what is it?'
/oa/ / /a/ à\pi\delta äк\rho\eta \sigma\epsiloń äк\rho\eta or àm'äк\rho\eta \sigma' äк\rho\eta 'from one end to
    the other; right across
/ua/ ->/a/ \muoũ à\rhoé\sigma\in\iota or \mu' à\rhoé\sigma\epsilonl 'I like it'
/ae/ ->/a/ \tauá é\lambda\epsilon\gamma\epsilon or \tauá '\lambda\epsilon\gamma\epsilon '(s)he used to say them'
/oe/ }->/0/\tau\delta' \epsilon\chi\chi\omega or \tau\delta' '\chi\omega 'I have it'
/oi/ / /o/ \tauó \epsilonix\chia or \tauó '\chia 'I had it'
/ue/ >/u/ aú\tauós \piov́ é\rho\chiє\taual or av̇\tauós \piov́ '\rho\chi\epsilon\taual 'the one who
    comes'
/ui/ >/u/ \sigmaoṽ \epsilonl\chia \pi\epsilonĩ or \sigmaoṽ '\chia \pi\epsilonĩ 'I'd told you'
```


(Note that $\tau \dot{d}$ ' $\chi a \nu \epsilon$ may be reduced from either $\tau \dot{d}$ e'̌'Xave 'they had them' or $\tau$ d́ éxave '(s)he lost them'.)

Prodelision never takes place in nouns or adjectives (although it may
 of the augment in verbs is extremely common, as it is also with certain other frequently used verb forms beginning with /e/ or /i/ (namely
 numbers and in all three persons).

In some idiolects there are certain exceptions to these rules, especially when $\dot{a} \pi \delta \dot{\delta}$ is followed by /e/ or /a/, e.g. $\dot{a} \pi$ ' $\in \delta \dot{\omega}$ for $\dot{a} \pi o ́ ~ ' ~ \delta ~ \tilde{\omega}$ 'from here', or à $\pi o ́ ~ ' ~ \phi \tau a ́ ~ f o r ~ a ̀ ~ \pi ' ~ a u ́ r a ́ ~ ' f r o m ~ t h e s e ' . ~$

Finally, some remarks should be made about vowel sequences across morpheme boundaries in compound words. In Classical Greek and in some varieties of katharevousa, hiatus between vowels in such circum-
stances was avoided by elision (e.g. катá + є̈ $\rho \chi о \mu a \iota \rightarrow$ катє́ $\rho \chi о \mu a \iota$ ) or crasis (the running together of two vowels to produce a third sound, e.g. $\pi \rho o ́+\epsilon ̈ \beta a \lambda a \rightarrow \pi \rho o u ̈ \beta a \lambda a$ ), while in traditional demotic similar phenomena occurred (mainly prodelision, e.g. $\xi a v a ́+~ є ́ \rho \chi o \mu a \iota ~ \rightarrow ~ \xi a v a ́ \rho-~$ xoual 'I come again'). Nevertheless, the tendency in recent times has been to preserve the full form of each word in a compound. This means that side by side with ancient or archaizing or traditional demotic formations, there are modern formations which do not follow the same rules. One of the ancient rules which is rarely observed in new formations is that which transforms a voiceless stop into a fricative before a vowel written with the rough breathing. Here are just a few examples of the modern tendency (with some ancient or modern counterexamples):

| /ae/ |  (cf. катá + Èva $\rightarrow$ kaখèvas ‘each one') |
| :---: | :---: |
| /ai/ | параокороиia 'the "black economy", <br> (cf. $\pi$ apá + oikia $\rightarrow$ парокia 'foreign colony') |
| /ao/ |  <br>  |
| /ie/ | àvт兀aıo७ךтıкós 'unsightly' <br>  |
| /ii/ | $\dot{a} \nu \tau ю к о \nu о \mu с к o ́ s ~ ' u n e c o n o m i c(a l) ' ~$ (cf. $\dot{a} \nu \tau i+\dot{\eta} \chi \bar{\omega} \rightarrow \dot{a} \nu \tau \eta \chi \bar{\omega}$ 'resound') |
| /oa/ | úmoavà $\pi \tau v \kappa \tau o \varsigma ~ ' u n d e r d e v e l o p e d ' ~$ (cf. the more learned formation vinavá $\pi \tau v \xi \eta$ 'underdevelopment') |
| /oe/ | סvтккоєvратаккós 'West European' <br>  |
| /00/ | v̇поouáסa 'subgroup' |

Across word boundaries too there is a dual system operating on the sequence of vowels between ancient prepositions and their nouns or adjectives. While no one will say ${ }^{*} \dot{j} \pi^{\prime} \dot{a} \nu a ́ \pi \tau v \xi(\nu$ (which would be a correct formation in Ancient Greek) for $\dot{v} \pi o ́ ~ a ̀ v a ́ ~ \pi r v \xi \nu \nu$ 'under development; developing', the phrase ка७' $\begin{aligned} & \lambda \lambda \eta \\ & \tau \eta \\ & \delta \dot{a} \rho к є є a ~ ' f o r ~ t h e ~ w h o l e ~\end{aligned}$ duration (of), throughout' (as a more emphatic variant of kaлá $\tau \dot{\eta}$ סıápкєєa 'for the duration (of), during') is still used, although it should properly be seen as a fixed expression which bears traces of an older system rather than as a living example of this system at work.

### 1.6 HOMONYMS

True homonyms (i.e. words written and pronounced identically) are rare in MG. They are mostly confined to monosyllables, e.g. $\sigma \dot{\epsilon}$ (preposition and pronoun) $\nu a$ (modal and deictic particle), although there are some longer homonyms, e.g. $\tau \dot{a}$ oá̀ıa 'saliva' and 'shawls'; $\pi a ́ v \tau a$ 'everything' or 'always'; $\rho o ́ \delta a$ 'wheel' or 'roses'; and $\pi \delta \dot{\nu} \tau o s ~ ' c e n t i-~$ metre' or 'Pontus'. Other examples are given elsewhere in this chapter.

Homographs (words spelled identically but pronounced differently) are even rarer, the difference in pronunciation normally being that between the vocalic or non-vocalic /i/: e.g. 入óvıa and $\sigma \kappa ı a ́ \zeta \omega$ (see 1.4.1; see also 1.7 for words distinguished by stress position).

Homophones (words pronounced alike but spelled differently) are more numerous, but not nearly so common as in French. They include: $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho \eta ~ ' p l a c e s ; ~ p a r t s ' ~ a n d ~ M a i \rho \eta ~ ' M a r y ' ; ~ \beta a ́ 乡 o ~ ' v a s e ' ~ a n d ~ \beta a ́ ̧ \omega ~ ' I ~ p u t ' ; ~$ $\pi a \dot{\nu} \nu o$ 'piano' and $\pi \dot{\mu} \nu \omega$ 'I catch'; $\phi \iota \lambda a ́ \omega$ 'I kiss' and $\phi \nu \lambda a ́ \omega ~ ' I ~ k e e p ' ; ~$ oi $\dot{a} \delta \epsilon \rho \phi o i$ 'the brothers' and $\dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \rho \phi \dot{\eta}$ 'the sister'; $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \dot{\omega} \omega \omega$ 'I close' and $\kappa \lambda \dot{\omega} \omega$ 'I incline; I decline'; $\gamma \in i \rho \omega$ 'I lean' (perfective); $\gamma \dot{\rho} \rho o$ 'turn' (acc.) and róp $\omega$ 'around'; (oi) roixou 'walls (of house)', ( $\tau$ á) $\tau \epsilon i \chi \eta$ 'walls (of city)', ( $\grave{\eta}) \tau u ́ \chi \eta$ 'luck' and $\tau \dot{\chi} \in \epsilon \iota$ 'happen' (perfective); к $\rho \eta \tau \iota-$
 'precisely'; and even phrases such as $\tau 0 \cup{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\text {'E }} \lambda \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \in \varsigma$ 'the Greeks' (acc.) and $\tau o v ́ s \notin \notin \nu \nu \in \varsigma$ 'you were untying them (masc.)'. Some homophones are distinguished only by their breathings (and thus are not distinguished in the monotonic system), e.g. $\tau \dot{a}$ ă $\rho \mu a \tau a$ 'arms' and $\tau \dot{a} a ̆ \rho \mu a \tau a$ 'chariots; tanks'; and ( $\dot{\delta}$ ) $\partial \rho o s$ 'term, condition' and ( $\tau о$ ') б $\rho o s$ 'mountain'.

### 1.7 STRESS AND ACCENT

In MG a word may be stressed only on one of its last three syllables. There is practically no variation (as there is in English) in stress in certain words according to idiolect; and stress is a semantically significant feature in MG (as it is not in French). The position of the stress is in many cases the only distinguishable feature in the pronunciation of two different words: e.g. répos 'old man' and $\gamma \in \rho \delta \delta^{\prime}$ 'robust'; $\nu \delta \mu o s ~ ' l a w ' ~ a n d ~ \nu o \mu o ́ s ~ ‘ d e p a r t m e n t, ~ p r e f e c t u r e ' ; ~ \tau \zeta a ́ \mu ~<~$ 'window-pane' and $\tau \zeta a \mu i$ 'mosque'; ä $\lambda \lambda a$ 'others' (neuter) and à àá 'but'; $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \rho a$ 'the day' and $\eta \mu \epsilon \rho a$ 'tame' (n. pl.); $_{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \rho \omega \nu$ 'terms; conditions' and $\dot{\omega} \rho \tilde{\omega} \nu$ 'hours' (both gen. pl.); $\delta \iota \pi \lambda \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ 'diplomas' and $\delta \iota \pi \lambda \omega \mu a \tau \dot{\omega} \nu$ 'diplomats’ (both gen. pl.); коטрá $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon \varsigma$ 'you have got
tired' and кovpaotккés 'tiring' (fem. pl.); $\chi a \lambda i$ 'carpet' and $\chi a ́ \lambda \iota ~ '(b a d)$ state'; $\pi a i \rho \nu \omega$ 'I take' and $\pi \epsilon \rho \nu \dot{\omega}$ 'I pass'; $\tau o$ र $\chi a \rho \tau i$ 'the paper' and $\boldsymbol{o}$ रá $\dot{\rho} \rho \tau$ 'the map' (acc.). Nevertheless, there are a few non-standard pronunciations which differ from the norm in stress alone, e.g. $\dot{a} \in \rho \dot{o}^{-}$ $\pi \lambda a \nu o$ for áє $\rho о \pi \lambda a ́ v o ~ ' a e r o p l a n e ', ~ a n d ~ к ш \eta \mu a \tau o ́ \gamma \rho a ф o s ~ f o r ~ к ш \eta \mu а \tau о-~$ r $\rho$ á $\phi$ os 'cinema'.

All verbs and many nouns (but not normally adjectives) shift their stress from one syllable to another in conjugation and declension, according to fairly fixed rules (see chapters 4 and 5 and Appendix I). There are certain underlying historical principles which have contributed to the present situation. In order to understand these rules one must realize that in AG certain vowels were pronounced long and others short (in MG, as has been mentioned, all vowels are more or less equal in length, and vowel length is not a distinctive feature): some written vowels were always pronounced long ( $\eta, \omega$, and all vowel sounds represented by more than one letter, e.g. ov, al, av, $\epsilon v$ : each of these last two examples is considered for the purposes of breathings and accents as consisting of two vowels); some were always short ( $\epsilon$ and $o$ ); while others were sometimes long and sometimes short ( $a, l$, and $v$ ). At the ends of words, however, $-a$ and $-o l$ were short (although -als and -ous were long). The basic rules for the position of accents were as follows:
(a) no word could be accentuated more than two syllables from the end;
(b) those words which ended in a long syllable could not be accentuated more than one syllable from the end.

In traditional Greek orthography (which was in use in most printed matter till recent years), there were three different accent marks, each of which however in MG indicated the same thing, i.e. which syllable was stressed. Additional rules concerning the type of accent included the following:
(c) the circumflex ( $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \pi \omega \mu \epsilon \in ้ \eta$ [־]) could be placed only over a long vowel and only when that vowel was the penultimate or final in the word;
(d) only the acute ( $0 \xi \in i a$ [']) could be placed over the penultimate vowel if the last vowel was long;
(e) the grave ( $\beta a \rho \epsilon i a$ [']), and not the acute, could be placed over the last vowel of a word unless that word was followed by a punctuation mark or by an unaccentuated word (except the article $\dot{o}$ or $\dot{\eta}$ ), in which case the acute could be used.

One consequence of rule（b）is that all verbs（which end in $-\omega$ ）are stressed on the penultimate or final syllable in the imperfective non－ past（present）active．The same rule accounts for certain morpho－ logically motivated stress－shifts in nouns（e．g．$\dot{o}$ ä $\nu \vartheta \rho \omega \pi o s$＇person＇ （nom．），but $\tau o \tilde{u} \dot{a} \nu \vartheta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \sigma \nu$（gen．）：in the nominative the -0 －is＇short＇ according to AG rules，while，in the latter，the oov is＇IJng＇）．Neverthe－ less，there are some contraventions of rule（b）in certain MG forms of nouns and adjectives（e．g．$\mu \pi a \kappa a ́ \lambda \eta \delta \omega \nu$＇grocers＇（gen．），$\grave{\eta}$ кvрia
 sing．）：in all these forms the last vowel is＇long＇）；by the time declen－ sions of this kind entered the language，this rule（together with the distinction between vowel－lengths）had ceased to operate．Most of those nouns of AG origin which displayed stress－shift retained the shift，while the learned tradition also influenced many new nouns to conform with the ancient pattern．

It is rule（a）that explains the shift of stress when a word normally stressed on the antepenultimate syllable is followed by an enclitic pronoun（with which it forms a single phonological word）：$\dot{o}$ סáoka入os ［o＇రaskalos］，but ó dáбкa入ós $\mu a s$［obaska＇lozmas］＇our teacher＇； ävoıگє тó maןávvoo［＇anikse topalra0iro］＇open the window＇，but ävoıそ̇＇$\tau 0$［anilkseto］＇open it＇．

### 1.8 INTONATION

Research into Modern Greek intonation and related prosodic features was limited and impressionistic until Waring＇s（1976）thorough investi－ gation of the subject．

Assuming three basic pitch levels（high，mid，and low），Waring iden－ tifies four basic tones（fall，rise，rise－fall，and fall－rise）：and in addition to these latter he specifies a special raised fall（fall to mid－pitch）．

A striking feature of MG intonation is the tendency for changes in pitch to occur with sudden jumps rather than with a gradual ascent or descent．Moreover，the intonation of Athenians tends to be more monotonous（that is，with fewer and less extreme changes of pitch） than that of speakers from certain other areas of Greece，particularly the Ionian and Aegean islands．The most common neutral intonation patterns are the rise at the end of a clause which is not the end of a complete utterance，and the fall at the end of a complete utterance； for example：

Clause-end (non-final)

| (1) $\mu \mathrm{l} \lambda \overline{\mathrm{a}} \varsigma$ | 'you speak' | milás | milás |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 213 | 231 |
|  | 'I like it' | marési | marési |
|  |  | 13 | 31 |
| (3) $\delta \dot{\text { a }}$ ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 'you read' (past) | Ojávases | Ojávases |

(Numbers over vowels or placed initially refer to pitch levels, '1' being the lowest. MG utterances do not usually end in more than two unstressed syllables.) Normally a change of pitch takes place (or begins) on a stressed syllable. However, if there is to be a fall-rise or rise-fall, the second element of each may occur (or begin) on an unstressed syllable (as, for example, in (5) below).

Waring has been able to make certain broad correlations between intonation and meaning. These correlations are the following (the tones are given in descending order of frequency):
(a) falling: no special implication; but if it is marked (e.g. by reaching very low pitch), then conclusion, finality, definiteness;
(b) rising: incompletion or continuation, including non-final phrases, many questions, encouraging remarks, invitations and some other imperative types;
(c) falling-rising: as (b), or to express doubt, uncertainty, or qualification of what is said;
(d) rising-falling: contrast, such as that of insistently polar questions (Waring 1982: 25).

A particular characteristic of MG is that yes/no questions are very often distinguished from statements only by intonation (or by a questionmark in the orthography). In many cases, the particular intonation for yes/no questions (and never used except for such questions) is what Waring calls the 'raised fall', i.e. a final fall to mid position, which, because the voice does not descend to low pitch at the end of the fall, gives the impression of a deliberately unfinished fall:

| (4) $\phi \tau a ́ \sigma a \mu \epsilon(;)$ | (a) statement | (b) question |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 31 | 32 |
|  | ftásame | ftásame |
|  | 'we've arrived' | 'have we arrived?' |

Another typically Greek question intonation is the rise-fall, the use of which, according to Waring, is the clearest indication that a speaker can give that (s)he is asking a question:

$$
131
$$

(5) фтáбauє; ftásame

The pattern 131, observed in (5), may be found also with words stressed on the penultimate or even the final syllable:


In the case of the final stress in (7), a rise-fall may be observed on a single syllable.

MG rhythm tends to be syllable-timed rather than stress-timed: this often gives Greek speech a staccato effect (Waring 1976: 280-1). Stress is defined by Waring (ibid.: 266) as 'the sort of prominence on a syl-lable-particularly on the syllable nucleus-which to a native speaker may suggest (usually unconsciously) that one or other of the tones [mentioned above] is either fully realised or begun on that syllable'. Stress is usually also marked by extra length and loudness. The difference in length between stressed and unstressed syllables is not so great in Athenian speech as it is in some island speech (ibid.: 280); and the difference in loudness between stressed syllables with tones of various prominence and between stressed and unstressed syllables is generally less than that which may be observed in English, Russian, or German (ibid.: 354), although the changes that do occur may be quite sudden. There are even instances in which a stressed syllable may not be as long as the following unstressed syllable (as in (6)).

### 1.9 ORTHOGRAPHIC REFORMS

In recent years attempts have been made to simplify the orthography of MG. While a literate Greek speaker has no difficulty in pronouncing an unknown word when it is presented to him/her in written form (unlike the situation in English), the converse is not true: even educated Greeks have perennial problems with orthography, the most difficult
being the accents, the breathings, the spelling of the $/ \mathrm{i} /$, and the double consonants. Up to 1982, when the so-called 'monotonic' (single-accent) system was officially introduced, certain minor simplifications had been made, including the following:
(i) the grave accent is replaced by the acute (this was a recognition of the status quo in handwriting and typing).
(ii) the circumflex is replaced by the acute in penultimate position unless both the following conditions apply: (a) that the penultimate syllable contains a vowel which can only be 'long' (i.e. any vowel or combination of vowels except $a, \epsilon, \iota, o, v$ ) and (b) that the final syllable contains a vowel which can only be 'short' (i.e. $\epsilon, \sigma$ or final $a \iota$ or $\epsilon \iota$ ). There are certain exceptions, e.g. that the final $-a$ of neuter nouns and adjectives is considered to be 'short' (thus $\gamma \lambda \omega \dot{\omega} \sigma \sigma a$, $\dot{\omega} \rho a i a$ (f.), but $\dot{\omega} \rho a i a$ ( $\mathrm{n} . \mathrm{pl}.), \gamma \rho a \phi \in i a, \chi \bar{\omega} \mu a$ ); and that the penultimate vowel of certain verb inflections is considered 'long' and the final vowel 'short' (thus, à $\boldsymbol{a}^{\text {a }}$
(iii) a single consonant can replace a double consonant in many words of non-Greek origin (e.g. кanè $\lambda o$ 'hat' < It. capello).
(iv) the spelling $\eta$ - in the 'subjunctive' verb endings is replaced by - $\epsilon$ - (as for 'indicative').
(v) the diaeresis, which distinguishes a true diphthong from a digraph, may be omitted when the first of the two vowels of the diphthong bears an accent or breathing (e.g. ráıळapos ‘donkey’, but raïßá $\rho o v$ (gen.)).

This is the basis of the simplified historical orthography used throughout this book. (For details of the monotonic system see Appendix II.)

### 1.10 PUNCTUATION

Little need be said about punctuation conventions in MG. They follow the European pattern, except that ( $\cdot$ ) stands for a semicolon and (;) for a question mark. In practice, punctuation tends to occur where one might pause in speech or reading aloud. Thus a comma is often placed between a subject and the immediately following verb, particularly if the subject consists of a lengthy phrase. For the same reason a comma may be placed even between a verb and its object; while the presence or absence of commas before and/or after a relative clause does not always correspond to a distinction between non-defining and defining clauses (which are not usually distinguished in Greek speech by a pause or absence of pause).

Various conventions are used for the quoting of direct speech. In
prose fiction, the normal practice is to introduce a piece of direct speech at the beginning of a paragraph simply by a dash, with no indication of where the speech ends and the narrative begins:
— $\Delta v \sigma \tau v \chi \omega ̄ \varsigma, ~ \mu o v ̃ ~ \epsilon i \pi \pi \epsilon, ~ \tilde{\eta} \rho \vartheta \epsilon \varsigma ~ a ̀ \rho \gamma a ́ ~ ' ~ " U n f o r t u n a t e l y, " ~(s) h e ~ s a i d, ~$ "you've come too late.",

In journalism, however, direct speech is normally enclosed within eiซar $\omega \gamma k a \dot{a}$ ( $<>$ ), which are also used generally for the quoting or pointing out of individual words or phrases, or for indicating that the word(s) is/are being used metaphorically.

Some typefaces do not have the equivalent of italics (кvртá oroıхєia), their place being taken by the spacing out of the letters of the word(s) to be stressed.

Much use is made by comic writers of à aoo $\omega \pi \eta \tau<\kappa \dot{a}$ (. . .), usually to lead up to the 'punch-line' of a story, or to some other word or phrase to which the writer attaches particular importance.

## 2

## GENDER, CASE, NUMBER, AND PERSON

Before examining the morphology of the MG nominal and verbal systems, we shall look at some of the basic categories underlying these systems. (Attention is drawn, however, to the tables of inflection in Appendix I.) Gender and case concern the nominal system alone; number represents the meeting point of noun and verb; while person is shared by personal pronouns and the verbal system.

### 2.1 GENDER

There are three genders in MG: masculine, feminine, and neuter.

### 2.1.1 GENDER AND HUMAN SEX

It would be as well to investigate first of all how native Greek speakers conceive of grammatical gender in relation to themselves and their fellow humans. The most basic concept is that while masculine nouns may be applied to females as well as males, feminine nouns also to males, and neuters to either, the masculine is generally thought of as not necessarily marked for gender when referring to persons (similarly, the neuter is unmarked with reference to inanimates): the masculine may cover both males and females, whereas the feminine normally refers only to females. Thus while 'man (male)' is ävт $\rho a s$ (masc.) and 'woman' rvvaika (fem.), 'person' or 'human being', whether it refers to a male or to a female, is äv७ow

The best way of testing this concept in reality is to study the use of the interrogative $\pi 0$ oos 'who', which is inflected for gender, number and case (Kazazis 1980). If a woman telephones someone and wants the recipient of the call to guess her identity, she may say,

(2) $\xi \in \in \rho \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \pi o l o ́ s ~ \epsilon i v a l ; ~ ‘ d o ~ y o u ~ k n o w ~ w h o ~(m a s c) ~ i t ~ i s ? ’ ~ '$.

Similarly, the recipient of the call may ask,
(3) Tood єíval; 'who (fem.) are you?'; or
(4) too's єival; 'who (masc.) is it?’

From these examples it would appear that there is a correlation between person and gender: in these circumstances, the feminine goes with the first or second person and the masculine with the third. The recipient of the call (who would be less polite if ( $s$ )he used the feminine and the second person than if (s)he used the more impersonal masculine and third person) is in effect saying, in (3), 'You are a woman (probably known to me): who are you?', and, in (4), 'You are a person: who are you?'

The rule appears to be that 'when the sex and number of the referent of $\pi 0-$ are contextually presupposed', gender and number agreement must be applied to that pronoun (Kazazis ibid.: 255). Now, in the first and second persons, sex and number are taken for granted, since in the first the speaker knows which sex (s)he is, while in the second (s)he is assumed to know the sex of the addressee. In the third person, gender and number agreement of moobs is usually necessary only when a predicate is stated ( $\pi 0$ ó cival aùrvi; 'who's she/that (fem.)?'), or if
 $\tau \eta \kappa \epsilon$; 'who (masc. sing.) got married?', because the answer may refer to one man, to one woman, or to a man and a woman together; but $\pi о \alpha{ }^{\nu} \nu \pi а \nu \tau \rho \epsilon \dot{\tau} \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon$ ò Níkos; 'whom (fem. sing.) did Nick marry?', because the answer can refer only to one female; similarly, a bus conductor may say, rá molov́s eỉvaı rá єioıtท่pıa; 'for whom (masc. pl.) are the tickets?', since the plurality of the tickets shows that there must be more than one person involved, though the speaker is unaware of their sex). On the other hand, it is possible to say to a little girl as a sign of endearment,
(5) пotós єival ка入ó корiтбc; 'who's (masc.) [a] good girl (neuter)?',
in which the pronoun is masculine, the noun neuter, and the referent female!

There is a parallel with all these situations, in which usage in the first and second persons differs from that in the third: in MG the subject of a verb in the first or second person is stated (as a pronoun) only when that subject is emphasized in opposition to any other possible subject, while in the third the subject has either to have been stated at some time or to be inferrable by other means (such as the speaker pointing at the referent). The third person is thus felt to be vaguer and more neutral than the first and second.

Similarly, one might say,
 today',
even if one knows that the doctor in question is a woman, and despite the fact that in other contexts, in which her sex is significant, the use of $\dot{\eta}$ raatods (with feminine article) or of some feminine derivative ( $\dot{\eta}$ $\gamma \check{a \tau \rho i} a, \dot{\eta} \gamma \dot{\text { a }} \tau \rho \iota \sigma \sigma a, \dot{\eta} \gamma \iota a \tau \rho \epsilon \in \sigma \sigma a)$ is possible. Again, a woman might say,
 world',
even though both these friends may be female: if she said $\delta v o ́ \phi i \lambda \epsilon \varsigma$ or $\delta v o ́ \phi \iota \lambda \epsilon v a ́ \delta \epsilon s$ (the feminine forms), she would mean 'two female friends', which might suggest that she had other friends who were male. A shopkeeper might say,
 customers (masc.)',
since if he had used the feminine $\kappa a \lambda \dot{\prime} \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \varsigma \pi \epsilon \lambda a ́ \tau \iota \sigma \sigma \epsilon \varsigma$ he would have been saying 'women are my best female customers', which is nonsense. Thus it can be seen that in many nouns which can refer to either men or women it is only the feminine version (if it exists at all) which clearly indicates gender.

In traditional demotic the gender of nouns was inextricably linked with their inflexion, and a noun could not change gender without altering its declension (that is to say, there were no nouns of common gender in traditional demotic). For this reason, many speakers are hesitant to use feminine articles and adjectives with nouns that decline like masculines. Thus nouns with masculine form but referring to women are much more frequently used as complements (without article or adjective) than as subjects or objects. Such a noun used as a complement is quite acceptable:
 of Parliament (masc.)';
while phrases such as
(10) $\grave{\eta}$ עéa $\beta o v \lambda \epsilon v \tau \eta \prime s ~ к v \rho i a ~ \Lambda a ́ \mu \pi \rho o v ~ ' t h e ~(f e m) ~ n e w ~.(f e m) ~ M e m-$. ber of Parliament (masc.) Mrs (fem.) Lambrou',
although frequent in the press, are not so commonly heard in normal speech, where the feminine noun ßouncutiva is more likely (even though this particular feminine has a slightly comic ring about it). It is in fact difficult to determine to which grammatical gender a noun belongs in certain utterances, when a noun which can apply to men or women is used as a complement:
 Makri is [the] founder and chairman of the company'.

In (11), are the nouns grammatically masculine or feminine (both $i \delta \rho u \tau \eta \zeta$ and $\pi \rho \delta \epsilon \delta \rho o s$ have common gender, i.e. they may be used with a masculine or a feminine article, and there is no commonly used feminine form)? The answer is immaterial until an adjective has to be applied to the noun, and here one observes some variety. There are certain professional and other areas which women have entered in large enough numbers for them to be widely acceptable. Thus one finds:
 'Anna is a town councillor' or 'social worker',
in which each adjective is feminine, suggesting that each noun is also feminine here (both the nouns in question are of common gender). On the other hand, there are rigidly fixed collocations, such as in the following:

 has come and taken up her duties as general (masc.) inspector of secondary education';
here the noun has to be taken as masculine, even though in other contexts one might find $\dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \imath \vartheta \epsilon \omega \rho \eta \tau \eta$ 's 'the (fem.) inspector' (but not * $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \nu \iota k \dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \imath \vartheta \epsilon \omega \rho \eta \pi \eta \dot{\eta}$ 'the general (fem.) inspector'). The situation is complicated, and the idea that gender might inhere in a given noun in MG is seen to be not always valid. (It is possible that constructions such as that in (13), which are undoubtedly of katharevousa origin, are influenced by French constructions such as le nouveau professeur, which may refer to a male or a female.)

On the other hand, where there are separate forms for masculine and feminine (e.g. $\dot{o} \phi o \iota \tau \eta \tau \eta \dot{\eta}$ (masc.), ì фoוf $\dot{\eta} \tau \rho l a(f e m$.$) 'student'),$ complications can still arise. If one wants to say, 'Helen is the only student of mine who failed (in) the examinations', one cannot say,
 unless all one's students are female (since the sentence would mean '. . . the only (female) student of mine . . .'); the masculine ò $\mu o ́ v o s ~ ф o i \tau \eta \tau \eta ่ s ~$ $\mu o v$ would be impossible in any circumstances, since Helen is not a male; so that one has to say something such as,
 $\sigma \tau i \varsigma ~ \dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \tau a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ 'Helen is the only [one] (fem.) of all my students (masc.) who failed (in) the examinations.'

Again, in an article about a Greek business enterprise, a journalist could write,
 (masc.) of the company was a woman',
and then proceed to talk of her as $\grave{\eta} \delta \iota \in v \vartheta v \dot{v} \tau \rho i a$ (fem.) 'the managing director (fem.)'. Although the journalist knew when he was writing that the person in question was a woman, it would have been tautologous to begin (16) with $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \delta \epsilon v \vartheta v \dot{v} \tau \rho 1 a$. . . 'the (female) managing director was a woman'. In (16), despite the use of the definite article, reference is made not so much to a specific person as to a title, while the feminine noun in subsequent sentences refers to the already specified person. Clearly, then, there are contexts in which the use of the feminine noun serves to emphasize that the person referred to is female, but others where its use for a female referent is obligatory.

In order to stress the unusual fact of a woman doing what has traditionally been a man's job, two techniques can be resorted to. One may use a feminine article or adjective to show that the person concerned is female:
(17) $\grave{\eta} \pi \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta \dot{\epsilon} \pi a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \mu a \tau i a \varsigma \pi \iota \lambda o ́ \tau o \varsigma \dot{a} \epsilon \rho o \pi \lambda a ́ \nu \omega \nu$ 'the (fem.) first (fem.) professional aircraft pilot' (i.e. 'the first professional woman aircraft pilot'),
in which the words for 'professional' and 'pilot' are masculine in form but do not indicate sex (contrast the use of the masculine article and adjective $\dot{\delta} \pi \rho \tilde{\omega} \tau o \varsigma .$. , which would make the phrase mean simply 'the first professional aircraft pilot'). Alternatively, one may place rovaika 'woman' before the noun in question: oi $\gamma v v a i \pi \in S$ $\pi \iota \lambda$ órol 'women pilots' (contrast oi $\pi i \lambda o t i v \in s$ '(female) pilots', which would not stress their femininity but would simply correspond grammatically to their sex: 'pilots who happen to be female').

Table 2.1 gives examples of various gender-sex correlations in MG nouns referring to persons.

TABLE 2.1

|  |  | Gender | Sex |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ò ävtpas | 'man' | masc. | male |
| $\dot{\eta} \pi \rho о \sigma \omega \pi \kappa \kappa о ́ \tau \eta \tau а$ | 'personality' | fem. | either |
|  | 'president' | common | either |
| ò пoınTท's | $\}$ 'poet' | masc. | either |
|  | poet | fem. | female |
| то́ $\pi$ aıí | 'child' | neuter | either |
| тó à ${ }^{\text {ópı }}$ | 'boy' | neuter | male |
| тó корітбı | 'girl' | neuter | female |

### 2.1.2 THE GENDER OF NOUNS

Although gender in MG usually corresponds with the sex of animate referents, it is assigned fairly arbitrarily, more often than not as the result of an historical accident (e.g. the gender of the word in AG, or in its donor language in the case of a loanword). Gender does not inhere in a given word (or, more precisely, in a given lexeme: see Lyons 1968: 197): there are nouns, for instance, which alter their gender from singular to plural (4.5.1.3), and gender is often changed through the addition of some derivational suffix, such as a diminutive or augmentetive ending (4.6.1). Some words are found with two different genders and two sets of inflections, e.g. $\dot{o} \sigma \omega \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu a s$ 'pipe, tube' (the standard form, which is masculine) and $\dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu a$ (a less frequent feminine form): this is perhaps partly because the nominative plural, which is the same for both forms, (oi $\sigma \omega \lambda \tilde{\eta} \nu \epsilon \varsigma$ ) does not indicate its gender. Thus gender is neither constant within a word, nor is it fixed in relation to the referent (e.g. the standard $\pi \epsilon \tau \sigma \in \in \tau a$ (fem.) 'towel; napkin', and the dialectal $\pi \rho o \sigma o ́ \psi \iota$ (neuter) 'towel'). A symptom of this is that mistakes are sometimes made in gender, especially in the plural of feminine nouns in os (e.g. one finds infrequently used nouns such as $\pi a \rho a ́ \mu \in \tau \rho o l ~ ' p a r a-~$ meters' or тuрau入áкатоя 'missile ships', both strictly feminine, used with masculine adjectives, even though the same speakers might use feminine adjectives with the same words in the singular). There is certainly much truth in the statement that 'morphologically, a noun in Demotic Greek is masculine, feminine or neuter for no other reason
［than］that it occurs with a definite set of inflectional morphemes and not another set＇（Sotiropoulos 1972：37）；but one has to make an exception for feminines in oc．

Nevertheless，male humans are normally referred to by masculine nouns，and females by feminines（with the provisos noted above，2．1．1）， even though a woman may be a ka入ós ä $\nu \vartheta \rho \omega \pi o s$＇nice person＇or а ка入入ıтєХขкós тúmos＇artistic type＇（in which both nouns and adjectives， and articles if used are masculine），a man may be an oj $\rho \nu \tau \omega \dot{\nu} \nu \tau \sigma a$（fem．） ＇（mil．）batman＇or $\mu l a ́ \pi \rho о \sigma \omega \pi \kappa \kappa ́ т \eta \tau a ~(f e m) ~ ' a ~ p e r s o n a l i t y ',. ~ e . g . ~$
 $\pi o ́ \delta i a ~ \tau \eta s$＇Christos［name of a child］is becoming a personality who wants to stand on［his］own feet＇，
in which the possessive pronoun $\tau \eta S$ is feminine，in agreement with $\pi \rho o \sigma \omega \pi \kappa \alpha \dot{\tau} \eta \tau a$ ：usually，pronominal gender in MG is syntactically，not semantically based．It is perhaps because Greeks do not expect a strict correlation between gender and sex that the burgeoning women＇s move－ ment seems to be making little or no effort to alter the language，unlike its counterparts in English－speaking countries．

It is possible to make a few statements about general principles underlying the semantic aspect of the assignment of gender to nouns． Most abstract concepts are referred to by feminine nouns；so much so that if a speaker hesitates while searching for the suitable abstract noun， （s）he will invariably utter a feminine article before（s）he has found the right noun．The fact that most abstract nouns are feminine has made it easy for Greek poets to personify abstract concepts，apostrophizing them as if they were idealized women：and，even in everyday life，the phrase $\vartheta \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \quad$＇E $\lambda \epsilon v \vartheta \epsilon \rho i a$ may mean＇I want Liberty＇or＇I want［a woman called］Eleftheria＇！There is a tendency for names of fruit－trees to be feminine，while their fruit is neuter（ $\grave{\eta} \mu \eta \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$＇apple－tree＇， $\boldsymbol{\text { to }}$ $\mu \bar{\eta} \lambda o$＇apple＇），suggesting that the feminine may have connotations of fecundity．Names of rivers are masculine，historically because torauós ＇river＇is masculine，but also no doubt because their flow into the sea （Эá入aбסa，feminine）has masculine connotations．When a speaker does not know the gender of an inanimate object，（s）he will refer to it in the neuter（e．g．$\tau i$ eival avi $\tau \dot{\prime}$ ；＇what＇s that？＇）；the neuter of numerals is also used when counting（i．e．when the numerals are not adjectival：e．g． $\dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \lambda i \delta a$ т $\rho i a$＇page（fem．）three（neuter）＇，but $\tau \rho \epsilon i s ~ \sigma \epsilon \lambda i \delta \epsilon \varsigma$＇three （fem．）pages（fem．）＇）．The neuter is also used for quoting words in the metalanguage（e．g．$\tau \delta \dot{\delta} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \xi \xi \eta$＇the word＂word＂＇，cf．$\eta \lambda \epsilon \xi \xi \eta$＇the word＇），
and for titles of literary works, etc., which do not consist simply of noun phrases (e.g. тó "Пєрцє́vovzas tóv Гкогтó" " "Waiting for Godot" ').

Names of animals vary according to whether they have a single form for both sexes, two separate forms (one of them unmarked for sex, the other marked), or three forms (one unmarked for sex, the other two marked). The last usually refer to animals which are familiar in the home or the field, such as $\gamma a \ddot{\delta}$ ov́ $\rho \iota$ (neuter) 'donkey' (sex unspecified), үáðapos (masc.) 'male donkey', raïßoúpa (fem.) 'she-donkey'; $\pi \rho \delta$ ' $\beta$ ато (neuter) 'sheep' (neutral), крıápı (neuter) 'ram', $\pi \rho о \beta a \tau i v a ~(f e m)$. 'ewe' (together with à $\rho v i($ neuter) 'lamb'). Two-term appellations also refer to familiar animals: äخoүo (neuter) 'horse' (neutral), форáסa (fem.) 'mare' (together with mou入ápı (neuter) 'foal': 'stallion' is $\beta a \rho$ ßáтo äخоүo literally 'uncastrated horse'); $\gamma$ áta (fem.) 'cat' (female or neutral), ráros (masc.) 'tom-cat'. Other animals have a single term, such as $\dot{a} \lambda \epsilon \pi \sigma \dot{\prime}$ (fem.) 'fox' and $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon ́ \phi a \nu \tau a s$ (masc.) 'elephant'. In cases where sex needs to be specified, the word can be preceded by the adjectives
 noun, not the sex of the animal. Some creatures have words in two forms and two genders, which do not refer to sex, e.g. $\tau$ sirsixi (neuter)
 'blackbird': the masculine version is normally used only in the singular, and perhaps shows a personifying familiarity on the speaker's part.

Names of boats are conventionally used indeclinably with the
 $\tau o v ̃ \mathrm{~K} \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \eta$ 'he's the captain of the Kriti (Crete)', whereas both names are feminine when not applied to boats), although boats with familiar masculine or feminine names are often affectionately personified ( $\nu \dot{a} \dot{\eta}$ 'E $\lambda \lambda \eta$ ! 'there's the Elli!', which in other contexts could mean 'there's Elli [woman's name]!'). Similar to the neuter with names of boats (the neuter noun $\pi \lambda$ oio 'ship' being presumably understood) is the use of the feminine article with names of companies, the name itself being indeclinable and the noun ètaцpeia 'company' being understood ( $\delta \delta \epsilon \epsilon v \vartheta v \nu \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \varsigma \bar{\eta} \varsigma ~ \Pi \epsilon \tau \rho \delta \dot{\lambda} a$ 'the managing director of Petrola', $\dot{\eta}$ Папа-
 Standard').

Names of makes generally follow the gender of the word for the product concerned: $\mu \dot{a}$ (fem.) $\dot{\lambda} \iota \beta \in ́ \tau \iota ~ ' a n ~ O l i v e t t i ' ~(s c . ~ \gamma \rho a ф о \mu \eta \chi а \nu \eta ' ~$ (fem.) 'typewriter'), $\mu \dot{a}$ (fem.) $\pi о \lambda a \rho \delta \iota \nu \tau$ 'a Polaroid’ (sc. $\phi \omega \tau о \gamma \rho a \phi ı \eta$ ' $\mu \eta \chi a \nu \eta$ (fem.) 'camera'), but ó (masc.) Ronson 'the Ronson' (sc.
$\dot{a} \nu a \pi r \dot{\eta} \rho a s$ (masc.) 'cigarette lighter'). With makes of cars and motorcycles, the gender seems to bear some relation to the size of the engine: larger models tend to be assigned feminine gender (compare the preponderance of feminine suffixes in augmentatives, 4.6.1), while smaller ones are neuter. Here the fact that aúroкivnтo 'car' is neuter and $\mu о т о$ $\sigma v \kappa \lambda \epsilon$ є́ 'motorcycle' is feminine has no bearing (but cf. коú $\rho \sigma a$ (fem.) (de luxe) car'): خ̀ Pó入s-Póvs 'Rolls-Royce’, ̀̀ M $\epsilon \rho \sigma \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon ́ s ~ ‘ M e r c e d e s ~$ [-Benz]', and $\grave{\eta}$ BMW (pronounced beemvé) 'BMW (car or motorbike)' are feminine, but $\tau \dot{\delta} \Phi \dot{\Phi} \boldsymbol{a} \tau$ 'Fiat', $\tau \dot{\prime} \nu \tau \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \beta \delta$ '[Citroën] 2CV', and $\tau \delta$ Xóvta 'Honda' are neuter. There are, however, exceptions, especially where a small machine has a name which resembles a Greek feminine (e.g. $\dot{\eta} \beta$ éo $\sigma a$ (feminine) 'Vespa', by analogy with Greek feminines in $-a$ ).

There has been a marked tendency over the past decades for Greek to borrow large numbers of nouns from foreign languages (particularly French and English) without providing them with Greek inflectional suffixes and therefore without their gender being predictable from their endings. Nevertheless, every noun in MG must have gender, and the distribution of indeclinable loanwords among the gender categories is a complex matter. Nouns referring to humans usually follow in gender the sex of the referent ( $\dot{o}$ or $\dot{\eta} \sigma \tau a \dot{\rho}$ (masc. or fem.) 'star (actor or actress)'), but not always ( $\tau \delta \dot{\prime}$ цaveкév (neuter) 'fashion model' < F mannequin (masc.)). Words which are feminine in French usually remain feminine in Greek, while French masculines (and English nouns) referring to non-humans generally become neuter: $\grave{\eta} \pi \lambda a ́ \zeta$ (fem.) $<\mathrm{F}$ plage (fem.); тó кацоvф入á̧ (neuter) < F camouflage (masc.). With some words, however, an analogical process relating them with existing Greek words has given them a different gender in Greek from that which they possessed in French: thus argot (masc.) became $\dot{\eta} \dot{a} \rho \gamma \kappa \delta \dot{\prime}$ (fem.) 'slang' under the influence of $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \sigma$ (fem.) 'tongue; language', while sac de voyage (masc.) became $\dot{\eta}$ бák-ßovarás (fem.) 'travelling bag', by analogy with $\beta a \lambda i \tau \sigma a$ (fem.) 'suitcase' or $\tau \sigma a \dot{\nu \tau a}$ (fem.) '(hand-)bag'. In other cases a change of gender is perhaps due to the word having been brought into common use by people who did not know French well, but who simply urgently needed a word for an object (e.g. $\tau \delta$ d vooit (neuter) 'light socket' $<\mathrm{F}$ douille (fem.)). Analogy with Greek words is sometimes used in titles, such as oi Kupiaкáтккоє Táц̧s (masc. pl.) 'The Sunday Times', by analogy with oi xpóvol or oi kalpoi 'times', both masculine, although Le Monde (masc.) becomes $\eta$ Móvt (fem.) in Greek, by analogy with $\dot{\epsilon} \phi \eta \mu \epsilon \rho i \delta a$ (fem.) 'newspaper'.

Many indeclinable names of foreign countries and towns are feminine, either because they end in $-a$ or because of the existence of feminine nouns such as $\chi \dot{\omega} \rho a$ 'country' and $\pi \dot{\prime} \lambda \eta$ 'town': thus $\dot{\eta}$ Na $\mu \dot{\mu} \pi \omega a$ (indecl.) 'Namibia', $\dot{\eta} \Lambda v \omega \dot{\nu}$ 'Lyon', $\dot{\eta}$ Ov̉áбøүктov 'Washington': cf. $\dot{o}$ Ov̇áac $\gamma \kappa \tau o \nu$ (masc.) '[George] Washington'. Names of foreign football teams tend to be feminine (cf. ó $\mu \dot{\alpha} \delta a$ (fem.) 'team'), even if the same name is used as a neuter to refer to their place of origin: $\dot{\eta} \Lambda i \beta \in \rho$ $\pi o v \lambda$ (fem.) 'Liverpool (team)', but $\tau o ́ \Lambda i \beta \epsilon \rho \pi o v \lambda$ (neuter) 'Liverpool (town)'.

As to the number of MG nouns in each gender, the neuter is the most frequent, followed by the feminine, then the masculine. Mirambel (1959: 84) gives the following figures for gender out of a random sample of about 600 nouns: neuter 240 , feminine 195 , masculine 149 ; if one takes a count in various texts the gap between feminine and neuter sometimes narrows, but the masculine always lags well behind. This disparity has come about partly because a large number of nouns which were masculine and feminine in AG have become neuter after having had neuter diminutive suffixes added to them and then having their diminutive force removed. In the modern language, where in colloquial speech diminutives are frequent, diminutive suffixes tend to be neuter and feminine rather than masculine, which tends to reduce the incidence of masculine nouns in everyday usage. In a text which employs many abstract nouns, the proportion of feminines will rise at the expense of neuters and masculines. As has been seen, more new loanwords are assigned to the neuter than to the other genders (very few except those referring to men are masculine at all); and this serves to reinforce a tendency, present in the language for several centuries, to increase the proportion of neuters to the other genders.

### 2.1.3 GENDER CONCORD

Articles, pronouns, and adjectives agree in gender and number with the nouns to which they refer rather than with their referent, as we have seen:
(1) $\dot{\eta}$ Mapia єival ka入ós äv७pんmos 'Mary is a nice (masc.) person (masc.)';

 imposing (fem.) figures (fem.) in contemporary Greek poetry.'

On the rare occasions when a lack of gender agreement is found, the
disparity can usually be explained by the fact that although a neuter noun has been used to refer to a human, the speaker feels it more natural to switch to the masculine or feminine when employing an adjective to qualify that noun (although this can happen only if the adjective is a postmodifier):
(3) à $\pi o ́ ~ \tau a ́ ~ \pi a \kappa \delta a ́ ~ \pi o v ́ ~ \gamma \nu \omega ́ \rho \iota \sigma \epsilon \varsigma, ~ \pi o ́ \sigma o l ~ \epsilon i ̄ \nu a \iota ~ \epsilon ั т о щ o \iota ~ \nu a ́ ~ \pi a ́ \rho o u v ~$ $\nu a \rho \kappa \omega \tau \kappa \kappa \dot{a}$; 'of the kids (neuter) that you've met, how many (masc.) are prepared (masc.) to take drugs?';
 (neuter) foreign (masc.) waiters (neuter)' (in which 'foreign' comes after the noun).

In (3) the subject of conversation was in fact not children (for whom the neuter might have been natural throughout) but young adults; in (4), the neuter $\xi \in \in v a$ 'foreign' might have been ambiguous (it could have meant 'from other hotels'), and in addition the masculine $\xi \in \in v o s$ is so frequently used as a noun ('foreigner') that the sentence could be rendered, 'the hotel had many waiters who were foreigners'.

When an adjective (or some other word inflected for gender) refers to two or more nouns of differing genders, there is some variety in usage. Generally, if the nouns refer to humans, the adjective will be masculine. In other cases, the adjective might agree with the nearest noun:
 interestedness (fem.) and zeal (masc.)';
 anger (masc.) and rage (fem.)';
or it might be put into the neuter plural: the latter phenomenon is especially found where the adjective is separated from the nouns by a copula (i.e. where the adjective is used predicatively rather than attributively), and often occurs even when both nouns are feminine:
 vironment (neuter) and the way (masc.) [in] which he worked were ideal (neuter pl.)';

 aspirations (fem. pl.) and the elaboration (fem.) of the verse are determined (neuter pl.) by the poetry of his time.'

As these examples suggest, the kind of construction in which one
adjective qualifies two nouns belongs more to literary styles than to colloquial speech.

### 2.2 CASE (SEE ALSO APPENDIX I)

Almost all nouns, adjectives, and pronouns, and certain numerals, are inflected for case in MG. There are said to be four cases: nominative, vocative, accusative and genitive. That statement means that (at least in certain words) each of these cases may be realized as a separate form. In practice, this occurs only with one class of nouns (non-neuters in os, see Class 2A, 4.1.2) and the adjectives and pronouns that decline like them, and then only in the singular. Most nouns have only two or three separate forms in each of the two numbers; feminines in $-a$ have a single form for the nominative, vocative, and accusative plural, and some cannot be used in the genitive plural at all; while some nouns lack both genitives. Nevertheless, since each of the four cases may be realized as a separate form, it is sensible to state whether, for example, $\pi a i \delta i$ 'child' (nom./voc./acc. sing.) is syntactically nominative, vocative, or accusative in a given context.

The nominative and accusative are by far the most frequently used cases, the genitive being used perhaps less than half as frequently as either of these. The vocative is the least frequently used case, being usable only in a limited number of circumstances. There is wide variety in the use of the genitives, according to speaker, linguistic context, and the type of word concerned. While the genitive is extremely common in clitic personal pronouns, it is used in nouns with human referents more than in others, and in the singular more often than in the plural. It tends to be found more frequently in discourse of a more complicated kind than it is in colloquial speech. Less-educated speakers tend to avoid the genitive of nouns as much as possible, and some will hardly ever use the genitive at all except when referring to humans (in fact, in some dialects this case does not exist in the plural). Many of the uses of the genitive in SMG today have been influenced by Western European languages and by katharevousa.

### 2.2.1 THE NOMINATIVE

The nominative is usually considered to be the basic case, and nouns (including proper nouns), adjectives, pronouns, and numerals appear in dictionaries in the nominative singular (if they have a singular), and hence they are talked about in this case (e.g. $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \hat{\epsilon} \xi \eta \eta$ ä $\nu \vartheta \rho \omega \pi o s$ 'the
 $\chi \omega \rho i o ́ ~ П \epsilon \rho a \chi \omega ́ \rho a$ 'the village [of] Perachora', ró $\xi \in \nu o \delta o \chi \epsilon i o ~ ' E \lambda \lambda a ́ s$ 'Hotel Hellas', even if the preceding word is in a case other than the nominative). The nominative is also used in most circumstances where the sentence has no verb, such as exclamations, but not including invocations ( $\tau i \beta \lambda a ́ k a s!~ ‘ w h a t ~ a ~ f o o l!’, ~ \nu a ́ ~ \eta ~ M a p i a!~ ‘ t h e r e ’ s ~ M a r y!'), ~ b u t ~ a l s o ~$ in some exclamations which contain verbs: $\epsilon l \delta \epsilon \varsigma$ ò $\Gamma \omega \bar{\omega} \rho \gamma o s!$ 'there! I told you what would happen to George' (lit. 'did you see George (nom.)?'), or кoía ウ̀ $\tau u ́ x \eta \tau \eta \varsigma!$ 'how's that for luck!' (lit. 'look at her luck (nom.)').

Syntactically, the nominative is used for the subjects of verbs and for words which refer to the existential subject in the same clause, i.e. subject complements and words in apposition to the subject:
(1) ò 「ávvəs єlvaı rlatpós 'John (nom.) is [a] doctor (nom.)';
 [an] excellent (nom.) lawyer (nom.)';
(3) $\dot{\eta} \rho \vartheta \epsilon \dot{\eta} \phi i \lambda \eta \mu \circ v \dot{\eta}$ Ník ${ }^{\prime}$ my friend (nom.) Niki (nom.) came/ has come'.

Note also the following uses of the nominative after prepositions (which are normally followed by the accusative: see 6.2), in circumstances where the noun or adjective after the preposition is really a subject complement:
 $\pi \lambda_{l} \sigma \pi \eta$ 's 'from being poor [lit. 'from poor (nom.) that he was'] he became the richest Greek shipowner';
(5) $\pi a ́ \in \iota$ रúa ßounevtǹs '(s)he's standing for parliament' (lit. '(s)he's going for Member of Parliament (nom.)');
(6) $\eta j \rho \vartheta a \nu \pi a ́ v \omega$ à ád $\delta \dot{\alpha} \delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota \iota ~ a ̈ \nu \vartheta \rho \omega \pi o l$ 'more than two hundred (nom.) people came' (the accusative would also be acceptable here);
 (lit. 'until and John (nom.) came . . .').

The nominative may also be used after àmó in its distributive sense, where the noun is the subject:
 either side' (lit. 'right and left exists from one (nom.) wall (nom.)').

### 2.2.2 THE VOCATIVE

The MG vocative is used to call or address someone or something. It is used
(a) on its own, or preceded by $\ddot{\epsilon}$, to call someone's attention:

(b) at the beginning, middle, or end of a clause, to show affection or respect (or contempt) for the person addressed: $\tau i \mathfrak{\imath}$ ध́ $\lambda \epsilon \iota \varsigma$, र $\rho v \sigma \epsilon$ ' $\mu o v$; 'what do you want, my darling? [lit. 'my golden
 кúpıє ка७ŋү $\quad$ тá 'permit me to disagree with you, (Mr) professor', $\tau i \chi \chi a \xi \in \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \iota, ~ \rho \in ́ ~ \beta \lambda a ́ k a ; ~ ' w h a t ~ a r e ~ y o u ~ g a w p i n g ~ a t, ~ y o u ~ f o o l ? ' ~$ ( $\rho \dot{\epsilon}$, or $\beta \rho \dot{\epsilon}$, is an unceremonious term of exclamation or address, used on its own or in front of a noun, adjective, or pronoun: cf. $\rho$ é ov'! 'hey you!');
(c) on its own, when abusing someone in an exclamation: $\delta o \lambda o \phi o ́ v \epsilon!$ 'murderer!', $\boldsymbol{\eta} \lambda i \vartheta \epsilon \epsilon$ ! 'idiot'.
As may be seen from some of the examples, the vocative is regularly used with the second person, while the other cases have no particular connection with any person.

Morphologically, the vocative has a separate form from the other cases only in the singular of Class 2A nouns and adjectives; and in these and other masculine nouns the nominative is often used for the vocative, especially by less-educated speakers or in circumstances such as rollcalling.

### 2.2.3 THE ACCUSATIVE

Despite what has been said about the nominative at the beginning of 2.2.1, it could be argued with some justification that in MG the accusative is the prime (unmarked) case. There is only one class of nouns (2A) in which the accusative has a different form from both the nominative and the genitive. With the exception of this class, the accusative singular has the same form as either the nominative (feminines and neuters) or the genitive (masculines), while in the plural the accusative of all genders is the same as the nominative. Furthermore, the accusative singular of all masculine and feminine nouns (and most neuters) ends in a vowel, being formed by deleting the final $-s$ of either the nominative (masculines) or the genitive (feminines). Lastly (again with the exception of Class 2A), the accusative singular and plural is identical to the vocative: thus, in more formal terms, the singular ending without $-s$ marks the
form as non-nominative for masculines and non-genitive for feminines. (Note also, in respect of gender, that masculine-singular nouns stand out in MG from both feminines (except the few in 2A) and neuters in that they alone display a morphological distinction according to whether they function as subject or as object.)

The accusative in MG is used for the direct objects of almost all transitive verbs and of most prepositions. In fact, traditional demotic had no verbs or prepositions whose direct objects were not in the accusative, but katharevousa influence has led to the objects of certain words being in the genitive. (In northern Greece the indirect object of a verb regularly appears in the accusative.)

The accusative is used after certain other parts of speech in addition to verbs and prepositions. The adjectives $\gamma \epsilon \mu a ́ \tau o s$ 'full (of)' and $\delta \lambda \lambda o s$ 'all' can be followed by a noun in the accusative, although the former is sometimes used with the preposition $\mu$ ' 'with' or à $\pi o$ 'from':
 spots (acc.)';
(2) elual $\delta \lambda o s$ avitd ${ }^{\prime}$ I'm all ears (acc.).'

The accusative is also used after certain nouns, adverbs, and particles, especially in exclamations: e.g. the noun $\dot{a} \nu \dot{a} \vartheta \epsilon \mu a$ 'curse' (often in the form $\pi a \nu a ́ \vartheta \epsilon \mu a<\pi o \delta \dot{a} \nu a \dot{\partial} \vartheta \epsilon \mu a$ : lit. 'who curse') after a clause in which the 'object' of this word has already been referred to:
(3) $\pi o \tilde{v}$ ' $\sigma a l, \pi a \nu a ́ \vartheta \epsilon \mu a ́ \sigma$; 'where are you, damn you?';
and the noun кріца(ऽ) 'pity, shame':
(4) кріна(s) тá $\lambda \epsilon \phi \tau a ́ ~ \pi o v ́ ~ \xi o \delta e ́ \psi \psi a \mu \epsilon!~ ' a l l ~ t h a t ~ m o n e y ~ p a i d ~ o u t ~ f o r ~$ nothing!' (lit. 'pity (noun) the money (acc.) which we spent').

The exclamatory word $\kappa a \lambda \bar{\omega} s$ (originally the adverb 'well') is used with the accusative of a clitic pronoun or a noun as a familiar expression of greeting or welcome (кал $\tilde{\omega} \varsigma \tau 0 \nu$ 'hello, welcome', lit. 'well him (acc.)'; ка入ஸ̄s тá $\pi a v \delta \dot{\alpha}$ 'hello, lads', lit. 'well the children (acc.)'). The particles $\nu \dot{d}$ (sometimes) and $\mu \dot{a}$ (always) are followed by the accusative; the former (a deictic particle which has no etymological connection with the subjunctive marker $\nu \dot{a}$, for which see 9.4 .2 ff .) is followed by a clitic pronoun or noun ( $\nu a ́ \mu \epsilon$ 'here I am', $\nu a ́ ~ \tau \dot{\eta}$ Mapia 'there's Mary'), although it is also sometimes found with a nominative or even a com-
 which the pronoun is accusative but the adjective nominative); $\mu \dot{\alpha}$ is
followed only by a noun phrase containing a definite article + noun, and is normally used to invoke divine beings as witness to the truth of a statement ( $\mu a ́ ~ \tau o ́ ~ \Theta \epsilon o ́ ~ ‘ b y ~ G o d ’ ~ o r ~ ' a s ~ G o d ' s ~ m y ~ w i t n e s s ') . ~$

Apart from the instances in which the accusative is conditioned by the presence of another word in a phrase or clause, its most common use is probably its adverbial function in expressions of time, place, and measurement. Duration (time) and distance (place) are expressed in the same way:
(5) $\pi \epsilon \rho \pi a \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma a \mu \epsilon$
'we walked $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\tau \rho \epsilon i s ~ \dot{\omega} \rho \in \varsigma \\ \tau \rho i a \text { Хi } \lambda \dot{\prime} \mu \epsilon \tau \rho a \\ \text { [for] three hours (acc.)' } \\ \text { three kilometres (acc.)'. }\end{array}\right.$

Nevertheless, whereas point in place is expressed by $\sigma \epsilon$ ' in' + accusative, point in time is most often expressed by a bare accusative: vóx $u$ 'at
 $\delta \chi \tau \dot{\omega} \mu \tau \sigma \eta$ 'at half past eight', lit. 'at-the eight-half'), $\tau \dot{\eta} \Delta \in v \tau \epsilon \rho a$ 'on Monday', $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \pi \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta \Sigma \epsilon \pi \tau \epsilon \mu \beta \rho i o v$ 'on the first of September' (but $\sigma \dot{\epsilon}$ + plural of the cardinal for any date above 'first': otis סekaлévte Av́yov́vтov 'on the (fem. pl.) fifteen[th] of August'), $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho a \sigma \mu \epsilon ̇ v \eta$ é $\beta \delta o \mu a ́ \delta a$ 'last week', $\tau o ́ ~ M a ́ p \tau ь ~ ' i n ~ M a r c h ', ~ \tau o ́ ~ 1983 ~ ' i n ~ 1983 ', ~ \tau o ́ v ~$ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \delta \dot{\tau \epsilon \rho o ~ \chi \rho o ́ v o ~ ' m o s t ~ o f ~ t h e ~ t i m e / y e a r ', ~ \tau o ́ ~ П a ́ \sigma \chi a ~ ' a t ~ E a s t e r ', ~ \tau \eta \nu ~}$
 twentieth century', סvó фopés 'twice'.

Expressions of measurement are various. Here more colloquial usage prefers the accusative, while in some instances more formal styles employ the genitive. The various ways of saying, 'this house is ten metres high' are: aúzó $\tau o ́ ~ \sigma \pi i \tau \iota ~ e ̈ \chi \in \iota ~ \delta e ́ к a ~ \mu e ́ \tau \rho a ~ v ̌ \psi o s ~(l i t . ~ ' t h i s ~ h o u s e ~ h a s ~$
 (which corresponds exactly to the English); in both constructions the
 respectively. In more formal style, the rendering would be: . . . éx $\in \iota$ v̈廿os $\delta e ́ k a ~ \mu e ́ ~ \tau \rho \omega \nu ~(l i t . ~ ' . ~ . ~ . ~ h a s ~ h e i g h t ~(a c c) ~ o f ~ t e n ~ m e t r e s ~.(g e n) ') .$.

Other nouns may stand instead of those denoting dimension ( $\boldsymbol{\eta} \tau a \nu$
 (nom.)'). Expressions of time may take a similar form:
(6) катабккáбтךкє $\sigma \in ́ ~ \delta e ́ к а ~ \chi \rho o ́ v ı a ~ к a ́ \vartheta є є \rho \xi ŋ \eta ~ ' h e ~ w a s ~ s e n t e n c e d ~ t o ~$ ten years (acc.) imprisonment (acc.)';
(or, more formally, $\sigma \in ́ \delta e ́ \kappa a ~ \chi \rho \delta \partial \omega \nu ~ \kappa a ́ \vartheta \epsilon \iota \xi \eta$ : lit. 'to ten years' (gen.)
imprisonment'). Note that such expressions as (6) are grammatically the converse of apparently similar ones examined below (2.2.5), in which more formal language uses the genitive not for the numeral but for the other term.
 $\mu e ́ \tau \rho a ~ \phi a \rho \delta \dot{v}$ (which corresponds precisely to the English, with סvó $\mu e ́ \tau \rho a$ in the accusative), in which фapסv́ can be replaced by $\tau o ́ ~ \phi a ́ \rho \delta o s ~$ (lit. 'the breadth'), in the nominative or accusative. Again, the word order may be different, the last word(s) changing places with $\delta v o$ $\mu \in ́ \tau \rho a$. In more official styles, two genitives are used: éva т $\rho a \pi \in ́ \zeta \iota$ $\pi \lambda a ́ \tau o v s ~ \delta v ́ o ~ \mu e ́ \tau \rho \omega \nu ~(l i t . ~ ' a ~ t a b l e ~ o f-w i d t h ~(g e n) ~ o f ~ t w o ~ m e t r e s ~.(g e n) ': ~:$. $\tau o ́ \pi \lambda a ́ \tau o s$ is more formal than $\tau \dot{o} \phi \dot{\rho} \rho \delta o s)$. Similar to such constructions are those expressing measure of comparison with comparative
 'Christine is one year (acc.) older than Paul') or with adverbs of place or time (place: є̌va xı $\lambda \iota \dot{\prime} \mu \epsilon \tau \rho o$ макрıá ‘a kilometre (acc.) away’, $\delta v o ́$ $\delta \rho o ́ \mu o v s ~ \pi а \rho а к а ́ т \omega ~ ' t w o ~ s t r e e t s ~(a c c) ~ f u r t h e r ~ d o w n. ' ; ~ t i m e: ~ e ́ v a ~ \chi \rho o ́ v o ~$ $\mu \in \tau \dot{a}$ 'a year (acc.) later').

To complete this account of the accusative in expressions of measurement, its distributive meaning of 'per' should be noted: $\delta v o$ ' $\delta \rho a \chi \mu e ́ s$ $\tau o ́ ~ \kappa ı \lambda \delta ́ ~ ' t w o ~ d r a c h m a s ~ p e r ~ k i l o ~(a c c) ',. ~ \tau \rho \in i s ~ \phi o \rho e ́ s ~ \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \eta \mu \not ́ \rho a ~ ' t h r e e ~$ times a day (acc.)'.

Finally, there are many assorted idiomatic uses of the accusative. There are certain reduplicated expressions of the accusative in adverbial use: a noun in the accusative repeated with a definite article in the middle ( $\chi \rho \delta \dot{v o ~ \tau o ́ ~ \chi \rho o ́ v o ~ ' y e a r ~ b y ~ y e a r ': ~ l i t . ~ ' y e a r ~(a c c .) ~ t h e ~ y e a r ~(a c c .) '), ~}$ or without the article, expressing the idea of 'along' ( $\gamma$ m $\lambda \bar{\delta} \cdot \gamma / a \lambda \delta$ 'along the shore'). There are expressions in which some word is presumably understood, such as $\lambda$ óyo $\tau \mu \bar{\eta} s$ '[on my] word of honour', with perhaps $\mu a ́$ 'by' understood; or ò 「ávıns tó $\beta \omega \lambda i$ tov or $\tau o ́ ~ \chi a ß a ́ ~ \tau o v ~$ 'John keeps harping on the same old tune' (lit. 'John his violin (acc.)' or 'his tune (acc.)'), in which the verb mai乡єl 'plays' is presumably understood. There are also the invariable expressions éva $\sigma \omega \rho o ́$ 'a lot'

 $\delta v \delta \dot{)}$ 'one or two', which vary neither in case nor in gender, as in $\pi \tilde{\eta} \gamma a$ каvaסvó фopés 'I went a couple of times' (фopés is feminine).

There is also an exclamatory use of the accusative in a verbless clause (e.g. тóv капиє́vo тó $\Delta \eta \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \rho \eta!$ 'poor Dimitris!'), referring either to the person addressed or to a third person. Such an exclamation, if it
is abusive, is often preceded by $\beta \rho \epsilon \in$ or $\rho \dot{\epsilon}$, in which case it can refer only to a third person ( $\beta \rho \epsilon$ é $o \dot{\nu}$ поv́õ $\eta$ ! 'the bastard!').

### 2.2.4 THE GENITIVE

The genitive in MG normally has an inherently semantic role, while the nominative and accusative are conditioned by the syntactic context. The genitive presents more difficulties of description than do the other cases in MG. This is because (a) some words lack a genitive completely; (b) many do not have a genitive plural; (c) many less-educated speakers tend to avoid the genitive (especially in the plural) even of those nouns that are not defective; and (d) the fairly clearly circumscribed uses of the genitive in traditional demotic have been radically increased under the influence of AG and modern European languages, particularly French.

In traditional demotic, the genitive was used almost exclusively either to express possession or to realize an underlying dative or ablative. By the very nature of these uses, the genitive was normally confined to nouns, adjectives, pronouns, articles, and numerals denoting animate referents, particularly humans:
(1) $\tau$ á $\sigma \pi i \tau l a ~ \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$ Toú $\rho \kappa \omega \nu$ 'the houses of the Turks';
(2) $\dot{\eta} \gamma v \nu a i k a ~ \mu o v ~ ' m y ~ w i f e ' ; ~ ;$
(3) $\pi \in ́ \varsigma ~ \tau o v ̃ ~ K \omega ́ \sigma \tau a ~ \pi \dot{\omega} \varsigma ~ \vartheta a ́ ~ ' \rho \vartheta \omega$ 'tell Kostas (gen.) that I'll come';
(4) vá бои̃ $\delta \omega \dot{\sigma} \omega$ тітота тортока́ $\lambda$ са; ‘shall I give you some oranges?';
(5) $\sigma o v ̃ ~ \epsilon ้ \chi \omega ~ \phi \rho a ́ o v \lambda \epsilon \varsigma ~ \sigma \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho a$ 'I've got strawberries for you today';
(6) $\mu 0 \tilde{~} \pi \tilde{\eta} \rho \in \varsigma$ тó $\tau 0 v \phi e ́ k \iota ~ ' y o u ' v e ~ t a k e n ~ m y ~ g u n ' ~(l i t . ~ ' o f-m e ~ y o u-~$ took the gun');
 head'.

In these examples, (1) and (2) show a strictly possessive use, (3)-(5) a dative (= 'to' or 'for'), (6) an ablative (= 'from') and (7) an ethic dative (which denotes the interested party in an action, i.e. the person for whose benefit or to whose disadvantage the action is carried out).

To express concepts such as 'the leg of the chair' or 'the setting of the sun' (the former a possessive but without an animate referent, the latter not a possessive at all), traditional demotic either used à áo with the accusative (cl. Romance de or di, originally 'from', to express the genitive), as in

or compound nouns, such as карєклопóסaро 'chair-leg' or $\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota o \beta a \sigma i-$ $\lambda \epsilon \mu a$ 'sunset'.

These uses of the genitive remain in SMG (in which, since, e.g., ka ${ }^{\text {é- }}$ $\kappa \lambda a$ has no genitive plural, it is still necessary to say $\tau \dot{a} \pi \delta \delta \delta a \dot{a} \pi^{\prime} \tau i ́ s$ карє́кл $\epsilon \varsigma$ for 'the legs of the chairs'), but have been joined by others, with the result that, in addition to its traditional uses, the genitive of nouns in MG is now used in a similar way to that in other modern European languages, not only after nouns and verbs, but after other parts of speech. Nevertheless, the genitive of clitic pronouns still has wider uses than that of nouns.

One of the chief reasons for the relative infrequency of the genitive of nouns compared with that of clitic pronouns is that the genitive of nouns, unlike other cases, can often be avoided by means of paraphrases consisting of preposition + noun, while the genitive of clitic pronouns cannot normally be readily paraphrased. There was clearly a tendency in traditional demotic for the genitive of nouns to disappear, a tendency which the influence of the learned language has definitely reversed. In our account of the genitive we shall point to the influence of the learned language and, in addition, give examples of switches from pronouns to nouns and vice versa.

### 2.2.4.1 The genitive with verbs

As has been said already, the prime uses of the genitive with verbs in traditional spoken Greek are in dative and ablative senses. In the former, a clitic pronoun in the genitive corresponds to a noun in the accusative preceded by ofe 'to' or rad 'for' (that is, it expresses the indirect object):
(1a) $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma ~ \epsilon ̈ \delta \omega \sigma a ~ \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \nu ~ \epsilon ф \eta \mu \epsilon \rho i \delta a ~ ‘ I ~ g a v e ~ h e r ~ t h e ~ n e w s p a p e r ' ; ~ ;$
 Helen';
(2a) $\tau o v ̃$ é $\phi \tau \sim a \xi \epsilon \kappa a \phi \notin$ '(s)he made him [some] coffee';
 Michael [some] coffee.'

Nevertheless, the fact that the genitive of pronouns is used in such contexts may influence the speaker to put the noun in the genitive too:


In fact, if a noun is used together with a genitive proclitic pronoun
referring to the same referent, the use of the genitive in that noun is obligatory:
(1d) $\tau \bar{\eta} \varsigma$ ' $\mathrm{E} \lambda \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \varsigma \tau \bar{\eta} \varsigma ~ \epsilon ̌ \delta \omega \sigma a ~ \tau \eta \dot{\nu} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \phi \eta \mu \epsilon \rho i \delta a$ ( $=1 \mathrm{~b}$, but with emphasis either on 'gave' or on 'newspaper');
 on either the verb or the direct object).
Since, however, there may be some ambiguity in sentences where the genitive of a noun is used without a corresponding pronoun (examples (1c) and (2c) could mean 'I gave Helen's newspaper' and 'I made some of Michael's coffee' (Kazazis 1967) ), such a construction is not normally used outside colloquial speech. (It is perhaps possible to see the origin of the 'dative' genitive in MG as being precisely that the genitive indicates possession: the consequences of $\chi$ ápıбa $\tau \dot{\beta} \beta \iota \beta \lambda i o \tau 0 \tilde{v}$「ávvn 'I gave the book [as a present] to John' is tó $\beta \not \beta \lambda i o$ eival $\tau o \tilde{u}$「távon 'the book is John's.')

On the other hand, there are idiomatic constructions in which the genitive is mandatory because a noun cannot be used without an accompanying pronoun:
(3) $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \Sigma \tau \in ́ \lambda \lambda a s ~ \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \eta j \rho \vartheta \epsilon \nu a ́ k \lambda a ́ \psi \epsilon \iota$ 'Stella felt like crying' (lit. 'of-the Stella of-her it-came to she-cry');
(4) $\tau 0 \tilde{~ \Gamma} \Gamma \omega ́ \rho \gamma o v ~ \vartheta a ́ ~ \tau o u ̃ ~ \epsilon l \nu a \iota ~ \delta u ́ \sigma к о \lambda o ~ \nu a ́ ~ e ́ \rho \vartheta \vartheta \epsilon ~ ' i t ~ w i l l ~ b e ~ d i f f i-~$ cult for George to come' (lit. 'of-the George will of-him it-is difficult . . .').

Certain constructions using what might be termed the ethic genitive can have only pronouns as indirect objects:
(5) $\phi i \lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon ่ \mu o v \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ Kairn 'give my love to Katy' (lit. 'kiss of-me the Katy');
 in (6) the $\mu 0 \dot{v}$ is optional, and adds an element of affection for the person addressed.

A clitic pronoun in the genitive in ablative use corresponds to a noun preceded by à $\pi \delta$ 'from':
(7a) $\tau о \tilde{v} \kappa \rho v ́ \psi a \mu \epsilon ~ \tau o ́ ~ \mu \nu \sigma \tau к к o ́ ~ ' w e ~ h i d ~ t h e ~ s e c r e t ~ f r o m ~ h i m ' ; ~ ;$
 from our teacher.'

Again, however, if a pronoun is used as well as the noun, the genitive must be used:
（7c）тoũ крv́ч а sis on the verb，or－less likely－on the direct object）．
There are instances in which a genitive used with a verb could be taken as either dative or ablative depending on the extra－linguistic con－ text：thus，
（8）$\vartheta a ́ ~ \sigma o v ̃ ~ \pi a ́ \rho \omega ~ \epsilon ̆ v a ~ \mu \pi o v k a ́ \lambda \iota ~ к \rho a \sigma i ~$
might mean＇I shall get a bottle of wine for you＇if I am setting off for the shops，but＇I shall take a bottle of wine from you＇if I am rum－ maging through your larder．

There are circumstances in which the genitive of a pronoun used as an ethic dative actually denotes possession：these are those in which the pronoun could be transferred to the subject or object of the verb with－ out changing the meaning of the clause（cf．also examples（6）and（7） in 2．2．4）：
（9a）$\mu о \tilde{v} \kappa \dot{\delta} \pi \eta \kappa \epsilon \dot{\eta}$ àvanvơ＇＇my breath was taken away＇（lit．＇of－ me was－cut the breath＇）；
（9b）кó $\eta \eta \kappa \epsilon \grave{\eta}$ àvanvoウ́ $\mu o v$（subject）；
（10a）тõ̃ ßүá入avє тó пouká $\mu \sigma o$＇they took off his shirt＇（lit．＇of－ him they－took－off the shirt＇）；
（10b）ßүá入avє тó тovкáuıoó тov（object）．
In（10a）the genitive of the pronoun could appear twice：both with the verb and after the direct object．

There are in addition certain verbs，originally introduced through katharevousa，which may take a genitive，although their use with clitic pronouns is not frequent．Nowadays，however，there is a tendency to replace the genitive with àmó or $\sigma \dot{\epsilon}+$ accusative．Thus in the following examples，
（11）$\tau \bar{\eta} \varsigma \delta \epsilon \xi \iota \omega \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma \pi \rho \sigma \eta \gamma \dot{\eta} \vartheta \eta \kappa \epsilon \sigma \nu \nu \delta \dot{a} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \psi \eta \mu \epsilon \tau a \xi \dot{\jmath} \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ ＇the reception was preceded by a conference among the leaders＇（lit．＇of－the reception preceded conference ．．．＇：the verb $\pi \rho o \eta \gamma o u ̃ \mu a l$ is deponent），and
 $\mathrm{X} i \lambda \tau o \nu$＇the conference，which is chaired by Mr Floros，is taking place at the Hilton＇（lit．＇the conference，of－the which chairs the Mr Floros ．．．＇），
the genitives may be replaced by à $\pi \dot{o} \tau \dot{\eta} \delta \epsilon \xi i \omega \sigma \eta$ and $\sigma \tau o ́ ~ o ̀ \pi o \bar{o} o$ respec－ tively．

There are also certain expressions in which ciual 'I am' is followed by a noun in the genitive, many of which again originated in katharevousa:
(13) av̇тó $\tau o ́ ~ \vartheta e ́ \mu a ~ \delta e ́ v ~ \epsilon i v a l ~ \tau \eta ̃ \varsigma ~ \delta u k a l o \delta o o i a s ~ \mu o v ~ ' t h i s ~ s u b j e c t ~ i s ~$ not within [lit. 'of'] my jurisdiction';
 not the time [lit. 'of-the hour'] (for us) to discuss this matter.'

### 2.2.4.2 The genitive governed by nouns

From being used almost exclusively of possession in traditional demotic, the genitive governed by nouns has come to be used in SMG for a wide range of figurative meanings which are difficult to categorize. We shall attempt to deal with the chief senses here, but our survey will by no means be complete. One general observation which should be made is that a pronoun can be substituted for a noun in the genitive only in uses (a), (b), and, less often, (c) below, but not in the other uses.
(a) Possession. Still the most frequent use of the genitive after nouns is the adnominal possessive: the referent of the word in the genitive (almost always animate) 'possesses' the referent of the other word (almost always a person or thing), the whole phrase being normally susceptible of being paraphrased by a more or less equivalent phrase using a relative clause containing the verb é $\chi \omega$ 'I have' (in contrast to the situation in other uses of the genitive). Thus:
(1a) $\tau$ ó po入ó九 $\mu o v$ 'my watch';
(1b) $\tau \dot{\text { ón } \rho o \lambda o ́ \iota ~ \pi o u ́ ~ e ̀ \chi \omega ~ ' t h e ~ w a t c h ~ w h i c h ~ I ~ h a v e ' ; ~}$
(2a) oi è $\chi \vartheta \rho o i ~ \tau \eta ั s ~ ' E \lambda \lambda a ́ \delta a s ~ ' t h e ~ e n e m i e s ~ o f ~ G r e e c e ' ; ~ ; ~$
(2b) oi é $\chi \vartheta \rho o i \pi \pi o v ́ ~ e ̀ \chi \in \iota ~ \grave{\eta}$ ' $E \lambda \lambda a ́ \delta a$ 'the enemies which Greece has'.
A figurative adnominal possessive is less common in MG than in English; thus, the sentence 'the significance of this crisis for the history of Greece' will normally be rendered,
 'the significance which this crisis has . . $\therefore$ '

The possessive $\mu o v$ 'my' is also used with the vocative to indicate
 тра́ко $\mu$ ov 'my [dear] Mr Dimitrakos'.
(b) Subjective genitive. Here the underlying structure of the phrase containing the genitive may be said to consist of subject + (usually intransitive) verb, the former corresponding to the word in the genitive
in the surface structure, the latter to the noun which governs it (and which always denotes a process). Thus the phrase,
(4a) тó $\sigma \phi \dot{\rho} \rho \iota \gamma \mu a \tau o \bar{v} \tau \rho a i v o v$ 'the whistling of the train',
is connected with the phrase,
(4b) $\tau$ ' $\tau \rho a i \nu 0 ~ \sigma \phi \cup \rho i \zeta \epsilon \iota ~ ' t h e ~ t r a i n ~ w h i s t l e s ' ; ~$
while the version,
(4c) ? ? ó $\sigma \phi u ́ \rho \iota \gamma \mu a \pi o u ́$ é $\chi \in \iota$ tó $\tau \rho a i ̃ o$ 'the whistling which the train has',
would make sense only in limited circumstances; $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ó $\sigma \phi$ ט́ $\rho \gamma \mu a ́ ~ \tau o v ~ ' i t s ~$ whistling' is also perfectly acceptable.
(c) Objective genitive. This is the converse of (b): the underlying structure may be said to consist of transitive verb + direct object, the former corresponding to the head noun, the latter to the word in the genitive. The head noun again denotes a process. Thus,
 situation',
is connected with the phrase,
 tion' (or, alternatively, $\delta \eta \mu \ldots \nu \rho \gamma \dot{\eta} \vartheta \eta \kappa \epsilon$ aưтท่ ท̀ катáбтaon 'this situation was created').

The use of the pronoun, in $\dot{\eta} \delta \eta \mu \omega 0 \rho \gamma i a \operatorname{} \tau \eta$, would be acceptable, but not the version,
 which this situation has'.

Often a genitive is ambiguous, in that it might be interpreted as being either subjective or objective. Thus,
 might be subjective (connected with
 family'),
or objective (connected with

although in the absence of evidence to the contrary the genitive will normally be interpreted as objective since the verb vimoornoi\} $\omega$ is transitive. Also note:
 the support of his family' (lit. 'without his support by/from his family': the possessive pronoun after $\dot{\pi} \pi o \sigma \pi \dot{\eta} \rho \iota \xi \eta$ could be replaced by a noun in the genitive).
This is one of the arguments put forward by purist demoticists who argue against the over-use of abstract nouns and of genitives (see 11.1.2.2): example (6a) can be rendered unambiguous if one says,
 which he gives to his family', or
 which his family gives him'.

It is even possible to have a subjective and an objective genitive in the same clause, although the former must be a pronoun and the latter a noun:
 foreign language'; cf.
 language'; but not
 of the foreign language'.
(d) Genitive of place or time. The genitive in MG is often used to express place or time at or from. Thus:
(9) ì vavцахіa $\tau \bar{\eta} \varsigma$ Navtáктov 'the (sea-)battle of Lepanto' (= the battle which took place at Lepanto);
(10) oi Гá $\lambda \lambda$ ol $\tau o u ̄$ סékatov évatov aićva 'the French of the nineteenth century' ( $=$ those who lived in the nineteenth century);
(11) $\dot{e} \lambda c e ́ \varsigma ~ K a \lambda a \mu a ́ t a s ~ ' K a l a m a t a ~ o l i v e s ' ~(=~ o l i v e s ~ f r o m ~ K a l a m a t a ') ; ~$
 the box').

In these cases the nouns in the genitive cannot be replaced by pronouns: oi é $\lambda t e ́ s ~ \tau \eta \varsigma$ would mean 'its [Kalamata's] olives' (i.e. possession). There is, however, a genitive of origin in which a pronoun can be used:

є̌va $\gamma \rho a ́ \mu \mu a \operatorname{\tau oṽ~\pi a\tau \epsilon ́\rho a~} \mu o v$ 'a letter of/from my father' (cf. є̌va үрá $\mu \mu a$ тov 'one of his letters' or 'a letter from him');
 tov 'poems of his' or 'poems by him').

In both these examples, either possession or origin may be implied.
(e) Genitive of quality. In phrases such as,
(15) $\pi a \tau \epsilon \in \rho \epsilon \varsigma ~ к а \lambda о и ̃ ~ \chi а \rho а к \tau \eta ̃ \rho o s ~ ' f a t h e r s ~ o f ~ g o o d ~ c h a r a c t e r ', ~$
which are definitely of learned origin, the underlying structure is the opposite of that which underlies phrases containing genitives of possession: here it is the referent of the head noun that possesses the quality referred to by the noun in the genitive. Many of these phrases are stereotyped, having been translated from foreign languages:
(16) $\xi \in \nu o \delta o \chi \epsilon i o ~ \pi o \lambda \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i a s ~ ' d e ~ l u x e ~ h o t e l ’ ~(l i t . ~ ' h o t e l ~ o f ~$ luxury');

(18) $\pi о \lambda i \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \delta \epsilon u ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \varsigma ~ к а т \eta \gamma o \rho i a s ~ ' s e c o n d-c l a s s ~ c i t i z e n s ' . ~$

Sometimes these genitives are equivalent to adjectives (e.g. $\pi 0 \lambda v \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \in$, $\xi \in \nu o \delta o x \in i ̃ o ~ ' l u x u r i o u s ~ h o t e l ') . ~$

A subcategory of genitives of quality consists of genitives of measurement:
 (lit. 'a television of-nineteen inches');
(20) $\delta \rho o ́ \mu о \varsigma ~ \grave{~ e ́ к а т o ́ ~} \mu$ е́ $\tau \rho \omega \nu$ 'hundred-metres race';
(21) $\pi a \kappa \delta i \pi \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \epsilon ~ \chi \rho o \nu \omega ̄ \nu \nu ~ ' a ~ f i v e-y e a r-o l d ~ c h i l d ' ~(l i t . ~ ' c h i l d ~ o f-f i v e ~$ years').

As we have already seen, most genitives of measurement (but not those referring to the age of persons) are commonly replaced in colloquial usage by accusatives. Most genitives of quality may be detached from their head-nouns to become complements of verbs:
(15a) aútós ò äv७的 good character';
 we stayed at wasn't de luxe';
 is only [a] nineteen-inch [one]';
(21a) $\delta \gamma \iota o ́ s \mu o v ~ \epsilon i v a l ~ \pi e ́ \nu \tau \epsilon ~ \chi \rho o \nu \tilde{\omega} \nu ~ ' m y ~ s o n ~ i s ~ f i v e ~ y e a r s ~ o l d . ' ~$
(f) Genitive of cause or purpose. Examples of such uses are:
(22) ò капиós $\tau о \bar{u} \chi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu o v ̃ ~ ' t h e ~ s a d n e s s ~ o f ~ p a r t i n g ' ~(=~ \gamma a ́ ~ \tau o ́ ~$ $\chi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu o ́ ~ ' b e c a u s e ~ o f ~ p a r t i n g ') ; ~$

 [of] wine').
(g) Genitive of content and partitive genitive. Other uses of the genitive include that of content, in which the noun in the genitive denotes that which the referent of the first noun consists of; and the partitive-genitive construction, where the referent of the head word represents a portion of the referent of the genitive word. Informal usage prefers an appositional construction (see 2.2.5) or one with àmó.
(24) ( $\mu \dot{a}$ ) $\sigma \epsilon \iota \dot{a} \mu a \vartheta \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ 'a series/course of lessons' (= $\mu a \dot{a}$ бє $\rho \dot{\alpha}$ [à $\pi \delta ́$ ] $\mu a \vartheta \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau a) ;$
(25) $\delta v o ́ ~ e ̀ к а т о \mu \mu u ́ p ı a ~ \delta o \lambda \lambda a \rho i \omega v ~ ' t w o ~ m i l l i o n ~ d o l l a r s ' ~(l i t . ~ ' t w o ~$ millions of-dollars', = $\delta v o ́$ èкатоццúpıa $\delta о \lambda \lambda a ́ \rho ı a)$.

### 2.2.4.3 The genitive after pronouns, numerals, and adjectives

There are various uses of the genitive after pronouns, numerals, and adjectives, according to whether (a) the word in the genitive can only be a clitic pronoun or (b) it can only be a noun. Only the former type belongs to traditional demotic.
(a) Clitic pronouns only. Certain pronouns, numerals, and adjectives can take a 'clarificatory genitive' (Tzartzanos 1946: 113) which specifies the person(s) or thing(s) to which the first word refers. Sometimes the pronoun in the genitive intensifies the first word, as with $\mu \delta{ }^{2}$ vos 'alone': móvos $\mu o v$ '(all) by myself', in the double sense of 'without company' and 'without help' (in its latter sense it may be preceded by à $\pi \delta$ ):
(1) $\ddot{\mu} \mu \epsilon \omega \epsilon \mu \delta ́ \nu \eta \tau \eta \varsigma$ 'she was left [lit. 'she remained'] all alone';

(3) бкот $\dot{\vartheta} \vartheta \eta \kappa \epsilon \mu$ óvos $\tau 0 v$ 'he killed himself' (as opposed to his being killed by anyone else).

Some words can be followed by a clitic pronoun in the genitive or by an emphatic pronoun or a noun after àmó:
(i) Numerals. 'Evas $\mu a s$ 'one of us' (cf. ěvas àmó $\mu a ̃ s$ (same meaning), (ì) ধ̌vas àmó toús 「epuavoús 'one of the Germans'); $\delta v o ́ ~ \tau o u s ~ ' t w o ~$ of them', oi $\delta v o ́$ rovs 'the two of them', kı oi סvó $\tau 0 v s$ 'both of them'
 men').
(ii) Pronouns and adjectives. Кámolos tovs 'one of them' (cf. кáтоюos
 members of parliament'); kavévas $\mu a \varsigma$ 'any/none of us' (cf. кavévas à $\pi o ́ ~ \mu a ̈ s ~(s i m i l a r ~ m e a n i n g, ~ b u t ~ s t r o n g e r), ~ к а \mu ı ́ a ~ a ̀ ~ \pi ’ ~ \tau i ́ s ~ к а \rho е ́ к \lambda \epsilon \varsigma ~ ‘ a n y / ~$

 soldiers'); ò $\pi \rho \tilde{\omega} \tau o s ~ \tau o v s ~ ' t h e ~ f i r s t ~ o f ~ t h e m ' . ~(\grave{o} \pi \rho \tilde{\omega} \tau o s ~ a ̀ m ' ~ a \dot{v} \tau o v ́ s ~(s a m e ~$

 oové $\delta \rho o u s$ 'others of the delegates').

With some of these words, the clitic pronoun is not so commonly found as $\dot{a} \pi \dot{\delta}+$ the emphatic pronoun ( $\kappa \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi о \omega \varsigma, \pi \rho \tilde{\omega} \tau \sigma \varsigma, a ̈ \lambda \lambda о \varsigma)$, with others the emphatic pronoun conveys a more intense meaning than the clitic. The quantifier ö入os 'all' is used in a similar way, except that it cannot be followed by àmó: d̀ $\lambda o c ~ \sigma a s$ 'all of you'.

The genitive of the clitic pronoun is always used with the possessive adjective $\delta<k o ́ s$ ( $\mu 0 v$ etc.) '(my) own' (when used attributively with a noun) or 'mine' (when used predicatively):
 her own');

The genitive of the clitic pronoun is sometimes found after a comparative or superlative (otherwise àmó + accusative):
 years older than him' (cf. $\mu \in \gamma a \lambda \dot{\prime} \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \dot{a} \pi{ }^{\prime}$ aن̉ $\tau \dot{v} \nu$ );
 кади́тєроऽ à $\pi o ́ \mu a ̄ \varsigma)$.

Finally, there are a few adjectives sometimes constructed with a genitive clitic (otherwise with $\mu \epsilon$ 'with'):
 $\mu \epsilon ́ ~ ' s i m i l a r ~ t o ') ; ~$

 same age as Vaso').
(b) Nouns only. Certain adjectives may be followed by nouns in the genitive, although most such constructions belong to formal styles.

There are some deverbal adjectives found with genitives, where the underlying structure consists of the relevant verb and its object:
 $\dot{a} \nu \tau \iota \pi o \lambda i \tau \epsilon v \sigma \eta s$ 'such actions are representative of the mentality of the [political] opposition' (cf. $\tau \in ́ \tau o \iota \epsilon \varsigma ~ \grave{e ̀ v e ́ \rho \gamma \epsilon \iota \epsilon \varsigma ~ a ̀ \nu \tau \iota \pi \rho o-~}$ $\sigma \omega \pi \epsilon v ́ o v \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \nu о o \tau \rho o \pi i a ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ ' s u c h ~ a c t i o n s ~ r e p r e s e n t ~ t h e ~ m e n-~$ tality . . .').
Other genitives after adjectives can sometimes be paraphrased by the preposition $\gamma$ á 'for, about':
 crime' (cf. ү'á тó $\notin \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \mu a)$;
 informed of (the) developments' (cf. $\gamma$ rá $\tau i \varsigma ~ \epsilon ̇ \xi \epsilon \lambda i \xi \xi \epsilon เ \varsigma) ;$

The genitive in the phrase $\notin \gamma \kappa v o s \epsilon \check{\epsilon} \xi \eta \mu \eta \nu \bar{\omega} \nu$ 'six months pregnant' (lit. pregnant of-six months') is probably to be equated with genitives of measurement (2.2.4.2 (e)), such as èva $\mu \omega \rho o ́ ~ \epsilon ॄ \xi \eta ~ \mu \eta \nu \tilde{\omega} \nu$ 'a six-monthold baby'.

The genitive of a noun is sometimes found after a noun phrase containing an adjective in the superlative:
 the world'.

The genitive here may well be the result of French influence (cf. le bâtiment le plus haut du monde), and is often replaced by $\sigma \dot{\epsilon}+$ accusative ( $\tau$ ó $\psi \eta \lambda o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о$ ктірıо бто́v ко́б䒑о).

### 2.2.4.4 The genitive with adverbs

There are many adverbs of place which, when constructed with a preposition (+ noun or disjunctive pronoun), form a kind of composite preposition (see also 6.2): $\pi \dot{a} \nu \omega$ o $\tau o ́ ~ \tau \rho a \pi \epsilon ́ ̧ ́ \zeta ~ ' o n ~(t o p ~ o f) ~ t h e ~ t a b l e ', ~ \pi a ́ \nu \omega ~$ à $\pi o ́ ~ \tau o ́ ~ \tau \rho a \pi \epsilon ́ \zeta ̧ l ~ ' a b o v e ~ t h e ~ t a b l e ', ~ e t c . ~ I f ~ a ~ c l i t i c ~ p r o n o u n ~ i s ~ u s e d ~ i n s t e a d ~$ of a noun, then the preposition proper is dropped and the pronoun appears in the genitive. Thus: kovtá $\sigma \tau i \varsigma \rho(i \xi \in s$ 'close to the roots', коvтá oé $\sigma \dot{\epsilon} ย a$ 'close to you' (emphatic), but кovтá oov 'near you'; $\mu \epsilon ́ \sigma a ~ \sigma \tau o ́ ~ \sigma \pi i \tau \iota \iota ~ ' i n s i d e ~ t h e ~ h o u s e ', ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \sigma a ~ \sigma ' ~ a v ̇ \tau o ~ ' i n s i d e ~ i t ' ~(e m p h a t i c), ~$ but $\mu$ éva $\tau o v$ 'inside it'.

Two adverbs of time can be constructed with clitic pronouns but not with disjunctive pronouns or nouns. These are $\pi 0 \tau \epsilon$ ' (n)ever': $\pi о \tau \epsilon \in$ ' $\mu о \nu$ '( n )ever in my whole life'; and $\pi a \dot{\nu} \tau a$ 'always': $\pi a \dot{\nu} \tau a \mu 0 v$ 'forever' (the first is far more frequent than the second):
(1) $\pi o \tau \epsilon ́ \mu o v \delta \epsilon ́ ~ \vartheta a ́ ~ \sigma \epsilon ́ ~ \xi \epsilon \chi a ́ \sigma \omega ~ ' I ~ s h a l l ~ n e v e r ~ f o r g e t ~ y o u ~ a s ~ l o n g ~ a s ~$ I live.'

Finally there are certain de-adjectival adverbs and certain adjectives and nouns used adverbially which are constructed with the genitive. These include: à $\nu a \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \varsigma$ 'according to, proportionately to' (à $\nu a \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \varsigma$ $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau a ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ 'according to the circumstances': frequently translated into demotic as àvá入oरa $\mu \epsilon ́ \tau i \varsigma \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma)$, and $\chi a ́ \rho \omega$ 'for the sake of' (almost exclusively in $\pi a \rho a \delta \epsilon i \gamma \mu a \tau o s ~ \chi a ́ \rho ı \nu ~ ' f o r ~ e x a m p l e ', ~ c f . ~$ demotic $\lambda o ́ y o u ~ \chi a ́ \rho \eta) . ~$

### 2.2.4.5 The genitive after prepositions

There are several prepositions, all of them of katharevousa origin, which take the genitive. They will be examined in 6.2.6.

### 2.2.4.6 The genitive in exclamations

There are various greetings, wishes, and other exclamations in which a clitic pronoun in the genitive may be present. Such are: кa入 $\eta \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a ~ \sigma a s$ 'good morning to you'; $\mu \pi \rho a ́ ß o ~ \tau \eta \varsigma!~ ' g o o d ~ f o r ~ h e r!' ; ~ \pi \epsilon \rho a \sigma \tau \kappa a ́ ~ \tau o v ~$ 'I hope he gets well soon'; $\gamma \in \epsilon \dot{d} \sigma o v!$ 'hello; goodbye' (here the pronoun is omitted only among people who are on very familiar terms, and then only as a farewell or a toast: 'cheers'); $\nu \tau \rho o \pi \eta$ rous! 'shame on them!';


The exclamatory words $\nu \alpha$ and ópiote may be followed by a genitive clitic in an 'ethic' sense: vá $\sigma o v ~ \tau o \nu ~ ' t h e r e ~ h e ~ i s, ~ y o u ~ s e e ' ; ~ \nu a ́ ~ \tau a ~ \mu a s!~ o r ~$ ópiote $\mu a c$ ! 'what a [shameful] situation!'

### 2.2.4.7 Idiomatic genitives of nouns

In colloquial Greek there are some genitives of nouns, used with their definite articles, which have various metaphorical adverbial or adjectival uses. Some examples are given here in suitable contexts:
 [that was] any good' ( $\pi \rho о к о \pi \eta$ 'industriousness, success');
 tion' (lit. 'it-became of-the unfortunate woman/madwoman');
(3) $\dot{\eta} \dot{o} \rho \chi \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \rho a$ є̈ $\pi a \iota \zeta \epsilon \tau o v ̃ ~ \xi \epsilon \kappa о \cup ф а \mu о \tilde{~ ' t h e ~ o r c h e s t r a ~ w a s ~ p l a y i n g ~}$ deafeningly loud' ( $\xi \in к о и ф а \mu о ́ s ~ ‘ a ~ c o m p l e t e ~ d e a f e n i n g ') ; ~ ;$
 fallen of-the death-merchant');
 fashionable' ( $\mu o ́ \delta a$ 'fashion');
(6) $\dot{\epsilon} \phi а \gamma є \tau о \tilde{v} \sigma к а \sigma \mu о \tilde{v}$ '(s)he ate fit to burst' ( $\sigma к а \sigma \mu \delta \delta \varsigma ~ ' b u r s t i n g ') ; ~$
(7) кávєı $\tau 0 \tilde{\sim} \kappa \epsilon \phi a \lambda \iota o u ̃ ~ \tau o v ~ ' h e ~ g o e s ~ h i s ~ o w n ~ w a y ' ~(l i t . ~ ' h e ~ d o e s ~ o f ~$ his head').

More common than all these, however, and not confined to colloquial
 year' (also 'of the year').

In some of its uses the genitive is governed by another word which has been deleted. In expressions such as 'to/at someone's house', the words $\tau \dot{\prime} \sigma \pi i \tau \iota$ may be omitted: $\sigma \tau 0 \tilde{\text { Maj}}$ Mápкou 'to/at Mark's house' (for $\sigma \tau о u ̈$ Mápкou тó $\sigma \pi i \tau \iota$, which preserves the older demotic word-order, in which genitives normally preceded the nouns which governed them). There are also several areas of Athens which were originally named after persons and which are normally used only in the genitive, irrespective of their syntactic function in the clause: $\tau 0 \check{j} \Phi \boldsymbol{\Phi} \lambda о \pi a ́ \pi \pi о 0$ 'Philopappou', тои̃ $\Sigma \kappa а \rho a \mu a \gamma \kappa \tilde{a}$ 'Skaramanga' (presumably a noun such as $\gamma \in \iota \tau o v i \alpha ́$ 'neighbourhood' or ovvoukia 'quarter' is understood). When talking about the times of buses, trains, etc., one can say:
(8) $\vartheta \dot{a} \pi a ́ \rho \omega \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ èv $\tau \epsilon \kappa a ́ \mu \iota \sigma \eta$ 'I shall catch the 11.30' (lit. 'I shall catch [the bus, train, etc.] of-the eleven-half').
Saints' days (which are still important in the Greek calendar because of the institution of name-days) are often expressed by the genitive of the saint's name:
 St. Demetrius' day [26 Oct.] we went [on an] excursion to [Mount] Parnes' (lit. 'of-the saint Demetrius . . .').
From phrases of a biblical nature such as $\tau \delta$ ă $\gamma \omega \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \gamma i \omega \nu$ 'the holy of holies' there have arisen some similar constructions like $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \delta u v \alpha-$ $\tau \omega \nu$ àdúvarov 'absolutely impossible' (lit. 'of-the impossible (neuter gen. pl.) impossible (neuter sing.)'), which is often shortened simply to $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \delta v \nu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ :
(10) $\epsilon i ̃ v a \iota \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \delta v \nu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \nu a ́ ~ \epsilon ’ \rho \vartheta \omega$ 'it's absolutely impossible for me to come'.

Other examples of genitives arising through deletion are those in which the deleted word has already been uttered:
(11) $\mu a ́ \tau \iota a ~ \pi \rho a ́ \sigma \omega a ~ o a ́ v ~ \phi i \iota \iota v ̃ ~ ' g r e e n ~ e y e s ~ l i k e ~[t h o s e] ~ o f ~[a] ~ s n a k e ', ~$ in which $\mu a ́ \tau \iota a$ is understood before $\phi \delta \omega \ddot{\text {; }}$; or
(12) $\dot{\delta} \dot{\epsilon} \xi \circ \pi \lambda \iota \sigma \mu o ́ s ~ \tau o v s ~ \epsilon i ̄ \nu a \iota ~ к а \lambda u ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o s ~ a ̀ ~ \pi o ́ ~ \tau \omega ̄ \nu ~ \Gamma \epsilon \rho \mu a \nu \tilde{\omega} \nu$ 'their equipment is superior to [that] of the Germans',
in which $\tau o ́ \nu \dot{\epsilon} \xi \circ \pi \lambda \iota \sigma \mu o ́$ is understood before $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \Gamma \epsilon \rho \mu a \nu \tilde{\omega} \nu$ (in the last example some writers would prefer à $\pi o ́ ~ \dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \epsilon i \nu o \nu ~ \tau \dot{\omega} \nu \Gamma \epsilon \rho \mu a \nu \dot{\omega} \nu$, which however would strike many Greeks as foreign).

### 2.2.5 APPOSITIONAL CONSTRUCTIONS

There are numerous circumstances in which two nouns are placed together in the same case (either nominative or accusative according to syntactic context). The chief constructions concerned are expressions of content or measurement (see also 2.2.3). In some of these types of expression the second noun is found in the genitive in more formal usage.
(1) $̇$ èva коuti $\sigma \pi i \rho \tau a ~ ‘ a ~ b o x ~[o f] ~ m a t c h e s ' ; ~$
 tions, when the definite article is used, it may be repeated: $\tau$ á $\delta v o ́ ~ k i \lambda a ́ ~ o i ~ \pi a \tau a ́ \tau \epsilon s ~ ' t h e ~ t w o ~ k i l o s ~[o f] ~(t h e) ~ p o t a t o e s ') ; ~$
(3) $\pi \lambda \tilde{\eta} \vartheta o s ~ \gamma v v a i ̃ k e s ~ ' m a n y ~ w o m e n ' ~(l i t . ~ ' c r o w d ~ w o m e n ': ~ b u t ~ c f . ~$
 'a crowd of women');
(4) $\chi \iota \lambda \omega \dot{\delta} \delta \epsilon \varsigma$ "E $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu \epsilon \varsigma$ 'thousands [of] Greeks' (but cf. $\chi \iota \lambda c a ́ \delta \epsilon \varsigma$ $\lambda a o v i$ 'thousands of people (gen.)');
(5) $\delta v o ́ ~ e ̀ к а т о \mu \mu \dot{\rho} \rho \iota a ~ \delta \rho a \chi \mu e ́ s ~ ' t w o ~ m i l l i o n ~ d r a c h m a s ' ~(o r ~ \delta \rho a \chi-~$ $\mu \tilde{\nu} \nu$ (gen.));
(6) є̈vaৎ $\mu<\kappa \rho o ́ s ~ a ̀ \rho \imath \vartheta \mu o ́ s ~ є ̇ \pi \iota \sigma \kappa є ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ ‘ a ~ s m a l l ~ n u m b e r ~[o f] ~ v i s i t o r s ’ ~$ (more formally, $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \epsilon \pi \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ (gen.)).

Other types of appositional construction include the following:
(7) єi้кобl xoóvia 'Eniסavoos 'twenty years of the Epidaurus Festival' (lit. 'twenty years Epidaurus');
 fession';
 [by] name';
（10）$\tau i \in i \bar{\delta} o \varsigma ~ a ̈ \nu \vartheta \rho \omega \pi \pi \varsigma ~ \epsilon i v a l ;$＇what sort［of］man is he？＇（cf．the even more colloquial $\tau i$ oó̀ ä $\nu \vartheta \rho \rho \omega \pi o s . . . ;$－more formally


## 2．2．6 CASE AGREEMENT

Adjectives agree in case with the nouns or pronouns they modify，as they do in gender and number．Similarly，two or more nouns and pro－ nouns syntactically governed by the same word also appear in the same case．As with gender，however，one occasionally finds sentences that display a lack of case agreement，or provide examples of ana－ colouthon（the latter usually as a result of attraction into a case other than the strictly logical one）．

Lack of case agreement between a noun and its premodifier is found in extremely restricted circumstances：the lack of agreement is only apparent，since the premodifier is really an indeclinable word，e．g． ëva－סvó ovvá $\delta \in \lambda \phi o l$＇one or two colleagues＇，in which，while the noun is in the nominative，the numeral appears to be in the accusative（the same premodifier does not inflect for gender either）．

In utterances where a noun or pronoun is not in what would appear to be the grammatically correct case，the speaker／writer has either deliberately changed his／her mind about the syntax of the utterance in mid－sentence，or unconsciously changed tack．The former pheno－ menon often occurs in lists whose items should logically be in an oblique case，but which appear in the nominative：
 $\pi a ́ \pi \pi о \varsigma \kappa \iota \grave{\eta}$＇Акро́то入 $\eta$＇I saw the view which spread out amphi－ theatrically：Hymettus，Philopappus，and the Acropolis＇（Kar． n．d．：II 123）：
although the three locations are what the speaker saw，they appear in the nominative．Repetition of a genitive is often avoided in a list：
（2）àmобто入є́s $\gamma$ á入актоৎ $\gamma$ á тá $\mu \omega \rho a ́, ~ \beta ı \tau а \mu i \nu \epsilon \varsigma ~ к а i ́ ~ ф a ́ \rho \mu а к а ~ \gamma ı a ́ ~$ ё $\gamma \kappa v \in \varsigma$ रvvaïkєs＇consignments of milk（gen．）for babies，vitamins （nom．／acc．）and medicines（nom．／acc．）for pregnant women＇ （ $P$ 29．41）．

A prescriptive grammarian would say that the use of the nom．／acc．of Bıтацives and фá $\rho \mu а к а$ is incorrect，but it was probably motivated by the writer＇s reluctance to use the genitive plural of $\beta \iota \tau a \mu i m \eta$ ，which is，admittedly，infrequently used．

The genitive is sometimes avoided when the word in question is in apposition to another genitive:
 woman (gen.) as [a] sex (nom./acc.)' (P 29.43).

Such a construction as (3) is condemned by grammarians; on the same page of the same article, however, the 'correct' construction is found (despite the rather garbled thinking):
 $\sigma \tau \delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu a \quad \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \pi о \kappa i \lambda \omega \nu$ кош $\omega \nu \kappa \tilde{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \tau a \lambda \lambda a \gamma \omega \bar{\omega}$ 'the placing of the women's movement (gen.) as [a] living member (gen.) in the body of the various social changes.'

More frequent than these constructions are those in which the verb demands an object in the accusative or genitive, whereas a noun or pronoun governed by that verb appears in the 'wrong' case. This is often heard in speech, where the speaker changes tack having embarked on a sentence:
 for è $\mu \in ́ v a)$;
 like to slop their food around with their spoons' (lit. 'the children (nom./acc.) of-them it-pleases to they-splash-around with the spoon').

Strictly speaking, in (6), 'children' should be in the genitive, to agree with the pronoun rov's, but it is put into the nominative/accusative partly because 'children' is at least the subject of the second verb.

Anacoloutha involving nominal and adjectival relative clauses will be examined elsewhere (8.1.1 and 8.2.1).

### 2.3 NUMBER

Modern Greek has two numbers: singular and plural. There are no traces of the ancient dual, nor are there survivals of ancient words referring to two terms (cf. E both), except for a tiny number of rarely used learned words such as á $\mu \phi \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \rho o l$ 'both (adj.)' (only used in the plural).

Most nouns have both singular and plural. Most nouns denoting substances (mass-nouns) have plural as well as singular, the former (if it
refers to a liquid) regularly denoting a (usually large) quantity of the substance: tó aija 'blood' (the substance in abstracto, or in the body), pl. $\tau$ á aü $\mu$ a a 'blood' (a quantity of blood, e.g. pouring from a body or spilled on the ground). Many mass-nouns referring to solids have two meanings in the singular-the substance and an object made of that substance-only the latter having a plural: $\grave{\eta} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \tau \rho a$ 'stone (substance); the stone (object)', $\mu \dot{a} \pi \epsilon ̇ \tau \rho a ~ ' a ~ s t o n e ', ~ p l . ~ o i ~ \pi є ́ \tau \rho \epsilon \varsigma ~ '(t h e) ~ s t o n e s ' ; ~ \tau o ́ ~$ $\psi \omega \mu i$ 'bread (substance); the loaf', èva $\psi \omega \mu i$ 'a loaf of bread', pl. $\tau \dot{a}$ $\psi \omega \mu \dot{a}$ '(the) loaves'.

Many abstract nouns are similarly both countable and uncountable, depending on their meaning: $\tau \dot{\alpha} \phi \dot{\omega} \varsigma$ 'light (substance); the light (=
 ness; the difficulty', $\mu$ lá $\delta v \sigma к о \lambda i a ~ ' a ~ d i f f i c u l t y ', ~ p l . ~ o i ~ \delta v \sigma к о \lambda i \epsilon s ~ ' t h e ~$
 '(the) acts of sabotage'. There are few uncountable collective nouns: compare the following with their uncountable English equivalents:

 (sing. $\pi а \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda o ́ v \iota$ 'pair of trousers'); oi $\pi \lambda \eta \rho о ф о \rho i \epsilon s$ 'information' (sing. $\pi \lambda \eta \rho о ф о \rho i a$ 'piece of information').

Nevertheless, many abstract nouns are normally uncountable: e.g. $\grave{\eta} \gamma a \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \eta$ 'calm, serenity', $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} i \rho \dot{\eta} \nu \eta$ 'peace'. Other nouns with singular only include $\delta$ voús 'mind', and most names of places (although in poetry one occasionally finds plurals of singular place-names: also cf. the colloquial ä $\sigma \epsilon \mu \epsilon \mu \epsilon$ ' $\tau$ 'ऽ Ev̉j $\tilde{\pi} \pi \epsilon \varsigma \sigma o v$ 'stop going on at me about Europe': lit. 'leave me with your Europes').

Few nouns in MG exist only in the plural. There are very few summation plurals such as $\tau$ á kıá入ıa 'binoculars'. Most nouns that have no singular are either place-names (oi $\Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o i '$ 'Delphi', oi $\Sigma \pi \epsilon \in \tau \sigma \epsilon \varsigma$ 'Spetses', $\tau a ́ ~ \Gamma a ́ v \nu w a ~ ' Y a n n i n a ’), ~ o r ~ d e n o t e ~ c e l e b r a t i o n s ~(~ \tau a ́ ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \vartheta \lambda \lambda a ~ ' b i r t h d a y ', ~$
 àváкторa '(royal) palace'. Many nouns have a different (or additional) meaning in the plural, including some mentioned in another chapter (4.5.1.3); other examples: $\dot{\eta}$ סєакоп $\dot{\eta}$ 'interruption, break', pl. oi $\delta \iota a$ котє́ऽ 'interruptions, breaks; holidays'; $\eta$ à $\rho \chi \eta$ 'beginning; principle', pl. oi à $\rho \chi$ és 'beginnings; principles; the authorities' (also note that oi $\dot{a} \rho \chi e ́ \varsigma, \tau a ́ ~ \mu e ́ \sigma \sigma a, ~ a n d ~ \tau a ́ ~ \tau e ́ \lambda \eta ~ ‘ b e g i n n i n g ', ~ ' m i d d l e ', ~ a n d ~ ' e n d ’-a l l ~ p l u r a l ~$ -are regularly followed by a genitive denoting a year, month, etc.); тó roámца 'letter (of alphabet, or item of correspondence)', pl. $\tau$ á रоá $\mu \mu a \tau a$ 'letters (both meanings); reading, writing, learning'.

Just as the masculine of pronouns and some animate nouns is unmarked for gender, and the feminine marked, so the singular is unmarked for number and the plural marked. Thus, kámows $\phi \omega \nu a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota$ 'someone's calling/shouting' or moo's eival; 'who is it?' may refer to one or more persons. The singular is frequently used for the plural in general statements:
 is soft and thirsty as grass' (Sef. 1967: 306);
 Greek(s) eat(s) more macaroni than the Italian(s)';
(3) $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o ̛ \tau \epsilon \rho o ~ \mu o v ̃ ~ a ̀ \rho e ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \tau o ́ ~ a ̈ \lambda o \gamma o ~ \pi a \rho a ́ ~ \tau o ́ ~ \mu o v \lambda a ́ \rho \iota ~ ' I ~ l i k e ~$ horses (or 'the horse') better than mules (or 'the mule')';
(4) $\grave{\eta} \nu \tau о \mu a ́ \tau a ~ Є ̈ \chi \in \iota ~ \tau \rho ı a ́ v \tau a ~ \delta \rho a \chi \mu \epsilon ́ s ~ \tau o ́ ~ к ı \lambda o ́ ~ ' t o m a t o e s ~ a r e ~ t h i r t y ~$ drachmas a kilo.'

In the first three examples, the singular may be taken to refer either to a specific instance or to a general truth; in (4), tomatoes are recategorized as a substance.

Syntactically, an adjective agrees in number with the noun or pronoun it modifies, and the verb agrees with the number of its subject(s). In practice, however, there are some exceptions, particularly when a word agrees with the number of its referent rather than with the relevant word in the clause.

A prime example of this is found in words modifying the subject of a verb (or its associated personal pronouns) in the polite plural, where this subject is a single person. The second person plural of the verb and personal pronoun may be used when addressing more than one person, or as a polite address of one person. The polite plural is not used as frequently in MG as it is in French, but is regularly found in the conversation of educated adults. Children hardly use it, and young people tend to avoid it except when being especially polite (e.g. to a teacher). Middle-class adults, on the other hand, use it regularly among themselves unless and until they have passed beyond a threshold of familiarity, which may happen at any time (even during their first meeting), or not at all. Usage depends very much on the individual, and some adults have a habit of addressing anyone younger than themselves in the singular from the beginning of their first meeting; it is also quite common to find a person using a singular to a collocutor who is addressing him/her in the plural. The second person singular is used not only as an informal address between people, but also by advertisers who want
to reach a popular audience; for smarter products, advertisers address potential customers in the second plural (with either singular or plural adjectives). The general trend is towards the retrenchment of the polite plural as relations among people in middle-class Greek society become more informal. Linguistically, any word that modifies the subject of a polite plural or its associated pronouns agrees with the number and sex of the person addressed:
 subject (masc. sing.)?';
(6) єïđтє $\pi 0 \lambda v$ ' $\kappa a \lambda \eta$ 'you are (pl.) very good/kind (fem. sing.)';
(7) $\sigma a ̃ \varsigma ~ \beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \omega ~ к о v \rho a \sigma \mu e ́ v o ~ \sigma \eta ́ \mu \epsilon \rho a ~ ' y o u ~ l o o k ~ t i r e d ~ t o d a y ’ ~(l i t . ~$ 'I see you (pl.) tired (masc. sing.) today.'
Other exceptions to the agreement rule arise when there is (or is felt to be) more than one noun modified by the adjective, or more than one subject of the verb.

We have already mentioned the problem of the agreement of an adjective with more than one noun when examining gender (2.1.3). As far as number is concerned, two nouns may cause the adjective to appear in the plural (especially when the adjective does not immediately precede the nouns), or, alternatively, the adjective may agree with the noun closest to it:
 (fem. sing.) and songs (neuter plural)'.
In the converse case, where two adjectives modify one noun, the noun is normally singular when it would have been singular if modified by one adjective:
 (sing.) and (the) nineteenth (sing.) centuries (sing.)' (= o

 (sing.) hand[s] (sing.)'.

A different phenomenon is exemplified by phrases such as:
 (pl.) than one (sing.) good (pl.) poem (pl.)',
in which the noun and its accompanying adjective are in the plural (despite the numeral 'one') because of the plural adjective 'more' and because in reality there were at least two poems.

Attraction of a verb into a different number by the referent of its subject is found in the utterance,
(12) $\vartheta a ́ ~ ф u ́ \gamma o u v ~ o ̀ ~ к o ́ \sigma \mu о s ~ ' p e o p l e ~(s i n g) ~ w i l l ~ l e a v e ~.(p l) ',$.
which is normally considered to be less correct than фúyधl (sing.): in (12), the verb is plural because the speaker thinks of 'people' as consisting of many persons. One does not find many examples of such usage in MG (contrast British English 'the government are', 'the police are', etc.). Nevertheless, other instances of attraction are frequent. Examples of grammatically singular subject and plural verb are the following:
 кoıvó oxé $\delta \ldots$ 'the Greek and the Turkish representative[s] (sing.) have proposed (pl.) a joint plan' ( $\leftarrow \mathrm{O}^{\circ} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu a \rho \dot{a} \nu \tau \iota-$

 (sing.) after the other (sing.) has (pl.) confirmed this' ( $\leftarrow \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$

 '(the) 90 per cent (sing.) of (the) problems contain (pl.) their own solution’ ( $\leftarrow \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho a \quad \pi \rho o \beta \lambda \eta \dot{\mu} \mu \tau a \quad \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon ́ \chi o v \nu$ . . . 'most problems contain . . .').

All these examples are felt to be quite acceptable.
Sometimes two subjects may be attached to a singular verb; this construction is especially frequent when the verb precedes:

but it is occasionally found with a following verb:
 $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \tau \eta ̃ s$ Néas $\Delta \eta \mu o \kappa \rho a \tau i a s$ 'anxiety and worry have become (sing.) a permanent syndrome of the deputies of New Democracy [political party]' (T 9 Aug. 1979, 8).

Example (16) is quite normal; in (17) a plural verb could have been used, but then the definite article would probably (but not necessarily) have been inserted before the second noun: as it is, 'anxiety-and-worry' is clearly being considered as a composite unit. The converse of this is
found in utterances where two or more singular subjects separated by $\ddot{\eta}$ 'or' or oürt 'nor' have a plural verb:
(18) оӥтє ò Tákŋऽ oüтє ò Єávos $\delta \in ́ v ~ \eta ̉ \rho \vartheta \vartheta a \nu ~ ' n e i t h e r ~ T a k i s ~ n o r ~$ Thanos came (pl.)';
 someone (fem.) decided (pl.) that . . $\therefore$ : a kind of construction often used by feminist speakers).

With oüte a singular verb would be unusual; with $\ddot{\eta}$ it would be quite common.

Occasionally one finds sentences in which a collective noun is the subject of two or more verbs, the first being in the singular and subsequent verbs being in the plural, the speaker by this time having abandoned the grammatical number of the subject for the sake of the number of real referents:
 ni'Sovv tis кatच yesterday before the magistrate, and they face (pl.) the charges.'

### 2.4 PERSON

There are three persons in MG, the first person plural denoting a combination of first and second, first and third, or all three; and the second person plural being used when addressing more than one person or for a combination of second and third persons, but also when talking politely to a single person (see 2.3).

Apart from its chief use, the second person singular of the verb is used colloquially when the subject is indefinite, being replaced in more formal usage by the third person singular + кaveis 'one' (cf. French on), which in this meaning is always grammatically masculine:
 you'll find whatever you like',
which could mean,
 to Paris one will find whatever one [lit. 'he'] likes.'

The use of the emphatic forms of the second-person pronoun èov, $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon \in v a$ would however preclude the possibility of impersonal meaning.

The third person plural of the verb is also used impersonally, especially in circumstances where the agent is unknown or simply unspecified:
(2) $\pi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma ~ к \rho a \tau o u ̃ \nu ~ \tau \eta ' ~ \rho a к \epsilon ́ \tau a ; ~ ' h o w ~ d o e s ~ o n e ~ h o l d ~ t h e ~ r a c k e t ? ' ; ~$
(3) tóv $\pi$ ráaave 'he's been caught/arrested' (lit. 'they've caught him': see further 3.1.2.1).

The third person of the verb can be used, as has been seen, in questions of the kind, mooos eival; 'who is it?'. It is also used as a sign of politeness by waiters, shop assistants, etc.:
(4) $\tau i \vartheta \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \iota \grave{~ o ̀ v ́ \rho \omega \varsigma ; ~ ' w h a t ~ d o e s ~ t h e ~ g e n t l e m a n ~ w a n t ? ' . ~}$

The first person plural of the verb is often used in recipes:
(5) $\pi a i \rho \nu o v \mu \epsilon 250 \gamma \rho$. à $\epsilon \epsilon \dot{\rho} \rho \iota$ каí $\mu \iota \sigma o ́ ~ к \iota \lambda o ́ ~ \gamma a ́ \lambda a, ~ \tau a ́ ~ a ̀ v a к а \tau \epsilon v ́-~$ ov $\mu \epsilon$. . . '(we) take 250 g . of flour and half a kilo of milk, (we) stir them . . .';
this is an alternative to the plural of the imperative. It is also used by heads of state to refer to themselves, in which case adjectives modifying the subject are singular if the referent is a single person (as with polite plurals, 2.3). There is also a 'first person plural of community' (Tzartzanos 1946:54), used in addressing someone, to express either solidarity with the addressee or a slight reprimand (it is also a useful way of avoiding a sometimes difficult choice between second singular and second plural):
(6) $\tau i$ ка́vovuє $\sigma^{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho a$; 'how are you [lit. 'we'] today?';
 ashamed a little?');
(8) $\mu \pi \dot{\eta} \kappa a \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\omega} \rho a$; 'have you got it [i.e. understood] now?' (lit. 'have we entered now?').

Verbs in the first or second person may have nouns as their overt subjects: these nouns in fact stand in apposition to the (unstated) pronoun subjects:
 'there are/were twenty-two of us working on this/that [TV] programme' (lit. '. . . we-collaborate(d) twenty-two people (nom.)').

On the other hand, with a verb in the first or second person plural, the
speaker may state the third-person subject(s) but not the first/second, which has to be understood from the verb:
(10) $\sigma \tau o ́ ~ ф o v ̃ \rho \nu o ~ \delta o v \lambda \epsilon v ́ a \mu \epsilon ~ o ̀ ~ \mu \pi a ́ \rho \mu \pi a-\sum \tau a ́ \vartheta \eta \varsigma, ~ \mu a ́ ~ \lambda \epsilon v ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \eta ~ к o ́ \rho \eta ~$
 Stathis, an unmarried daughter of his, Virginia, (and) his youngest son [and I] worked (first pl.) at the bakery' (Ven. 1969: 197).

And often one of the existential subjects of a plural verb may be placed in a prepositional phrase introduced by $\mu$ ' 'with' (see 3.1.2.3, example (9a)).

There is a choice between first/second and third persons in relative clauses in sentences of the type 'I am the first/only (person) who . . .': contrast (11) and (12):
(11) $\epsilon \dot{\mu} \mu a \iota$ ò $\pi \rho \bar{\omega} \tau o \varsigma \pi o v ́ \eta j \rho \vartheta \epsilon$ 'I am the first [one] who came (3rd person)' (Ber. 1973: 128);
 the first to announce it' (lit. 'it-happened to I-am the first who it I-announced' (B 19 Oct. 1979).

### 2.4.1 IMPERSONAL VERBS

Exclusively impersonal verbs (i.e. those which exist only in the third person singular) are few in MG: e.g. $\pi \rho \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \iota$ 'it is necessary', גюvi乡є 'it snows', $\pi \rho o ́ k e \iota \tau a l ~ ' i t ~ i s ~ a ~ q u e s t i o n ~(o f) ; ~ b e ~ a b o u t ~(t o) ' . ~ P h r a s e s ~ c o n-~$ sisting of copula + neuter singular adjective should be added to these: e.g. eival סuvaróv 'it is possible'. There are other verbs which exist only in the third person, but which may form a plural: e.g. $\sigma 0 \mu \beta a i \omega \in \iota$ 'it occurs', ov $\mu \beta a i v o v \nu ~ ' t h e y ~ o c c u r ' . ~$

Verbs which may be used impersonally, but have other persons too are far more numerous. A few verbs are normally used impersonally, but may be used personally in the same meaning: one of these is roxaivel 'it happens (that)'; compare example (12) in 2.4. with the following:
 see that play'.

Other verbs vary in meaning according to whether they are used personally or impersonally: $\beta \rho \dot{\chi} \chi \omega$ 'I moisten', $\beta \rho \epsilon ́ \chi \in \iota$ '( $s$ )he/it moistens;

'I become'; riveral '(s)he/it becomes; it happens; it is done' (in the last meaning, which is not impersonal, the plural may be used); фaivoual 'I appear (+ complement); I am visible'; фaivetal '(s)he/it appears; (s)he/it is visible; it seems'.

In fact, Warburton (1979) has shown that certain of these verbs are impersonal only in the sense that they have a clause rather than a person as their subject ( $\pi \rho \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \iota, \sigma \nu \mu \beta a i \nu \epsilon \iota, \mu \pi о \rho \epsilon і ̈, \gamma i v \in \tau a l, \phi a i \nu \epsilon \tau a \iota$ ). In this respect the impersonal $\mu \pi 0 \rho \in i$ contrasts syntactically with the personal $\mu \pi o \rho \dot{\omega}$, in that the latter has the vá-clause as its object. Warburton also argues convincingly that the impersonal $\mu \pi о \rho \epsilon i, \phi a i v \in \tau a l$, etc., should be treated as quite separate verbs from the personal $\mu \pi \sigma \rho \bar{\omega}$, $\phi a i \nu o \mu a l$, etc. For instance, personal $\mu \pi о \rho \tilde{\omega}$ requires an animate subject, and the verb(s) in the václause must have the same subject; impersonal $\mu \pi \sigma \rho \in i \quad$ can be followed by a vá-clause with a verb in any person and with an animate or inanimate subject. Again, personal фaivoual (in which the speaker describes someone's or something's appearance) normally requires the existential subject to be present at the time of utterance; while impersonal фaiveral has no such requirement, and simply expresses the speaker's impression (which may or may not be based on the existential subject's appearance).

Because of the flexibility of MG word order, the subject of a subordinate clause following an impersonal verb may appear before the main verb and seem to be its subject; if this subject is anything but singular and third person, it will appear not to agree with the main verb:
(2a) $\pi \rho \in ́ \pi \epsilon \epsilon \iota$ vá фúyouv $\tau$ á $\pi a \downarrow \delta ı a ́, ~ o r ~$
(2b) тá $\pi a \imath \delta \dot{1} \pi \rho \in ́ \pi \epsilon \iota ~ \nu a ́ ~ ф u ̛ \gamma o u v ~ ' t h e ~ c h i l d r e n ~ m u s t ~ l e a v e . ' ~$
The difference in meaning between these two sentences is that in (2a) the emphasis falls on the subject, while in (2b) it falls on the verb of the subordinate clause. In (2b) the subject of the second verb has been placed before $\pi \rho \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \iota$, but is not its subject. This becomes clearer if $\mu \pi о \rho \epsilon i$ is substituted for $\pi \rho \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \iota$ in (2b):
(3a) тá $\pi a \downarrow \delta i ́ a ́ ~ \mu \pi o \rho \epsilon i ̃ ~ v a ́ ~ ф u ́ \gamma o v \nu, ~$
(3b) $\tau$ á $\pi a \downarrow \delta \dot{a} \mu \pi o \rho o u ̄ \nu \nu a ́ ~ \phi u ́ \gamma o v \nu ~ ' t h e ~ c h i l d r e n ~ m a y ~ l e a v e . ' ~$
In (3a), in which the impersonal (singular) $\mu \pi о \rho \in i$ is used, the speaker is expressing the possibility that they may leave; in (3b), which contains the personal $\mu \pi 0 \rho o u v \nu$ (plural), (s)he is suggesting either that the children are allowed to leave or that they are capable of doing so.

This explains the apparent lack of concord between subject and verb (or between noun and adjective) in sentences such as the following:
(4) oi इoßıєткоí фaivetal vá тnןoũv тoús каขóves 'the Soviets seem (sing.) to be observing (pl.) the rules';
 $\tau o \dot{u}$ ' $\Sigma a \lambda a ́ v \tau \iota \mathrm{M} \pi \dot{\eta} \tau \varsigma$ ' 'the nudists were forbidden [or, 'have been forbidden'] (sing.) to approach (pl.) the beaches of the Salandi Beach [Hotel] in future' (T 25 June 1981, 16) (àmaropev́c 'I forbid' does not take an animate direct object);
 that were worth preserving' (lit. '. . . which it-was-worth to they-be-preserved');
 $\dot{v} \pi \delta \dot{\vartheta} \epsilon \sigma \eta$ 'it is known (neuter) how much his team (fem.) was involved in this affair.'

Finally it should be mentioned that certain verbs which in the active may be used only in the third person singular ( $\xi \eta \mu \in \rho \omega \dot{\nu} \in \iota$ 'it dawns, day
 the passive with animate subjects:
 were benighted'] at a mountain village';

There is also a compound deponent verb $\xi \eta \mu \in \rho \circ \beta \rho a \delta \dot{\prime}\{0 \mu a i$ :
(9) av̇тós $\xi \eta \mu \epsilon \rho o ß \rho a \delta i a ́ \zeta \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ \sigma \tau o ́ ~ к а ф є \nu \epsilon i o ~ ' h e ~ s p e n d s ~ a l l ~ h i s ~ d a y ~$ [i.e. from dawn to dusk] at the café.'

## 3

## VOICE, ASPECT, AND TENSE

Throughout this chapter, reference may be made to the inflection tables in Appendix I.

### 3.1 VOICE AND TRANSITIVITY

Voices are distinguished formally in the MG verb: active and passive (the latter is often labelled 'medio-passive', since it combines morphological and semantic characteristics of the AG middle and passive voices). As happens in other languages, however, the morphological categories (voice) do not always coincide with the semantic categories (diathesis), and there are active verbs in MG which do not denote action on the part of the subject, just as there are so-called 'deponent' verbs which, while they exist only in a passive form, have an active meaning; there are also verbs which, although their active forms take a direct object, do not form a passive; while other transitive verbs form a passive with a different meaning. Let us examine first the most normal uses of the two morphological voices.

### 3.1.1 THE ACTIVE

Generally speaking, the subject of an active verb is the agent or experiencer of the action or state denoted by the verb:

(2) èvtぃoa $\mu$ iá $\lambda a \chi \tau a ́ \rho a$ 'I had [lit. 'felt'] a fright' (experiencer).

But the grammatical subject of an active verb is often the object or patient of the action denoted by the verb:
(3) $\gamma к \rho \epsilon ́ \mu \iota \sigma \epsilon$ тó $\sigma \pi i \tau \iota$ 'the house collapsed' (cf. passive $\gamma \kappa \rho \epsilon \mu i-$ $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon$ тó $\sigma \pi i \tau \iota$ 'the house collapsed', or 'the house was demolished');
(4) $\lambda \epsilon \in \rho \omega \sigma \epsilon$ (act.) or $\lambda \epsilon \rho \omega \dot{\jmath} \vartheta \eta \kappa \epsilon$ (pass.) тó бакка́кı $\mu о v$ 'my jacket got dirty';
(5a) $\xi \in \beta \delta \dot{\omega} \nu \epsilon \iota$ (act.) 'it unscrews (= can be unscrewed)'; cf.
(5b) $\xi \in \beta \kappa \delta \dot{\omega} \vartheta \eta \kappa \epsilon$ (pass.) 'it has come unscrewed'.
Unlike the utterances in which the subject is the agent, those in which the grammatical subject is the experiencer, object, or patient can usually be paraphrased by sentences in which the subject has become the grammatical object:
(2a) $\mu \epsilon ́ \pi \tilde{\eta} \rho \in \mu$ Lá $\lambda a \chi \tau$ á $\rho a$ (lit. 'a fright took me');
(3a) tó $\gamma \kappa \rho \epsilon \mu i \sigma a v \epsilon$ tó $\sigma \pi i \tau \iota$ 'they demolished the house'; (5c) $\tau o ́ ~ \xi \in \beta i \delta \omega \sigma a$ 'I unscrewed it.'
(See also 3.1.3.)
With certain verbs, the active may have a factitive meaning:
(6) ëко廿a тá $\mu а \lambda \lambda a ́$ $\mu o v$ 'I cut my hair’ or 'I had my hair cut'

 thenon' (i.e. 'had it built').

### 3.1.2 THE PASSIVE

A verb in the morphological passive, unless it belongs to one of the types mentioned in 3.1.4.2 and 3.1.4.3, may be used with one or more of the following meanings: (a) truly passive (i.e. the grammatical subject is the object or patient of the action and the sentence may be replaced by an active one without change of meaning), (b) reflexive, or (c) reciprocal.

### 3.1.2.1 The true passive

It has been observed (e.g. by Warburton 1970b: 79-84; and 1975) that the true passive in MG is used most often when the agent is not explicitly stated (1a). If an agent is expressed, it is more likely to be inanimate or abstract (2a), and when an animate agent is specified, it is most likely to be indefinite (3a). If an animate agent is definite, it is more likely to be in the plural (4a); and the least likely use of the true passive is where a single animate agent is explicitly specified (5a). This last kind of sentence is more commonly found in official and journalistic styles (under katharevousa influence) than in everyday speech. In most cases a true passive can be replaced by an active which may be more acceptable in normal usage ( $1 \mathrm{~b}-5 \mathrm{~b}$ ):
(1a) ó Гávขךऽ бкот $\dot{\jmath} \vartheta \eta \kappa \epsilon ~ \sigma \tau o ́ \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu o ~ ‘ J o h n ~ w a s ~ k i l l e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ w a r ' ; ~ ;$
(lb) $\tau o ́ ~ \Gamma \grave{a} \nu \nu \eta ~ \tau o ́ v ~ \sigma к о \tau \omega ่ \sigma a \nu \epsilon ~ \sigma \tau o ́ v ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu o ~(l i t . ~ ' t h e y ~ k i l l e d ~ J o h n ~ i n ~$ the war');
 by the wind';
(2b) $\tau \alpha ́ \delta e ́ v \tau \rho a ~ \tau a ́ ~ \xi \epsilon \rho i \xi \omega \sigma \epsilon$ ò ă $\nu \epsilon \mu o s$ 'the wind uprooted the trees' (an abstract noun as subject here would be less likely);
 [men]';
(3b) $\tau \dot{\eta}$ Mapia $\tau \dot{\eta} \phi i \lambda \eta \sigma a \nu \pi o \lambda \lambda o i$ 'many [men] have kissed Mary';
 the Germans';
(4b) tó 「ávvך тóv бкотஸ́aave oi Гє $\rho \mu a \nu o i '$ 'the Germans killed John';
 John';

Warburton further points out that àm before an inanimate or abstract agent (as in (2a)), is more instrumental or causative than agentive: this explains why $\dot{a} \pi o ́$ may be replaced by $\mu \epsilon ́$ in many utterances:
 'Corinth was represented formerly by [lit. 'with'] Pancorinthiakos [football team]' (T 27 Sept. 1979),
and especially after verbs expressing emotion:
(7) $\epsilon \dot{v} \chi a \rho \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \vartheta \eta \kappa a$ à $\pi \dot{\prime} / \mu \epsilon ́$ ‘I was pleased by’, or
(8) $\sigma_{\gamma} \kappa \omega \eta ่ \vartheta \eta \kappa а$ à $\pi o ́ / \mu \epsilon ́ ~ ' I ~ w a s ~ m o v e d ~ b y ' . ~$

Often the passive in MG is process orientated rather than agent orientated:
(9) av̇тó тó $\delta \omega \mu a ́ \tau \iota o ~ \zeta є \sigma \tau a i v є \tau a \iota ~ \epsilon ঠ ̈ к о \lambda a ~ ' t h i s ~ r o o m ~ h e a t s ~[l i t . ~$ 'is heated'] easily'.

### 3.1.2.2 The passive with reflexive meaning

Although reflexive action may, when the subject is animate, be expressed by means of an active verb with $\tau o ́ v ~ e ̀ a v \tau o ́ ~(~ \mu o v ~ e t c) ~ a s ~ d i r e c t$. object (e.g.
（1a）$\vartheta \epsilon \omega \rho \epsilon i \tau o ́ v ~ \in ̇ a v \tau o ́ ~ \tau o v ~ a ̀ \rho \mu o ́ \delta ı o ~ ' h e ~ c o n s i d e r s ~ h i m s e l f ~ c o m p e t e n t ': ~$ cf．passive form，
（1b）$\vartheta \epsilon \omega \rho \epsilon i \tau a \iota ~ a ̀ \rho \mu o ́ \delta \omega s$＇he is considered competent＇），
with certain common verbs，and only when the context is unambiguous， the passive form may be used instead：
 the mirror＇；but cf．
（2b）$\pi \tilde{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \sigma \pi \dot{\eta} \nu$＇A $\vartheta \dot{\eta} \nu a \operatorname{\nu á~кoı\tau a\chi \tau \epsilon í~'(s)he~went~to~Athens~to~be~}$ looked at［by a doctor］＇；
（3）vtúgov кai $\pi \lambda$ v́бov＇get dressed and washed＇．
There are some verbs whose passive form can only have a reflexive meaning（e．g．$\sigma \eta \kappa \omega \dot{\omega} \nu \omega$＇I lift＇，pass．$\sigma \eta \kappa \dot{\omega} \nu o \mu a \iota$＇I get up＇）．On the other hand，a passive verb which would normally be interpreted as reflexive might be interpreted as factitive in the appropriate context（e．g．$\xi v \rho i \zeta o-$ $\mu a \iota ~ ' I ~ s h a v e ~[m y s e l f] ', ~ b u t ~ \xi ̀ v o i § o \mu a \iota ~ o \tau o ́ v ~ k o u p e ́ a ~ ' I ~ a m ~ s h a v e d ~ b y ~ t h e ~$ barber，I have a shave at the barber＇s＇）．One way of making the reflexive meaning unambiguous is to add $\mu \delta \nu o s(\mu 0 v)$＇by（my）self＇：
（4）$\sigma \kappa о \tau \omega ่ \vartheta \eta \kappa \epsilon \mu o ́ \nu \eta \tau \eta s$＇she killed herself＇．
Alternatively，the prefix au̇zo－may be attached to a passive verb（e．g． a⿱̇токата⿱亠ть́́фєтаl＇（s）he＇s destroying him／herself＇）．

Another way of expressing reflexive action，which is however rare，is confined to verbs of perception or фavtá\}oual 'I imagine':
 $\delta e ́ ~ \vartheta a ́ ~ \mu o v ̃ a ̆ p \epsilon \sigma \epsilon ~ ' I ~ c a n ' t ~ i m a g i n e ~ m y s e l f ~ w o r k i n g ~ i n ~ a ~ j o b ~$ I didn＇t［lit．＇wouldn＇t＇］like＇：
here the clitic pronoun is used for $\tau \delta v \dot{\varepsilon}$ èv $\quad \dot{\prime} \mu o v$ ．

## 3．1．2．3 The passive with reciprocal meaning

Subjects which perform an action on each other may take a passive verb （usually in the plural），as long as the context is clear：

（2）$\vartheta a ́ ~ \tau \eta \lambda \epsilon \phi \omega \nu \eta \vartheta o u ̈ \mu \epsilon$＇we＇ll phone each other＇（despite the fact that $\tau \eta \lambda \epsilon \phi \omega \nu \omega$ is intransitive and takes only an indirect object）．

The singular may be found with a collective noun as subject：
(3) $\tau o ́ ~ \zeta \epsilon v \gamma a ́ \rho \iota ~ \phi \iota \lambda \grave{\eta} \vartheta \eta \kappa \epsilon$ 'the couple kissed'.

The interpretation put on a verb with passive form is often independent of the context, but is conditioned by the verb itself: e.g. $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon$ will hardly be interpreted as meaning anything but 'you see each other', while àкoúy $\epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon$ will be understood to mean 'you can be heard'. Reciprocal meaning may be made unambiguous by the use of $\mu \in \tau a \xi v ं ~ \tau o v s$ 'between/among them' after an active or passive verb (active if the verb is intransitive, passive if not), or by means of the reciprocal prefix $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o$ - (sometimes $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda$-before vowels):
(4) $\mu o \dot{a}\} o v \nu \mu \epsilon \tau a \xi \in \dot{c} \tau o v s$ 'they resemble each other';
 each other' (cf. $\pi \epsilon \varphi a \zeta \delta \mu a \sigma \tau \epsilon$ 'we are [easily] teased/ annoyed').

The prefix $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o$ - is normally used only with verbs of moderately learned origin, and almost always with verbs in the passive (but cf. active $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o \epsilon \pi \kappa \delta \rho o v ̃ \nu$ 'they interact'); again, it is normally used with verbs which in the active are transitive (but cf. $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o \epsilon \xi a \rho \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \tau a l$ 'they are interdependent'). Alternatively, the expression of reciprocation may be achieved by means of a singular or plural verb in the active followed by $\delta$ ĕvas $\tau o ́ \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda o \nu$ (lit. 'the one the other'), in the appropriate cases:
 looked at one another';
 'they're approaching one another';
 'they don't talk to each other'.

When two or more agents in a reciprocal sentence are specified, the normal construction is one in which the verb is plural, and one of the agents is given after $\mu$ ' 'with':
 I met in the street'; cf.
 street'.

In journalistic style, the singular is often used in a reciprocal sense:


Minister yesterday met [lit. 'was-met with'] Mr Schmidt' (the active ovváviŋŋбє would imply a chance meeting).

### 3.1.3 TRANSITIVITY

Although something has so far been said in passing about transitive and intransitive verbs, it is necessary to examine transitivity in MG in greater detail. The situation is especially complex in that there is a considerable fluidity in this area of semantics and syntax; speakers and writers often feel free to produce new variations on the constructions that are normally found with a given verb.

Theoretically, MG verbs can be placed in the various categories that have been applied to English, according to the most frequent construction(s) found with each verb. In practice, however, there is so much variation that such categorization may seem futile. Nevertheless, let us begin by making some broad distinctions.

There are verbs in MG which are normally intransitive and others which are normally transitive. The intransitive verbs (those which take neither object nor complement) include $\dot{v} \pi a ́ \rho \chi \omega$ 'I exist', épxoual 'I come', $\kappa \rho v \omega \dot{\nu} \omega$ 'I am/get/catch cold', $\kappa о \nu \tau \epsilon v \dot{\omega} \omega$ 'I come close', $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \phi \tau \omega$ 'I fall', $\phi \epsilon ́ \gamma \gamma \omega$ 'I shine', $\beta \dot{\eta} \chi \omega$ 'I cough', à $\kappa \omega \eta \tau \tilde{\omega}$ 'I am motionless', etc.

Transitive verbs include those which take complements (intensive verbs) and those which take direct objects (extensive verbs). The former include not only copulas such as cijual 'I am', but also certain verbs which may otherwise be intransitive or extensive: e.g. $\mu a \vartheta a i \omega \omega$ 'I learn' (normally extensive): $\mu a \vartheta a i \nu \omega$ ко $\mu \omega \dot{\tau} \boldsymbol{\rho}$ 'I'm learning [to be a] hairdresser'; $\delta \eta \lambda \omega \omega \omega$ 'I state, declare' (normally extensive): $\delta \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \sigma \epsilon$ raiaoós '(s)he stated [that (s)he was a] doctor' (e.g. on his/her passport); àva入außávف 'I undertake, take on/up' (normally extensive):
 fleet'; $\delta o v \lambda \epsilon v ́ \omega ~ ‘ I ~ w o r k ’ ~(n o r m a l l y ~ i n t r a n s i t i v e): ~ \delta o v \lambda \epsilon v ́ \omega ~ \tau \zeta a \mu a ́ s ~$ 'I work [as a] glazier'.

Extensive transitive verbs include those which in most circumstances must be used with a direct object (e.g. $\kappa \lambda \in ́ \in \beta \omega$ 'I steal', à $\gamma \gamma i \zeta \omega$ 'I touch', à $о$ opás $\omega$ 'I buy'), and those whose object may be deleted (e.g. фоßäuaı 'I fear; I'm afraid', $\lambda v \pi a \check{\mu a l}$ 'I pity; I'm sorry', $\tau \rho \omega \omega$ 'I eat; I'm eating', סcaßájb 'I read; I'm reading', and verbs of perception, such as àкоv́ш 'I hear; I listen (to)'). Nevertheless, even those verbs which normally require a direct object may be used without if the object is readily understood from the linguistic or situational context: if two people are playing ball, one may shout to the other, 'pi $\epsilon \epsilon$ !' (lit. 'throw!'), the
object 'the ball' being understood. Some of these transitive verbs must be accompanied by an adverbial, others not; some verbs may alter their meaning according to whether an adverbial is present or not: e.g. $\beta \dot{\jmath} \zeta \omega$ 'I put; I put on':
 new suit in the wardrobe';

There are a few verbs in MG which take two direct objects. One of these is $\delta \delta \delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega$ 'I teach':

When both the objects are expressed by clitic pronouns, however, one of them must appear in the genitive (according to the rules concerning clitics: see 6.3.1.1):
(2a) $\grave{\eta}$ Ko入є́ $\tau \mu о \bar{\tau} \tau a ́ \delta i \delta a \xi \xi \epsilon$ 'Colette taught me it [lit. 'them']'.
In the following examples, (3) may be passivized in two different ways, with either of the objects becoming the subject of the passive sentence (as in English: Warburton 1977b: 280):
(3) $\delta \delta \delta a ́ \sigma \kappa o v \nu \tau a ́ ~ \pi a \imath \delta a ́ ~ \gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau \kappa k \grave{\eta}$ 'they are teaching the children grammar' (or, in another context: 'the children are teaching grammar');
 to the children';
 taught grammar.'

Other verbs taking two direct objects include $\kappa \epsilon \rho \nu a \dot{\omega} \omega$ 'I treat' (which cannot be passivized):
(4) $\mu \epsilon ́ ~ к \epsilon ́ \rho a \sigma \epsilon ~ \epsilon ̆ v a ~ o v ̉ צ a ́ k ı ~ '(s) h e ~ t r e a t e d ~ m e ~[t o] ~ a n ~ o u z o ’ . ~$

A number of verbs are ditransitive, i.e. they take both a direct and an indirect object (e.g. $\delta \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ 'I give'). The same kind of construction often occurs with кáv $\omega$ 'I make, I do' (of which the passive version is rivoual, with the direct object of $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$ becoming the subject):
 'of-him they-made washing of-brain');
 him it-happened washing of-brain').

Some transitive (including ditransitive) verbs are used with object complements (such usages should not be confused with double direct objects):
(6) $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \pi \dot{\eta} \rho a \nu \pi \omega \lambda \dot{\eta} \tau \rho a$ 'they took her [on as a] salesgirl' (simple transitive);
(7) ì MOULINEX oãs кávєı $\delta \dot{\omega} \rho o$ кai $\mu a ́ ~ s ̧ \gamma \gamma a \rho ı a ́ ~ W A Y-~$ MASTER 'Moulinex also gives you a free Waymaster weighing machine' (ditransitive: $\sigma \tilde{a} s$ is the indirect object, $\delta \dot{\omega} \rho o$ the direct object, with $\mu \dot{a}$ şyapiá as object complement).

Another construction which resembles the double direct object is the direct object followed by a noun used adverbially in the accusative:
(8) $\tau$ ó $\gamma \in ́ \mu \iota \sigma \epsilon \lambda a ́ \delta \iota$ '(s)he filled it [with] oil';
(9) $\vartheta a ́ ~ \pi a ́ \omega ~ \tau a ́ ~ \pi a w i ́ a ́ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ́ \pi a \tau o ~ ' I ' l l ~ t a k e ~ t h e ~ k i d s ~ f o r ~ a ~ w a l k ' ~(l i t . ~$ 'I'll go the children walk') (cf. (16b)).

Similar constructions are found with the same verbs in intransitive use:
(8a) $\gamma \in ́ \mu \iota \sigma \epsilon \lambda a ́ \delta \iota ~ ' i t ~ b e c a m e ~ f u l l ~[o f] ~ o i l ' ~(c f . ~ \gamma є \mu a ́ \tau o ~ \lambda a ́ \delta \iota ~ ' f u l l ~$ [of] oil');
(9a) ७á $\pi a ́ \omega \pi \epsilon \rho i \pi a \tau o ~ ' I ' l l ~ g o ~ f o r ~ a ~ w a l k . ' ~$
The fact that neither of the adverbial nouns ( $\lambda$ ádı, $\pi \epsilon \rho i \pi a \tau o$ ) may be replaced by clitic pronouns in any of these examples indicates that they are not objects of the verbs. Similarly, in
 seven kilos'),
$\dot{\epsilon} \phi \tau \dot{a}$ кı入á is an accusative of measurement, not a direct object of the verb.

We have already mentioned some verbs which may be used transitively or intransitively. There is a large number of such verbs, and various types of relationship exist between their transitive and intransitive uses. Verbs such as $\gamma \kappa \rho \epsilon \mu i \zeta \omega$ and $\lambda \epsilon \rho \omega \dot{\nu} \omega$ (3.1.1, examples (3) and (4)) may be used transitively (with patient as object) or intransitively (with patient as subject), and also possess a passive (whose meaning is hardly different from the intransitive active); others (such as $\xi \in \beta \delta \omega \dot{\omega} \omega$ : 3.1.1, example (5)), have a transitive or an intransitive (potential) meaning in the active, and also possess a true passive.

The largest group of verbs which may be used either transitively or intransitively consists of those which do not have a passive and in
which, without the real situation necessarily being altered, the object of the transitive verb (the patient) may become the subject of the intransitive. The action of the transitive verb is causative, that of the intransitive is passive or reflexive:
(11a) ävoıそa $\tau \dot{\eta} v \pi \delta \rho \tau a$ 'I opened the door' ('I caused it to open');
(11b) ävoı $\epsilon \dot{\eta} \pi \delta \dot{\rho} \tau a$ 'the door opened' ('it was caused to open', 'it opened itself');
(12a) äva $\psi a \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda a ́ \mu \pi a$ 'I lit the lamp';
(12b) äva廿є $\dot{\eta} \lambda a ́ \mu \pi a$ 'the lamp lit up';
(13a) бтаца́тทба тó траĩo 'I stopped the train';
(13b) $\sigma \tau а \mu a ́ \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon ~ \tau o ́ ~ \tau \rho a i ̈ \nu o ~ ' t h e ~ t r a i n ~ s t o p p e d ' ; ~ ;$



(15b) छúm $\nu \eta \sigma \epsilon \delta \gamma$ ós $\mu \circ v$ 'my son woke up.'
Most such verbs have a past passive participle (e.g. àvaupévos 'lit, alight') or a passive verbal adjective (e.g. àvolx $\tau \delta \delta$ 'open'), or both.

In the following verbs the intransitive sense is felt to be the more normal, and it is possible to coin ad hoc transitive constructions with many other normally intransitive verbs:
(16a) $\grave{\eta}$ Péva $\pi \tilde{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ó voooкоцєio 'Rena went to the hospital';
(16b) $\pi \tilde{\eta} \gamma a$ $\tau \dot{\eta}$ Péva $\sigma \tau \delta \delta$ voбoкоиєio 'I took Rena to the hospital';
(17a) $\mu \in \gamma a ́ \lambda \omega \sigma a \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \Theta \dot{\eta} \beta a$ 'I grew up in Thebes';
(17b) $\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda \omega \sigma \epsilon \grave{\eta} \gamma$ ravá $\mu o v$ 'I was brought up by my grandmother'.

In both (18a) and (18b) the verb is transitive, its sense altering according to whether its object refers to a person or to a subject of study:
(18a) $\sigma \pi о v ́ \delta a \sigma a \quad \vartheta \epsilon о \lambda о \gamma i a ~ \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \Theta \epsilon \sigma \sigma a \lambda o \nu i ́ k \eta ~ ' I ~ s t u d i e d ~ t h e o l o g y ~ a t ~$ Salonica';
 education.'

With other verbs the non-causative/causative correspondence is achieved with the use of different prefixes or suffixes: e.g. $\dot{o} \mu 0 \lambda о \gamma \bar{\omega}$ 'I confess, acknowledge', $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \circ \mu 0 \lambda о \gamma \dot{\omega}$ 'I confess (of priest)'; $\dot{\epsilon} \xi a \sigma \vartheta \epsilon \nu \tilde{\omega}$ 'I become weak', $\dot{\epsilon} \xi a \sigma \vartheta \epsilon \nu i \xi \omega$ 'I weaken, enfeeble'.

There are other normally intransitive verbs which have a more specific idiomatic use when transitive:
(19a) $\pi \epsilon \in \vartheta a \nu \epsilon$ à $т о ́ ~ к а р к і \nu о ~ '(s) h e ~ d i e d ~ o f ~ c a n c e r ’ ; ~ ;$
(19b) тóv $\pi \epsilon \in \vartheta a v a \nu ~ \sigma \tau o ́ ~ \xi u ́ \lambda o ~ ' t h e y ~ g a v e ~ h i m ~ a ~ t e r r i b l e ~ b e a t i n g ' ~(l i t . ~$ 'him they-died to-the wood');

 'Aphrodite sends a cloud and makes him [Paris] disappear from his [Menelaus'] sight' (Tsi. 1970: 248);
 had been going [lit. 'were running'] from paediatrician to paediatrician';
 we had been taking him [lit. 'were running it'] from paediatrician to paediatrician' (T 27 May 1982, 100).

In some other verbs the object of the transitive version (the patient) may not be convertible into the subject of the intransitive version, since the intransitive verb may have quite a different meaning:

(22b) кaiєı $\tau \delta$ бi $\delta \in \rho o$ 'the iron's burning hot';
(23a) $\pi \varepsilon ́ \tau a \xi ̆ a ~ \tau a ́ ~ \chi a \rho \tau a ́ ~ ' I ~ t h r e w ~ a w a y ~ t h e ~ p a p e r s ’ ; ~$
(23b) $\pi \epsilon \in \tau a \xi \epsilon \tau o ́ \pi o v \lambda i$ 'the bird has flown';
(24a) ë $\delta \epsilon \sigma a$ тó бкv́入o 'I tied up the dog';
(24b) $๕ \delta \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \tau o ́ \gamma$ aoú $\rho \tau \iota$ 'the yoghurt has set.'
The verb aio७ávoual 'I feel' may be transitive (taking as object a noun phrase or noun clause) or intransitive (in which case it has a socalled 'middle' meaning):
 foot/leg felt numb' (lit. ‘. . . I felt my foot/leg numb');
(25b) $\delta e ́ v ~ a i \sigma \vartheta a ́ v o \mu a \iota ~ к а \lambda a ́ ~ ‘ I ~ d o n ’ t ~ f e e l ~ w e l l . ' ~$
Some other verbs have various different meanings according to whether they are intransitive or transitive (and sometimes, in the latter case, whether they have an animate or inanimate subject). Some examples are given below:
 of Greece';
(26b) $\vartheta a ́ ~ \mu \epsilon ́ ~ \gamma \rho a ́ \psi \epsilon \iota ~ \grave{~}$ iбтopia 'I'll go down in history' (lit. 'history will write me');
(26c) $\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau i ́ ~ \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \epsilon \iota ~ \grave{\eta} \tau a \mu \pi \epsilon ́ \lambda a$; 'can you see what the sign says [lit. 'writes']?';
(27a) $\pi \lambda \dot{n} \rho \omega \sigma a \tau o ́ \kappa \rho a \sigma i ~ ‘ I ~ p a i d ~[f o r] ~ t h e ~ w i n e ’ ; ~ ; ~$
(27b) $\pi \lambda \dot{n} \rho \omega \sigma a$ тá $\lambda \in \phi \tau$ ' 'I paid the money';
(27c) $\pi \lambda \dot{\rho} \rho \omega \sigma a \tau \dot{\delta} \nu \dot{v} \pi \dot{\partial} \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o$ 'I paid the assistant/employee';
 nents';
(28b) $\vartheta a ́ ~ \chi \omega \rho i \sigma \omega ~ \tau \eta ́ \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \rho ம o v \sigma i a ~ \mu o v ~ \sigma \tau a ́ ~ \pi a బ \delta a ́ ~ \mu o v ~ ' I ~ s h a l l ~ d i v i d e ~$ up my property among my children';
(28c) $\mu \notin \chi \chi \dot{\rho} \rho \iota \sigma \epsilon \delta$ Kvрі́коя ‘Kyriakos has divorced me';
(28d) $\chi \omega \rho i \sigma a \mu \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ ~ \tau o ́ ~ \Sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \iota ~ ' S t e l i o s ~ a n d ~ I ~ h a v e ~ s e p a r a t e d / d i v o r c e d ' ; ~ ;$
 separated from each other by a horizontal line';
(29a) ó $\Delta \eta \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \rho \eta \varsigma \pi \epsilon ́ \rho a \sigma \epsilon$ à $\pi \delta$ ó $\tau o ́ ~ \sigma \pi i ́ \tau \iota \mu o v$ 'Dimitris dropped in on me';
(29b) $\pi \epsilon ́ \rho a \sigma a ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \gamma$ $\boldsymbol{\prime} \phi \cup \rho a$ 'I crossed the bridge’;
(29c) $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \pi \epsilon \in \rho a \sigma a ~ a ̀ \pi o ́ ~ \tau \eta ่ ~ \gamma \epsilon ́ \phi u \rho a ~ ‘ I ~ t o o k ~ h e r ~ a c r o s s ~ t h e ~ b r i d g e ' ; ~ ;$
(29d) $\vartheta a ́ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho a ́ \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon ~ \tau i \varsigma ~ \sigma a \nu i ́ \delta \epsilon \varsigma ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda a ́ ~ \chi \epsilon ́ \rho ю a ~ \pi a \rho к \epsilon \tau i \omega \eta ~ ' y o u ~ w i l l ~$ coat the boards with many layers of parquet polish' ( $T$ 28 May 1981, 48).

In (29d) there is only one true object of the verb (namely, $\tau i \varsigma$ oavi $\delta \epsilon \varsigma$ ), то入入á хє́риа таркєті́v being an adverbial phrase (cf. (8) and (9)) which includes a noun ( $\pi a \rho \kappa \epsilon \tau i v \eta$ ) in apposition to the previous noun.

Such examples could be multiplied almost infinitely. We shall finally confine ourselves however to mentioning two further phenomena: the active use of a normally deponent verb (30), and a group of verbs which SMG has inherited from katharevousa and which were traditionally constructed with the genitive (31)-(32):
 didn't resign, he was fired [lit. 'they resigned him']' (Hadz. 1909: 79)
(compare a similarly comic transitive use of a normally intransitive verb:
 he was "suicided" ');
 overcome by the spirit of death' (Vaf. 1970: 350) (кvрıарх $\tilde{\omega}$
usually takes the genitive: perhaps the author intended to

 want to be deprived of the pleasure of . . . ( $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \dot{\omega}$ was formerly used with the accusative of the animate and the genitive of the inanimate object).
Such flexibility as we have observed in the transitivity or otherwise of verbs results in a breadth of choice of expression for the speaker/ writer. Creative writers in particular have availed themselves of the opportunity of producing new metaphorical structures by using normally intransitive verbs with direct objects. But in everyday speech too there is a considerable number of formerly intransitive verbs that have changed their nature by becoming transitive, and transitive verbs which have changed through being able to take a different class of object than they were found with traditionally.

### 3.1.4 CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN ACTIVE AND PASSIVE

Some examples have already been given of possible switches from active to passive and vice versa. We shall now examine some further examples of correspondence and non-correspondence between the morphological voices.

### 3.1.4.1 Verbs with no passive form

Verbs which are intransitive in their active form do not have a passive. But there are many common verbs which, though they are active in form, and transitive, do not form a passive. These include кáv $\omega$ 'I do', ëx $\omega$ 'I have', $\xi \epsilon \in \rho \omega$ 'I know', $\vartheta \epsilon \in \lambda \omega$ 'I want', $\pi \epsilon \rho \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega$ 'I wait for'. (Most verbs which lack a passive naturally do not form a past passive participle; but $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$ has $\kappa a \mu \omega \mu \dot{v} \nu o s$ 'made (of)'; and see below, 3.1.5.) A periphrasis can be used to form the equivalent of the passive of most of these verbs: $\gamma \dot{\omega} \epsilon \tau \sigma a \iota$ 'it is done', $\epsilon i \mu a l ~ \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau o ́ s ~ ' I ~ a m ~ k n o w n ', ~ a ̀ v a-~$ $\mu \dot{v} \nu \in \tau a l$ '(s)he/it is awaited' (the last rather formal). Other verbs have passive forms which are not normally used in a true passive sense: e.g. коıтá̧ $\omega$ 'I look at' (see above, 3.1.2.2), $\beta \lambda \epsilon \in \pi \omega$ ' $I$ see', $\tau \rho \omega \dot{\omega}$ 'I eat' ( $\tau \rho \omega \dot{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\nu} \nu \tau a \iota$ 'they are edible' or 'they quarrel', but not normally 'they are eaten').

### 3.1.4.2 Verbs with no active form

These are the so-called deponent verbs, some of which are transitive and others intransitive. The following are among the transitive deponents:
$\lambda v \pi a ̃ \mu a \iota ~ ' I ~ a m ~ s o r r y ~(f o r) ' ~(b u t ~ c f . ~ a c t i v e ~ \lambda u \pi \tilde{\omega} ~ ' I ~ s a d d e n ', ~ f a r ~ l e s s ~$ common），фоßäuą＇I am afraid（of）＇（cf．active $\phi o \beta i \xi \omega$＇I frighten＇）， $\vartheta v \mu a ̈ \mu a \iota ~ ' I ~ r e m e m b e r ' ~(c f . ~ \vartheta v \mu i \zeta \omega ~ ' I ~ r e m i n d '), ~ к а т а \rho \iota e ́ \mu a l ~ ' I ~ c u r s e ', ~$ aio७àvouą＇I feel＇，ßaplépą＇I am bored（with）＇，$\nu \tau \rho \in ́ \pi о \mu a \iota ~ ' I ~ a m ~$ ashamed（of）＇．Intransitives include é $\rho \chi o \mu a \iota ~ ' I ~ c o m e ' ~(w i t h ~ a c t i v e ~$
 to sleep＇），$\pi a \rho a \pi o v t e ́ \mu a \iota ~ ' I ~ c o m p l a i n ', ~ к a ́ \vartheta o \mu a \iota ~ ' I ~ s i t ' ~(w i t h ~ a c t i v e ~$ forms only in the perfective：cf．also active kaখi\} $\omega$＇I sit＇（transitive）），
 the copulas rivoual＇I become＇（with active forms only in the per－ fective）and фaivoual＇I seem＇．

Some transitive deponents may have corresponding periphrases to express their passive meaning：e．g．$\delta$ éxoual＇I receive＇$\rightarrow$ үivoual $\delta \in \kappa \tau o ́ s$ ＇I am received＇；пaрaסéхоцаı＇I accept＇$\rightarrow$ єiцal парабєктós＇I am accepted／acceptable’；àvтı入außávoual＇I perceive’ $\rightarrow \gamma \dot{\nu} \nu o \mu a \iota ~ a ̀ v \tau \iota \lambda \eta \pi \tau o ́ s$ ＇I am perceived／perceptible＇；$\mu \tilde{a} \varsigma ~ \grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \epsilon ́ \vartheta \eta \kappa \epsilon ~ \dot{o} \dot{\epsilon} \chi \vartheta \rho o ́ s ~ ' t h e ~ e n e m y ~$ attacked us＇$\rightarrow \delta \epsilon \chi \tau \dot{\eta} \kappa a \mu \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \vartheta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \eta$ à $\pi o ́ ~ \tau o ́ v ~ モ ̇ \chi \vartheta \rho o ́ ~ ' w e ~ w e r e ~ a t t a c k e d ~ b y ~$ the enemy＇（lit．＇we received attack from／by the enemy＇）．Occasionally one encounters a deponent being used for its own passive：such usage is not normally considered correct，although the phrase $\tau \dot{a} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \kappa к а \lambda о и ́ \mu є \nu a$
 in legal parlance（from normally deponent è $\pi \kappa к а \lambda о u ̃ \mu a \iota ~ ‘ I ~ i n v o k e ’) . ~$

## 3．1．4．3 Verbs with different meanings in active and passive

These verbs，which cannot be changed from one voice to the other without a complete change of meaning，include $\sigma v \mu \beta o v \lambda \epsilon v ́ \omega$＇I advise＇$\rightarrow$ $\sigma v \mu \beta o v \lambda \epsilon v ́ o \mu a \imath ~ ' I ~ c o n s u l t ', ~ \delta a v e i \zeta \omega ~ ' I ~ l e n d ' ~ \rightarrow ~ \delta a v e i ' S o \mu a l ~ ' I ~ b o r r o w ', ~$
 oath＇$\rightarrow$ ò ккі乡оцає＇I swear＇，$\xi \in \phi о \rho \tau \omega \dot{\omega} \omega$＇I unload＇$\rightarrow \xi \in \phi о \rho \tau \omega \dot{\omega} о \mu a \iota$ ＇I get rid of＇，$\delta \epsilon \in \mu \epsilon ́ v o a ́ s \epsilon \iota ~ ' I ~ d o n ' t ~ m i n d ' ~(l i t . ~ ' i t ~ d o e s n ' t ~ w o r r y ~ m e ') ~ \rightarrow ~$ volá̧єтaı tó mara̧i＇＇（s）he minds the shop＇．In most cases what is called passive here is in fact a relic of the ancient middle meaning，and the subject is the agent of the action；in each case the verb is transitive．

Certain other verbs may also remain transitive in their passive form：e．g．
（1a）$\pi \epsilon \rho เ \epsilon \in ß a \lambda \epsilon \tau a ́ \pi a ́ v \tau a ~ \mu \epsilon ́ ~ \mu ' a ́ \nu ~ a ̀ \tau \mu o ́ \sigma \phi a \iota \rho a ~ a ̀ \mu \epsilon \rho \mu \nu \eta \sigma i a s ~ '(s) h e / ~$ it surrounded everything with an atmosphere of carefree－ ness＇$\rightarrow$
（1b）тá $\pi a ́ \nu \tau a ~ \pi \epsilon \rho ß \lambda \eta ่ \vartheta \eta \kappa а \nu ~ \mu a ́ \nu ~ a ̀ \tau \mu o ́ \sigma ф а \iota \rho a ~ a ̀ \mu \epsilon \rho ч \nu \eta \sigma i a \varsigma ~$
'everything was surrounded [with] an atmosphere of carefreeness' (Kar. n.d.: I 176);
(2a) $\mu \epsilon ́ ~ \pi \lambda \eta \rho о ф о ́ \rho \eta \sigma a \nu ~ \gamma i a ́ ~ \tau \eta ́ ~ \delta o \lambda o ф o v i a ~ \tau o v ~ ' t h e y ~ i n f o r m e d ~ m e ~$ about his assassination' $\rightarrow$
 informed [of] his assassination on [lit. 'from the'] television';
(3a) $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \nu \tau$ vóoave tó кашоoúpı $\tau \eta \varsigma$ фópє $\mu$ a 'they dressed her in her new dress' (lit. 'of-her they-dressed her new dress') $\rightarrow$
 new dress';
(4a) форт $\omega \sigma a \nu \in \tau a ́ ~ \tau \rho o ́ \phi ц а ~ \sigma \tau a ́ ~ \beta a \gamma o ́ v i a ~ " t h e y ~ l o a d e d ~ t h e ~ p r o v i s i o n s ~$ into the [railway] trucks' $\rightarrow$
 [with] provisions.'

### 3.1.4.4 'Ano' in agentive, causative, or instrumental sense after active verbs

The close semantic links between the active and the passive in MG are shown by the fact that $\dot{a} \pi \dot{o}$ can be used with either voice to express agent, cause, or instrument (translatable into English as 'by', 'from', 'because of', 'by means of', 'through', etc.). Thus one may easily find pairs of sentences such as the following:
(1a) тá ra入入ıкá тá épaখa àmó $\tau o ́ v ~ к . ~ B a \lambda \mu a ́ ~ ' I ~ l e a r n e d ~ F r e n c h ~$ from Mr Valmas';
(1b) $\tau a ́ ~ \gamma a \lambda \lambda \kappa к a ́ ~ \tau a ́ ~ \delta \delta \delta a ́ \chi \tau \eta \kappa a ~ a ̀ \pi o ́ ~ \tau o ́ v ~ к . ~ B a \lambda \mu a ́ ~ ‘ I ~ w a s ~ t a u g h t ~ F r e n c h ~$ by Mr Valmas',
in which only the verbs are different, one being active and the other passive (or, strictly, middle), but each with the same meaning and each followed by à $\pi \dot{o}+$ agent (the English rendering of àmó in two different ways in the above sentences disguises the fact that the constructions are identical).

The following examples illustrate various uses of active verb $+\dot{a} \pi \boldsymbol{o}^{+}$ agent (which is not necessarily animate):
(2) $\grave{\eta}$ AEK ë $\chi a \sigma \epsilon ~ \mu \epsilon ́ ~ 1-0 ~ a ̀ \pi o ́ ~ \tau o ́ v ~ П a v a \vartheta \eta \nu a \ddot{k o ́ ~ ‘ A E K ~[f o o t b a l l ~}$ team] lost (by) 1-0 to Panathinaikos';
(3) $\pi o \lambda \lambda о$ ó è $\pi a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \mu a \tau i \epsilon ৎ ~ \vartheta a ́ ~ \chi a ́ \sigma o v \nu ~ \tau i ́ s ~ \delta o v \lambda \epsilon t e ́ s ~ \tau o v ৎ ~ a ̀ ~ a o ́ ~ \tau o v ́ s ~$ $\xi \in ́ \nu o u s ~ ' m a n y ~ p r o f e s s i o n a l s ~ w i l l ~ l o s e ~ t h e i r ~ j o b s ~ t o ~ f o r e i g n e r s ' ; ~ ;$

'the magazine is put out [lit. 'comes out'] by the students of the Arts Faculty' (= éк $\delta i \delta \epsilon \tau a l ~ ' i s ~ p u b l i s h e d ') ; ~$
 by his absence.'

In some cases, the active verb could be replaced by its own passive (cf. 3.1.1):
 by the snow' (= $\pi a \rho a \lambda \dot{\prime} \vartheta \eta \kappa \epsilon)$;
 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi o \chi \bar{\eta} s$ 'the work/play/film is enlivened [lit. 'comes to life'] by several real elements of the time’ (= §んvтavev́ $\epsilon \tau a l$ ).

### 3.1.5 SEMANTIC ANOMALIES OF THE PAST PASSIVE PARTICIPLE

The regular situation with the so-called past passive participle as regards semantic voice (diathesis) is that a transitive verb in active form possesses a past passive participle with passive meaning (e.g. $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega{ }^{\prime}$ 'I tie' $\rightarrow \delta \epsilon \mu \in \dot{v} \nu o s$ 'tied', i.e. 'in a state of having been tied'). In fact, some of these participles may have a reciprocal rather than passive meaning: e.g. $\dot{\eta}$ à $\gamma a \pi \eta$ $\mu e ́ v \eta ~ \mu o v ~ к o ́ \rho \eta ~ ' m y ~ b e l o v e d ~ d a u g h t e r ' ~(p a s s i v e), ~ b u t ~ e ́ v a ~ a ̀ \gamma a \pi \eta \mu e ́ v o ~$ $\dot{a} \nu \tau \rho \dot{\gamma} v \nu o$ 'a loving couple' (reciprocal). But there are three other possible situations, in which (a) an intransitive active-form verb has a past passive participle with active meaning; (b) a transitive activeform verb has the participle with active meaning; and (c) a transitive passive-form (deponent) verb with active meaning has a past passive participle with passive meaning. In addition, there are also many transitive verbs which lack a past participle altogether: these include
 'I hope', $\rho \omega \tau \dot{\omega}$ 'I ask', $\nu o \mu i \xi \omega$ 'I think', $\beta \rho i \xi \omega$ 'I swear at') and passiveform verbs (e.g. aiб७ávoцal, $\vartheta v \mu a ̈ \mu a l) . ~$
(a) Intransitive active verb $\rightarrow$ active participle

There is a large number of verbs of this nature: e.g. $\delta \iota \psi a \dot{ } \omega$ 'I'm thirsty' $\rightarrow \delta \iota \psi a \sigma \mu e ́ v o s ~ ' t h i r s t y ’, \pi \epsilon \omega a ́ \omega ~ ' I ’ m ~ h u n g r y ’ ~ \rightarrow \pi \epsilon \omega a \sigma \mu e ́ v o s ~ ' h u n g r y ', ~$ $\pi \epsilon ́ \phi \tau \omega$ 'I fall' $\rightarrow \pi \epsilon \sigma \mu \epsilon ̇ \nu o s ~ ' f a l l e n ', ~ \kappa \rho \nu \omega \nu \omega$ 'I am cold; I catch cold' $\rightarrow$ $\kappa \rho v \omega \mu \in ́ \nu o s$ 'suffering from a cold', $\boldsymbol{\gamma \epsilon \rho \nu a ́ \omega ~}{ }^{\prime} I$ grow old' $\rightarrow \gamma \in \rho a \sigma \mu e ́ v o s$ 'grown old', $\sigma \kappa \check{\beta} \beta \omega$ 'I bend down' $\rightarrow \sigma \kappa v \mu \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \varsigma$ 'stooping' (cf. the active verbal adjective $\sigma \kappa v \phi \tau o ́ s ~ ‘ b e n t '), ~ \pi \epsilon \vartheta a i \nu \omega ~ ' I ~ d i e ’ ~ \rightarrow \pi \epsilon \vartheta a \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o s ~ ‘ d e a d ' . ~$. None of these has any finite passive form. Some verbs have a negative
verbal adjective in－$\tau \sigma$（which usually has a passive sense with transitive verbs）even without forming a past passive participle：e．g．סıotáj $\omega$ ＇I hesitate＇$\rightarrow$ ádiotaктos＇unflinching＇．Sometimes however the seman－ tic voice of the participle may differ from that of the verbal adjective： e．g．$\gamma \epsilon \lambda a ́ \omega$＇I laugh；I fool＇$\rightarrow \gamma \epsilon \lambda a \sigma \mu e ́ v o s$＇fooled＇，but $\gamma \epsilon \lambda a \sigma \tau o ́ s$ ＇laughing＇．

## （b）Transitive active verb $\rightarrow$ active participle

A much smaller number of transitive verbs may form a participle of this kind with active meaning；but since these verbs all have optional object de－ letion，they are not very different from the previous group．English often presents a similar phenomenon．Examples：$\delta$ caßá乡 $\omega$＇I read＇$\rightarrow \delta{ }^{\prime} a \beta a$－ ouévos＇well－read（of person）＇（also，of pupil，＇having done homework＇，
 book＇，in passive meaning），$\tau \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \omega$＇I eat＇$\rightarrow \phi a \gamma \omega \mu \epsilon ́ v o s ~ ' h a v i n g ~ e a t e n ' ~$ （in the plural，and in the appropriate context，the participle could mean ＇having quarrelled＇，from the reciprocal sense of the passive $\tau \rho \omega \dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \tau \tau a l$ ）， $\pi i \nu \omega$＇I drink＇$\rightarrow \pi \iota \mu \mu \in ́ v o s ~ ‘ d r u n k ~(p e r s o n) ', ~ a ̀ \pi o ф a \sigma i ł \omega ~ ' I ~ d e c i d e ’ ~ \rightarrow ~$
 $\sigma \tau \sigma \varsigma$＇indecisive＇，and a positive active－form adjective àmoфa⿱⺌兀⿱宀兀ко́s ＇decisive＇）．As is clear from some of the examples quoted so far，the past passive participle of a particular verb may belong to more than one semantic voice category（active，passive，reciprocal，etc．）．This is especially applicable to verbs which in the active may be either transitive or intransitive，or to transitive verbs which may take two different kinds of object（or no object at all）．Thus：
 （trans．）$\rightarrow$
 the heat＇（active）；

 participle in passive sense：negative á $\sigma v \nu \dot{\eta} \vartheta(\sigma \pi \eta)$ ；
also $\chi \tau v \pi \tilde{\omega}$＇（trans．）I hit，beat；（intrans．）I hurt myself＇$\rightarrow \chi \tau \cup \pi \eta \mu e ́ v a$
 and while $\kappa \in \rho \delta i \zeta \omega$＇I earn；win；beat（an opponent）＇and $\chi a ́ v \omega$＇I lose＇
 passive senses，these may be substantivized as $\dot{\delta}$ кєр $\delta \iota \sigma \mu \in ́ v o s$ and $\dot{o}$ xauévos in games of chance etc．to mean＇the winner＇and＇the loser＇
in an active sense. An apt example of the semantic subtleties of past passive participles is the phrase $\pi \epsilon \rho a \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ v a$ $\xi \in \chi a \sigma \mu \epsilon ่ \nu a$ 'let bygones be bygones' (lit. 'past forgotten (neuter pl.)'), which represents an underlying phrase in which one verb is active in meaning and the other passive ( $\pi \epsilon \dot{\rho} \rho a \sigma a \nu ~ к a i ́ \xi є \chi a ́ \sigma \tau \eta \kappa a \nu ~ ' t h e y ~ h a v e ~ p a s s e d ~(a c t) ~ a n d ~ t h e y ~ h a v e$. been forgotten (pass.)').
(c) Deponent verb $\rightarrow$ passive participle

While intransitive deponents preserve their semantic voice (i.e. active) in the past passive participle (e.g. mapanovtépal 'I complain' $\rightarrow \pi a \rho a-$ $\pi o \nu \epsilon \mu e ́ v o s$ 'plaintive'), many transitive deponents possess a past participle with passive meaning: e.g. óvєєpєv́oual 'I dream (of)' $\rightarrow$ óvє $\rho \in \mu \in ́ v o s$ 'dreamed-of' (cf. verbal adjective óvєı $\rho \in \tau$ ós with same sense), катарiє́$\mu a \iota$ 'I curse' $\rightarrow$ катарaцévos '(ac)cursed', モ̇ $\gamma \gamma \nu \omega \check{\omega} \mu a \iota ~ ' I ~ g u a r a n t e e ' ~ \rightarrow ~$
 'I borrow': despite the existence of the active $\delta a v \in i j \omega$ 'I lend', the meaning of the participle $\delta a v \in \iota \sigma \mu$ évos 'borrowed' might be said to derive from the passive, which could be regarded as a deponent: consider
 'other verses borrowed from [not 'lent by'] earlier publications' (Vra. 1953: 214).
As in the other two groups, some deponent verbs form verbal adjectives which are anomalous with respect to semantic voice: e.g. mapaסé $\chi o \mu a \iota$ 'I accept' $\rightarrow \pi a \rho a \delta \epsilon \kappa \tau o ́ s ~ ' a c c e p t e d ; ~ a c c e p t a b l e ' ~(n e g . ~ a ̀ ~ a j a \rho a ́ \delta \epsilon к \tau о \varsigma ~$ 'unacceptable'); and others mentioned in 3.1.4.2.

### 3.1.6 VOICE IN NOUNS AND ADJECTIVES

Finally, the fact that voice applies to deverbal adjectives and nouns as well as to verbs has already been implied without being made explicit.
 (2.2.4.2), the first noun may be taken in an active or a passive sense, according to whether it is his family that supports him or he that supports his family (the first interpretation viewing the noun as active and the second as passive). While such abstract nouns (in -si) may denote either of the voices according to context, concrete nouns in $-\tau \eta \rho$ usually denote the active voice (they are agent nouns).

Equally, deverbal adjectives may indicate voice: those in -Tos usually (but not always, as we have seen) denote passive, while those in - $\tau$ кoós usually denote active voice.

### 3.2 ASPECT

The categories of tense and aspect, and the interplay between them, are among the facets of MG which have attracted the greatest scholarly attention during the last half century, and since the debate between Tzartzanos $(1932,1934)$ and Andriotis $(1932,1934)$ about whether or not the subjunctive exists in MG, a number of linguis.s have tended to push aside the distinction between the indicative and the subjunctive moods (which seemed to be valid for AG) in favour of that between the perfective and imperfective aspects, which certainly works better when applied to the modern language; and in several books and articles Mirambel (e.g. 1942: 28) has stressed that the aspectual distinction overrides not only mood but tense as well: he claims (1956: 219) that on the hierarchical scale of values in the MG verb, aspect occupies the top rank, followed by voice and mood, with tense at the bottom. The aspectual distinction in MG is one that comes so naturally to the native speaker that (s)he is normally unable to explain it (and books of grammar and syntax for Greek readers are usually more or less silent on the matter ${ }^{1}$ ); but aspect is probably the most difficult concept for the learner of MG to master, and even those non-native speakers who can speak MG almost perfectly are often given away as foreigners by their mistakes in aspect. The tense distinctions, on the other hand, are less difficult to grasp.

For the purposes of aspect and tense we shall examine first what distinctions are made explicitly in the morphology of the verb, and we shall use the terminology that has become acceptable among modern linguists, giving the traditional terms alongside them. It is unfortunate that there is much similarity among the various terms, which may give rise to confusion.

### 3.2.1 THE VERB FORMS

Although a neat matrix can be made of a bipartite division of the MG verb into imperfective and perfective aspects, it is more faithful to the true linguistic situation to include the perfect as a third aspect: it should be borne in mind, however, that the perfect is not as crucial to the distinctions of aspect as are the imperfective and perfective, quite

[^0]apart from the fact that it is always expressed periphrastically, and that the two elements which form it are not 'uninterruptible' (e.g. they may be separated by an adverb of time or even by a whole nominal or adverbial phrase).

Table 3.1 contains the finite active forms of àzanj 'I love' (in the first person singular) with their modern labels: there is of course an exactly corresponding matrix for the passive.

TABLE 3.1

|  |  | Aspect |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Imperfective | Perfective | Perfect |
| Tense | Non-past | à $\gamma a \pi \tilde{\omega}$ | à $\gamma a \pi \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ | èX |
|  | Past à $\gamma a \pi \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ |  |  |  |

Each of the forms given in the table represents the intersection of tense and aspect.

The term used here for each of these forms is:
Imperfective non-past (= 'present indicative' and 'present subjunctive');
Imperfective past (= 'imperfect');
Perfective non-past (= 'aorist subjunctive');
Perfective past (= 'aorist indicative');
Perfect non-past (= 'perfect');
Perfect past (= 'pluperfect').
Some verbs lack one or more aspects. Those which lack the imperfective alone are extremely few, being confined to certain fixed expressions (e.g. * $\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau i \zeta \omega$ : ̇̀ $\chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \iota \sigma \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta} \mu a \rho \chi o s$ '(s)he served as mayor'); nevertheless, a number of verbs are less commonly used in the imperfective than in the other aspects, either because of their semantic function (e.g. à $\pi о к о \not \mu \epsilon \in ́ \mu a \iota ~ ' I ~ f a l l ~ a s l e e p '), ~ o r ~ b e c a u s e ~ t h e ~ f o r m a t i o n ~ o f ~$ the imperfective is morphologically problematic (e.g. корєб - 'satiate', imperfective кор $\epsilon \nu v \dot{\omega} \omega, \delta a \gamma \nu \omega \sigma$ - ‘diagnose’, imperfective $\delta \omega a \gamma \iota(\gamma) \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega)$.

There is, however, an important group of verbs which exist only in the imperfective: since the 'perfect formant' (see 3.2.4.1) is formed from the perfective stem, it follows that verbs which do not have a perfective do not have a perfect either. Such verbs include $\epsilon$ I $\mu a l$ ' I am',
ëx $\omega$ 'I have', à $\nu \dot{\eta} \kappa \omega$ 'I belong', $\xi \in \in \rho \omega$ 'I know', $\pi \epsilon \rho \mu e ́ v \omega$ 'I wait for', $\pi \rho \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota$ 'it is necessary', $\pi \rho о \dot{\kappa \epsilon \iota \tau а \iota ~ ' i t ~ i s ~ a ~ q u e s t i o n ~ o f ; ~ b e ~ a b o u t ~ t o ' ~}$ (impersonal) and $\chi \rho \omega \sigma \tau a ́ \omega$ 'I owe'.

### 3.2.2 INDICATIVE AND SUBJUNCTIVE

The terms 'indicative' and 'subjunctive' are not used in our morphological terminology, since they are differentiated not formally (within the verb form) but according to syntactical context. The only moods that have distinct forms from those given in Table 3.1 are the imperative and the participles: other moods are expressed by the use of any of the finite forms preceded by the particles $\nu \dot{a}, \vartheta \dot{a}$, or äs, or by a variety of other 'grammatical words', which will be examined under the name of subjunctive markers in Chapter 9. Nevertheless, in view of the fact that the perfective non-past, alone of all the forms, may not be used absolutely, two semantic categories of modality (in addition to the imperative and the participle) will have to be posited for finite forms of the verb: indicative (unmarked for mood) and subjunctive (marked for mood).

The only formal difference between the indicative and the subjunctive modalities in MG is that the former excludes the use of the perfective non-past (hence the traditional appellation of this form as 'subjunctive': it is almost always a bound form), while the latter does not; and, syntactically, the subjunctive is always preceded by a subjunctive marker. 'Subjunctiveness', then, does not inhere in a particular verb form, but is a function of the subjunctive markers. (For further details about distinctions between the indicative and the subjunctive and for a full treatment of subjunctive clauses, see Chapter 9.)

### 3.2.3 ASPECTUAL DISTINCTIONS: PERFECTIVE AND IMPERFECTIVE

Futurity is not expressed in MG by its own verb form but is just one of several semantic functions of the modal particle $\vartheta$ á (see 9.1). Thus we can talk about the existence of two tenses in MG, namely non-past and past, which exist for each of the three aspects, imperfective, perfective, and perfect. This categorization is reinforced by the morphology of the MG verb, which has similar endings for most of the non-past paradigms, and an identical set of endings for most of the past forms (see 5.3.1 and 5.3.2).

Aspect in MG concerns not the location of the action or state in time, but the speaker's attitude to its 'temporal distribution or contour'
(Hockett, in Lyons 1968: 315). It is this subjective nature of aspectual distinctions which makes them so difficult to master for one who is not a native speaker. On the other hand, it would be quite wrong to suggest that the distinction in each case is purely dependent on the whim of the speaker: once the speaker has decided what (s)he means the hearer to understand, there is hardly ever any choice in the matter.

The most basic concepts behind MG aspect are the following: in using a verb in the perfective, the speaker is viewing the action (or series of actions) as a single, completed whole (neither progressive nor habitual); with the imperfective, (s)he sees the verb as referring to a series of repeated actions not viewed as a whole (iterative) or to a continuous action in progress (progressive or durative). Thus the verbs in the Greek equivalents of (1) 'I went to the University yesterday' (single action) and (2) 'I studied [= carried out my studies] at Salonica University' (repeated visits plus various related activities, all viewed as a completed whole) are equally perfective:

(2) фоín $\sigma a ~ \sigma \tau \delta ~ \Pi a \nu \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\mu} \omega$ Өєббa入ovikns.

On the other hand, in (3) When I was young I went [= used to go] to church every week' (iterative), and (4) 'As I was going to church yesterday I met Catherine' (progressive), the verb 'go' is imperfective in MG:

 Katepiva.

It is not the actual nature of the action that is crucial, but the way in which it is viewed by the speaker at the time of the utterance: the journey to the university in (1) may have lasted exactly the same length of time as the journey to the church in (4); what conditions the use of the imperfective in (4) is the fact that something is expressed as having occurred during the journey. When a speaker uses the perfective, (s)he tends to stand at a distance from the action, seeing it as a completed whole, irrespective of whether it occurs in the past or the future; when using the imperfective, the speaker's mental standpoint is not the time of speaking but the time of the action expressed by the verb. In (4) the journey to the church has begun but has not been completed by the time the speaker meets Catherine: the journey here constitutes the circumstances of the single event (the meeting), which is expressed by
a verb in the perfective. (Clearly, since the imperfective may express the iterative or the progressive, it is immaterial whether each action in an iterative series is viewed as completed or in progress.)

In expressions of past or future action, the perfective is the unmarked aspect, in the sense that a verb in the perfective does not specify whether the action is progressive or iterative or neither: it may simply state that something happened or will happen (e.g. vá $\mu o \tilde{u}$ $\gamma \rho \dot{a} \psi \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ (perfective) 'write to me (once or more than once)', but vá $\mu o v ̃ ~ \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~(i m p e r f e c t i v e) ~ ' w r i t e ~ t o ~ m e ~(r e g u l a r l y ~ o r ~ o f t e n) ') . ~ T h u s ~ i n ~$ past or future reference the perfective is the most frequently used aspect: it is the natural aspect for the verb to be in unless there are clear grounds for using the imperfective (i.e. that the action is considered as durative or repeated). This, coupled with the fact that morphologically the perfective stem is diachronically and synchronically more stable than the imperfective (i.e. the perfective stem of a large number of verbs has not changed since classical times, while their imperfective has; and with some verbs speakers who use the same perfective stem may differ in their usage of the imperfective: cf. Mirambel 1959: 141, and Ebbesen 1979: 65-6), has led some grammarians to see the perfective as the basic stem of the MG verb. (Nevertheless, it is always the imperfective stem that appears in dictionaries.)

### 3.2.3.1 Aspect and adverbials

The perfective is typically accompanied by adverbials denoting point in time (e.g. aüpıo 'tomorrow', otis ék $\eta$ 'at six o'clock; on the sixth', tó 1983 'in 1983', etc.), unless these co-occur with imperfective adverbials and unless the verb denotes an action which expresses the background to another action. The imperfective adverbials, i.e. those that are typically found with verbs in the imperfective, tend to refer to
 $\pi a ́ \lambda \iota ~ ' a g a i n ~ a n d ~ a g a i n ', ~ к а \mu i a ́ ~ ф о \rho a ́ ~ ' o c c a s i o n a l l y ', ~ \tau a x \tau к к a ́ ~ ' r e g u l a r l y '), ~$
 'all the time'); the imperfective also typically co-occurs with another verb, of which it acts as the background (as in example (4) in 3.2.3).

It should not be thought, however, that the perfective cannot be used with adverbials denoting number of times: on the contrary, $\delta v o$ форés 'twice', रi入ıes фopés ‘a thousand times', $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda e ́ s ~ \phi o \rho e ́ s ~ ' m a n y ~$ times', even àuét $\rho \eta \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ форés 'innumerable times' (but not кащlá фopá) are always used with the perfective (unless there is some other factor in the context which conditions the imperfective, as there is in

סvó фopés tó $\mu$ riva 'twice a month'), since each of these adverbials is considered as indicating a completed series consisting of a specified number of times.

By contrast, ovx $\dot{a}$ 'often' is more usually found with the imperfective, although it may be used with the perfective. It is only in this limited area within aspect that subjective choice is possible. The following pair of sentences (Newton-Veloudis 1980b: 32) may refer to the same actual situation, but in them it is clear that 'aspect is determined by what sentences assert, not by what they imply':
 a/one year(s)he came (used to come) on average once a month' (imperfective);
 times' (perfective).

### 3.2.3.2 Aspect in present or timeless reference

Where the time of the action in an indicative sentence is neither specifically past nor specifically future (i.e. the time of utterance and the time of action partially coincide), the imperfective will clearly be the correct aspect, since the speaker's viewpoint will necessarily be the time of the action. This explains the lack of a perfective form in MG for declarations concerning the present (in other words, it explains why the perfective non-past cannot be used outside subjunctive clauses): statements about the present or statements with timeless reference necessarily refer to durative or iterative actions or states. Consider the following exchanges:
(1a) -Tí $\delta o v \lambda \epsilon e ́ a ́ ~ к a ́ v \epsilon \iota s ;-\Gamma \rho a ́ \phi \omega . ~ " ~ W h a t ~ w o r k ~ d o ~ y o u ~ d o ? " ~$ "I write."';
(1b) -Tí кáveıs $\tau \dot{\omega} \rho a$;-Г $\rho \dot{a} \phi \omega$. "What are you doing now?" "I'm writing." '

In (1a) the reference is timeless, and the action either durative or (more likely!) iterative; in (1b) the reference is present and the action is durative. Both sentences employ the imperfective in MG. In fact, a completed action in the present is practically a logical impossibility, and the occasions when the imperfective non-past is used to refer to a completed action are of three types: (a) the historic or narrative present, used in narrating stories (e.g. रvpísel кai poĩ $\lambda \epsilon \in \epsilon \iota$. . . 'he turns round and says to me . . .'); (b) broadcast commentaries (e.g.
 but doesn't reach the goal'), which could also be classed as a historic present, since the comment almost inevitably follows the action (except perhaps in cricket!); and (c) performative declarations (e.g. ovvпu $\quad$ éva


One anomalous idiomatic use of the perfective, in which it refers to habitual actions, is the 'consuetudinal future' (Ben-Mayor 1980: 157-8):
 vá $\mu о \overline{~ к a ́ v є \iota ~ к a i ́ ~ v a ́ ~ \tau o u ̃ ~ к a ́ v \omega ~ o v v \tau \rho o ф l a ́ ~ ' e v e r y ~ W e d n e s d a y, ~ a t ~}$ 7.30 precisely, he's in the habit of coming here so we can keep each other company' (Kar. n.d.: II 125) (lit. '. . . to of-me he-makes and to of-him I-make company').

The imperfective non-past without $\vartheta a \dot{a}$ would be more commonly used here than $\vartheta a ́+$ perfective.

### 3.2.3.3 Potential meaning of the imperfective

In addition to its progressive and iterative meanings, the imperfective also has a potential sense, i.e. it may indicate that the subject is capable of acting (or being acted upon) in the manner designated by the verb:
(1) ко́ßєє е̇кєivo тó $\mu$ ахаірı; ‘does that knife cut?' (i.e. 'is it sharp?');
(2) $\delta \dot{v} \nu$ éкоßє $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ о $\mu a \chi a i \rho \iota ~ ' t h e ~ k n i f e ~ w o u l d n ' t ~ c u t ' ; ~ ;$
(3) au̇тó тó крéas $\delta \dot{v} \nu$ кóß $\beta \tau a l$ 'this meat is uncuttable.'

The passive is frequently used idiomatically in this way:
(4) $\notin \sigma \dot{v} \delta \epsilon \in \delta \iota o \rho \vartheta \dot{\omega} \nu \epsilon \sigma a \iota ~ ' y o u ' r e ~ i n c o r r i g i b l e ' ~(l i t . ~ ' y o u ~ a r e ~ n o t ~$ corrected');
(5) $\tau o ́ ~ \tau i ́ ~ \tau \rho a ́ ß \eta \xi \xi a ~ \delta e ́ v ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ ' w h a t ~ I ~ s u f f e r e d ~ d e f i e s ~ d e s c r i p-~$ tion' (lit. 'the what I-pulled is-not-described').

### 3.2.3.4 Coincidence, scope, and scenario

Most of what has been said with regard to aspect in the last pages applies equally to verbs in main and subordinate clauses, irrespective of whether or not the verbs are preceded by subjunctive markers such as those which will be examined in Chapter 9.

The situation is sometimes more complex, however, in subjunctive subordinate clauses than in main clauses, and something must be said about the concepts of coincidence, scope, and scenario.

### 3.2.3.4.1 Coincidence

The concept of 'coincidence' was applied to the aspect of MG verbs in subordinate clauses by Seiler (1952) and was refined by Bakker (1970). The principle is that the imperfective aspect of a subordinate verb in a subjunctive clause indicates that the action it denotes coincides temporally with that of the verb in the main clause (i.e. the two actions occur at the same time); the perfective, by contrast, indicates a lack of temporal coincidence (the action of the subordinate verb is completed before, or commences after, that of the main verb). Thus the verbs $\dot{a} \rho x i j \omega$ 'I begin', $\dot{\epsilon} \xi a \kappa о \lambda o v \vartheta \dot{\omega}$ and $\sigma v \nu \in \chi i \zeta \omega$ 'I continue', $\pi a v i \omega$ and $\sigma \tau a \mu a \tau \tilde{\omega}$ 'I stop' (but cf. (22b)) are followed by $\nu \dot{a}+$ imperfective, since the beginning, continuing, or finishing is seen as coinciding with an (albeit infinitesimal) portion of the other action: e.g. ă $\rho x \iota \sigma a$ vá $\tau \rho \in ́ \chi \omega$ 'I started running', $\dot{\epsilon} \xi a \kappa о \lambda о v ́ \vartheta \eta \sigma a \nu \alpha$ д $\pi \epsilon \rho \pi a \tau a ́ \omega$ 'I went on walking', $\sigma \tau а \mu a ́ \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon ~ \nu a ́ ~ \beta \rho e ́ \chi \epsilon є ~ ' i t(' s) ~ s t o p p e d ~ r a i n i n g ' . ~ I t ~ c o u l d ~ b e ~ s a i d ~ t h a t ~$ this usage conforms with the basic rules stated earlier, in that the action of the second verb in each example is by definition seen by the speaker as durative, since it has a beginning, middle, or end.

The imperfective is usually used, especially after verbs of perception, in a $\nu$ d-clause which stands as a complement to the direct object of the main verb: e.g.
(1) $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ äкovoa vá $\tau \rho a \gamma o v \delta a ́ \epsilon \iota ~ ' I ~ h e a r d ~[o r ~ ' l i s t e n e d ~ t o ’] ~ h e r ~$ singing';
 road';
(3) $\sigma \in ́ ~ ф а \nu \tau a ́ \sigma \tau \eta \kappa a ~ \nu a ́ ~ \chi a ́ v \epsilon ı \varsigma ~ \tau o ́ ~ \delta \rho o ́ \mu o ~ \sigma o v ~ ‘ I ~ i m a g i n e d ~ y o u ~ l o s i n g ~$ your way'.
(These might be considered as corresponding to sentences in which one verb denotes an action, another the background to that action:
(1a) $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \grave{\omega} \rho a$ поv́ $\tau \rho a \gamma o v \delta o v ̃ \sigma \epsilon, \tau \eta \dot{\nu}$ ăкоvoa 'while she was singing (imperfective), I heard her (perfective)' etc.,
in which case the verbs in the imperfective are necessarily being viewed as durative.)

The imperfective is also normally used after verbs such as $\xi \in \in \omega$ 'I know (how to)', ovvท७i's 'I get used (to)', $\mu a \vartheta a i v \omega$ 'I learn (how to)', ( $\mu 0 \tilde{)}$ ) á $\rho \in ́ \in \epsilon \epsilon \iota$ '(I) like', where the subordinate verb suggests an iterative action: e.g. $\xi \in \in \rho \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ v a ́ ~ d \delta \eta \eta \gamma a ̆ s ; ~ ' d o ~ y o u ~ k n o w ~ h o w ~ t o ~ d r i v e ~$
 to sleeping (imperfective) in the middle of the day'.

The perfective is found typically after verbs or phrases such as the following (provided that the second action is not viewed as habitual or
 $\mu \dot{\nu} \nu \omega$ 'I expect', фоßāual 'I'm afraid (to)', è $\lambda \pi i \zeta \omega$ 'I hope', паракал $\bar{\omega}$ 'I beg', $\pi \rho о ф \tau a i \nu \omega$ 'I have time (to)'; e.g.
(4) кóvтє廿a vá $\sigma \kappa о \tau \omega \vartheta \bar{\omega}$ 'I almost got killed';
(5) $\epsilon i \sigma \tau \epsilon$ є̈ $\tau о щ о \iota ~ \nu a ́ ~ \phi u ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon ; ~ ‘ a r e ~ y o u ~(p l) ~ r e a d y ~ t o ~ l e a v e ? ’ ;$.
(6) $\pi \epsilon \rho \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ dá é $\rho \vartheta \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ ' I ' m$ waiting for you to come';
(7) $\phi o \beta o ́ \mu o v v a ~ \nu a ́ ~ \pi a ́ \omega ~ ' I ~ w a s ~ a f r a i d ~ t o ~ g o ' ; ~$
(8) $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i \grave{\zeta} \omega \nu \dot{a} \mu \epsilon ́ \pi a ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau \eta \lambda \epsilon ́ \phi \omega \omega \nu$ 'I hope you'll phone me';

 finish.'

In each of the above examples, the action of the main verb is viewed as being completed before the action of the subordinate verb begins; so much so that (while this is by no means a necessary precondition of a perfective non-past) the action of the subordinate verb may never occur. Certainly (outside expressions referring to future time), in cases where the action of the subordinate verb has not occurred, the imperfective nonpast is usually excluded: in example (4), for instance, I was clearly not killed, while in (7) the speaker could have gone on to say, 'so I didn't go', and in (9) the sentence could have continued, 'but he refused'. In all these cases, there is a lack of coincidence between the actions. For the same reasons, the conjunctions (and subjunctive markers) $\pi \rho i v$ and $\pi \rho o \tau o \tilde{v}$ 'before' are almost always followed by the perfective non-past:
(11) $\tau \dot{d} \nu \xi \in \pi a ́ \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \psi a \pi \rho \dot{\nu} \mu \epsilon ́ ~ \pi a ́ \rho \epsilon \iota ~ \chi a \mu \pi a ́ \rho \iota ~ ' I ~ f i n i s h e d ~ h i m ~ o f f ~$ before he got wind of me';
clearly here, as in other $\pi \rho i \omega$-clauses, there is a lack of coincidence between the actions.

The perfective is often used after the universal relatives $\delta \pi 0 \omega \varsigma$ 'whoever', ö, $\tau \iota$ 'whatever', дั $\pi о v$ 'wherever', ö $\pi о \tau \epsilon$ 'whenever', $\delta \sigma о(\varsigma)$ 'however much' and $\delta \pi \omega \varsigma$ 'however', in future or timeless reference:
 to wait';
(13) $\delta, \tau \iota \pi \epsilon i ̃ \varsigma ~ \delta e ́ ~ \vartheta a ́ ~ \sigma e ́ ~ \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \psi \omega ~ ' w h a t e v e r ~ y o u ~ s a y, ~ I ~ s h a n ' t ~$ believe you';
 I travel, Greece wounds me' (Sef. 1969: 106);
 like it' (lit. 'whenever of-them it-smokes');
 $\gamma \in \nu \nu a$ 'the goblins threaten those children who are born at Christmas';
$\gamma \rho a ́ \phi \epsilon \iota ~ \delta ̈ \pi \omega \varsigma ~ \tau о \check{~ к а \tau є ́ ß \epsilon \iota ~ ' h e ~ w r i t e s ~ h o w e v e r ~ h e ~ l i k e s ' ~(l i t . ~}$ '. . . however of-him it-descends').

In all these cases, it seems, the action of the verb governed by the conjunction is viewed as having been completed before the other action begins, even though the action of the subordinate verb is potentially iterative. In these cases, lack of coincidence appears to overrule progressivity and iterativeness (contrast 9.4.4.4 (8) ).

The semantic difference between the aspects in instances where either is grammatically acceptable may be illustrated by the following pairs of sentences (taken from Bakker 1970):
(18a) $\tau \delta \delta \nu a ̈ \phi \eta \sigma a \nu a ́ k \lambda a i \epsilon \iota$ (imperfective) 'I left him crying; I let him go on crying' (progressive; coincidence);
(18b) $\tau \delta \delta \nu a ̈ ф \eta \sigma a ~ \nu a ́ ~ к \lambda a ́ \psi \epsilon \iota ~(p e r f e c t i v e) ~ ' I ~ l e t ~ h i m ~ c r y ’ ~(n o n-~$ progressive, non-iterative; ?coincidence);
(19a) $\mu^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \delta \dot{\delta} \iota \zeta \epsilon \nu$ á $\dot{\delta} \delta \eta \gamma \bar{\omega}$ (imperfective) '(s)he was hindering my driving (but I was driving)' (progressive; coincidence);
(19b) $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \delta \dot{\delta} \iota \zeta \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \sigma \kappa \in ́ \psi \eta \eta \nu$ á ropióct (perfective) 'it was preventing the thought from returning (so it didn't return)' (nonprogressive, non-iterative; no coincidence);
(20a) $\mu \pi о \rho \in i ̃ \nu$ á $\lambda \epsilon i \pi o u \nu$ (imperfective) 'maybe they're away (now)' (progressive; coincidence);
(20b) $\mu \pi o \rho \in i$ vá $\lambda \in i \neq o v \nu$ (perfective) 'maybe they'll be away (in the future)' (progressive; non-coincidence-the lack of coincidence overrides the progressivity);
(21a) $\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \nu a ́ ~ \vartheta v \mu a ̈ \sigma \tau \epsilon$ (imperfective) '(surely) you must remember' (inferential; progressive; coincidence);
(21b) $\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota ~ \nu a ́ ~ \vartheta v \mu \eta \vartheta \epsilon i \tau \epsilon ~ '(p l e a s e) ~ y o u ~ m u s t ~ r e m e m b e r ' ~(o b l i-~$ gative; non-progressive; non-coincidence).
As can be seen, in certain instances a difference in aspect in the subordinate verb may alter the meaning of the first or the second verb, or both: compare the two meanings of a $\phi \dot{v} \nu \omega$ ((18a) and (18b)), of $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \sigma \delta i \zeta \omega$ ( (19a) and (19b)), of $\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota$ and of $\vartheta v \mu a ̃ \mu a \iota ~((21 a)$ and
 latter to a (voluntary) action of recollection). Notice too the two meanings of $\sigma \tau a \mu a \tau \dot{\omega}$ in the following:
(22a) $\sigma \tau а \mu a ́ \tau \eta \sigma a ~ \nu a ́ ~ \tau \rho \omega ́ \omega ~ \sigma o v \beta \lambda a ́ k ı a ~(i m p e r f e c t i v e) ~ ‘ I[' v e] ~ s t o p p e d ~$ eating souvlakia' (coincidence);
 order) to eat souvlakia' (no coincidence)
(in (22b), $\nu a ́$ could be preceded by $\gamma \dot{a}$, but not in (22a)).
Sometimes, however, when the reference is unambiguously to future time (e.g. when the verb is accompanied by a future adverbial), progressivity or iterativeness may overrule non-coincidence:
 return in the future' (iterative or progressive);
 tion, but no implication of iterativeness or progressivity).

The same sentences, but without $\sigma \tau o ́ \mu e ́ \lambda \lambda o \nu$, will normally be taken to refer to the present (23a) and the future (23b) respectively (Ben-Mayor 1980: 97-8). Thus a rule could be posited that in a subjunctive subordinate clause, where non-coincidence is explicitly expressed (e.g. by an adverbial), aspect may differentiate between a statement and a non-statement of iterativeness or progressivity; but where temporal reference is not explicit, aspect may distinguish between a statement or non-statement that the two actions coincide temporally (but cf. 9.4.4.5).

### 3.2.3.4.2 Scope

When dealing with sentences containing a subjunctive subordinate clause, one should observe carefully which verb is covered by certain elements (such as negatives and adverbials), i.e. their scope. Compare the following (brackets have been inserted to indicate the coverage or scope of the adverbial):
(1a) $\vartheta \in ́ \lambda \omega$ ( $\nu a ́ ~ \sigma o u ̃ ~ \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \omega ~ к a ́ \vartheta \epsilon ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a) ~(i m p e r f e c t i v e) ~ ' I ~ w a n t ~(t o ~$ write to you every day)';
 hour (I have [a] great desire to write)' (Tsats. 1973: 93).

In (1a) the speaker says that (s)he wishes to write at least one letter each day, while in (1b) it is not the writing but the desire that occurs every hour (for this reason the word order has been altered in English
to make the meaning clearer). The scope of the adverbial covers the verb $\gamma \rho a \dot{\phi} \phi \omega$ in (1a), but the phrase $\notin \chi \omega \delta \dot{\alpha} \vartheta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \eta$ in (1b).

There is a tendency for a verb governed by an iterative verb to be in the perfective, as in the following (both examples from Bakker 1970):

 one pattern (I used to be in a hurry to start something else)'; $\kappa а ́ \vartheta \epsilon ~ ф о \rho a ́ ~ \pi о v ́ ~(\epsilon ̇ \pi \rho о ́ к є \iota \tau о ~(i m p f). ~ \nu a ́ ~ є ̇ \pi \iota \sigma к є \phi \tau \tilde{\omega}$ (pf.) тó
 to visit the doctor), my day was wasted'.

In each sentence there are two non-subjunctive verbs in the imperfective, whose iterative aspect is reinforced by the adverbial $\kappa \dot{a} \vartheta \epsilon$ фopá. One of these verbs in each sentence governs a subjunctive verb in the perfective. The perfective is used because the scope of the adverbial covers only the non-subjunctive verbs: each time the speaker in (2) hurried to start a new pattern, she started a new pattern only once; similarly, each time the speaker in (3) was due to visit the doctor, she visited him only once. (There may also be a lack of coincidence, since on some occasion the pattern might not have been started, and the visit to the doctor might not have been made; nevertheless, the imperfective could be used in the subjunctive verb if the action was viewed as progressive, or if the verb referred to an action that was repeated habitually for every single occasion referred to in the verb which governs it.)

The negative may also affect aspect: compare the following:
(4a) $ク ゙ \xi \in \rho \epsilon \nu a ́ ~ к о \lambda \nu \mu \pi a ́ \epsilon \iota ~ '(s) h e ~ k n e w ~ h o w ~ t o ~ s w i m ' ; ~ ; ~$
 swim.'

In (4a) the imperfective is used because on any occasion the person's ability to swim might have coincided with his/her swimming; in (4b) the person's inability to swim could never coincide with his/her swimming.

### 3.2.3.4.3 Scenario

Another way of approaching the examples (4a) and (4b) above is according to the concept of scenario expressions (Newton, 1979a and 1979b). A scenario expression is one which contains an explicit or implicit statement of certain conditions under which an action takes place. In (4a) these conditions are something like, 'whenever there is a convenient stretch of water available'. In (4b) there is no such scenario.
(The same distinction would have held if the main verb had been $\mu \pi о \rho \dot{\omega}$ 'I can' instead of $\xi \in \rho \omega$.) It is in scenario expressions that the imperfective is used, while the perfective is used where the performance (or non-performance) of the action does not depend on certain conditions: the imperfective is used to refer to actions considered as being relative to others, as we have seen when discussing coincidence, while the perfective is used for actions considered as being absolute or independent.

Consider the following pairs of sentences, in which a scenario expression is used with a modal (here $\mu \pi \sigma \rho \dot{\omega}$ in the deontic sense of 'I am permitted'):
(5a) $\mu \pi о \rho \epsilon i \varsigma ~ v a ́ ~ ’ \rho \vartheta \epsilon i \varsigma ~(p f) ~ ð. ð \pi o \tau \epsilon ~ \vartheta є ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \varsigma, ~$

(Newton 1979a: 33). Both these sentences may be rendered in English as, 'you may come whenever you like'. But (5a) implies 'at whatever time you like, you may come once' (i.e. either once and for all, or once each time, with specific permission being given for each occasion); while (5b) implies, 'on whatever occasions you like, you may come however many times you like' (with standing permission being
 is not covered by the scenario expression $\partial \pi \sigma \sigma \epsilon \vartheta \notin \lambda \epsilon \iota \varsigma$, whereas the verb [ $\nu a \dot{]}$ ' $\rho \chi \in \sigma a \iota$ in (5b) is. Thus the examples could be explained thus: (5a) 'whenever-you-like, you may come'; (5b) 'you may-whenever-youlike come-whenever-you-like'. This is shown more clearly in sentences concerning actions which by their nature do not admit of repetition:

 mit suicide whenever you like.'

Here only the perfective is possible, since the action denoted by the subordinate verb is performable only once: the adverbial covers the main verb but not the subordinate.

The perfective (referring as it does more to specific actions than does the imperfective) may distinguish a definite from an indefinite subject. Consider the following:
 marry young';
(7b) oi котé入єऽ пре́тєє vá пavтрєvтoũv (pf.) $\mu \ll \rho \epsilon ́ \varsigma ~ ' t h e ~ g i r l s ~$ should marry young'.
(Newton 1979b: 158-9). The Greek sentences are distinguished by a difference in aspect, the English by the absence or presence of the definite article. In (7a), although the speaker is probably thinking of each girl marrying once, the imperfective is used because they are considered as marrying one after another (or else there is a scenario: 'whenever girls exist . . .'); in (7b), there is no scenario, and the specific girls are considered to be getting married on particular occasions. The interplay between aspect and specificness can be further illustrated in the following pair of sentences, in which it is the object of the verb that is in question:
(8a) $\mu \pi о \rho \epsilon i ̄ \varsigma ~ \nu a ́ ~ \sigma к о т \omega ́ v \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~(i m p f). ~ \tau i \varsigma ~ к o ́ t \epsilon \varsigma ~ ‘ y o u ~ m a y / c a n ~ k i l l ~$ hens';
 hens' (Newton 1979b: 164).

There is no grammatical difference here between the deontic (permission) and physical (ability) meanings of $\mu$ mopeis: but, in (8b) as in (7b), the perfective aspect leads the hearer to interpret the noun as referring to specific objects, rather than to a scenario ('whenever hens exist . . .').

It should not be thought, however, that the foregoing remarks about scenario expressions hold true in all cases. It is not difficult to find counter-examples, such as the following:

 class was called to arms, many [men] made for the hills' (Pre. n.d.: 331);
 $\sigma \pi a ́ \vartheta \eta \sigma a$ (pf.); 'why didn't you let me leave on all the occasions when I wanted to?' (Pla. 1976: 137);
(11) кáधє форá $\pi o v ́ \tau o ́ v ~ \sigma v \nu a \nu \tau \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma$ (pf.), $\mu \in \lambda a \gamma \chi o \lambda \bar{\omega}$ 'every time I meet him I get depressed' (Sef. 1977: 300).

In (9) we have a scenario expression which structurally differs little from (2) and (3), except that here both verbs are in the perfective: there seems no reason why they should not be imperfective. In (10) we have a mixed structure, in which one main verb is imperfective, the other perfective: again, the perfective is hard to explain (although the subordinated perfective vá фúr $\omega$ follows the same pattern as in (2) and (3)). In (11) we have a sentence with timeless reference, in which the subordinated verb is in the perfective, following the pattern which has
already been observed after universal relatives (3.2.3.4.1): in this case, кáधє форá $\pi o v$ ' is equivalent to öтотє 'whenever'. In this last example, the perfective is quite regular: thus it must be borne in mind that sentences with non-temporal (general) or future reference may differ in aspect from those with past reference (see also 9.4.4.4). In (9) and (10), on the other hand, where the verbs refer to the past, the imperfective would perhaps have been more normal than the perfective. In (9) the divergence from the norm may be a matter of dialect (the writer is Cretan, and uses such constructions frequently): in (10) it is clearly a matter of style (the writer is Athenian). Very little work has been done on differences in aspectual usage according to dialect and style, and it is clear that more research is needed.

### 3.2.4 THE PERFECT ASPECT

More will be said about the perfective and imperfective aspects when we come to examine tense and mood in greater detail. Before that, however, we should look at the perfect aspect.

The perfect has been termed an aspect rather than a tense, since it consists of two tense-forms (traditionally called the 'perfect' and the 'pluperfect') and can be used, like each of the other two aspects, in the various moods (including, of course, the future, but not the imperative). The perfect differs from each of the other aspects, however, in that it always refers to a time anterior to another time (whether the latter be the time of utterance or the time of another verb in the same linguistic context). It stands on its own also by virtue of the fact that its use is hardly ever obligatory: it can normally be replaced by another aspect (normally the perfective) without any real change of meaning except to disambiguate a potentially ambiguous utterance. Kahane and Kahane (1958: 458) suggest that the use or otherwise of the perfect is a matter of idiolect; but it is to be doubted that this means more than that some speakers use it more than others (see further 3.3.1.3).

The nature of the perfect is that it combines reference to two times (e.g. past and present, past and more-past, or future and less-future). It is used in describing a completed action (thus resembling the perfective), and it is not used where there is a coincidence of actions, nor where an action is considered as progressive or iterative.

The perfect non-past, when used indicatively (i.e. without a subjunctive marker), is used to denote an anterior action or series of actions whose present (or future) result is stressed: thus it is used in conversational speech far more than in, e.g., written narrative. It may
be used not only for actions which have occurred a number of times (or never) within a period ending in the present or future (1), but also for actions which happened once and for all in the past (2), and for a series of actions which stopped happening some time ago (3):
 I have been to London ten times' (i.e. from 1961 to the present);

 wrote ten novels' (though K. is long since dead!);
but in (2) and (3) and similar constructions the action must have some consequence in the present (e.g. that I am alive, and that K.'s novels are there to be read).

Despite (2) and (3), the difference in meaning between the perfect and the other verb forms in MG is much more like English than it is like French (in which the difference between the perfect and the past historic is one of register); on the other hand, it may be that spoken French has influenced some Greek speakers to use the perfect more than is necessary, and in (2) and (3) the perfective past would be preferred by many speakers to the perfect non-past.

It is however sometimes useful to distinguish the perfect non-past from the perfective past. Imagine two people in the Museum at Olympia. If one says to the other,

(s)he means, 'have you [ever] seen the Hermes of Praxiteles?' (i.e. once or more during the period from your birth to the present), the implication being that they have not yet reached the statue on their present visit. By contrast, a speaker who asks,
(4b) тóv `Е $\rho \mu \dot{\eta} \tau о \tilde{~ П \rho a \xi ı \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta ~ \tau o ́ v ~ \epsilon i ̋ \delta \epsilon \varsigma ; ~}$
will most probably be asking, 'did you see the Hermes of Praxiteles?', implying that the statue has already come into sight and then disappeared again (i.e. the speaker is asking whether the other person saw it on a specific occasion). Although the perfective past could be used for both meanings, the use of the perfect non-past would be advisable in the first case so that ambiguity should be avoided. The perfect nonpast could not be used in the second meaning, however: although the
perfect is usually replaceable by another aspect (normally the perfective), the converse is not true.

### 3.2.4.1 The form of the perfect

Something should be said about the various ways of expressing the perfect aspect. The standard version consists of the relevant form of éx $\omega$ 'I have' followed by the perfect formant, ${ }^{1}$ which is identical to the third person singular of the perfective non-past of the relevant
 $\delta \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota$ 'I had tied', $\epsilon i \chi a \quad \delta \in \vartheta \epsilon i$ 'I had been tied'. Thus it shares characteristics with the other two aspects: its first element ( $\notin \chi \omega$ ) is imperfective, its second (the perfect formant) is formally perfective. There is, however, an alternative (but less common) active form when a direct object is present, consisting of $\bar{\epsilon} \chi \omega$ followed by the past passive participle, which agrees in number, gender, and case with the object: e.g. róv ë $\chi \omega$ $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ (or $\delta \in \mu \in \in v o$ ) tó $\gamma$ áı $\delta a \rho o$ 'I have tied up the donkey'. For the passive, $\epsilon i \mu a \iota$ 'I am' may be followed by the same participle: e.g. $\epsilon$ l $\chi \in$ $\delta \epsilon \vartheta \epsilon i$ ò $\gamma a ́ \iota \delta a \rho o s$ 'the donkey had been tied up', $\boldsymbol{\eta} \tau a \nu \delta \epsilon \mu \in ́ v o s ~ o ̀ ~ \gamma a ́ i \delta a \rho o s ~$ 'the donkey was tied up'. Note that with some verbs $\epsilon \mu \mu a \iota+$ passive participle is equivalent to ë̀ $\omega \omega+$ active perfect formant: e.g. ËX $\omega$ $\pi \epsilon \omega a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota$ 'I have become hungry', eíual $\pi \epsilon \omega a \sigma \mu e ́ v o s ~ ' I ~ a m ~ h u n g r y ' ~$ (cf. 3.1.5).

In each case, however, the version which employs the perfect formant does not mean precisely the same as that which uses the participle: while the former emphasizes the action, the latter emphasizes its result. Also, in é $\chi \omega$ + participle, the verb ë $\chi \omega$ normally retains its meaning of possession, while it does not do so in ë $\chi \omega+$ perfect formant (hence, $\tau o ́ v ~ e ̈ \chi \omega ~ \delta \epsilon \mu e ́ v o ~ \tau o ́ ~ \gamma a ́ ı \delta a \rho o ~ w o u l d ~ b e ~ b e t t e r ~ r e n d e r e d ~ a s ~ ' I ' v e ~ g o t ~ t h e ~$ donkey tied up'). (This is the situation in SMG, though in many dialects ëx $\omega+$ participle does not necessarily retain the idea of possession: thus the geographical provenance of a speaker may affect his/her way of forming the perfect.)

### 3.2.5 THE ASPECT OF THE PARTICIPLE

The question of which aspect is displayed by the past passive participle was left unanswered by Seiler (1952: 39). Kahane and Kahane (1958)

[^1]place it under perfect aspect．This is probably generally speaking correct， in view of its appearance in composite forms which are equivalent to
 $\mu e ́ v o$＇it is written＇）．

Nevertheless，the situation is rather complex．The only participle about whose aspect there can be no doubt is the present passive，which is always imperfective，since it refers to a progressive action：e．g．，in its purely adjectival（attributive）use，
（1）$\dot{\eta}$ àmaıтоv́цєขך крıткท่ àкріßєєa＇the requisite critical precision＇，
where it corresponds to the imperfective nov ánalтєital＇which is required＇．This action may coincide with that of another verb：e．g．，in verbal use，
 Acropolis，you will see ．．．＇，
where the participle does not correspond precisely to the perfective $\delta \mathrm{rav}$ $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \epsilon \phi \tau \epsilon i \pi \epsilon$＇when you visit＇：the participle stresses coincidence， whereas its paraphrase in finite form does not．

The present active participle，which cannot be used adjectivally，is also normally imperfective（e．g．Є̈фvyє $\tau \rho$ éxov $\quad$ as＇he ran away＇，corre－ sponding to $\check{e} \phi v \gamma \epsilon \in \dot{\epsilon} \nu \bar{\omega}$ é $\tau \rho \epsilon \chi \epsilon$＇he left while he was running＇）because it displays progressivity and coincidence（the present active participle （usually）and the present passive participle（always，when used non－ attributively）have the same subject as the main verb）；but it may some－ times correspond to a perfective past：e．g．
（3）$\phi \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu 0 \nu \tau a \varsigma ~ \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\nu} \eta \dot{\delta} \rho \tau a, \chi \tau \dot{\pi} \eta \eta \sigma \epsilon$＇arriving at the door，（s）he knocked＇（corresponding to ठัтav є̈фтa⿱㇒日ध＇when（s）he arrived＇），
in which case it presumably functions as a perfective（or at least a per－ fect），since the action is considered to be non－progressive and does not coincide with the other．This is probably what gave rise to the perfect participle（e．g．ëXovtas $\phi \tau$ áбєı＇having arrived＇），which does not have a long history，and is considered by many speakers to be an unwelcome intrusion from French or English（the perfect participle also exists in the passive：e．g．ëхо⿱亠乂as $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o ф о \rho \eta \vartheta \epsilon i$＇having been informed＇）．Note that，like the present passive participle of a transitive deponent，while the perfect active participle of a transitive verb may have a noun as an object，it may not govern a clitic pronoun，which suggests that neither
has become completely established in the normal language (e.g. **モXovтás $\tau 0$ 入úбєє 'having solved it ' is impossible and ? $\mu \in \tau а \chi \epsilon \iota \iota \zeta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o ́ s ~ \tau o$ 'using it' is of doubtful acceptability).

To make matters more confusing, some so-called past passive participles are not necessarily past at all (e.g. ov $\lambda \lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma \mu e ́ v o s ~ ' p e n s i v e ' ~=~$ $\pi o v$ бov thinking': this verb has no commonly used present participle form); while some verbs have three participles with active meaning (e.g.
 bent').

Table 3.2 shows the characteristics of the five participles according to whether they may be used adjectivally, whether they refer to an action (where '-' corresponds to 'result'), whether they are active in meaning (cf. 3.1.5), whether they refer to an action anterior to that of the main verb, and whether their aspect is perfective or imperfective, or neither.

TABLE 3.2

|  | Syntactic <br> function |  | Voice | Time | Aspect |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Adjective | Action | Active | Anterior | Perfective | Perfect |
| Present act. | - | + | + | $-(+)$ | $-(+)$ | - |
| Present pass. | + | + | $-(+)$ | - | - | - |
| Perfect act. | - | + | + | + | - | + |
| Perfect pass. | - | + | $-(+)$ | + | - | + |
| Past pass. | + | $-(+)$ | $-(+)$ | $+(-)$ | - | + |

Despite the highly asymmetrical nature of this table, which would suggest a degree of redundancy, the only pair of participles which are truly interchangeable are the present and perfect active (and then only when the former expresses non-progressivity and non-coincidence). Each of the others has its own particular function which cannot be performed by any of the rest.

As has been seen, many verbs are lacking in one or more participle forms. The functional oppositions between each pair of participles may differ according to which forms exist for a particular verb. A verb which possesses all participle forms (e.g. $\sigma \chi \in \delta \dot{1}$ ( $\zeta \omega$ 'I plan') displays
the most regular set of oppositions. Thus (omitting the perfect participles):
 . . . '[in/when] designing this building, I bore in mind the following considerations. . $\therefore$

Here the action of the participle took place at the same time as that of the main verb; the action denoted by it is active and progressive; and the participle could not have been used as an adjective.

Here the action is passive and progressive, and takes place at the same time as some other action in the same utterance; it is being used as an adjective (= $\pi o v ́ ~ \sigma \chi \epsilon \delta \dot{a} \zeta \epsilon \tau a l$ 'which is being planned', or $\pi o v ́ \sigma \chi \in \delta \iota a-$ \}ótav 'which was being planned').
(6) tó $\sigma \chi \in \delta u a \sigma \mu e ́ v o ~ к \tau i \rho ı o ~ ' t h e ~ b u i l d i n g ~ t h a t ~ h a s ~ b e e n ~ d e s i g n e d ' ~$ (lit. 'the designed building').

In (6) the action is passive and has been completed before some other action in the utterance or before the time of the utterance itself; it too is used as an adjective ( $=\pi 0$ ' $\sigma \chi \in \delta \dot{a} \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon$ 'which was planned' or $\pi 0 \cup$ è $\chi \epsilon \iota / \epsilon i \chi \chi \in \chi \in \delta a \sigma \tau \tau i$ ' which has/had been planned').

Compare an active verb which has no passive:
 planted itself on a rock, a flower doesn't thrive.'

The verb $\phi u \tau \rho \omega \omega \omega$, like many commonly used verbs in MG, may or may not have an inchoative sense: i.e. it may denote the beginning of an action or the performance of that action (in this case 'plant oneself' or 'grow'). In view of this, and because the form $\phi u \tau \rho \omega \mu$ évos exists, the 'present active participle' may have past (or inchoative) reference. In (7), the participle is non-passive and non-adjectival, and may even be non-progressive.
(8) $\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \omega$ ëva $\lambda o u \lambda o u ́ \delta \iota ~ ф v \tau \rho \omega \mu e ́ v o ~ o \tau o ́ ~ \beta \rho a ́ \chi o ~ ‘ I ~ s e e ~ a ~ f l o w e r ~$ growing on the rock.'

The 'past passive participle' in (8) is active in meaning and not necessarily past. It is adjectival, and may correspond to $\pi o v$ ' $\phi v \tau \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \in \iota$ 'which is growing' (non-inchoative, present, progressive) or $\pi o v ́$ є́ $\chi \in \iota ~ \phi u \tau \rho \omega \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \iota$ 'which has planted itself' (inchoative, past, non-progressive). Thus these
two participles may be identical in function, except that one is adjectival and the other not.

A deponent verb behaves differently again:
(9) $\mu \epsilon \tau а х \epsilon \iota \rho \iota \zeta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ \tau o ́ v ~ a ̆ \nu \vartheta \rho \omega \pi o ~ \mu ’ ~ a v ̉ \tau o ́ ~ \tau o ́ v ~ \tau \rho o ́ \pi o ~ \vartheta a ́ ~ \tau o ́ v ~$ $\chi$ á $\sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ à $\pi o ́ ~ \phi i \lambda o$ '[by] treating the man in this way you'll lose him as a friend.'

In (9) the 'present passive participle' serves the same function as the present active participle $\sigma x \in \delta \dot{a}\} o v \tau a s$ in (4): its meaning is active; the action it denotes is progressive and contemporaneous with another; and although here the participle is adjectival in that it shows concord with the subject, it (like most such participles with transitive meaning) cannot be used attributively.

In (10) the 'past passive participle' is passive in meaning (unlike (9) ); the action it denotes has been completed before another action in the utterance or before the time of the utterance itself; and it is used adjectivally. In other words, although it belongs to the same morphological voice as (9), its function is in every respect the opposite.

The non-adjectival function of the present participle with active meaning is shown by the Greek rendering of 'a dancing bear' as $\mu \mathrm{a}$ ' à акои́סa поט́ хорєט́धє/ хо́pєvє 'a bear which is/was dancing', not * $\mu$ á
 vá रopev́धı).

### 3.2.6 THE ASPECT OF THE IMPERATIVE

Generally speaking, the imperative often behaves with regard to aspect in the same way as the indicative or subjunctive. Since the imperfective imperative implies progressive or iterative action, it is often used in ordering or forbidding action which is already in progress or which seems to the speaker to be imminent (i.e. there is a connection between future and present time); or else it is used for a general command covering an unspecified number of future actions (these and other observations have been made by Bakker, 1965).

Certain other factors, however, affect a speaker's choice of aspect in the imperative. These are (a) specificness, (b) politeness, and (c) morphological considerations.
(a) Specificness. As in the indicative and subjunctive, so in the imperative, the perfective tends to be used for actions which are viewed
as being specific rather than general. In the imperative, the perfective is often accompanied by an object, while the imperfective is less often so. Compare $\lambda \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon$ (imperfective) 'speak' (lit. ‘say': i.e. 'go ahead and talk, I'm ready to listen to you') with $\pi \epsilon \in \tau \sigma$ (perfective) 'say it' or $\pi \epsilon \in S$ $\mu o v$ (perfective) 'tell me'; and $\gamma \rho a ́ \phi \epsilon$ (imperfective) 'go ahead and write' with $\gamma \rho a ́ \psi$ ' $\tau 0$ (perfective) 'write it' or $\gamma \rho a ́ \psi \epsilon \mu 0 v$ 'write to me (on a specific occasion or a specific number of times).'
(b) Politeness. Since the imperfective imperative is often used to order the immediate inception or cessation of an action, it is often felt to be less polite than the perfective. This does not mean that the perfective imperative is used more often in polite commands than the imperfective, since there are several alternative ways, apart from the imperative, of issuing a polite request. But $\mu i \lambda a$ (imperfective) 'speak' sounds more peremptory than $\mu i \lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon$ (perfective), even though they may refer to the same reality. One consequence of this is that the plural of the imperfective imperative of many verbs is hardly used except when more than one person is addressed; in other words, it is hardly used for the polite plural; but since this form is identical to the second person plural of the indicative, it can be used, with yes/no interrogative intonation, in a polite request: $\mu \iota \lambda a ̈ \tau \epsilon \pi а \rho а к а \lambda \bar{\omega} \pi \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma \gamma a ́ ; ~ ' w o u l d ~ y o u ~$ please speak more quietly/slowly?' Here $\mu \mathrm{\lambda} \bar{a} \tau \epsilon$ is not syntactically an imperative at all. On the subject of politeness, it is revealing that кoiтa 'look' and äкou 'listen' are often perceived as being truncated (and more familiar) versions of кoiтa$\epsilon$ and äкovoє even though the former derive from the imperfective, and the latter from the perfective, of кoltá $\omega$ and àkoú $\omega$ respectively. With some commonly used imperatives, however, a difference in aspect may entail a substantial difference in meaning. Compare dós $\tau 0 v$ (perfective) (literally, 'give to him'; and, figuratively, as a frequentative phrase) with $\delta \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{v}$ (imperfective) 'clear off!', and $\sigma \tau \rho i \psi \epsilon$ (perfective) 'turn' with $\sigma \tau \rho i \beta \epsilon$ (imperfective) (similar to $\delta \dot{\prime} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \epsilon \tau 0 v$ ).
(c) Morphological considerations. Certain imperative forms are almost non-existent, while some verbs are defective in one or more types of imperative. (There are verbs which lack imperatives altogether, such as $\mu \pi о \rho \dot{\omega}$ 'I can', $\epsilon \dot{\mu} \mu a \iota$ 'I am', vimáp $\chi \omega$ 'I exist', $\dot{a} \nu \dot{\eta} \kappa \omega$ 'I belong', óveఢpev́oual 'I dream' and $\pi \epsilon \vartheta a i v \omega$ 'I die': by contrast, the verb $\psi \circ \phi \dot{\omega}$ '(of animals) I die; (of humans) I die like an animal' does have an imperative!) Some verbs, such as $\mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega$ 'I stay, remain', have no imperfective imperative, while several verbs of motion either have no perfective imperative (e.g. $\pi \eta \gamma a i \nu \omega$ 'I go') or have an imperfective
which is not normally used in educated speech (e.g. $\mu \pi a i \omega \omega$ 'I go/come in' $\rightarrow$ é $\mu \pi a i \nu \epsilon)$. In all these cases, the missing aspect of the imperative may be expressed by means of a periphrasis. In some verbs of motion there is only one imperative, whose aspect cannot readily be determined (e.g. є́ $\rho \chi о \mu a \iota$ 'I come' $\rightarrow$ é $\lambda a, \mathrm{pl}$. $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \bar{a} \tau \epsilon$ ); in others there is an imperfective in $-a$ which might be either imperfective or perfective, but is not considered polite (e.g. $\phi \in \cup ̛ \gamma \omega$ 'I go away' $\rightarrow \phi \in v ่ \gamma a$ (imperfective), beside $\phi \dot{\gamma} \gamma \epsilon$ (perfective); $\beta \gamma a \dot{\omega} \omega$ 'I go/come out' $\rightarrow$ é $\beta \gamma a$ (morphologically perfective), beside $\beta \gamma \epsilon \in$ (also perfective); in each case the aspectual distinction between these two forms is neutralized). In many verbs of Class $2 b$ (see 5.2.1) the imperfective form of the imperative is more frequently found in the singular, the perfective in the plural ( $\tau \rho a \gamma o v \delta a ́ \omega ~ ' I ~ s i n g ' ~ \rightarrow ~ \tau \rho a \gamma o v ́ \delta a ~(i m p e r f e c t i v e), ~ p l . ~ \tau \rho a \gamma o v \delta \tilde{\eta} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ (perfective) ): again the aspectual distinction is neutralized. And, finally, there is virtually no imperfective imperative of passive forms of verbs (irrespective of whether they have active or passive meaning): such forms are extremely rare. Thus morphological constraints or tendencies lead speakers either to employ a periphrasis or to use the 'wrong' aspect of the imperative. Just as the negative of the imperative is always formed periphrastically (by $\mu \dot{\eta}+$ subjunctive), the periphrasis $\nu \dot{d}+$ subjunctive (which it so resembles) is often found to be a convenient way of expressing positive commands. (For further information on the formation of the imperative, see 5.5.1.)

### 3.3 THE INTERPLAY OF ASPECT AND TENSE

Clearly, aspect, tense, and mood are inseparably interconnected, so that our attempt to examine each category separately is bound to fail to extricate each one from the meshes of the others. In particular, mood in MG affects tense considerably, in that with verbs in the subjunctive the distinctions of tense tend to be neutralized on a scale which ranges from maximum (complete) to minimum neutralization (in the latter, the differentiation is almost as great as in the indicative). Conversely, in the subjunctive, a difference of aspect may serve to differentiate time, in that the perfective may indicate an action which takes place before or after another, while one of the functions of the imperfectivè is to denote an action which occurs at the same time as another. In fact, as we shall see (3.3.2 and 9.2), verbs in subordinate clauses tend to indicate time in relation to the main verb rather than in relation to the speaker's present (time of utterance). Because of these
complications, when talking about tense we shall concentrate on verbs in the indicative (reserving the subjunctive for the section on mood, Chapter 9: but see also 3.2.3.4 ff.), although we shall not feel inhibited from making comparisons with non-indicative uses, particularly expressions of future time.

Morphologically, the MG verb distinguishes in each of its three aspects between past and non-past, the former being differentiated from the latter in most cases by having its own endings and, sometimes, by the presence of the augment (see 5.4). It can be said that past forms are marked for pastness, while the non-past forms are not so marked. Having stated as a general principle that past forms are used to denote past actions or states, while non-past forms refer to actions or states which occur in the present, or which are timeless, or (particularly when the verb is preceded by $\vartheta \dot{a}$ ) which occur in the future, one can proceed to note particularly the deviations from this general rule.

We shall comment on some of the forms (by which are meant here the forms of the verb as given in Table 3.1) as they are used in the indicative in a main clause, then (3.3.2) examine the differences which manifest themselves when verbs are used in the indicative in subordinate clauses.

### 3.3.1 USE OF THE VARIOUS VERB FORMS IN THE MAIN CLAUSE

### 3.3.1.1 Imperfective non-past ('present')

The normal use of this form is to refer to actions or states which are in process at the time of utterance, or to actions which occur habitually, or to states which are considered to be more or less permanent. There is also a potential meaning: e.g. $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \gamma \gamma i \zeta \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ катбарó入a-каíє ‘don't touch the saucepan-it's burning/very hot' (lit. 'it burns'). Many verbs possess inchoative senses by their very nature ( $\chi \in \mu \mu \omega \nu \dot{a} \zeta \epsilon \epsilon$ ' it is becoming winter'), while others may be used inchoatively ( $\pi \nu i$ ' $\gamma o \mu a!$ ! 'I'm drowning!', i.e. 'I'm beginning to drown'). Closely linked to the habitual and permanent functions is the gnomic present: e.g. ö $\pi o l o s ~ \delta e ́ \nu ~ \epsilon ̈ \chi є ا ~$ $\mu v a \lambda o ́ ~ \epsilon ̈ \chi \epsilon \iota ~ \pi o ́ \delta ı a ~ ' w h o e v e r ~ h a s ~ n o ~ b r a i n ~ h a s ~ f e e t ' ~(p r o v e r b: ~ i . e . ~ i n t e l l i-~$ gent people save themselves a lot of unnecessary physical effort).

This form is also found where English employs the present perfect continuous with words such as 'for' and 'since': $\delta o u \lambda \epsilon v ́ \omega ~ \sigma$ ' avi $\tau \delta$ tó
 office for three years', or 'since 1981'. It is also found in certain cases
where English uses the present perfect simple to refer to actions which are clearly taking place in the present: e.g. $\pi \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \eta \eta$ форá $\beta \lambda \epsilon \in \pi \omega$ eैк $\kappa \epsilon \iota \psi \eta$ 'it's the first time I've seen an eclipse.'

More interesting, however, are those instances in which this form is used to denote actions and states which belong to the past or future.

In oral narrations, and even to some extent in literary narrative, Greek may use a historic present, in which an imperfective non-past is used instead of a perfective past (or, less often, instead of an imperfective past). It is quite usual for the imperfective non-past to co-occur with past tense forms in the same sentence, when all the verbs refer equally to the past: in such cases a perfective past tends to denote an action which is considered to be more crucial or more dramatic than the others.

There are several uses in which the imperfective non-past refers to future time. In some of these the action is considered by the speaker to be pre-planned and therefore certain of execution. Thus this form may express intention (especially in verbs of motion): $\phi \in \mathcal{U}^{\prime} \gamma \omega$ 'I'm leaving' (i.e. 'I'm about to leave'); note also that the imperfective nonpast is used in MG in newspaper headlines to refer to the future (e.g.
 leaving for Paris tomorrow'), while past reference must be indicated by a verb in a past tense. An imperfective non-past form is often found expressing intention after an imperative: e.g. фé $\rho \in \pi \rho \bar{\omega} \tau a \tau a ́ \mu \epsilon \zeta \epsilon \delta a ́ k i a$ $\kappa \iota ~ v ̈ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho a \quad \beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi о \cup \mu \epsilon$ 'bring the hors d'oeuvres first, then we'll see [about the main course]'. This form may also express various kinds of assurance:
(1) ð̈ாou vá 'val èpхєтal '(s)he's coming any moment now';
(2) äs $\tau 0, \tau o ́ \kappa \alpha ́ \nu \omega$ é $\gamma \omega$ 'leave it, I'll do it';
(3) ă $\nu$ đó $\vartheta$ é $\lambda \epsilon \iota \varsigma, \sigma$ ' $o$ ó $\chi a \rho i \zeta \omega$ 'if you want it, I'll give it to you';
 hurt' (lit. 'don't fear, I suffer nothing').

In the negative it may express a refusal, or a negative intention:
(5) $\delta$ é $\sigma$ ' $o$ ó $\delta \dot{\omega} \omega$ 'I'm not giving it to you';
(6) $\dot{\eta}$ ‘E $\lambda \lambda a ́ \delta a \pi o \tau \epsilon ́ ~ \delta e ́ v ~ \pi \epsilon \vartheta a i v e ı ~ ‘ G r e e c e ~ w i l l ~ n e v e r ~ d i e . ' ~$

In addition, the imperfective non-past is very commonly used to express a polite request, normally (but not always) enunciated as a question:
(7) $\mu 0 \tilde{v}$ àvoi' $\epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau \eta \dot{\nu} \nu \pi o ́ \rho \tau a$; 'would you open the door for me?';
(8) $\mu о и ̃ ~ \delta i \nu є \tau \epsilon ~ п а \rho а к а \lambda \omega ் ~ \epsilon ̈ \nu a \nu ~ " A \sigma \sigma o ~ \phi i \lambda \tau \rho o ; ~ ' w o u l d ~ y o u ~ p l e a s e ~$ give me a [packet of] "Ace" [i.e. Papastratos No. 1] tipped?';
(9) äv $\delta \epsilon ́ v \tau a ́ ~ к a \tau a \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \varsigma, ~ \mu o v ̃ ~ \tau o ́ ~ \lambda e ́ s ~ ' i f ~ y o u ~ c a n ’ t ~ m a n a g e ~ i t, ~ l e t ~ m e ~$ know [lit. 'you tell it to me'].'

Similar to this function is the interrogative use of this form in the first person plural: e.g. $\pi \tilde{a} \mu \epsilon$; ‘shall we go?’; $\pi a i \bigvee o v \mu \epsilon ~ к \rho v ф \tau о u ́ \lambda \iota ; ~ ‘ s h a l l ~ w e ~$ play hide-and-seek?'

### 3.3.1.2 Imperfective past ('imperfect')

In most of its uses this form functions as the past equivalent of the imperfective non-past. Thus it refers to actions or states which were in progress at some (normally specified) time in the past, or to actions which occurred habitually, or to states which are considered to have been more or less permanent. Again, there is also a potential sense: e.g.
 are by nature inchoative preserve their inchoative meaning in this form
 we arrived in London'), while other verbs may combine an inchoative sense with a potential or conditional one: $\pi \nu<\gamma \delta \dot{\tau} a \nu \epsilon \dot{\eta} \kappa о \pi \epsilon ́ \lambda a, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda a ́ ~ \tau \dot{\eta}$ $\gamma \lambda u ́ t \omega \sigma \epsilon \delta \Sigma \pi u ́ \rho o s$ 'the girl was drowning [i.e. 'seemed to be about to drown'], but Spyros saved her'. Here the possible insertion of $\vartheta$ á before the first verb, which would not alter the meaning to any great extent, shows how close the above example is to a conditional (with $\vartheta \dot{a}$, the sentence might be rendered as, 'the girl would have drowned but for the fact that Spyros saved her'); also, the imperfective past indicates clearly that the action denoted by the verb was not completed, whereas the perfective $\pi \nu i \gamma \eta \kappa \epsilon$ would have meant that the girl did in fact drown, in which case the second clause would become meaningless.

The imperfective past is used where English employs the past perfect continuous in sentences such as the following: ka७ómovva è кєĩ סvó $\dot{\omega} \rho \in \varsigma \quad \partial \quad \tau a \nu \eta j \vartheta \vartheta \epsilon \varsigma$ 'I'd been sitting/standing there for two hours when you came', and $\delta o u ́ \lambda \epsilon v a ~ e ̀ k e i ̈ ~ a ̀ ~ a o ́ ~ \tau o ́ ~ 1970 ~ ' I ' d ~ b e e n ~ w o r k i n g ~ t h e r e ~ s i n c e ~$ 1970'. It is also used in certain instances in which English uses the past perfect simple, such as, $\pi \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \eta \eta$ форá $\tau a \xi i \delta \in v a \mu \epsilon ́ a ̀ ~ a ̀ \rho o \pi \lambda a ́ v o ~ '[i t ~ w a s ~$ the] first time I'd travelled by plane.' This form may convey an expression of intention, assurance and refusal:

 it, I'd have given it to you.'

This last example could be termed a 'conditional without $\vartheta a ́ ’$ and is used when the verb in the apodosis denotes an action that did not occur, but could very easily have occurred:
 fact, (s)he could [quite easily] have done it now'.

The imperfective past is used not only to denote progressive actions (cf. English past continuous) and habitual actions (cf. English 'used to'), but also to refer to actions that were performed over and over again:
 on writing and crossing out, but I could never find the appropriate words' (Kaz. 1965: 558).

Note how this usage functions equally well in the negative as in the positive (in (4), 'I went on not finding . . .'). Often the repeated nature of the action is reinforced by a repetition of the verb:
 and working, but nothing [ever] came [of it].'

Lastly, the imperfective past is used with or without $\vartheta \dot{a}$ (especially in $\vartheta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega$ 'I want') to formulate a polite expression of a desire:
 speak to Mr Zaimis.'

### 3.3.1.3 Perfective past ('aorist indicative')

The normal use of the perfective past is to refer to a completed action (or series of actions) which took place in the past: theoretically, the speaker should be able to specify the point(s) in time at which the action(s) occurred.

The inchoative uses of the perfective past are particularly interesting. With a number of verbs, the perfective past alone may not distinguish between present and past reference. Thus: ăprך $\sigma a$ 'I was late; I'm late' (the imperfective $\dot{a} \rho \gamma \dot{\omega}$ tends to mean 'I become late' or 'I'm
 tired'); $\sigma$ ' à $\gamma$ á $\eta \eta \sigma a$ 'I loved you (for a certain period); I've fallen in love with you’ ( $\sigma$ ’ à $\gamma a \pi a ́ \omega$ 'I love you’); катá入aßє૬; ‘did you understand?; do you understand?' (ката入aßaive 'I [begin to] understand'); $\kappa \rho v ́ \omega \sigma a$ 'I (suddenly) felt cold; I've caught cold' ( $\kappa \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \nu \omega$
'I get/feel/catch cold'); à $\rho \rho \omega \dot{\sigma} \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon$ '(s)he became ill; (s)he's ill' (aj $\rho \rho \omega$ отаì $\omega$ 'I become ill'); $\beta a \rho \epsilon ่ \vartheta \eta к а ~ ‘ I ~ g o t ~ f e d ~ u p ; ~ I ’ m ~ f e d ~ u p ’ ~(~ \beta a \rho ı \epsilon ́ \mu a \iota ~$ 'I'm bored; I get fed up'). In many cases, the perfective past could be rendered in English by the present perfect. (The perfective past may be replaced by the perfect past if past reference is to be emphasized.) The perfective of these verbs tends to carry an inchoative meaning more often than does the imperfective.

These aspectual differences are more obvious in certain verbs, in which another language will have to use a different word to render each of the two aspects. Compare: фóp $\epsilon \sigma \epsilon$ (pf.) Є̈va $\tau o ́ \pi \lambda \epsilon \varsigma \mu a \gamma \iota \omega$ 'she put on a topless bathing costume', and фopoṽ $\sigma \epsilon$ (impf.) $\tau \delta \dot{\pi} \lambda \epsilon \varsigma \mu a \gamma เ o$ 'she wore/was wearing [a] topless bathing costume'; or $\pi a ́ t \eta \sigma a$ (pf.) $\tau \dot{\prime}$

 sacred soil of Greece'. In the examples given in the previous paragraph, the verb (some of which may be said to be inchoative by nature), when used in the perfective past, might imply a reference to the present consequences of an action which commenced in the past; in the last examples the verbs carry an exclusively inchoative meaning when used in the perfective.

As has been mentioned before, the perfective past is often used in contexts where a perfect would be equally acceptable. Thus, $\eta \rho \vartheta a \sigma \pi \dot{\eta} \nu$
 Athens to see you' or as 'I've come to Athens to see you'; the first rendering implies that the speaker came at some time in the (near or distant) past, with no explicit link with the present, while the latter suggests that the speaker arrived recently and stresses that (s)he is still in Athens at the time of speaking. Often the choice between perfective past and perfect non-past is purely stylistic; that is, a speaker or writer might use both forms in the same utterance or piece of writing for the sake of variety. For example, in an article on the recently opened archaeological museum at Olympia ( $T 25$ Feb. 1982, 45-9), which dealt with the changes that have taken place to the exhibits since they were moved from the old building, I counted thirty-five verbs in the perfective past and seven in the perfect non-past. Of the thirty-five perfective past forms, only ten could be said to refer to events in the past without present reference; all the others refer to alterations which have just taken place and whose consequences are visible to the visitor (in exactly the same way as the seven perfect non-past forms). There seems to be no semantic difference between the 35 perfective past and the
seven perfect non-past forms, nor has the choice of one or the other been dictated by morphological constraints.

There is also a gnomic use of the perfective past, apparent in certain
 'the cooking-pot rolled and found the lid'), used to express the speaker's lack of surprise that two people (of whom (s)he probably disapproves) have become close associates; such a phrase is not used only to comment on past events, but is perceived as having some general, timeless validity. A similar function is that in which the perfective past is used in an interrogative sentence which is equivalent to the protasis of a conditional sentence:
 'Have you found yourself in a trolleybus? You're a prey to the driver's mood' (T 4 Oct. 1979, 71).

Another similar function of this form appears in the 'aorist of makebelieve' (Ben-Mayor 1980: 38), used especially, but by no means exclusively, in children's games. Example (2) is taken from the speech of an adult:

 סaorá̀ous 'let's suppose we had [lit. 'have'] a magic wand, and we got up tomorrow and we had marvellous teachers.'

Having examined these more or less timeless meanings of the perfective past, it is interesting to note the frequent colloquial use of this form to refer to future time. The cases in which this occurs are expressions of promise or threat, in which the action is viewed by the speaker as being so certain that ( s )he wants to avoid the rather contingent nature of a situation expressed by a 'future tense'. Thus: é $\gamma \omega \boldsymbol{\prime}$ ! 'done!' (i.e. 'consider your request as having already been carried out'); ě $\phi \tau a \sigma a$ ! 'I've arrived!' (said by a waiter to reassure a customer who has summoned him; although he will most probably have to be summoned again before he comes!); à $\nu$ ó́ $\mu a ́ \vartheta o v \nu$, кá $\eta \kappa \epsilon \varsigma$ 'if they find you out,
 'hands up or I shoot!' (lit. 'high the hands and I ate you').

### 3.3.1.4 'Future tenses'

Since futurity in MG is a function of the subjunctive and will therefore be dealt with under 'mood' (Chapter 9), we shall look briefly here at
cases in which the same constructions that are used to express future time ( $\vartheta \dot{a}+$ non-past forms) are employed with other semantic functions. Very close to the expression of simple future time is the future of intention: $̇ \gamma \omega \dot{\omega} \vartheta a ́ ~ \phi u ́ \gamma \omega \tau \omega \dot{\omega} \rho a$ 'I'm going to leave now.' When used in the second and third persons, the sense of the same construction may be a polite instruction or a polite (or sometimes curt) request: $\vartheta \dot{a}$
 University St. and turn right' (in response to a request for directions);
 remain in the classroom, please'; ७á $\sigma \omega \pi a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma ; ~ ' w i l l ~ y o u ~ b e ~ q u i e t ? ' ; ~$ $\delta \epsilon ́ ~ \vartheta a ́ ~ \tau o ́ ~ к o v v \eta ̇ \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ a ̀ m o ́ ~ \delta \dot{\omega}$, àkoūs; ‘you won’t budge from here, do you hear?'

More interesting are the cases in which the future tenses are used to denote actions which do not take place in the future. The timeless consuetudinal future has already been mentioned (3.2.3.2); but there are circumstances in which the future tenses refer to past time. One of these is a biographical future (or historic future, on the analogy of historic present), in which a biographer makes a reference to an event which happened at a later time than the other events that (s)he has been relating: e.g.

 ס $\rho o \mu i a \operatorname{t\eta }$ s 'when she was twelve years old, X. visited New York, where many years later she was to [lit. 'will'] inaugurate her brilliant career.'

A similar construction is the journalistic future, in which a future tense is used to refer to past time simply as a stylistic variant:
(2) ò $\Sigma$.E. $\pi \rho о \sigma \phi e ́ \rho \in \iota ~ \epsilon ̆ v a ~ a ̀ к o ́ \mu a ~ a ̆ \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau o ~ \epsilon ̌ \rho \gamma o, ~ \gamma a ́ ~ \tau o ́ ~ o ̀ m o i ̃ o ~ \vartheta a ́ ~$
 another unknown play, about which he said [lit. 'will say'] yesterday, on the occasion of the tenth performance: . . . ( $N 3$ Jan. 1980).

The 'future perfect' (i.e. $\vartheta \dot{a}+$ perfect non-past) is not very commonly used in MG, its functions normally being performed by the other future tenses. Thus while one may find a sentence such as $\vartheta \dot{a}$ ' $\chi o v \mu \epsilon ~ ф u ̛ \gamma \epsilon \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ T \rho i \tau \eta ~ ' w e ' l l ~ h a v e ~ l e f t ~ b y ~ T u e s d a y ', ~ t h e ~ f u t u r e ~$
 Tuesday', or it may have the same sense as the 'future perfect'. While
on the subject of $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \chi \rho \iota$, it is worth noting the other sense of this word: $\vartheta a ́ ~ \mu \epsilon \dot{\nu} o v \mu \epsilon \dot{a} \nu o \iota \chi \tau o i ́ \mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota ~ \tau \eta \dot{\nu} \nu T \rho i \tau \eta$ 'we shall remain open until Tuesday.' Whereas in the previous examples the action of the verb is dynamic and may take place at any point between the time of speaking and the time specified (the departure may occur at some time before Tuesday), in the last sentence the verb is stative, and there is an implication that the state will still be continuing at the time specified (the closure will occur at the end of Tuesday; the word kai inserted after $\mu$ é $\chi \rho \iota$ would emphasize this: 'up to and including Tuesday'). The double meaning of $\mu \epsilon \in \chi \rho \iota$ according to whether it co-occurs with a dynamic or a stative verb is not peculiar to the future: but note that the future perfect may not be substituted for the future in cases where $\mu$ éxpı means 'until', just as the perfect past cannot be substituted for the perfective past in the same context.

### 3.3.2 SEQUENCE OF TENSES IN INDICATIVE SUBORDINATE CLAUSES

We are concerned here with those kinds of subordinate clauses in which the verbs are in the indicative. Such are relative clauses, clauses which express reported speech, and temporal clauses (in the last case, only those in which no element of futurity is present). (Tense in subjunctive subordinate clauses has already been referred to: see also 9.2.)

MG does not contain an almost obligatory 'sequence of tenses' rule such as appears in certain other languages. This is most clearly shown in reported-speech clauses governed by a verb in a past tense: e.g.
 [lit. 'don't'] like coffee';
(2) $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho \omega ́ \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon$ ä $\nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \omega \sigma a$ '(s)he asked me if I [had] finished.'

In cases such as these, despite the apparent subordination of the second clause to the main verb (suggested by the conjunction $\delta \tau \iota$ ), the utterance preserves in the second verb the same tense as that in which it appeared in the original direct speech (i.e. the tense is the same as if the second clause was presented as direct speech: ' $\delta \in ́ \in \mu$ 'á $\rho \in ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \grave{~ o ~ к а ф e ́ s '-~}$ ' $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \omega \sigma \epsilon \varsigma ;$ '). We appear to be dealing, then, with a pseudo-subordination which is really parataxis in disguise: the subordinate verb expresses time relative not to the time of utterance but to that of the action expressed in the head-verb. This means that a subordinate verb in a 'present tense' denotes an action contemporaneous with that of the main verb; one in
a past tense denotes an anterior action; and one in a 'future tense' denotes a subsequent action (see also 8.3.1).

In other types of subordinate clause in which indicative verbs appear there may be a rather similar lack of sequence of tenses:
(3) aưтó¢ $\pi о ט ́ \mu \pi \bar{\eta} \kappa \epsilon$ (perfective past) $\pi \rho \omega \bar{\omega} \tau o \varsigma ~ \ddot{\eta} \tau a \nu \epsilon \kappa \iota$ ò $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \cup \tau a i o s$ $\pi o v ́ ~ \kappa a ́ \vartheta \iota \sigma \epsilon$ 'the one who had come [lit. 'came'] in first was the last to sit down' (co-ordinated equivalent: aúrós $\mu \pi \tilde{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \pi \rho \tilde{\omega} \tau o s$


Here, although the action of the subordinate verb took place before that of the main verb, the same tense is used in each.

Since it may not otherwise be clear, from the context of a temporal clause, which of two actions preceded the other, MG generally places the verbs according to the chronological order of occurrence of the action:
 when she reached her house'; but
 'when she [had] reached her house, she lay down and went to sleep immediately'.

By contrast, in narrations in which the main verb is in the historic present, a subordinate verb in a temporal clause referring to an anterior action may appear in the perfective past, since to place the subordinate verb in the imperfective non-past would, owing to the imperfectivity of this form, suggest a coincidence between the actions which would belie the fact that one action is anterior to the other. Thus:
(5) à áoṽ є̈фaүє, $\sigma \eta \kappa \omega \dot{\nu \epsilon \tau a l}$ 'after (s)he had eaten, (s)he stood up' (lit. 'after (s)he ate, (s)he stands up').
(See also 9.4.4.4.)
On the other hand, a change of tense in the subordinate verb may occur when the main verb is in a past tense. When this happens, nonpast forms are converted into the imperfective past, and the perfective past and (rarely) the imperfective past into the perfect past. Thus, 'you said you'd go' may be rendered,
(6a) $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \varsigma \pi \omega ́ \varsigma ~ \vartheta a ́ ~ \pi a ̀ s ~(' f u t u r e ~ t e n s e '), ~ o r ~$
(6b) $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \varsigma \Pi \dot{\omega} \varsigma \vartheta a ́ ~ \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \gamma a \omega \in \varsigma$ ('conditional tense');
and 'he claimed he had been working all day' may be


Compare also the two possible renderings of 'I saw the girl again whom I (had) met at your house':
(8a) そavaєīða пá入ı тó корíть $\pi o v ́ ~ \sigma v \nu a ́ v \tau \eta \sigma a ~(p e r f e c t i v e ~ p a s t) ~ \sigma \tau o ́ ~$ $\sigma \pi i \tau \iota \sigma o v$, or
 $\sigma \tau o ́ ~ \sigma \pi i \tau \iota ~ \sigma o v$.

One disadvantage of following the sequence of tenses (which may in other cases make for greater clarity) is that in one output ( $\vartheta \dot{a}+\mathrm{im}$ perfective past) the aspectual distinction of the direct speech is neutralized: thus $\vartheta a \dot{a} \pi \dot{r} \gamma a w a$ in indirect speech might correspond to the following in direct speech: ७á $\pi \dot{a} \omega$ (perfective future), $\vartheta a ́ ~ \pi \eta \gamma a i \nu \omega$ (imperfective future), or $\vartheta \dot{\jmath}$ a $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \gamma a \omega a$ (conditional). Since distinctions of aspect are normally more dominant in the MG verb than are those of tense, this neutralization is perhaps one of the reasons why the sequence of tenses is not commonly used. Indeed, its presence is often due to interference from some foreign language.

## 4

## NOUN MORPHOLOGY

MG is a highly inflected language. In its morphology it makes a fundamental distinction between nouns (and other noun-like words) and verbs (see Appendix I for sample inflection tables). Mirambel (1959: 71-7) has summed up the chief differences between noun and verb morphology in MG: (a) gender is found only in the noun, not in the verb (except in the passive-form participles); (b) the plural has different characteristics in the noun and in the verb; (c) the main stem of nouns is always invariable, whereas some verbs alter theirs; and (d) stress can be raised in the conjugation of verbs (i.e. it moves towards the beginning of the word), but can only be lowered in the declension of nouns.

### 4.1 THE BASIC PATTERNS OF MG NOUN DECLENSION

From the point of view of declension, MG nouns may be divided into three chief classes.

### 4.1.1 CLASS 1

Class 1 nouns have two forms in each of the two numbers. Although the plural endings are the same for all Class 1 nouns, for the singular they have to be divided into two subclasses: masculines (1M) and feminines ( 1 F ).

In the nominative singular, 1 M nouns consist of stem + thematic vowel (any vowel except $o$ ) $+s$, while 1 F nouns consist of stem + thematic vowel (any vowel). (Examples: 1M: фú入aкаs 'guard', $\kappa \lambda \epsilon ́ \phi \tau \eta s$ 'thief'; 1F: $\vartheta \dot{d} \lambda a \sigma \sigma a$ 'sea', $\tau \in ́ \chi \nu \eta$ 'art'.) The singular inflection simply entails dropping or adding final -s in such a way that 1 M has nominative with $-s$ and vocative, accusative, and genitive without, while 1F has nominative, vocative, and accusative without $-s$ and genitive with. Thus while the vocative and accusative are the same for both genders, the nominative and genitive differentiate between masculine and feminine.

In the plural the endings of all Class 1 nouns are es in the nominative, vocative, and accusative, and -on in the genitive (for those which have a genitive).

Three further factors complicate this otherwise neat pattern. First, most Class 1 nouns add the plural endings directly to the stem, while others add an epenthetic $-\delta$ - to the thematic vowel, and then the endings. The former are known as parisyllabic, the latter as imparisyllabic. (Examples of imparisyllabics: 1M: пa ${ }^{\text {ás 'priest', кaфés 'coffee', кафє- }}$
 $\dot{a} \lambda \epsilon \pi o v$ ' 'fox'.) Thus, while any of these nouns whose nominative is known can safely be assigned a gender, it is impossible to predict from the dictionary entry whether it is parisyllabic or imparisyllabic. Almost all the feminines in $a$ and all feminines in $-i$ are parisyllabic, while all those in $e$ and $u$ are imparisyllabic (the plural of feminines in omost of them women's names-is infrequently used and unpredictable). The situation with the masculines is more complex: while all oxytones (i.e., words stressed on the final syllable) in eis, and all nouns in és and -us (which are all oxytones) are imparisyllabic, it is more difficult to predict the behaviour of nouns in is and of paroxytones and proparoxytones (words stressed on the penultimate and antepenultimate syllable respectively) in as, which make up the majority of nouns in Class 1M. Nevertheless, one can say that paroxytone and proparoxytone nouns in as (with half a dozen exceptions) are parisyllabic, as are most paroxytone masculine nouns in -is (except those in úris, jéris, úkis, and uilis and about a dozen others); of the oxytones, those in -tis are almost all parisyllabic, while those in -dzis, -tsis, and about five others are imparisyllabic.

The second complicating factor is that of stress. In parisyllabics the stress of the nominative singular is preserved on the same vowel throughout the singular in all Class 1 nouns, and in all the plural cases except the genitive. The same is true of the imparisyllabics, with the exception of the proparoxytones, which transfer the stress to the antepenultimate vowel in the plural. It is the genitive plural of the parisyllabics which is the most problematic. While proparoxytones in as lower the stress to the penultimate in this case, nouns in -ias and -istas, together with disyllabic paroxytones, lower it to the final syllable. As for the feminines, all those in $-i$ which possess a genitive plural stress the final syllable in that case, while feminines in $a$ are divided between those with penultimate stress and those with final stress in the genitive plural. Most of the proparoxytones except those in ótita have final stress here (those
which do not do so stress the penultimate), as do all those with stems ending in a vowel, and all disyllables. (A large number of feminines in $a$ have no genitive plural at all, especially words of foreign origin and many words for everyday objects.)

Thirdly, there is a category of masculines in as and -is (1Ma) and feminines in $-i(1 \mathrm{Fa}$ ) which have different plural endings from the rest of the nouns in Class 1. The masculines end in éas or -is in the nominative singular, and form their plural in -is (nominative, vocative and accusative), and éon and ón respectively (genitive) (the stress is on the syllable following the stem thioughout), while the feminines, most of which end in $s i$ in the nominative singular, replace the $-i$ with the same endings as the masculines in the plural ( $-i s$ and eon), except that the stress always falls on the final syllable of the stem. (Examples: 1Ma:
 ment'.)

### 4.1.2 CLASS 2

These nouns are characterized by the ending $u$ in the genitive singular. They can be divided into three subclasses, of which one consists almost entirely of masculines (the rest are feminine) and the others entirely of neuters.

Class 2A nouns (e.g. ä $\nu \vartheta \rho \omega \pi \sigma$ s 'person, human being') end in os in the nominative singular (in conformity with the principle that all masculine nouns and adjectives have a final $s$ in this case). This subclass is the only type of noun in MG which has four separate forms in the singular, though it has only three in the plural. The endings are: Singular nominative os, vocative $e,{ }^{1}$ accusative $\rho$, genitive $u$; Plural nominative and vocative $-i$, accusative -us, genitive on. Note that this declension shares the characteristic with Class 1 M of dropping the final $s$ in the accusative singular.

The nouns of Class 2B (e.g. $\delta \omega \mu$ átю 'room'), like all neuters, make no distinction between nominative, vocative, and accusative. Their endings are: Singular nom./voc./acc. o (compare the accusative singular of 2A), genitive $-u$; Plural nom./voc./acc. $-a$, genitive -on. All neuters in -o decline in this way, except those in -simo (Class 3).

Class 2C nouns (e.g. ajoópc 'boy', $\pi a \iota \delta i$ 'child') end in $-i$ in the nom./ voc./acc. singular, while in the other cases they add the endings to this

[^2]$-i$, which becomes $-j$ - (realized in various ways, according to the preceding sound: see 1.4.1). Thus, their genitive singular is $-j u ́$, plural nom./voc./acc. -ja, and genitive -jón. Those nouns which have a vowel before the $-i$ of the nominative singular insert, in the orthography, an epenthetic $-\gamma$ - before the $-t$ - of the other cases.

As far as stress is concerned, 2A and 2B behave in the same way. Oxytones and paroxytones preserve the stress of the nominative singular on the same syllable throughout. Proparoxytones, however, vary as to whether or not they lower the stress to the penultimate syllable in the genitives (and, in 2A, in the accusative plural). Most compound nouns, as well as many nouns for everyday objects, preserve the stress on the same syllable throughout, while others do not; and with some nouns there is a variation of usage among different speakers and even within the same speaker.

In Class 2C, the final syllable is always stressed in the genitives, but the stress of the nom./voc./acc. plural depends on the position of the stress in the corresponding cases of the singular: if the singular is oxytone, then so is the plural; conversely, if the singular is paroxytone, so will be the plural.

### 4.1.3 CLASS 3

Class 3 is a convenient category for a fairly heterogeneous group of neuter declensions which do not fit into either of the other classes. This class can be divided into 3A (imparisyllabics) and 3B (parisyllabics).

The former is by far the larger and more frequently used of the two. The basic pattern is demonstrated by neuters in -ma (e.g. övoua 'name': with a couple of exceptions, which belong to 1 F , all paroxytones and proparoxytones in -ma are neuter). Again, as in all neuters, the nominative, vocative, and accusative are identical. In the genitive singular and in the plural an epenthetic $t$ - is added to the nominative singular (which has a 'zero ending'), and is followed by the endings os (genitive singular), $a$ (nom./voc./acc. plural), on (genitive plural). A similar pattern is followed by nouns in simo (all proparoxytone, e.g. $\pi \lambda$ úouro 'washing'), which have the allostem simat- and add the same endings as above. There is also a small group of neuters in as (e.g. крéas 'meat'), and a couple in os, which have allostems at- and ot- respectively, followed again by the same endings. Finally in 3A there is a group of nouns in -n (e.g. majod 'present'), with the allostem -nd- (derived from $-n-+$ epenthetic $-t$-).

Class 3B (e.g. ë $\delta a \phi o s$ 'ground, territory') is a straightforward group
of neuters in -os, genitive singular -us, nom./voc./acc. plural -i, genitive plural on.

The stress rules for 3 A are that in paroxytones the stress shifts to the penultimate in the genitive plural, and in proparoxytones it not only does this but shifts to the antepenultimate in the genitive singular and nom./voc./acc. plural. In two of the three monosyllables in 3A ( $\phi \dot{\omega} \varsigma$ and $\pi \tilde{a} \nu$ ), the stress remains on the syllable on which it falls in the nominative singular, except in the genitive singular, where it shifts to the final syllable.

In 3B the stress always falls on the final syllable in the genitive plural. In addition, proparoxytones shift the stress to the penultimate in the genitive singular and in the nom./voc./acc. plural.

The various terminations of nouns may be summarized in Table 4.1 (based on Ruge 1969). It will be noticed from the foregoing that all genitive plurals end in on, while all accusative singulars (except 3B and a few in 3A) end in a vowel.

## TABLE 4.1



### 4.2 THE ADJECTIVE

Before examining the morphology of MG adjectives, it should be pointed out how similarly they behave to nouns, both morphologically and syntactically. Any adjective can readily be substantivized, not necessarily with the addition of the definite article:
ò xovt $o$ ós 'the fat man; the fat one (masc.)';
$\dot{\eta} \mu \kappa \rho \dot{\eta}$ 'the little/young girl; the little/young one (fem.)';
то́ ко́ккьขо 'the red one (neuter); red (concept of colour)'; oi vckпuévol 'the defeated (masc. pl.)'.

Similarly, a noun and an adjective may equally stand as complements:
ò Г $\mathfrak{a} \nu \nu \eta s$ єīva какós ‘John is bad';

Some nouns may behave like adjectives in the sense that they may be modified by adverbs and may even have a comparative and a superlative:
ò 「lávvns єĩval $\lambda$ i' $\gamma o$ à $\phi \in \lambda \eta$ ńs 'John is a bit naïve';

 are the lawyers.'

Many concepts have two etymologically connected words, one normally classed as a 'noun' to refer to persons, the other normally classed as an 'adjective' to refer to non-humans:
ò 'E $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu a s \pi \rho \omega \vartheta v \pi o v \rho \gamma o ́ s ~ ' t h e ~ G r e e k ~ P r i m e ~ M i n i s t e r ' ; ~ ; ~$ ò è̀ $\lambda \eta \nu \iota \kappa o ́ s ~ к а ф е ́ s ~ ‘ G r e e k ~ c o f f e e ’ . ~$

In such pairs of words, the noun often has a feminine:
 masculine $\pi \epsilon \sigma \mu \iota \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\rho}$, and adjective $\pi \epsilon \sigma \mu \mu \sigma \tau \iota \kappa o ́ s$ to refer to nonhumans).

There are two reasons why grammarians traditionally divide these pairs of words into nouns and adjectives: (a) the nouns have no neuter, unlike adjectives; and (b) the morphological masculine-feminine correspondence in the nouns is different from that which obtains in adjectives, in that the feminines of the nouns appear to be derived from the masculines and therefore do not stand in an hierarchically equal relationship to them.

Having stated these provisos, we shall now proceed to examine adjectives as if they constituted a separate part of speech from nouns, although we shall relate the various types of adjective declension to those of nouns.

The majority of adjectives are of the 2A-2B-1F type, and end in os in the masculine nominative singular: the masculine declines like nouns of 2 A , the feminine like 1 F and the neuter like 2 B . In the adjective the MG tendency towards columnar stress has prevailed, all forms of each adjective (with few exceptions) preserving the stress on the same syllable as in the masculine nominative singular.

While the neuter of these adjectives is formed by simply dropping the final $-s$ of the masculine, the rules for the formation of the feminine are more complex. There are three possibilities for the feminine: $-i$, $a$ or $-j a$. Feminines normally end in $-i$ when the stem ends in a consonant, or in any unstressed vowel except -i- (e.g. vóoruos 'nice' $\rightarrow$ $\nu o ́ \sigma \tau \mu \eta)$; they end in $a$ when the stem ends in $i$ - or in any stressed vowel (e.g. ä $\xi<\infty$ 'worthy' $\rightarrow a \mathfrak{a} \xi \sim$ ). There are, however, some paroxytones with consonant stems which take $a$, not $-i$, in the feminine, and there appears to be no way of predicting that, for instance, the feminine
 colour)' is oкov́pa. Most of those adjectives in -os which have a feminine in -ja are oxytones whose stem ends in a velar consonant (nevertheless, the most frequently used are $\gamma \lambda v \kappa o ́ s ~ ' s w e e t ', ~ \phi \rho e ́ \sigma к o s ~ ' f r e s h ', ~ a n d ~$ $\dot{e} \lambda a \phi \rho o ́ s$ 'light'). But there is a certain amount of variety in usage, and most of the adjectives that form feminines in ja are often found alternatively with $-i$. (Note that the genitive plural of the feminine of $\gamma \lambda \nu \kappa o ́ s ~ i s ~ \gamma \lambda v \kappa \tilde{\omega} \nu$, not ${ }^{*} \gamma \lambda \nu \kappa \iota \bar{\omega} \nu$.) Similarly, there are some adjectives which have alternative feminine endings in $a$ or $i$ (this is the case especially with those with a stem ending in unstressed $e$-: see 4.5.2.2).

There are three separate types of adjective in -is. The first (-2C-1F) is always stressed on the final syllable, forms its feminine in $-j a$ and is spelled -v's or - $\boldsymbol{\prime} s$ in the masc. nominative singular (e.g. $\beta a \vartheta v$ 's 'deep', тортока入ท's 'orange'). Those which have the former spelling almost all denote dimension, those which have the latter are colour adjectives. The feminine follows the inflexions of Class 1 F , while the neuter (spelled $-\dot{v}$ or $-i$ ) follows 2 C . The masculine has some similarities with Class 2A, in that the accusative singular drops the final $s$ of the masculine nominative singular, and the plural has $-j i$ and $-j u$ is in the nominative and accusative respectively. The genitives of all genders and both numbers are infrequently used; and in the masculine and neuter genitive
singular there is a hesitation between the endings $-i$ and $-j u$; the genitive plural of all genders ends in -jón.

The adverbs corresponding to these adjectives in -is and to those in os are almost always identical to the neuter plural nominative and
 $\pi o \lambda u ́ s ~ ' m u c h ' ~ \rightarrow \pi o \lambda v ́ ~ ‘ m u c h ; ~ v e r y ', ~ a n d ~ \mu o ́ v o s ~ ‘ a l o n e ' ~ \rightarrow ~ \mu o ́ v o ~ ‘ o n l y ' . ~$

The second type of adjective in is consists of a group of paroxytones whose masculine nominative singular ending is spelled $-\eta s$ (1M-2B-1F: e.g. § $\eta \lambda a \dot{a} \rho \eta{ }^{\prime}$ 'jealous'). The masculine behaves like the imparisyllabics of 1 M ; the feminine (in $a$ or -iki) like the parisyllabics of 1F (no genitive plural); the neuter (in -iko) like 2B. (The neuter ends, alternatively, in $-i$, especially when it is substantivized.) Again, there is no stress shift throughout the paradigm. The adverb (where it exists at all) is again the same as the neuter nominative plural.

Thirdly, there is a group of oxytones and paroxytones in is (spelled $-\dot{\eta} s$, e.g. áкрßウ's 'precise') in which the masculine and feminine are not distinguished and the neuter nominative singular ends in es (1Ma-3B). The masculine/feminine is similar to that of the nouns in -is of 1 Ma (which were originally adjectives), while the neuter bears some resemblance to Class 3B. (See Appendix I for endings.) The adverb is formed by adding os to the stem (except $\epsilon i \lambda c k \rho \omega \eta$ 's 'frank, honest' $\rightarrow$ єi入cкрıvá). Stress is columnar throughout, except that (a) paroxytones (except those in ofis) raise the stress to the antepenultimate in the neuter nom./acc.; and (b) adjectives in ofis lower the stress to the final syllable in the genitive plural and in the adverb.

In addition, there is the aberrant adjective $\pi 0 \lambda v_{0}$ 'much' (pl. 'many'). The feminine poli ( $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta}$ ) declines like 1F. (See Appendix I for endings.) The genitive singular of the masculine and neuter is infrequently used. Note that the form poli (though spelled in various ways) is used for the masculine accusative singular, the feminine nom./acc. singular, the neuter nom./acc. singular, and the adverb.

There is, finally, a small group of adjectives in on, which can be divided into two subgroups. The first, which consists of about half a dozen adjectives (e.g. évסiaф́t $\rho \omega \nu$ 'interesting'), has a feminine in -usa which declines like 1 F (with stress shift to final syllable in the genitive plural, though this form is generally avoided). The masculine and neuter bear a close resemblance to the nouns in on of Class 3A (some of which were originally neuters of these adjectives, which in turn were ancient present participles), the oblique cases being characterized by the presence of an epenthetic $d$ - (originally $-t$-). (See Appendix I for
endings．）The second subgroup consists of a similarly small number of paroxytones（e．g．$\mu \in \tau \rho i o ́ \phi \rho \omega \nu$＇modest＇），whose feminine has the same forms as the masculine and whose neuter is rare．The endings are the same as those of the masculine and neuter of the first subgroup， except that there is no epenthetic $d$－（i．e．on，ona，onos，etc．）．In all adjectives in on，stress is columnar，except that the masculine and neuter genitive plural is always stressed on the penultimate（as is the feminine in the second subgroup；the stress of the fem．gen．pl．of the first subgroup has already been mentioned）．The adverb is rare，ending in óndos and ónos respectively．

## 4．2．1 COMPARISON OF ADJECTIVES

Any adjective or adverb that can be used comparatively may form a comparative by the placing of $\pi \omega$（occasionally $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \delta \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \rho o$ ）＇more＇ in front of it（e．g．ка入ós＇good＇，$\pi$ ó ка入ós＇better＇）．Comparative super－ latives，which denote the highest degree of some quality，may be formed from the comparative of adjectives（but not of adverbs）by adding the definite article in front of the comparative（e．g．$\delta \pi t o \delta$ ка入ós ＇the best＇）．

Most adjectives，however，also form a single－word comparative by adding $-\tau \epsilon \rho o s$ to the neuter nominative singular．Many adjectives can also form an absolute superlative，denoting a very high degree of some quality，by adding－$\tau$ tos to the neuter nominative singular．Neither imparisyllabic adjectives in is nor adjectives in on which have epen－ thetic $-d$－can take these endings；adjectives in on without epenthetic
 in－$\tau \epsilon \rho \rho$ and－$\tau \pi \sigma o \varsigma$ behave morphologically like adjectives in os， declining in the same way and forming adverbs in $a$ ．Thus：фvoוкós ＇natural＇$\rightarrow$ фvoко́тєроs＇more natural＇（alongside $\pi$ юó фvouós），фvol－
 natural＇（alongside ò $\pi$ ó фvбкós），фvбuкózaтos＇quite natural＇（＝＇very natural＇），фvбко́тата＇quite naturally＇．Some of the most commonly used adjectives form comparatives irregularly（see 4．5．2．4）．

## 4．3 ARTICLES AND NUMERALS

The declension of the definite article shares many characteristics with Classes $2 \mathrm{~A}, 1 \mathrm{~F}$ ，and 2 B in its respective genders，the chief differences being that the masculine and feminine nominative singular and plural lack the initial $t$－of the other forms；there is no vocative；and the
masculine nominative singular and the feminine nominative and accusative plural are aberrant.

The indefinite article (which is also the numeral 'one') shares some characteristics with 1 M and 3 A in the masculine and neuter, and conforms with 1 F in the feminine. When used emphatically as a numeral, the stress of the feminine can be pia, and although strictly speaking only $\mu \tilde{a} \varsigma$ in the genitive is considered to be correct, emphatic $\mu i a s$ is occasionally found.
'Three' and 'four' are both aberrant, the latter especially so in that it alters the final vowel of its stem in the genitive.

The only other declining numerals are the hundreds (from ' 200 ' to ' 900 ') and 'a thousand', which decline like the plural of ä $\xi<0$ in all genders; the noun èкатощцúpıo 'million', which has a full declension in singular and plural, following Class 2B; and the plural noun $\chi \iota \lambda \dot{a} \delta \epsilon \varsigma$, which follows Class 1F (with no stress shift in the genitive).

### 4.4 PRONOUNS AND DEMONSTRATIVES

### 4.4.1 DEMONSTRATIVES AND NON-PERSONAL PRONOUNS

All these inflect like adjectives or numerals and almost all follow patterns which we have already encountered. The demonstratives aúzós 'this/that', זоӥтоs 'this', and е̇кєĩvos 'that' (also used as thirdperson pronouns) decline like $\nu \delta \sigma \tau \mu \mu o s$, as do $\delta \sigma o s$ 'as much as' (pl. 'as many as'), nóoos 'how much' (pl. 'how many'), tóoos 'so much' (pl. 'so many'), ä $\lambda \lambda o s$ 'other', and $8 \lambda o s$ 'all'. The adverbs corresponding to ठoos and $\tau \dot{\sigma} \sigma o s$ are $\delta \sigma \sigma$ and $\tau \dot{\sigma} \sigma o$. When used pronominally and emphatically, aú $\delta \dot{s}$ often has masculine singular genitive aúzovvoũ, feminine singular genitive av̇ $\eta \eta \eta \tilde{\rho}$, masculine plural accusative aúrovvov́s, and masc./fem. genitive plural $a \dot{u} \tau \omega \nu \omega \bar{\omega} \nu$. The pronouns $\tilde{\delta} \delta \omega$ s 'same; self',
 one; some', $\partial \pi$ olos 'whoever; whichever' decline like á $\xi$ los, except that when they refer to a person or persons and do not modify a noun the genitives of $\pi o \ldots \prime$; are often: singular molavoü; (masculine) and $\pi o l a \nu \bar{\eta} s ;$ (feminine) 'whose?' (either of which may be replaced by $\tau$ ivos;), plural $\pi o a v \tilde{\omega} \nu$; Kámoos and $\delta \pi о o s$ may take the same endings. The pronouns каขévas 'no (one); any(one)' and кaษ̇évas 'each one; everyone' decline like the numeral or article $\epsilon_{\nu} \nu a s$ (like it, they have no plural). The pronouns $\tau i$; 'what?' and $\delta, \tau \iota$ 'whatever' are indeclinable, as are кá $\tau$
'something' and timora 'nothing; any' (even though they are occasionally found in environments where a genitive might be expected).

As for the reflexive éautós, this is not really a pronoun at all but a noun which declines like Class 2A.

### 4.4.2 PERSONAL PRONOUNS

These are divided into emphatic (disjunctive) and non-emphatic (clitic) forms. The emphatic forms are typically disyllabic or trisyllabic and are stressed on the second syllable; the clitics are unstressedmonosyllables.

Only the first and second persons have separate emphatic forms (the third person using the pronoun aúrós or another demonstrative). The emphatic forms are shown in Table 4.2.

## TABLE 4.2

|  | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st person |  |  |
| Nominative | $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$ | е̇цеі¢ |
| Acc./Gen. |  | èmãs |
| 2nd person |  |  |
| Nominative | èov́ | èбeis |
| Acc./Gen. | ėのéva | ėoãs |

The clitic forms are shown in Table 4.3.

## TABLE 4.3

|  |  | Singular |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad$ Plural

The third person of the clitic pronoun (which indicates gender as well as case and number) is identical in form to the definite article, except that it has a masculine nominative singular ros and nominative plural $\tau o \iota$ (both used only in the phrases vá $\tau o \varsigma / \tau o!$ ! 'there he is/they are!' and moṽv' $\tau o c / \tau o c ;$ 'where is he/are they?', where the accusative is used in the
feminine and neuter), and a genitive plural tov́s for all genders. There is also an alternative feminine accusative plural $\tau \in \varsigma$, used after $\nu \dot{a}$ and $\pi o v \bar{v}{ }^{\prime}$, and (sometimes) after the present participle.

When used as proclitics (i.e. before verbs), these clitic pronouns are written with an accent, as they appear in Table 4.3; when they are enclitics (i.e. when they follow verbs, nouns, etc.) they are written with no accent. In neither case, however, are these clitic pronouns actually pronounced with stress; they form a single phonological word with the word which they precede or follow.

Enclitics (which may be used after nouns, verbs, adjectives, adverbs, numerals, and pronouns), since they are perceived as forming part of the preceding word, may affect the stress of that word if otherwise the 'three-syllable rule' would be contravened. Thus a word which on its own is proparoxytone receives a stress on its final syllable when followed by an enclitic; although in the orthography the main word is written with two accents ( $\mu i \lambda \eta \sigma \in ́ ~ \mu O v ~ ' s p e a k ~ t o ~ m e ', ~ \grave{~}$ oikoүévєlá $\mu 0 v$ 'my family'), in practice the second accented syllable is stressed more than the first (the first may even not be stressed at all). Where two clitics follow a paroxytone, the stress will fall on the first of the clitics ( $\phi \hat{\rho} \rho \epsilon$ $\mu o v ́ \tau o$ 'bring it to me', with little or no stress on the first $e$-).

### 4.5 DIVERGENCE FROM THE BASIC PATTERNS

Having dealt with the basic structures of MG nominal declension in the previous pages, giving chiefly those forms which belong to the traditional demotic pattern and which appear in the prescriptive grammars, we shall now examine at length the two factors which complicate this pattern. These are (a) exceptions and other irregularities within the system; and (b) alternative forms of learned origin which are found in SMG. These two factors will be examined separately in connection with substantives, but both together with regard to adjectives and pronouns.

### 4.5.1 NOUNS

### 4.5.1.1 Exceptions and other irregularities

$1 M$. Here it is necessary to mention only a few phenomena. Noús 'mind' has no plural. A few proparoxytone nouns in -as form paroxytone plurals in ol (e.g. цáozo while a few paroxytones in -as have plurals in $-\eta \delta \epsilon \varsigma$ (this latter type consists of two groups of colloquial nouns referring to male persons:
(i) nouns in -ákıas from diminutives in -áкı(a), such as $\gamma v a \lambda a ́ k ı a s$
 'glasses (= spectacles)'; and (ii) nouns in -as from feminines in $-a$, e.g. бах $\lambda a \mu a ́ \rho a s ~ ' d r i p ', ~ f r o m ~ \sigma a \chi \lambda a \mu a ́ \rho a ~ ' n o n s e n s e ') . ~ T h e r e ~ a r e ~ a l s o ~ t w o ~$ common words with alternative forms which are used in different circumstances. Mŕvas 'month' has genitive singular $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu a$ or $\mu \eta \nu \delta \rho^{\prime}$, the latter used when giving the date (e.g. otis $\delta \in к а \pi \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \epsilon ~ \tau o u ̃ ~ \mu \eta \nu o ́ s ~ ' o n ~ t h e ~$ fifteenth of the month'), and the former elsewhere. The noun $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \delta$ $\tau \eta S$ 'despot; bishop' has the parisyllabic plural $\delta \in \sigma \pi \sigma \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon$, in the first meaning, the imparisyllabic $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \sigma \sigma \dot{a} \delta \epsilon \varsigma$ in the second.
$1 F$. Of those feminine nouns ending in $-a$ it would be desirable for dictionaries to mark which lower the stress to the final syllable in the genitive plural and which do not, since from the rules given above (4.1.1) it is not always possible to predict the behaviour of a particular noun. (Those which shift the stress to the final syllable belonged to the first declension in AG, while many of the others are derivatives of ancient third-declension feminines.)

One anomalous noun in this category is $\mu \dot{\rho} \rho a$ 'day', which has genitive plural $\dot{\eta} \mu \in \rho \tilde{\omega} \nu$ : the initial $\dot{\eta}$-, which was present in AG, can optionally be added to the other cases too, except the nom./voc., but the genitive plural has only this form, partly because this case is felt to have a learned flavour, and partly to avoid confusion with $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \mu \in \rho \tilde{\omega} \nu$ 'of the parts/ places'.

The imparisyllabic feminines in $-a$ and $-\eta$ are few, and are also difficult to distinguish from the parisyllabics. They are all oxytone and include $\gamma$ raviá 'grandmother', v $\tau a v \tau a ́ ~ ' n a n n y ', ~ o ́ a ́ ~ ' o k e ' ~(t h i s ~ m e a s u r e ~ i s ~$ officially obsolete) and kvpá 'missus' (but not usually its compound vоккокขрá 'housewife'); as for those in $-\eta$, the epenthetic $-\delta$ - appears only in the genitive plural, e.g. $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \delta \epsilon \rho \phi \dot{\alpha} \delta \omega \nu$ 'of the sisters', to distinguish this from $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \rho \phi \bar{\omega} \nu$ 'of the brothers'.

Regarding feminines in -0 , apart from female pet-names (all spelled $-\omega$, with varying plurals: e.g. $\grave{\eta}$ Máp $\omega$ 'Molly', pl. oi Mápes, but ì Mapı $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ ' 'Polly', pl. oi Mapı $\gamma o u ̈ \delta \epsilon \varsigma$ ), one occasionally finds place-names (mostly Greek islands) and certain other nouns in -0 from feminines in -os (e.g. $\grave{\eta}$ Múкovo, alongside $\grave{\eta}$ Múkovos 'Mykonos', $\grave{\eta} \mu \hat{\epsilon} \vartheta \bigcirc 0 \delta o$, alongside $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\mu} \vartheta o \delta o \varsigma)$, but these are not generally accepted as part of the standard language.

For predicting whether a noun in $-\eta$ has a plural in $-\epsilon \varsigma$ or in $-\epsilon \iota \varsigma$ (the latter being a remnant of the ancient third declension), the basic rule is that all and only those nouns in $s i$ (spelled $-\sigma \eta,-\xi \eta,-\psi \eta$ ) form plurals
in－$\epsilon \iota$ ．The exceptions are that $\delta \dot{v} v a \mu \eta$＇strength，power＇and $\pi \delta \dot{\lambda} \eta$ ＇town，city＇follow nouns in－si，whereas $\beta \rho v \sigma^{\prime} \eta$＇spring；tap＇and a few others have their plural in $-\epsilon$ ．
$2 A$ and $2 B$ ．The only problem with these nouns is whether in a proparoxytone the stress moves to the penultimate in certain cases． There is some variety in usage in certain words（e．g．$\tau 0 \tilde{0} \delta a \sigma \kappa a ́ \lambda o v ~ o r ~$
 кéфa入ous＇the headaches＇（acc．），the more common of each pair being the second of the former and the first of the latter word）．Stress－shift occurs in many of the most basic nouns of these classes（e．g．ä $\nu \vartheta \rho \omega \pi \pi$ ＇man，human being＇，$\vartheta a ́ v a \tau o s ~ ' d e a t h ', ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu o s ~ ' w a r ') . ~ T h e ~ p l a c e-n a m e ~$ $\Gamma a ́ v \nu w a$ or $\Gamma a ́ v \nu \epsilon \nu a$（neuter pl．）has genitive＇I $\omega a \nu \nu i v \omega \nu$ ．A few neuters（notably $\pi 0 \sigma \delta \nu$＇sum，amount＇）are usually found with final $-\nu$ in the nom．／voc．／acc．singular．
$2 C$ ．The noun $\pi \rho \omega i$＇morning＇is defective，being complemented by $\pi \rho \omega i \nu \delta$ in the genitive singular and throughout the plural．Certain of the neuters in－七 lack genitives，e．g．diminutives in－ákı and－ov́ $\lambda \iota$ ，although nouns with these endings which are not perceived as diminutives decline regularly：thus，пaюळákı＇little child＇has no genitive＊таюסaкьoũ， so that $\tau о \tilde{v} \mu к \rho о \tilde{v} \pi a \iota \delta \iota v ̃$＇of the little child＇has to be used instead； whereas бакákı＇jacket＇（despite being etymologically a diminutive） forms its genitive regularly，toũ бакакıoũ．Certain place－names in－七 have genitives which appear to derive from forms in－七o，such as Пaүкрátь


3C．There are a few neuters which have not been accounted for in the above rules（4．1．1 and 4．1．2）．These include $\gamma a \dot{\lambda} \lambda a$＇milk＇and $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \iota$ ＇honey＇：the former has plural $\gamma \dot{a} \lambda a \tau a$ and a rare genitive singular rá入aктos（used almost exclusively in culinary expressions such as
 genitive singular is used almost exclusively in the phrase $\dot{\delta} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu a s ~ \tau o v$ $\mu e ́ \lambda \iota \tau o s$＇honeymoon＇．M $\eta \delta \epsilon \in \nu$＇zero＇shares a similarity with the numeral évas（with which it is cognate）：its genitive is $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu o ́ s$, and it has no plural．Finally，the noun $\delta \xi v$＇acid＇（originally the neuter of the adjective
 $\delta \xi \in \in \omega \nu$ ；and $\tilde{v} \delta \omega \rho$＇water＇（only used in certain scientific or official phrases）has genitive singular v̈סatos，plural nom．／acc．v̈סafa，genitive $\dot{v} \delta a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ．

## 4．5．1．2 Alternatives of learned origin

There are several alternative noun endings of learned origin which are
found in the spoken and written styles of SMG．Most of these concern feminine nouns，others involve masculines．Some speakers almost in－ variably use the demotic forms：many of these speakers seem to have made a deliberate decision to do so．Others almost invariably use the non－demotic alternatives：most of these are older speakers to whom the demotic forms sound unfamiliar．Yet others（and it is perhaps these whose usage reflects the natural，unforced speech of educated Greeks） use both sets of forms，each in different linguistic contexts．

Of 1 F nouns in $-a$ which historically belonged to the AG third declension，some are sometimes found with their ancient inflection in the singular，e．g．$\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o w i s$（demotic $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o w i \delta a$ ）＇miss；young lady＇ （nom．／voc．），accusative $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o w i \delta a$ ，genitive $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o w i \delta o s:$ such usage is felt by many speakers to be more polite than the out－and－out demotic pattern．Again，the word for＇Greece＇is often found in its ancient form，
 $\tau \bar{\eta} \varsigma$＇E入入ádas．Genitives in os are in fact more often found than nominatives in $-\varsigma$ ：thus the declension $\dot{\eta}$ tavtór $\eta \tau a$（katharevousa $\tau a v \tau o ́ \tau \eta \varsigma)$＇identity＇，$\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \tau a v \tau o ́ \tau \eta \tau o \varsigma$ is quite common，the latter form being found especially in the phrase $\delta \in \lambda \tau i o ~ \tau a v \tau o ́ \tau \eta \tau o s ~ ' i d e n t i t y ~ c a r d ', ~$ although the same speaker who says this is quite likely to use the demotic form of the genitive in other contexts．It appears that the use of the genitive of any noun，which is not nearly as commonly used as the nominative and the accusative，is often likely to entail the use of a non－demotic form；and it is also noteworthy that the demotic form is likely to be found when an article is used，while a non－demotic form is often not preceded by the article．In traditional demotic，a noun in the genitive which was not preceded by an article was rare，and genitives without articles in SMG are usually found in collocations which have entered the language（often as translations of French phrases in which the article is absent）through katharevousa（see also 6．1．2）．But there is no rigid adherence to the principle：article＋genitive $\rightarrow$ demotic ending， no article + genitive $\rightarrow$ katharevousa ending；one finds mixed construc－ tions such as the official title of the Communist Party of Greece，Kou－
 ＇E入入á $\delta a s$ nor the strictly katharevousa＇E $\lambda \lambda a ́ \delta o s$ ），and although some speakers find such constructions－which are basically literal transla－ tions from katharevousa to demotic by a change of endings－alien to their ears，they seem to be gaining ground．

Some of those feminine nouns in $-a$ which historically belonged to the first declension are sometimes found with archaic genitive singular
forms. These involve a shift of stress on proparoxytones to the penultimate, and the ending $-\eta \rho$ for $-a \varsigma$ on those nouns whose stems end in a consonant other than $-\rho$-. Thus one finds $\dot{\eta}$ रo $\bar{\eta} \gamma \eta \sigma \eta \dot{a} \delta \epsilon i a s$ 'the granting of a licence' (alongside $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma ~ a ̈ \delta \epsilon i a \varsigma), ~ \tau a ́ ~ \mu e ́ \lambda \eta ~ \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma ~ o i к о \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon i a s ~$ $\mu o v$ 'the members of my family' (also $\tau \bar{\eta} \varsigma$ oikoүévєás $\mu 0 v$ ). There are numerous more or less fixed collocations such as ò ט̀movprós 'E७vikñs 'A $\mu v ́ v \eta s$ 'the Minister of National Defence' (cf. $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma a ̈ \mu \nu \nu a \varsigma)$ and especially names of streets, which are almost all given in their katharevousa forms (ל̇ós Koviтoŋs ‘Konitsa St.'; cf. also $\Sigma \tau a \vartheta \mu o ́ s ~ \Lambda a \rho i o \eta s ~ ‘ L a r i s a ~$ Station [in Athens]’, alongside $\tau \bar{\eta} \varsigma ~ \Lambda a ́ \rho \iota \sigma a s ~ ‘ o f ~ L a r i s a ’, ~ a n d ~ \lambda \epsilon \omega \phi o ́ \rho o s ~$ Knфıoias 'Kiphisia Avenue', retaining its ancient stressed -i-, alongside $\sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \omega \chi \dot{\eta} \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \mathrm{K} \eta \phi \iota \sigma \check{a} s$ 'in the Kiphisia area', with its demotic change of stress and weakening of $/ \mathrm{i} /$ to $j$ ). Names of places are especially prone to katharevousa genitive forms, many of which are quite normal even in colloquial speech, such as, $\pi \epsilon ́ \rho a \sigma \epsilon$ [sc. $\tau \dot{\delta} \lambda \epsilon \omega \phi о \rho \epsilon \ddot{o}] \quad \tau \tilde{\eta} s$ Kovizons; 'has the Konitsa bus come by?', or aùtá tá фıotikia єlval Airiuns 'these are Aegina pistachios', from the phrase фıotikia Aiyiuns (cf. demotic $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ Aï $\gamma \omega a \varsigma$ ), itself based on a French model such as pistaches d'Égine.

Lastly, although the standard word for 'Athens' is $\grave{\eta}$ 'A $\vartheta \dot{\eta} v a$ (genitive $\tau \bar{\eta} \varsigma$ 'A $\vartheta \dot{\eta} \nu a \varsigma$ ), the (plural) genitive 'A $\vartheta \eta \nu \tilde{\omega} \nu$ is found in certain fixed phrases: e.g. $\Pi a \nu \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\mu}{ }^{\prime}$ 'A $\vartheta \eta \nu \tilde{\omega} \nu$ 'University of Athens'.

Feminines in $-(s) i$ with plurals in $-(s) i s(1 \mathrm{Fa})$ have alternative nominative and genitive singular forms in tcs and $-\epsilon \omega \varsigma$ respectively (in the latter, the stress of proparoxytones shifts to the following syllable: $\dot{\eta} \kappa \nu \beta \epsilon \in \rho \nu \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma$ 'government', $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \kappa \nu \beta \epsilon \rho \nu \eta \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). Many speakers (including those who do not use the nominative in -七ৎ) prefer the genitive in $\epsilon \omega \varsigma$, arguing that the plural of these nouns is already different from others in $-\eta$ (such as $\tau \epsilon \in \chi \nu \eta$ 'art'). There are analogical arguments for using any one of these alternatives: those who use the tis ending for the nominative singular of disyllables do not distinguish between nominative singular and plural ( $\dot{\eta} \pi \delta \dot{\lambda} \iota \varsigma$ 'town, city', pl. oi $\pi$ ó $\epsilon \epsilon \iota$, both pronounced ipólis); those who use the $-\eta s$ ending for the genitive singular of disyllables do not distinguish between this case and the accusative plural ( $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \vartheta \not \vartheta \sigma \eta s$ 'position' (gen. sing.), $\tau i \varsigma ~ \vartheta \epsilon \in \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma(a c c$. pl.), both pronounced tisษésis). Thus those who use $\dot{\eta} \vartheta \epsilon \in \sigma \eta, \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \vartheta \epsilon \in \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ (which is a normal combination) achieve maximum differentiation among the various cases.

Of the masculines, the group that displays the largest variety is that consisting of oxytones in - $\tau \dot{\eta} s$, which have an alternative genitive singular in - $o v \bar{v}$, a nominative plural in - $\tau a i$ and an accusative plural in
－tás．Most speakers are fairly consistent in using either always the demotic endings（Class 1M）or always the learned endings given here． It is by no means necessarily the more educated who use the more learned endings of this and other types of noun：on the contrary， educated speakers will often make an effort to use demotic more con－ sistently；while the less educated often use the－al and－as endings even on purely demotic words（e．g．oi toayovoıocai＇singers＇）and on par－ oxytones（e．g．oi ovvtaruatá $\boldsymbol{x a l}$＇colonels＇），even those of foreign origin（e．g．oi rovpiotal＇tourists＇）．In addition，certain nouns in－$\eta \mathrm{S}$ denoting professions have a separate polite form of the vocative singular in－a，usually preceded by кúpıє＇Mr＇（e．g．кúpı ка७ך $\gamma \eta \tau$ á＇professor； （high－school）teacher＇，кúpıє סuкaotá＇your honour＇（addressed to a judge），кט́pıє ovvтaruađápxa＇Colonel＇）．Such polite forms do not exist for most nouns in $-\eta \varsigma$ ，however（thus $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \overline{\phi \tau \eta!}$＇thief！＇）．

As in the feminine，so in the masculine and neuter，forms of learned origin tend to be used in certain fixed phrases，such as names of streets． Thus，＇Mr Papadiamandopoulos＇is $\delta$ кúpıos Патаסıa （genitive тoṽ кuрiov Патабцада⿱亠䒑óтov入ov）；his wife and unmarried daughter，however，are $\dot{\eta}$ кvрia and $\dot{\eta}$ סєoтоwis Патаסıaца⿱亠䒑oтои́入ov respectively，and the street named after one of his forebears is $\eta \delta \delta \delta o$
 is no stress shift，there is when the genitive is used as a feminine name or as the name of a street．（Feminine forms of surnames，which are always indeclinable，are frequently of learned origin：thus many mascu－ line surnames in $-\eta s$ also have feminines in－ov．）Side by side with $\tau \dot{a}$ ma反ઈá тoṽ Пєıрaiã＇the lads of Piraeus＇there is the learned genitive in $\delta \delta \dot{\eta} \mu a \rho \chi o s$ Пє $\rho a \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$＇the mayor of Piraeus＇．But it is not only in place－ names that such learned influence is evident：alongside $\mu a \bar{v} \rho a \operatorname{\gamma va\lambda } \dot{a}$ ＇dark glasses＇there is the more technical roa $\lambda \dot{\text { a }} \boldsymbol{\eta} \lambda i o v$＇sunglasses＇（from the katharevousa ilios rather than the demotic iljos，both spelled the same）．The fact that rva入iá $\dot{\eta} \lambda i o v$ is felt to be the equivalent of a single word（i．e．it is a fixed phrase）is shown by the possibility of an utter－ ance such as חoüv＇$\tau \dot{a}$ rva入iá $\dot{\eta} \lambda i o v ~ \mu o v ; ~ ' W h e r e ~ a r e ~ m y ~ s u n-g l a s s e s ? ', ~$ in which the possessive $\mu o v$ is attached to the whole phrase rather than to the word denoting what the speaker actually possesses．

## 4．5．1．3 Irregular plurals

There is a small number of commonly used nouns whose plural is of a different gender from the singular（and therefore belongs to a differ－ ent morphological class），or which possess two separate plural forms
denoting two different referents. Such nouns are: ò $\beta \rho a ́ \chi o s ~ ' r o c k ', ~$ pl. $\tau$ á $\beta \rho a ́ x \iota a$ (less usually oi $\beta \rho a ́ \chi o \iota$ ); ò $\pi \lambda$ ои̃тos 'riches', pl. $\tau$ á $\pi \lambda o u ́ \tau \eta$ 'great riches'; ò $\delta \epsilon \sigma \mu o ́ s ~ ‘ b o n d ', ~ p l . ~ o i ~ \delta \epsilon \sigma \mu o i ~ ' b o n d s ' ~ a n d ~ \tau a ́ ~ \delta \epsilon \sigma \mu a ́ ~$ 'fetters'; $\delta ~ к а \pi \nu o ́ s ~ ' s m o k e ; ~ t o b a c c o ', ~ p l . ~ o i ~ к а \pi \nu o i ' ~ ' p l e n t y ~ o f ~ s m o k e ' ~ a n d ~$ тá капvá 'tobaccos'; ò $\lambda o ́ \gamma o s ~ ‘ w o r d ; ~ s p e e c h ; ~ r e a s o n ', ~ p l . ~ o i ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o ı ~ ' r e a s o n s ; ~$ speeches' and tá $\lambda o ́ \gamma l a$ (no genitive) 'words'; ò oтa७ $\mu o ́ s ~ ' s t a t i o n ', ~ p l . ~$
 tense', pl. oi $\chi \rho \delta \nu o l($ all meanings) and $\tau \dot{a}$ र $\rho \delta \partial \nu a$ (genitive $\chi \rho o \nu \tilde{\omega}(\nu)$ ) 'years'; $\tau$ ó $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a ́ \nu \iota ~ ' w r e a t h, ~ g a r l a n d ', ~ p l . ~ \tau a ́ ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon ф a ́ \nu ı a ~ ' w r e a t h s, ~ g a r l a n d s ' ~$ and $\tau$ á $\sigma \tau$ é $\phi a \nu a$ 'marriage wreaths'.

### 4.5.2 ADJECTIVES

### 4.5.2.1 Various learned forms

There is an infrequently used paradigm that has not been mentioned: the learned declension of adjectives in -v́s. The cases in which they differ from the pattern given for $\beta$ apv́s in Appendix I are the masculine and neuter genitive singular (-єoc), the masculine nom./acc. plural ( $\epsilon i \varsigma)$, the neuter nom./acc. plural ( $-\epsilon \in$ ) and the feminine ( - eia etc.), like Class 1 F , with lowering of stress in genitive plural). The adverb ends in -é $\omega \varsigma$. Not many of these adjectives are used in all cases; rather, they are

 коєкітька 'acute appendicitis'.

A few neuters of the 2A-2B-1F type of adjective take a final $-\nu$ in the nom./acc. singular in certain circumstances: $\delta u v a \tau \delta \delta \nu$ 'possible' (cf. סuvaró 'strong'), ádúvazov 'impossible' (cf. ádúvaro 'weak; thin') and $\pi \imath \vartheta a \nu \delta \nu$ 'probable'.

### 4.5.2.2 Feminine formations

As has already been observed, there is some variety in the formation of the feminines of certain adjectives in -os, particularly those whose stems end in vowels. Thus, from $\beta$ é $\beta a \iota o s$ 'sure', $\beta e ́ \beta a i \eta$ is found beside $\beta \in \beta a i a$ (the latter displaying a stress shift characteristic of katharevousa). In certain other adjectives with stems in unstressed ee-, while the grammars prescribe $-\eta$, everyday usage often has -a (e.g. $\pi a \mu \pi a \dot{\lambda} \lambda a v a$ 'age-old' for $\pi a \mu \pi a ́ \lambda a i \eta$, $\sigma \tau \notin \rho \epsilon a$ 'solid' for $\sigma \tau \notin \rho \epsilon \eta$ ). There are other adjectives in -os, of learned origin, whose feminine was identical to their masculine in katharevousa. Here one finds that the oxytones retain their oos ending (e.g. $\grave{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \in \rho \gamma o ́ s \dot{a} \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \iota \xi \eta$ 'active intervention'), while paroxytones
waver between -os and $-a$, the former belonging to more formal styles, the latter to more colloquial usage or to the style of the conscientious demoticist. There is variation among words and even within certain words: thus $\dot{\eta} \pi \tau v \chi \iota o u ̄ \chi o s$ '(female) graduate' generally forms the plural oi $\pi \tau v \chi$ Loũ $\epsilon \varsigma$ (partly because otherwise the hearer would not know whether the speaker was talking about males or females).

Colour adjectives in $-\eta s$ regularly form a feminine singular in $-i$,
 Jaguar', but pl. $\beta$ voowlés Ţárкovap).

Since the declensions of adjectives in $-\omega \nu$ and of those in $-\eta \rho$ which have neuters in $-\epsilon \varsigma$ are felt to belong to katharevousa, there is often a conscious or unconscious attempt to bring them into line with demotic morphology. One sometimes encounters a feminine $\mu \in \tau \rho \iota \delta$ -
 ably' (for ? $\dot{a} \tau \epsilon \rho \mu \delta \dot{\nu} \omega \varsigma$ ), but grammarians and others counsel the avoidance of both these forms. A masculine genitive singular in $-\eta$ is sometimes found for -ous in 1Ma-3B adjectives in $-\eta s$ (the feminine and neuter of this case seem to be avoided completely by those who do not like to use the ous ending). Grammarians countenance the use of the genitive in $-\eta$ only in adjectives which have actually become nouns, such as $\dot{\delta} \epsilon \dot{\gamma} \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} s$ 'nobleman' and $\dot{o} \sigma 0 \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} s$ 'relative' (the latter even has a feminine noun derivative $\left.\sigma \sigma_{\gamma} \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \iota \sigma \sigma a\right)$; but in practice there is some wavering, for instance, in $\tau o \tilde{a} \dot{a} \sigma \vartheta \epsilon \nu \tilde{\eta} / \dot{a} \sigma \vartheta \epsilon \nu o u ̃ s ~ ' o f ~ t h e ~ p a t i e n t ; ~ s i c k / ~$ weak (gen. sing.)', which is perhaps a noun in its first meaning and an adjective in its second, but most speakers will hardly make such a fine distinction. It is noticeable that speakers will often use absolute superlatives in preference to primary forms of certain problematic adjectives:
 $\sigma a \nu \delta \rho \mu v ่ \tau a \tau a$ 'they reprimanded him very severely' (for $\delta \rho \mu \epsilon ́ \omega \varsigma$ ).

### 4.5.2.3 Stress

The rules laid down in the grammars for stress state that all forms of a given adjective preserve the stress of the masculine nominative singular, whereas many nouns of Classes 1 and 2 shift their stress according to the principles outlined above (4.1.1, 4.1.2). This means that there is a distinction between, for instance, the genitive plurals of the adjective kúpos 'main; chief' and of the two nouns which derive from it, $\delta$ кúpos 'Mr; gentleman; sir; Lord' and $\dot{\eta}$ кvpia 'Mrs; lady; madam', thus: $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \kappa v j \rho \omega \omega \nu \sigma \tau \delta \chi \omega \nu \mu a \varsigma$ 'of our chief aims', but $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \kappa v \rho i \omega \nu$ 'of the gentlemen' and $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \kappa \nu \rho i \tilde{\omega} \nu$ 'of the ladies'. In practice, whereas the last
of these is invariable, the other two forms sometimes become confused, and each can stand for the other. Similarly, grammarians give the example $\tau o \tilde{a}$ ä $\rho \omega \sigma \tau \sigma \nu \lambda o x i a$ 'of the sick sergeant' (without stress shift: adjective), and $\tau o \bar{u} \dot{a} \rho \rho \dot{\omega} \sigma \tau o v ~ ' o f ~ t h e ~ p a t i e n t / s i c k ~ m a n ' ~(w i t h ~ s t r e s s ~$ shift: noun); here the tendency is towards levelling the stress in the second example, and the frequent occurrence on radio and television of such avoidance of stress shift in substantivized adjectives no doubt not only reflects an actual tendency, but reinforces it. Nevertheless, there are certain adjectives which resist such levelling in the usage of many speakers, a notable example being $\delta$ dáфopol 'various' (e.g. ढ̈p $\rho a$ סu$\phi \dot{\rho} \rho \omega \nu \sigma v \nu \vartheta \epsilon \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$ 'works by various composers'); and a phenomenon can be observed of attraction by a following noun: e.g. $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{0} \mathbf{v}^{s} \delta \eta \mu o \sigma i o u s$ $\dot{v} \pi a \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda o v s$ 'civil servants (acc.)' (the noun, which undergoes stress shift, attracts the adjective to do the same).

### 4.5.2.4 Formation of adverbs

There is also some variety in the formation of adverbs from adjectives in $-o s$ : alongside adverbs in $-a$, forms in - $\omega \varsigma$ are also found. There are some cases in which a clear difference in meaning is involved: e.g. $\dot{a} \pi \lambda o ́ s ~ ‘ s i m p l e ' ~ \rightarrow a ̀ ~ a ̀ \lambda a ́ ~ ' i n ~ s i m p l e ~ t e r m s ' ~ a n d ~ a ̀ ~ a \lambda \tilde{\omega} \varsigma ~ ' s i m p l y ~(=~ o n l y, ~$ just)'; ä $\mu \in \sigma o s ~ ‘ i m m e d i a t e ' ~ \rightarrow a ̈ \mu \epsilon \sigma a$ 'directly, without intermediary' and $\dot{a} \mu \epsilon ́ \sigma \omega \varsigma ~ ' i m m e d i a t e l y ' ; ~ \tau e ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \rho s ~ ' p e r f e c t ' ~ \rightarrow ~ \tau e ́ \lambda \epsilon \epsilon a ~ ' t o ~ p e r f e c t i o n ' ~ a n d ~$ $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \omega \varsigma$ 'completely'; $\epsilon \dot{\chi}$ रápıotos 'pleasant' $\rightarrow \epsilon \dot{\chi} \chi a ́ \rho \iota \sigma \tau a$ 'pleasantly' and $\epsilon \dot{x} x a \rho i \sigma \tau \omega s$ 'with pleasure'. With other adjectives there may be slight nuances of meaning, which may not be distinguished by many speakers, the $-a$ form being used as an adverb of manner and the $-\omega \varsigma$ form with some other meaning: aútóৎ §єĩ mo入v́ oikovouıká 'he lives very
 well, financially speaking': one of these adverbs here is adjunctive, the other disjunctive. Certain adjectives take only the - $\omega$ s form: e.g. $\pi \rho o \eta \gamma o v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ 'previous' $\rightarrow \pi \rho o \eta \gamma o v \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \varsigma$ 'previously'; кúpıos ‘chief,

 $\kappa а \kappa \omega ̈ s ~ ' w r o n g l y ' ~(a l t h o u g h ~ i n c r e a s i n g l y ~ f r e q u e n t l y ~ f o r m s ~ i n ~-a ~ a r e ~ m e t ~$ in utterances of those who deliberately attempt to be more demotic than the standard language normally permits). Finally there are certain syntactical structures (particularly where an adverb modifies an adjective or another adverb) in which the $-\omega \varsigma$ is preferred by many speakers: e.g.


'their demands are absolutely reasonable'; in the latter example the ambiguity which might have been caused by using àmó̀uta (either neuter plural adjective agreeing with airńuaia, or adverb) has been avoided.

### 4.5.2.5 Comparison of adjectives and adverbs

The chief irregular formations of the comparative and the absolute superlative are as follows: à $\pi \lambda o ́ s ~ ‘ s i m p l e ’ ~ \rightarrow a ̀ ~ a ~ \pi \lambda o v ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o \varsigma, ~-\tau a \tau o \varsigma ; ~$

 height', but коעтט́тєроs 'shorter in length'; $\lambda$ í $\gamma o s$ 'little (pl. few)' $\rightarrow$
 'small' $\rightarrow \mu$ ккро́тє $\rho о \varsigma, ~ e ̀ \lambda a ́ x \iota \sigma \tau o \varsigma ; ~ \pi о \lambda u ́ s ~ ' m u c h ~(p l . ~ m a n y) ' ~ \rightarrow ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o ́-~$ $\tau \epsilon \rho o s$. There are also some comparatives or pseudo-comparatives from other parts of speech than adjectives and adverbs of manner, such as $\dot{a} \rho \chi \dot{\eta}$ 'beginning' $\rightarrow \dot{a} \rho \chi \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \rho a$ (only in the phrase $\mu \mathrm{L} \dot{\alpha} \dot{\omega} \rho a \dot{a} \rho \chi \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \rho a$ 'as soon as possible'); i $\delta i \omega s$ 'especially' $\rightarrow$ i $\delta a i \tau \epsilon \rho a$ 'more especially'; $\nu \omega \rho i \varsigma$ 'early' $\rightarrow \nu \omega \rho i \tau \epsilon \rho a$, - тата; $\pi \rho о \tau \mu \tilde{\omega}$ 'I prefer' $\rightarrow \pi \rho о \tau ц о$ о' $\tau \rho о \varsigma$ 'preferable'; $\pi \rho \tilde{\omega} \tau a$ 'first' $\rightarrow \pi \rho \omega \tau$ '̛́ $\epsilon \rho a$ 'previously'; $\sigma \nu \mu \phi \notin \rho o \nu ~ ' a d v a n-~$ tage; interest' $\rightarrow \sigma \nu \mu \phi \in \rho o ́ r \epsilon \rho o s ~ ' m o r e ~ p r o f i t a b l e / a d v a n t a g e o u s ' . ~ W h i l e ~$ the adverb from all other comparatives and superlatives of adjectives ends in -a, $\lambda \iota \gamma \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \rho o s$ and $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o ́ r \epsilon \epsilon \rho \circ \varsigma$ have adverbs $\lambda \iota \gamma o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o$ 'less' and $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o$ 'more'.

A kind of comparative of many adverbs of place and time may be formed with $\pi \iota o ́: ~ e . g$. $\dot{\epsilon} \delta \tilde{\omega}$ 'here', $\pi\llcorner\dot{o} \dot{\epsilon} \delta \tilde{\omega}$ 'in this direction'; $\kappa a ́ t \omega$ 'down', $\pi$ ó кát $\omega$ 'further down'; à $\rho \gamma \dot{a}$ 'late', $\pi \iota o ́$ à $\rho \gamma a ́$ 'later', etc.

Greek speakers are not averse to emphasizing comparatives and superlatives in a way which grammarians do not recommend: e.g. $\pi$ ó
 any', i.e. 'very few'). It should be noted, however, that there is no comparative superlative form of adverbs: for 'he ran fastest' one has to say
 [the rest]'). Nevertheless, the comparative of an adverb is sometimes used as a comparative superlative: e.g. єival oi $\pi \epsilon \rho \omega \chi \epsilon ́ \varsigma ~ \pi o v ́ ~ \delta \epsilon \omega \nu o \pi a ́ \vartheta \eta$ $\sigma a \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \dot{\partial} \tau \epsilon \rho o$ кaтá $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ Kãox $\dot{\eta}$ 'they are the areas which suffered most [lit. 'more'] during the [Axis] Occupation.'

In fact, there is not such a rigid distinction in MG between comparative and superlative as exists in English, and one often encounters
sentences in which the superlative form of the adjective is actually being used as a comparative:
(1) oi $\pi \epsilon \lambda a ́ \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \tau o v ̃ ~ \xi \epsilon \nu o \delta o \chi \epsilon i o v ~ \vartheta \epsilon \omega \rho o u ́ v \tau a \nu ~ o i ~ к a \lambda u ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o l ~ a ̀ m o ́ ~ o ̈ \lambda o u s ~$ $\tau o v ́ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o u s ~ \xi \in ́ v o v s ~ e ̀ m \iota \sigma \kappa є ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~(l i t . ~ ' t h e ~ h o t e l ' s ~ g u e s t s ~ w e r e ~ c o n-~$ sidered to be the best of all the other foreign visitors') ( $T$ 25 June 1981, 18).

Here either the definite article oi (which converts the comparative into the superlative) or the word ä $\lambda \lambda$ ous ('other') is superfluous.

Under the influence of katharevousa, there are phrases consisting of definite article + comparative adjective in the neuter singular with the meaning 'as . . . as possible' (e.g. $\tau \delta \delta ~ \tau a \chi v ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o ~ ' a s ~ q u i c k l y ~ a s ~ p o s s i b l e ' ; ~ ;$ also tó ovvтouótє $\rho o$ סvvatóv 'as soon as possible'), standing for the

 'as much as possible more quickly'). A similar construction to this katharevousa type may be used adjectivally (e.g. máveıऽ $\tau$ ó $\lambda \iota \gamma o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o$ $\delta v v a \tau o ́ ~ \chi \tilde{\omega} \rho o$ 'you take up the least possible space'). Also, again under learned influence, and almost exclusively in writing, certain absolute superlative forms are sometimes used as comparative superlatives. Thus, alongside the absolute é $\chi \in \iota \mu$ é $\gamma \iota \sigma \tau \eta$ on $\mu a \sigma i a$ 'it has the utmost importance' (note the absence of article), there are phrases such as $\dot{o} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma เ \sigma \tau o s$ коıvós $\delta$ alıé $\tau \eta$ ' 'the highest common factor' (mathematical style is notoriously archaic in MG); and even,
 the largest section of the people' (And. 1976: 340)
(in which $\tau \dot{o} \mu \hat{\mu} \gamma \iota \sigma \tau o$ seems to be seen as more emphatic than $\tau o ́ \mu \epsilon \gamma a$ $\lambda \dot{\prime} \tau \epsilon \rho o$ ); and the same word used as a noun in the neuter:
(3) $\tau o ́ \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma เ \sigma \tau o \tau o v ̃ ~ e ̀ \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \iota \kappa o v ̃ ~ \lambda a o v ̃ ~ ' t h e ~ m a j o r i t y ~ o f ~ t h e ~ G r e e k ~ p e o p l e ' ~$ (And. 1976: 343)
(a stylistic alternative for $\grave{\eta} \pi \lambda \epsilon \iota \varnothing \psi \eta \phi i a$ or $\grave{\eta} \pi \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu \delta \partial \tau \eta \tau a$ 'the majority').

### 4.5.3 PRONOUNS AND ARTICLES

Concerning pronouns and articles it will be necessary to examine briefly certain details of alternative forms, and to explain the use of the reflexive $\tau o ́ v ~ e ̀ a v \tau o ́ ~(~ \mu o v) . ~$.

### 4.5.3.1 Alternative forms

The most noticeable variation that occurs in these classes of words is the addition or deletion of the final $-\nu$ in the masculine and feminine accusative singular. The prescriptive rule for the definite article states that the $-\nu$ is deleted when the following word begins with any consonant except a stop (the same applies to the negatives $\delta \dot{\epsilon}(\nu)$ and $\mu \dot{\eta}(\nu)$ : cf. 1.4.2.4). The same rule is valid for the feminine accusative singular of the clitic pronoun, whereas the masculine always retains its $-\nu$. In those pronouns which decline like adjectives (and certain adjectives, such as $\pi 0 \lambda v{ }^{\prime}$ s and $\lambda i \gamma o s$, and the article and numeral $\epsilon$ évas) the addition of the $-\nu$ in the feminine accusative singular before vowels and stops is optional, while its retention in the masculine is obligatory when the pronoun is not used attributively (e.g. $\sigma^{\prime}$ aúvo $\delta(\nu) \tau \delta \partial \quad a \nu \vartheta \rho \omega \pi o$ 'to this/that man', but $\sigma$ ' aữóv 'to him'). The rationale behind this is that the masculine in $-0 v$ should be distinguished from the neuter in -0 in order to avoid ambiguity (although this does not occur in most adjectives, and it is therefore difficult to see why the distinction should be made only in certain words and not in others). In practice, however, the final $-\nu$ is added by some speakers to the article $\tau \delta \nu$ (and the negatives $\delta \dot{\sigma} \nu$ and $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu)$ even when it is not strictly necessary, while conversely it is often omitted from pronouns which decline like adjectives. Many speakers, on the other hand, retain the $-\nu$ in the accusative singular of certain pronouns but place an additional vowel after it (this is considered to be a mark of less educated speech, but it is often used by educated speakers when speaking casually). One finds $\tau 0 \nu \epsilon$ and $\tau \eta \nu \epsilon$ used as proclitics and enclitics (in the latter case, $\tau o v a$ and $\tau \eta \nu a$ are
 Especially common is the accusative singular of the first and second person singular emphatic pronouns émévave and èvévave.

Very occasionally, when speakers want to use a true genitive of a first or second person pronoun rather than the pseudo-genitives $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{v} \nu a$, etc., they may use the learned $\dot{\epsilon} \mu o \tilde{v}$ (1st sing.) and, very rarely, $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\omega} \nu$ and $\dot{\nu} \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu$ (which are homophones: 1st and 2nd plural respectively): e.g. $\mu \in \tau a \xi \cup$ é $\dot{\mu о и ̃ ~ к а i ~ \tau o u ̃ ~ к о ш о и ̆ ~ ' b e t w e e n ~ m y s e l f ~ a n d ~ t h e ~}$ public'.

As an alternative to $\dot{o}$ i $\delta$ os 'the same', there is the learned $\delta$ avitos, found especially in the phrase èvas кai ò aưtós 'one and the same'
 évvoles 'we have one and the same word for the two concepts.'

### 4.5.3.2 Tóv є̀autó ( $\mu$ ov)

Although grammars normally talk of the 'reflexive pronoun' in MG, it is clear that èavtós is strictly speaking a masculine noun which declines like Class 2A. It is always preceded by the definite article and accompanied by a possessive enclitic. It even has a diminutive éavtoú $\lambda \eta$ s
 little self'). 'Eautós is invariable for gender; although it is most commonly found in the accusative as an object of a verb or a preposition, it occurs in the genitive, and even in the nominative (although this last use is literary); it is usually invariable for number, but it may optionally be used in the plural if it refers to more than one person (it is not used for inanimate referents):
 'Katy caught herself eavesdropping on her neighbours';
(2) oi $\Phi i \xi \vartheta \vartheta \epsilon \omega \rho o u ̃ \nu ~ \tau o u ́ s ~ \epsilon ̇ a v \tau o v ́ s ~ \tau o v s ~ " E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \epsilon \varsigma ~ ' t h e ~ F i x ~[f a m i l y] ~$ consider themselves Greeks.'

The plural is used in (2) because the singular would be awkward with the plural ${ }^{\circ} E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \epsilon$ s in apposition to it .

### 4.6 DERIVATIVES OF NOUNS

MG is exceptionally rich in derivational suffixes, which are so numerous and varied that there is not enough space to deal with more than a fraction here (for fuller details, see Sotiropoulos 1972, but also 10.3.1). Nouns may be converted with ease into adjectives (usually with the addition of -ckós or -ıvós to the stem) or verbs (by adding -ís $\omega$, - $\epsilon \dot{\prime} \omega$, $-\omega \dot{\omega} \omega$, etc.). They can also be made into other nouns, and it is two morphological aspects of this last process which will be examined here: (a) diminutives and augmentatives, and (b) feminines from masculines.

### 4.6.1 DIMINUTIVES AND AUGMENTATIVES

Diminutives are frequently used in MG, especially in speech, to express not only smallness but familiarity and endearment, and on occasion disparagement. Augmentatives, which tend to be restricted to more familiar styles of speech, are used to express not only largeness but also admiration. The most frequent diminutive suffix is -ákı (neuter: mostly added to neuters but also to nouns of other genders), followed by -oúخa and -i i $\sigma a$ (both feminine: added to feminines). The diminutive and
augmentative endings are added directly to the stem of the noun, or to the allostem with the epenthetic consonant in those nouns which have one, or after a special epenthetic syllable which varies from word to word. ${ }^{1}$

Examples of morphology: $\pi a \kappa \delta-i$ 'child' $\rightarrow \pi a \kappa \delta-a ́ k \iota ~ ' l i t t l e ~ c h i l d ' ; ~$ $\tau \sigma a ́ l ~ ' t e a ' ~(a l l o s t e m ~ \tau \sigma a \gamma-) \rightarrow \tau \sigma a \gamma-\alpha ́ \kappa \iota$ '(little) cup of tea'; $\pi \rho a \dot{a}(\gamma) \mu a$ 'thing' (allostem $\pi \rho a(\gamma) \mu a \tau$-) $\rightarrow \pi \rho a \mu a \tau$-ákı 'little thing'; кафе́s ‘coffee'
 ou入-ákı 'little egg', aù - -ov $\lambda$-á $\rho a$ 'whopping great egg'; ov́к-o 'fig' $\rightarrow \sigma v \kappa$ $a \lambda-\alpha ́ \kappa \iota ~ ' l i t t l e ~ f i g ' ; ~ \beta a ́ \rho к-a ~ ' b o a t ' ~ \rightarrow ~ \beta а \rho к-о v ́ \lambda a ~ ' l i t t l e ~ b o a t ' ; ~ \omega ั \rho-a ~ ' h o u r ' ~ \rightarrow ~$ $\mu \dot{a} \dot{\omega} \rho i \tau \sigma a$ 'just one little hour'. There are not so many diminutives with masculine endings: $\delta \rho o ́ \mu$-os 'road, street' $\rightarrow \delta \rho о \mu$-áкоs 'little street'; $\pi a \tau \epsilon \in \rho-a s$ 'father' $\rightarrow \pi a \tau \epsilon \rho$-ov́ $\lambda \eta s$ 'daddy'. (There is also the learned diminutive in -íкооs: $\dot{a} \pi a \tau \epsilon \omega \dot{\nu}$-as 'swindler' $\rightarrow \dot{a} \pi a \tau \epsilon \omega \nu$-ібкоৎ 'petty swindler'.) Diminutive endings can even be added to indeclinable nouns of foreign origin, thus making it possible for them to show a morphological distinction between singular and plural: ( $\tau \delta$ ) $\sigma \lambda i \pi$ 'underpants' $\rightarrow \sigma \lambda \iota \pi$-ákı (same meaning); ( $\tau o ́$ ) $\phi$ áov $\lambda$ 'foul (in football)' $\rightarrow$ фаоv入-áкı 'little foul'; ( $\tau$ ') $\rho a \nu \tau \in \beta o v ́ ~ ' a p p o i n t m e n t, ~ d a t e ' ~ \rightarrow ~ \rho a \nu \tau \epsilon \beta o v-~$ $\delta$-ákı (same meaning). There is also an ending -ákıas (< -ákı) which is applied disparagingly to a man who possesses a certain physical or
 ко́ $\tau \epsilon$ 'flirting' $\rightarrow$ ó корта́кıая 'flirt'. Some adjectives may receive diminutive endings in the same way, when they are not used attributively but are thought of as nouns: $\mu \kappa \rho-\rho$-s 'small' $\rightarrow \dot{o} \mu \kappa \kappa \rho-\alpha \dot{\prime} \lambda \eta s$ 'little boy'; $\mu \epsilon \lambda a \chi \rho \omega-\eta$ 'dark-haired' (fem.) $\rightarrow \mu \epsilon \lambda a \chi \rho \nu \nu-o v ́ \lambda a$ 'brunette'; кiт $\rho \iota \nu-\rho$ 'yellow' $\rightarrow$ Эá форє́бढ тó кıтрıvákı $\mu$ оv 'I'll wear my yellow [dress]'; $\tau v \chi \in \rho$-ós 'lucky' $\rightarrow$ ò $\tau v \chi \in \rho$-áklas 'lucky beggar'. (One might also add the diminutive adjective $\tau о \sigma o \delta o v ́ \lambda ı \kappa o s ~ ' t e e n y-w e e n y ', ~ f r o m ~ t h e ~ p h r a s e ~$ róoos $\delta \dot{a}$ 'tiny'.) There are also adjectival diminutive endings -oútoukos (кало́s 'good' $\rightarrow$ калои́тощкоs 'goodish') and - $\omega \pi$ ós (used with names of colours: $\pi \rho a ́ \sigma \omega \nu o s ~ ‘ g r e e n ' ~ \rightarrow ~ \pi \rho a \sigma ı \nu \omega \pi o ́ s ~ ' g r e e n i s h ') . ~$

Examples of change of meaning: ка̧ávı 'cauldron; boiler' $\rightarrow$ кајага́кı '(lavatory) cistern'; §єvyápl 'pair, couple' $\rightarrow$ §єvүapákı 'courting couple'; (ò) $\lambda а ́ к к о \varsigma ~ ' p i t ' ~ \rightarrow ~ \lambda а к к а ́ к ı ~ ‘ d i m p l e ’ ; ~ \pi a \rho a ́ \vartheta v \rho o ~ ' w i n d o w ' ~ \rightarrow \pi а \rho a \vartheta v \rho a ́ к ı ~$

[^3]＇loophole（in law or regulation）＇；$\pi о \delta o ́ \sigma ф a \iota \rho o ~ ' f o o t b a l l ’ ~ \rightarrow \pi о \delta о \sigma ф а \iota \rho a ́ к \iota ~$
 paving－stone＇$\rightarrow \pi \lambda а к а ́ к \iota ~ ' t i l e ' ; ~ \pi \rho o ́ ß а т о ~ ' s h e e p ' ~ \rightarrow ~ \pi \rho о \beta а т а ́ к к а ~ ' l i t t l e ~$ sheep；＂white horses＂（in sea）＇．

The chief augmentative endings are $-\alpha \alpha^{\rho} a$ and $-a$（fem．），and－a $a \rho o s$ and－apás（masc．）．The last（which corresponds rather to the diminutive －ákias）sometimes denotes the male possessor of a quality（there is an occasional feminine－apov́），while the other three（which frequently entail a change of gender）are straightforward augmentatives．

Examples：коь入ıá＇stomach，belly＇$\rightarrow$ кол $\lambda a ́ \rho a$＇paunch＇；（ $\delta$ ）к $\bar{\omega} \lambda о s$ ＇arse＇$\rightarrow \kappa \omega \lambda a ́ \rho a$＇fat arse＇；（ $\dot{(j)}$ фı $\lambda o ́ \lambda o \gamma o s ~ ' l i t e r a t u r e ~ t e a c h e r ' ~ \rightarrow ~ \phi \iota \lambda o-~$ $\lambda o \gamma a ́ \rho a ~ ' f a n t a s t i c ~ l i t e r a t u r e ~ t e a c h e r ' ; ~(\tau o ́) ~ \gamma \kappa \delta ́ \lambda ~ ' g o a l ~(f o o t b a l l) ' ~ \rightarrow ~$
 （ $\tau o ́) ~ \nu \tau o v \lambda a ́ \pi \iota ~ ' c u p b o a r d ' ~ \rightarrow ~ \nu \tau o v \lambda a ́ \pi a ~ ' w a r d r o b e ' ; ~ \psi \epsilon v ่ \tau \eta s ~ ' l i a r ’ ~ \rightarrow ~$
 perhaps buxom）girl＇；коı入ápa＇paunch＇$\rightarrow$ ко৯入aןás＇paunchy man＇； $\tau \rho a \gamma o v \delta \iota \sigma \tau \eta$＇s ‘singer＇$\rightarrow \tau \rho a \gamma o v \delta \iota \sigma \tau a \rho a ́ s ~ ' m a r v e l l o u s ~ s i n g e r ' . ~ T h e r e ~ a r e ~$ other augmentative endings with more restricted use：e．g．（ $\tau o ́$ ）av̇ $\tau i$ ＇ear＇$\rightarrow$ ávov́к $\lambda a$＇huge ear＇；（ $\tau o ́$ ）$\sigma \pi i \tau \iota$＇house＇$\rightarrow \sigma \pi \iota \tau a \rho \delta \nu a$＇fantastic
 （language）＇$\rightarrow(\dot{\eta})$ é $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu<\kappa o u ́ \rho a ~ ' p i e c e ~ o f ~ h i g h f a l u t i n ~ G r e e k ' ; ~ a ̈ v \tau \rho a s ~$ ＇man＇$\rightarrow$ ä $\tau \tau \rho а к \lambda a s$＇great hunk of a man＇；$\phi \omega \nu \eta$＇voice＇$\rightarrow \phi \omega \nu a ́ k \lambda a$ ＇loud voice＇$\rightarrow \phi \omega v a \kappa \lambda a ́ s ~ ' l o u d-m o u t h ' . ~$

## 4．6．2 FEMININES OF MASCULINE NOUNS REFERRING TO PERSONS

As has been mentioned（4．2），the distinction between substantives and adjectives in MG is not always clear，since any adjective can be sub－ stantivized．Nominals（i．e．nouns and adjectives）referring to persons can perhaps be divided into three categories from this point of view： （a）those for which all genders exist and are morphologically predict－ able，such as $\zeta \eta \lambda \dot{\prime} \rho \eta \varsigma-\zeta \eta \lambda \dot{a} \rho a-\zeta \eta \lambda \dot{a} \rho \kappa к o$＇jealous＇（these can be forthwith classed as adjectives and be ignored for the purposes of this section）；（b）those for which there is a feminine version that is not predictable from the masculine；and（c）those for which only a mascu－ line exists，this form being used for females as well as for males（cf．2．1．1）．

The endings for deriving feminines from masculine nouns are various， and each feminine has to be learned with its masculine counterpart． Examples：$\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \iota a ́ s ~ ‘ k i n g ' ~ \rightarrow \beta a \sigma i \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma a ~ ‘ q u e e n ' ; ~ \mu a \vartheta \eta \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} s$＇schoolboy＇$\rightarrow$ $\mu a \vartheta n \dot{\eta} \tau \rho 1 a$＇schoolgirl＇（the－$\tau \rho / a$ ending is a highly productive one from

 $\rightarrow \lambda o v \lambda o v \delta o v ́$ (same (fem.)); $\psi \in v ่ \tau \eta s$ 'liar’ $\rightarrow \psi \in \dot{\prime} \tau \rho a$ (same (fem.)). With certain words the situation is quite confused: e.g., corresponding to $\delta$ $\sigma v \nu \in \rho \gamma a ́ \tau \eta \varsigma$ 'assistant, contributor', one finds $\dot{\eta} \sigma v \nu \in \rho \gamma a ́ \tau \eta \varsigma, \dot{\eta} \sigma v \in \epsilon \rho-$
 even $\dot{\eta} \sigma v \nu \epsilon \rho \gamma \dot{\sigma} \tau \iota \sigma \sigma a$. It is noteworthy that a satisfactory feminine of $\dot{o}$ ßou入єuTn's 'member of parliament' has not yet been found: the frequently used $\beta$ ouneutiva is felt to be too familiar for official use,
 guistic point of view would seem the obvious solution, does not exist (despite the numerous parallel formations, including è $\rho \mu \eta \nu \in u \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \rightarrow$ є̀ $\rho \mu \eta \nu \in$ v́tpla 'interpreter (of song etc.)'). There are also a few examples of the converse process, i.e. the formation of a masculine noun from a feminine: e.g. $\gamma \epsilon \rho о \nu \tau о к о ́ \rho \eta ~ ' s p i n s t e r, ~ o l d ~ m a i d ' ~ \rightarrow \gamma \epsilon \rho о \nu \tau о к \delta \rho o s ~ ' o l d ~$ woman (of man)'.

There is a large number of nouns denoting professions which have common gender but only masculine-type declension, despite the marked tendency in traditional demotic to use separate forms for each sex. Examples include: v̀má $\lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o s$ 'employee', $\psi v \chi o \lambda o ́ \gamma o s ~ ' p s y c h o l o g i s t ' ~$ (together with all profession nouns in - $\lambda o j o \varsigma), ~ \vartheta v \rho \omega \rho o ́ s ~ ' c o n c i e r g e ', ~$
 ness'. Some common-gender nouns do not refer to professions as such: e.g. ov́ßcyos 'spouse'. There is nothing in the morphology of these nouns which prevents them from forming separate feminines. On the other hand, many speakers feel uneasy about using the masculine endings with feminine articles, and tend to avoid using the demotic genitive singular of some of them, preferring the learned version if they use the genitive at all: thus one finds $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \sigma v \gamma \rho a \phi e ́ \omega s$ 'of the author' for $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ ov $\gamma \gamma \rho a \phi$ éa, in which the presence of a final -ऽ in the article and its absence in the noun strikes some speakers (and hearers) as strange.

### 4.7 INDECLINABLE NOUNS AND ADJECTIVES

There is now in MG a large number of indeclinable nouns and adjectives (from French and English), which fail to comply with the morphological patterns of the language. The tendency today is for the majority of new loanwords to be treated as indeclinable. One unfortunate phenomenon is that many speakers place a final $s$ on the plural of certain loanwords from English, thus introducing a completely new
paradigm into the language (e.g. $\tau o ́$ коитьoũ $\epsilon \rho$ 'computer', pl. $\tau$ d́ кол$\pi \iota o v i \tau \epsilon \rho \varsigma)$. This English final -s has even spread to words which are not derived from English (e.g. oi Bíкıүкs 'the Vikings'); but confusion on such matters leads to the final $s$ being used also in some singulars (e.g. tó $\tau$ ávкs '(mil.) tank'), leaving the words in question indeclinable.

### 4.8 CONCLUSION

To sum up, the noun morphology of MG presents a variety of alternative forms. Educationalists, who are painfully aware of the difficulties of teaching and learning the complete range of MG declensions, constantly call for a greater standardization through the elimination of all terminations which do not conform to the basic demotic pattern. It must be admitted that for anyone who has not mastered the intricacies of MG noun morphology the areas that lie outside the basic system represent a terra incognita into which (s)he will fear to venture: the result is that, since a thorough familiarity with this rough ground is a prerequisite for any discourse in the more abstract or profound realms of thought, all but the most highly educated tend to be cut off from such discourse. (Similar-if not more acute-problems arise with verb morphology.) For this reason alone it would be highly desirable for as many of the anomalies as possible to be removed. On the other hand, there are those who relish the subtleties of MG $\pi 0 \lambda v \pi v \pi i a$ (the existence of several alternative realizations of the same underlying form) and who delight in manipulating the various possibilities in order to produce a pleasing, 'natural' style (and not only, it should be stressed, to show off their superior linguistic education).

## 5

## VERB MORPHOLOGY

### 5.1 GENERAL

The inflectional behaviour of any given verb in MG is highly unpredictable. Ideally one should know six pieces of data about each verb, viz.: (i) the imperfective stem; (ii) the imperfective non-past ('present tense') active conjugation type; (iii) the perfective active stem; (iv) the imperfective non-past passive conjugation type; (v) the perfective passive stem; and (vi) the past passive participle. With some verbs it is also useful to know the imperfective past active conjugation type.

There appear to be, apart from the various imperfective stems: (a) two chief types of imperfective non-past conjugation, the first having penultimate stress in the second person singular (Class 1), the second having final stress (Class 2: this is divided into two sub-groups according to whether the second person singular ends in -is or ais); (b) three types of perfective active stem, depending on whether it ends in -s- or not (if not, it ends in $-n-,-l$ - or $-r$-), and, if not, whether the radical vowel of this stem is the same as or different from that of the imperfective stem; (c) five chief types of imperfective non-past passive conjugation; (d) two types of perfective passive stem, according to whether it ends in $-\vartheta$ - or in a voiceless fricative $+-t$-; and (e) five types of past passive participle stem, depending on whether the -ménos ending is preceded by $-z-,-\gamma-,-v$-, or another consonant, or zero. In addition, there are three types of imperfective past active conjugation.

The two factors which complicate even further this already complex pattern are (1) the lack of correlation among these five sets of data ((a)-(e)), and (2) the lack of consistency among speakers (and even within idiolects) in the use of this material. This means that, given one, two, three, four, or even five of the essential parts of a particular verb, it is not always possible to predict the other part(s); and this is true even in cases where speakers are in agreement as to which form is used for each part.

While conjugation tables of sample verbs are given in Appendix I, an
attempt will be made here both to generalize on the MG verb formations and to place them in categories. Since there is not always a correlation between the various stems and the various endings, it will be necessary to examine stems and endings separately.

### 5.2 THE STEMS

### 5.2.1 THE IMPERFECTIVE

Class 1 verbs are those whose stress falls on the penultimate syllable in the second person singular of the imperfective non-past active (e.g. Sen- 'tie' $\rightarrow$ second singular סénis). The imperfective stem is used in the imperfective past and non-past of the active and (sometimes with modifications) of the passive: once the stem is known, these four forms are normally predictable.

Class 1 verbs may have imperfective stems ending in any of the following sounds or combinations of sounds:

Vowels (e,i,u);
Labials ( $v, p, p t, f, f t$ );
Velars ( $\gamma, n g, k, x, x n$ );
Dentals ( $\delta, \vartheta, t$ );
Voiced sibilant (z);
Voiceless sibilant (s);
Nasals ( $n, m$ ); or
Liquids ( $l, r, \ln , r m$ ).
For the purposes of forming the other stems, the epenthetic $-n$ - which appears as the second member of some of the above combinations is omitted. Most verbs with imperfective stems ending in labials or velars may be considered as having an unspecified underlying consonant with the same point of articulation as that of the final consonant of the imperfective stem but which varies in manner of articulation according to the nature of the following sound in stems other than the imperfective.

Class 2 verbs are those which are stressed on the final syllable in the second person singular of the imperfective non-past active. The imperfective stem of these verbs may be considered to end in a consonant followed by a stress, which is thrown on to the following vowel
in the imperfective non-past active (e.g. a ${ }^{\text {app-' ' }}$ love' $\rightarrow$ second singular arapás).

### 5.2.2 THE PERFECTIVE ACTIVE

The perfective active stem is used to form (a) the perfective active and (b) the singular of the perfective passive imperative. The perfective active stem of most Class 1 verbs, except those with imperfective stem ending in a liquid, and some ending in a nasal, ends in -s-. There are, in other words, verbs that have sigmatic perfectives and others that have asigmatic perfectives. Verbs that have asigmatic perfectives (sometimes known as strong verbs) have a perfective active stem identical to the imperfective, except (in some verbs) for an alteration in the radical vowel (see 5.2.5). Sigmatic perfectives may be considered as being formed by the addition of $-s$ - to the stem-vowel or underlying stem-consonant of the imperfective. Vowel-stems simply add -s- (e.g. iסri- 'found' $\rightarrow$ idris-); labials and velars are realized as voiceless stops ( $p$ and $k$ respectively) before the $s$ - (as is normal in MG phonology: e.g. klev- 'steal' $\rightarrow$ kleps-, rixn- 'throw' $\rightarrow$ riks-); and dentals are deleted (e.g. pla⿱- 'mould' $\rightarrow$ plas-). Most voiceless sibilant stems have an underlying velar (e.g. apalas- 'rid' $\rightarrow$ apalaks-), while voiced sibilant stems are divisible into those that have an underlying sibilant, which is (as always in MG phonology) deleted before the -s- (e.g. xoriz- 'separate' $\rightarrow$ xoris-), and those that have an underlying velar (e.g. alaz- 'change' $\rightarrow$ alaks-). Those stems in $-n$ - that have a sigmatic aorist delete the nasal before the -s(e.g. $\delta e n$ - 'tie' $\rightarrow$ des.).

The two chief exceptions to these rules are (a) those verbs of katharevousa origin in evv́ $\omega$, many of which have their perfective stem in - $\epsilon v \sigma$-, and (b) verbs of Romance origin in -á $\rho \omega$, whose perfective non-past is identical to their imperfective non-past, but whose perfective past ends in -a apa or -ápıoa.

All Class 2 verbs have sigmatic perfectives; most insert $-i$ - before the $-s$ - (e.g. a yap- $\rightarrow$ a apap-i-s-). Many verbs of this class, however, in which the final consonant of the imperfective stem is a liquid, and some others, insert $-a$ - or $-e$ - instead of $-i$ - (e.g. jel- 'laugh' $\rightarrow j e l-a-s$-, bor- 'be able' $\rightarrow$ bor-e-s-): this is also the case with Class 2 verbs which have an epenthetic $-n$ - in the imperfective alone (e.g. ksexn- 'forget' $\rightarrow k s e x-a-s$-). A few Class 2 verbs have an underlying velar (preceded by $a$ - or $i$-) which surfaces in all stems except the imperfective (e.g. vast- 'hold' $\rightarrow$ vast-ak-s-, trav- 'pull' $\rightarrow$ trav-ik-s-).

Class 1 and Class 2 differ only in the inflections of the imperfective
tenses and in the formation of the perfective active stem. They can thus be treated together as far as the formation of the perfective passive and the past passive participle stems is concerned.

### 5.2.3 THE PERFECTIVE PASSIVE

Perfective passive stems are divisible into two chief types: (i) those of verbs whose underlying stem ends in a labial, velar, or sibilant, in which case this consonant is realized as a voiceless fricative followed by $-t$ (according to the normal rules of MG phonology: e.g. kleP- $\rightarrow$ klef-t-, arpaK. 'snatch' $\rightarrow$ arpax- $t$-, plaS- $\rightarrow$ plas- $t$-); and (ii) those of verbs whose underlying stem ends in a vowel or a liquid, in which this sound is followed by $-\vartheta$ - (e.g. $\delta e-\rightarrow \delta e-\vartheta-$, psal- 'chant' $\rightarrow p s a l-\vartheta-$ ). These include many verbs of Class 2 , whose underlying stem ends in a vowel (e.g. arapi- $\rightarrow$ arapi- $\vartheta$-). Some nasal stems preserve the nasal as $-n$ - before the $-\vartheta$-, others delete it (e.g. $\delta i e f \vartheta$ in- ‘direct' $\rightarrow$ סief $\vartheta i n-\vartheta-$, but krin- 'judge' $\rightarrow$ kri-७-).

It is not always possible to predict the perfective passive stem from either the imperfective or the perfective active stem. For instance, while almost all vowel-stem verbs of Class 1 and all Class 2 verbs have a perfective active in $-s$-, some appear to have an underlying $s$ - and others do not: thus $\grave{\epsilon} \lambda k v ́ \omega$ 'I attract', perfective active elki-s-, perfective passive elki-s-t-, but i $\delta \rho v^{\omega} \omega$ 'I found', perfective active $i \delta r i-s-$, perfective passive $i \delta r i-\vartheta-$-; $\kappa \nu \lambda \bar{\omega}$ 'I roll', perfective active kil-i-s-, perfective passive kil-i-s-t-, but $\rho \omega \tau \tilde{\omega}$ 'I ask', perfective active rot-i-s-, perfective passive rot-i- $\vartheta$ - Similarly, some imperfective stems in $-n$ - have underlying $-s$-, others no underlying consonant: thus $\psi \dot{\eta} \nu \omega$ 'I roast', perfective active psi-s-, perfective passive psi-s-t-; крivف 'I judge', perfective active krin(identical to the imperfective stem), perfective passive kri-७-; $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \omega$ 'I tie', perfective active $\delta \boldsymbol{\delta}-\boldsymbol{s}$-, perfective passive $\delta \boldsymbol{e}-\vartheta$ -

### 5.2.4 THE PAST PASSIVE PARTICIPLE

All past participles end in -ménos and are fully inflected for gender, number, and case. Verb stems in underlying vowel or liquid simply add -ménos to that sound; while underlying sibilants, labials, and velars are realized as voiced fricatives before the $-m$ - (although some verbs delete their labial, and a few their velar, before the $-m$-). Some verbs with underlying $-n$ - delete the $-n$-, others replace it with $-z$-. Thus: arapi- 'love' $\rightarrow$ arapi-ménos; psal- $\rightarrow$ psal-ménos; luS- 'bathe' $\rightarrow$ luzménos; simosieP. 'publish' $\rightarrow$ סimosiev-ménos, but kriP. 'hide' $\rightarrow$
kri-ménos; petaK- 'throw; fly’ $\rightarrow$ peta $\gamma-m e ́ n o s ~ o r ~ p e t a-m e ́ n o s ; ~ a p o \vartheta a r i n-~$ 'discourage' $\rightarrow$ apo७ari-ménos, but apomakrin- 'remove' $\rightarrow$ apomakrizménos.

It is normally, but not always, possible to predict the past participle from the perfective passive stem: most verbs with $\vartheta-$ - in the perfective passive simply delete this before -ménos, while those with voiceless fricative $+-t$ - voice the fricative before -ménos. It is, as usual, verbs with underlying $-n$ - which cause most problems.

### 5.2.5 IRREGULARITIES IN THE FORMATION OF VERBAL STEMS

There is a large number of irregular verbs in MG. The irregularities appear not in the endings but in the formation of the stems. These verbs vary in their degree of irregularity, in that while a few have four different underlying stems, others have three, and others only two. This means that in the last category, only one of the stems may be aberrant, the others being predictable from each other on the basis of the rules given in the preceding sub-sections.

Irregularities include (a) change of radical vowel; (b) change of stemfinal consonant; (c) deletion or addition of a sound or sounds; (d) metathesis of sounds; (e) suppletion of one root by another; and (f) lack of dental in the perfective passive stem. Up to three of these irregularities may coexist within the paradigm of a single verb.

Instances of the deletion of the final $-n$ - of the imperfective stem have already been noted. There are certain verbs in en- in which in other stems the en-becomes an- or -in- (change of radical vowel: e.g. trelen- 'madden' $\rightarrow$ trelan-; paxen- 'fatten' $\rightarrow$ paxin-), $a$ - or $-i$ - (change of radical vowel + deletion of stem-final consonant: e.g. proften- 'have time (to)' $\rightarrow$ profta-; anasten- 'resurrect' $\rightarrow$ anasti-), or $-a K$ - (change of radical vowel + change of stem-final consonant: e.g. vizen- 'suckle' $\rightarrow v i z a K$-), or is deleted (e.g. maven- 'learn' $\rightarrow$ mav-).

With verbs which change the radical vowel (i.e. the vowel of the final syllable of the imperfective stem), there may be either two or three different vowels in the imperfective, perfective active and perfective passive stems. Verbs with $-a-,-\sigma$-, or $-u$ - as radical vowel in the imperfective do not undergo such changes. Examples of these changes are given in Table 5.1 (the past passive participle has been omitted because it generally has the same vowel as the perfective passive).

TABLE 5.1

Examples

| $\phi \vartheta \epsilon i \rho \omega$ | 'I spoil' |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\dot{a} \phi \dot{\nu} \nu \omega$ | 'I leave' |
| $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \beta \omega$ | 'I steal' |
| $\sigma \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \rho \nu \omega$ | 'I pull' |
| $\psi \epsilon \hat{\lambda} \nu \boldsymbol{\nu}$ | 'I chant' |
| $\sigma \tau \dot{\lambda} \lambda \nu \omega$ | 'I send' |
| $\dot{a} \gamma \gamma \epsilon \bar{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \omega$ | 'I announce' |

Perfective active
f $\vartheta$ ir
afi-s-
klep-s-
sir-
psal-
stil-
angil-

Perfective passive

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { f } \vartheta \text { ar- } \\
& \text { afe- }- \\
& \text { klap- } \\
& \text { sir- }- \\
& \text { psal- }(\vartheta)- \\
& \text { stal- }(\vartheta)- \\
& \text { angel- }-
\end{aligned}
$$

In two very common verbs the perfective active stem in the past is different from that in the non-past: $\pi$ aip $\omega$ ' 'I take', perfective active non-past $\pi a ́ \rho \omega$, perfective active past $\pi \tilde{\eta} \rho a$, perfective passive par- $\vartheta$-; $\pi \dot{a} \omega$ or $\pi \eta \gamma a i \nu \omega$ 'I go', perfective active non-past $\pi d \omega$, perfective active past $\pi \tilde{\eta} \gamma a$ (no passive). The characteristic $i$ - of the perfective past of these two verbs (a remnant of the ancient vocalic augment) is also found as a prefix in the past of certain perfectives; e.g. pj- 'drink', non-past $\pi \mu \tilde{\omega}$, past $\ddot{\eta} \pi \mu$; $r \vartheta$ - 'come' (optionally for $e r \vartheta$-), non-past ' $\rho \vartheta \omega$, past $\eta \rho \vartheta a$ (for other examples, see below).

In some common verbs the perfective active is formed by deletion of a syllable and then behaves like the perfective passive of most other verbs, except for the position of the stress in the past tense: thus $\mu \pi a i \nu \omega$ 'I go/come in', perfective active $b$-(non-past $\mu \pi \tilde{\omega}$, past $\mu \pi \tilde{\eta} \kappa a$ ); $\beta \rho i \sigma \kappa \omega$ 'I find', perfective active vr- (non-past $\beta \rho \bar{\omega}$, past $\beta \rho \bar{\eta} \kappa a$; cf. perfective passive vreध-(non-past $\beta \rho \epsilon \vartheta \stackrel{\omega}{\omega}$, past $\beta \rho \in \ell \vartheta \eta \kappa a)$ ).

Examples of the addition of sounds in stems other than the imperfective are: ke- 'burn', perfective active kap-s- (with radical vowel change), perfective passive $k a-$; pne- 'blow', perfective active exceptionally pnefs-, not *pneps-, as a result of the verb being of learned origin; Эel- 'want', perfective active $\vartheta e l i, s-; ~ e f x$ - 'wish', perfective passive efxi- $\vartheta$ - (no active). Examples of the change of stem-final consonant are $\beta a ́ \xi \omega$ 'I put' and $\beta \gamma a ́ \xi \omega$ 'I take out/off' (in which the $-z$ changes to $-l$ - in all other stems). Examples of metathesis are val- and $-k a l-$, whose perfective passive stems are $-v l i-\vartheta-$ and $-k l i-\vartheta-$ respectively.

Suppletion is found in many common verbs, in which the root supplying the imperfective stem is completely different from that of the other stems. Examples are: le( $\gamma$ )- 'say', perfective $p$ - ( $i-p$ - in past and passive); vlep- 'see', perfective $\delta$ - (i- $\delta$ - in past and passive); and tro $(\gamma)$ 'eat', perfective $f a(\gamma)$.

Some deponent verbs with active meaning have their perfective in



A number of verbs lack the characteristic dental at the end of the perfective passive stem. A large proportion of these verbs also undergo radical vowel change, although there is synchronically no absolute correlation between the two phenomena. Thus: xer- 'be joyful' $\rightarrow$ xar-; kov- 'cut' $\rightarrow$ kop-; (kata)plis- (underlying -pliK-) 'amaze' $\rightarrow$-pla $\gamma-$; pnir- 'drown' $\rightarrow$ pnir-, etc. Some verbs have alternative perfective passives with or without the dental (e.g. roád $\omega$ 'I write' $\rightarrow$ rraf-t- or rraf-), the former being felt to be more colloquial or more literary, the latter more formal. There is also a tendency with some such verbs, however, for the dental to be preferred in the past but not in the non-past (e.g. à $\pi a \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \omega$ 'I rid' $\rightarrow$ perfective past à $\pi a \lambda \lambda a ́ \chi \tau \eta \kappa a$, but nonpast àma $\lambda \lambda a \gamma \bar{\omega})$.

A most chaotic situation is presented by the remnants of AG verbs in $-\mu$, which warrant a couple of paragraphs to themselves here. The chief verbs of this type (Classes 3a and 3b) in use in MG (where in their ancient form they are used only in the passive and almost exlusively in prepositional compounds) are Iatnul 'I stand' and $\tau i \vartheta \eta \mu l$ 'I place'. The former has seven different imperfective avatars in MG, the inflections of which interpenetrate in a most confusing manner. These may be exemplified by the following verbs: (1) $\pi a \rho a-\sigma \tau a i v \omega$ 'I represent' and avaoтaiv $\omega$ 'I resurrect'; (2) $\sigma \tau \eta \nu \omega$ 'I set up'; (3) $\pi a \rho \_\iota \tau \alpha d \nu \omega$ 'I represent'; (4) $\kappa a \vartheta \vartheta-\sigma \tau \tilde{\omega}$ 'I render' and $\sigma v \nu-\iota \sigma \tau \tilde{\omega}$ 'I recommend'; (5) $\sigma \tau e ́ k \omega$ 'I stand', and the deponents (6) orékoual 'I stand' and (7) пар-íoтaцal 'I am present'. Leaving aside past tenses, the intricacies of whose internal augments we shall examine later (5.4.3), we notice that some of those non-deponents which have passives have a separate imperfective stem for each of the two voices, but that in some verbs the distinction between voices is not clear. Table 5.2 gives the non-past tense forms of these verbs. The forms - $\sigma \tau \alpha i \nu \omega$, $\sigma \tau \eta \dot{\nu} \omega$, and $\sigma \tau \in \in \kappa \omega / \sigma \tau \in \kappa \kappa \sigma \mu a$ are of popular origin, and are more frequently used than the other, less colloquial, verbs. It is clear that mapaotaive and maplotáve, for example, are essentially the same verb, the former being less, the latter more, formal; but both forms are found in SMG.

The AG $\tau i \vartheta \eta \mu \mathrm{~h}$ has only one manifestation in MG: $\vartheta \notin \tau \omega$, used on its own ('I place') or in prepositional compounds. The imperfective passive is rivemal (although a regularized $\vartheta \hat{\epsilon} \tau o \mu a \iota$ is sometimes found among demoticists, and in speech one may detect some hesitation: e.g.

TABLE 5.2

| mapa-бтaiv $\omega$ | Imperfective passive | Perfective active | Perfective pass. $-\sigma \tau a \vartheta \omega \bar{\omega}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| à a a-oтaiv $\omega$ |  | $(-) \sigma \tau \dot{\sim}$ | $(-) \sigma \tau \eta \vartheta \bar{\omega}$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu \omega$ |  |  |  |
| пap-ıтTáv ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | -ıотávouaı |  | $-\sigma \tau a \vartheta \omega$ |
| кa७-ıбт $\hat{\omega}$ | -і́ттадаи |  |  |
| OvV-ıot ${ }^{\text {cos }}$ |  |  | $-\sigma \tau \eta \vartheta \bar{\omega}$ |
|  |  | - | $\sigma \tau a \vartheta \dot{\omega}$ |
| - | тар-їтанає | - | $-\sigma \tau \tilde{\omega}$ |

$\pi \rho o \delta \iota a \vartheta-$. . . $\pi \rho o \delta \iota a \tau i \vartheta \epsilon \mu a l$ 'I am predisposed'); the perfective active is regular $(-\vartheta \epsilon \sigma \omega)$, and the perfective passive is $-\tau \epsilon \vartheta \tilde{\omega}$. The past participle passive is also irregular ( $-\tau \epsilon \vartheta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \in \nu \circ \varsigma$ ), although a somewhat regularized $-\vartheta \epsilon \mu e ́ v o s$ is also found.

### 5.2.6 PERFECT TENSES

As has been stated before, the perfect tenses are formed by the nonpast or past of éx $\omega$ 'I have' followed by the perfect formant, which is identical to the third person singular of the perfective non-past active or passive. The only difficulties in the formation of the perfect formant occur in two types of verb: (a) those in -áp , and (b) compounds of the AG verb ä $\gamma \omega$. While the perfective active stem of verbs in -áp $\omega$ may end in ap- or in apio-, the perfect formant active is normally formed only from the first of these (e.g. ooкáp $\omega$ 'I shock' $\rightarrow{ }_{e}^{e} \times \omega$ бока́ $\rho \epsilon \iota$ ). The perfective active stem of verbs in á $\gamma \omega$ (except фu入á $\omega$ ) is properly -ayar-; nevertheless, many speakers confuse the two stems, and the perfect formant varies in usage (e.g. єioár $\omega$ ' $I$ export' $\rightarrow$ モ̇ $\chi \omega$ $\epsilon i \sigma a \gamma a ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$ beside the more popular verb $\pi a \rho a \dot{\gamma} \omega$ 'I produce' $\rightarrow$ é $\chi \omega$ $\pi a \rho a ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota)$. (See also 3.2.4.1.)

### 5.2.7 THE PHONOLOGY OF DEVERBAL DERIVATION

Without embarking here on the vast subject of derivation, it is worth noting that deverbal derivatives (and other words cognate with verbs) are formed by using the various phonetic realizations of the verb stems. Thus deverbal nouns in -si and -simo are usually formed in the same way as perfective actives (e.g. iopivo 'I found', perfective active
iסris-, noun íiovogn '(act of) foundation'; $\pi \lambda a ́ \vartheta \omega$ 'I mould, knead', perfective active plas-, noun $\pi \lambda a ́ \sigma \eta$ 'creation'; $\kappa \delta \beta \omega$ 'I cut', perfective active kops-, noun кó $\psi \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$ 'cutting'; $\pi v i \gamma \omega$ 'I drown', perfective active pniks-, noun $\pi \nu i \xi \mu o$ 'drowning', etc.): this means that with some words at least there is homonymy between the second person singular of the perfective non-past active of the verb and the nom./acc. plural of the noun (e.g. к $\quad \beta \in \rho \nu \eta \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ 'you govern; governments'). Note however that asigmatic perfective active stems either add $-s$ - or substitute $s$ - for $-n$ in the noun: e.g. $\delta \ell \in v \vartheta{ }^{\prime} \nu \omega$ ' $I$ direct', perfective active same, noun $\delta_{\ell \in v ́ \vartheta v \nu \sigma \eta}$ 'address'; крiv $\omega$ 'I judge', perfective active same, noun крion 'judgement; crisis'.

Deverbal adjectives in -tos, -tikós and -téos and nouns in -tis, -tiras and -tiri are often formed in the same way as the perfective passive: e.g. $\kappa \lambda \epsilon i v \omega$ 'I close', perfective passive $k l i s-t$-, adjective $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \sigma \tau o ́ s$ 'closed'; $\dot{a} \pi \sigma \gamma \sigma \tau \tau \in{ }^{\prime} \omega$ 'I disappoint', perfective passive aporoitef-t-, adjective
 סiatiri- $\vartheta$-, adjective $\delta \iota a \tau \eta \rho \eta \tau$ 'ós 'to be preserved'; $\rho a ́ ß \omega$ 'sew', perfective passive raf-t-, noun $\rho \dot{d} \phi \tau \eta s$ 'tailor'; $\sigma v \nu \delta E ́ \omega$ 'connect', perfective passive sin $\delta e-\vartheta$-, noun $\sigma v \nu \delta \epsilon \tau \dot{\rho} \rho a s$ 'paper-clip'; $\pi 0 \pi i \zeta \omega$ 'I water', perfective passive potis-t-, noun $\pi o r \iota \sigma \tau \eta \rho l$ 'watering-can'.

Most deverbal nouns in -ma and -mós are formed in the same way as the past passive participle: e.g. $\beta a \sigma \lambda \lambda e v ́ \omega$ 'I set (of sun)', past participle (with active meaning) $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ v o s ~ ' h a v i n g ~ s e t ', ~ n o u n ~ \eta ̀ \lambda \iota o ß a \sigma \lambda \lambda \epsilon \mu a$ 'sunset'; $\tau \dot{d} \zeta \omega$ 'I promise', past participle $\tau a \mu e ́ v o s, ~ n o u n ~ \tau a ́ \mu a ~ ' v o w ' ; ~$ otapá̧ढ 'I rend; I distress', past participle omaןayuévos, noun omapa$\gamma \mu o ́ s ~ ‘ r e n d i n g ; ~ d i s t r e s s ' ; ~ \delta e ́ \rho \nu \omega ~ ' I ~ b e a t ', ~ \delta a \rho \mu e ́ v o s, ~ n o u n ~ \xi v \lambda o \delta a \rho \mu o ́ s ~$ 'thrashing' (lit. 'wood-beating').

Many verbs which change their radical vowel have cognate nouns containing either one of the verb's alternative vowels or a completely different one. In most cases, in fact, the noun's radical vowel is $0-$ : e.g. $\beta \rho \in \neq \chi \omega$ 'I wet; I rain', perfective passive vrax-, noun $\beta \rho o \chi \eta$ 'rain'; $\sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \nu \omega$ 'I sow', perfective passive spar- $\vartheta$-, nouns $\sigma \pi o \rho a ́ ~ ‘ s o w i n g ' ~ a n d ~$ $\sigma \pi o ́ \rho o s ~ ‘ s e e d ’, ~ b e s i d e ~ \sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \mu a ~(a l s o ~ ‘ s e e d ') ; ~ \pi \nu e ́ \omega ~ ' I ~ b l o w ’, ~ p e r f e c t i v e ~$ active pnef-s-, noun $\pi \nu o \eta^{\prime}$ 'breath'; but xaipomal 'I enjoy', perfective xar-, noun $\chi$ д $\rho a \dot{a}$ 'joy'.

### 5.3 THE ENDINGS

Despite differences among dialects, idiolects, and registers, the endings of MG verbs present a greater regularity than does the formation of
their various stems. For most forms, once the relevant stem is known, all persons of both numbers can be predicted.

### 5.3.1 ACTIVE-TYPE ENDINGS

The five chief sets of endings of the active type can be divided into four non-past and one past. The non-past endings of the imperfective active are those which present the greatest variety from verb to verb and from speaker to speaker.

| I |  | $\mathbf{S}$ | $\mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1 | io | -ume/:ome |
|  | 2 | -is | éte |
|  | 3 | i- | -un(e) |

The endings are used (a) for the non-past imperfective active of Class 1 verbs, and (b) for the non-past perfective active of all verbs except those which have final stress in this form (see below). In the former, the endings are attached to the imperfective stem (e.g. $\delta e ́ v-\omega$ ), in the latter to the perfective active stem (e.g. $\delta \hat{\epsilon} \sigma-\omega$ ). The following remarks should be made about variants: (i) 1st person plural: although some (mainly older) speakers and writers use -ome, which is historically by far the older ending, -ume has become more common, and is even counselled by grammarians (e.g. Andriotis 1976: 25-7) as differentiating this person from the first person singular of the imperfective non-past passive in -ome; (b) all forms of the MG verb except the imperfective non-past passive have alternative third person plural endings with or without $e$ : the latter is more formal, and is usually prescribed by grammarians, while the former is more common than the other in colloquial speech and in some literary writing, especially when the following word begins with a consonant.

II |  |  | $\mathbf{S}$ | $\mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1 | - ó | -úme |
| 2 | $-i ́ s$ | -íte |  |
| 3 | $-i$ | -unn(e) |  |

These endings are used (a) for the non-past imperfective active of Class 2a verbs (which, apart from $\mu \pi 0 \rho \bar{\omega}$ ' I am able' and $\zeta \tilde{\omega}$ ' I live', are mostly of learned origin); (b) for the non-past perfective passive of all
verbs; and (c) for the non-past perfective active of those verbs in which the stress falls on the final syllable in this tense (e.g. $\mu \pi a i \nu \omega$ 'I enter' $\rightarrow \mu \pi \tilde{\omega}, \beta \lambda \epsilon \in \pi \omega$ 'I see' $\rightarrow \delta \tilde{\omega}, \lambda \hat{\epsilon} \omega$ 'I say' $\rightarrow \pi \dot{\omega}$, ё $\rho \chi о \mu a \iota$ 'I come' $\rightarrow$ $\rho \vartheta(\bar{\omega})$. In (a) the endings are added to the imperfective stem (e.g. $\vartheta \epsilon \omega \rho-\bar{\omega}$ 'I consider'); in (b), to the perfective passive stem (e.g. $\delta \epsilon-\vartheta-\tilde{\omega}$ ). It will be noticed that, with the exception of the second person plural, the only difference between II and I is the position of the stress (as long as the first plural -ume is used in (I)).

III |  |  | $\mathbf{S}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{P}$ |  |
|  | 1 | -áo |
| 2 | -áme |  |
| 2 | -ás | -áte |
| 3 | -ái | -án(e) |

These endings are used for the non-past of the verbs kotrá $\omega$ 'I look at', $\sigma \pi \dot{a} \omega$ 'I break', $\sigma \kappa a ́ \omega$ 'I burst', фvえá $\omega$ 'I keep, guard' (all imperfective), $\pi \dot{a} \omega$ 'I go' (imperfective and perfective) and $\phi \dot{\alpha} \omega$ 'I eat' (perfective). They may also be used for the non-past imperfective active of the most frequently used verbs in Class 2b. A version of these endings, with a different thematic vowel instead of $\dot{a}$-, is used for the non-past imper-
 $\lambda \epsilon \in \omega$ 'I say', фтaí 'I am to blame' (all é-), and $\tau \rho \omega \dot{\omega}$ 'I eat' ( $\varnothing$ - $)$.

IV |  |  | $\mathbf{S}$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{P}$ |  |
|  | 1 | $-0 ́$ |
| 2 | -ás | -úme |
| 3 | -áte |  |
|  | -á | -ún(e) |

These endings are those preferred for the more formal use of the imperfective non-past of verbs in Class 2b: indeed, some of the more learned verbs of that class (such as $\delta \iota a \sigma \pi \tilde{\omega}$ 'I split') can only have these endings. In view of what has already been said about the third-personplural endings, it is obvious that the alternative $e$ ending is less commonly used with this kind of verb. Note that the first singular and first and third plural of IV are the same as for II, while the second person of both numbers is the same as for III.

In practice, in the imperfective non-past of a large number of verbs there is much wavering between II, III, and IV endings, and in some verbs between I and the rest. There is a number of verbs from
katharevousa, for instance, which originally took II endings, and still do so in formal use, but have taken on III endings (which are by far the most frequently found of II-IV) in colloquial speech: e.g. formal $\tau \eta \lambda \epsilon \phi \omega \nu \epsilon i \quad$ '(s)he telephones', colloquial $\tau \eta \lambda \epsilon \phi \omega \nu$ á $\epsilon$. The difference between III and IV is again chiefly one of register, $\sigma$ ' à $\gamma a \pi \dot{\omega}$ 'I love you' sounding far less familiar than $\sigma^{\prime} \dot{a} \gamma a \pi a \dot{a} \omega$. On the other hand, anyone who used the $-\dot{a} \omega /-\dot{\alpha} \epsilon \iota$ endings for verbs with more technical meanings (e.g. $\tau \dot{\delta} \nu \in \rho \delta \dot{\delta} \delta\langle a \vartheta \lambda a ́ \epsilon \iota ~ \tau o ́ ~ \phi \bar{\omega} \varsigma$ 'water refracts light', for $\delta \operatorname{a} \vartheta \lambda \bar{a})$ is likely to be accused of 'hyperdemoticization'. Nevertheless, the popular trend is undoubtedly towards making all verbs of Class 2 take the endings in III. Lastly, there are some verbs whose endings display a variation between -ij $\omega$ and $-\dot{a} \omega$ among speakers, and can therefore take either I or II endings (e.g. $\xi \in \phi \tau i \zeta \epsilon \iota$ or $\xi \in \phi \tau$ á $\epsilon \iota$ 'it's becoming frayed', the latter being felt to be more informal; cf. also кoı兀á $\omega /$
 see Ebbesen 1979).

Each of these sets of non-past endings (I-IV) exhibits columnar stress, i.e. within each set of endings the stress falls on the same syllable throughout: on the final vowel of the stem in I and on the first vowel of the ending in II-IV.

| V |  | $\mathbf{S}$ | $\mathbf{P}$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1 | -a | -ame |
| 2 | -as | -ate |  |
| 3 | --e | -an/:an(e) |  |

In V past endings are shown: they are used for (a) the imperfective active past (see below for the exceptions to the stress-patterns given in the table); (b) the perfective active past; and (c) the perfective passive past. In (b) they are added to the perfective stem (e.g. à áan $\sigma \sigma-a$ ); for the formation of (c), the infix -ik- is added to the perfective passive stem and then followed by these endings (e.g. $\delta \dot{\epsilon}-\vartheta-\eta \kappa-a$ ). In certain verbs of learned origin there is a different set of endings for the perfective past passive (S1-in, S2-is, S3-i, P3-isan); the first and second persons plural are hardly used. Thus, from $\sigma \nu \lambda \lambda a \mu \beta \dot{a} \nu \omega$ 'I arrest; I conceive':

$$
\mathbf{S} \quad \mathbf{P}
$$

$1 \sigma \sigma \nu-\epsilon-\lambda \eta \dot{\phi} \phi-\vartheta-\eta \nu$
$\sigma \nu \nu-\epsilon-\lambda \dot{\eta} \phi-\vartheta-\eta s$
$3 \sigma \sigma \nu-\epsilon-\lambda \dot{\eta} \phi-\vartheta-\eta \quad \sigma v \nu-\epsilon-\lambda \dot{\eta} \phi-\vartheta-\eta \sigma a \nu$

The formation of the imperfective past active varies between verb classes: those of Class 1 add the endings directly to the imperfective stem (e.g. $\sigma \pi o u ́ \delta a \zeta-a$ 'I used to study/was studying'), while Class 2 verbs require an infix to be inserted before the endings. The infix -ús- (always stressed) may be inserted in all Class 2 verbs (e.g. $\mu \pi 0 \rho-a \dot{\sigma}-a$ 'I was able'), except $\sigma \kappa a ́ \omega, \sigma \pi a \dot{\alpha}, \phi \nu \lambda a ́ \omega$, and $\pi a \dot{\omega} \omega$ (the last of which forms this tense from the alternative imperfective stem: $\pi \dot{\eta} \gamma a \omega a)$. But although the -ús- infix is that which is prescribed by most grammarians, and is found most commonly in the written word, whether literary or nonliterary, colloquial usage prefers the infix $-a \gamma$ - in most of the commonly used Class 2 verbs (i.e. in Class $2 b(i)$ : e.g. к $\rho a ́ \tau-a \gamma-a$ 'I was holding/ used to hold'), and it is always found with $\sigma \kappa \alpha \dot{\omega}$, $\sigma \pi a \dot{\omega} \omega$, and $\phi \cup \lambda a \dot{\omega} \omega$. There tends to be a correlation between the use of II endings in the nonpast and -us- in the past of the imperfective active (e.g. $\mu \pi o \rho \in i \quad$ '(s)he is able', past $\mu \pi 0 \rho o \tilde{v} \sigma \epsilon$; and especially more formal verbs such as $\vartheta \epsilon \omega \rho \epsilon \bar{i}$ '(s)he considers', past $\vartheta \epsilon \omega \rho 0 \bar{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon$ ). (Note that the -ús-infix is preferred for all Class 2 verbs in the Salonica variety of SMG. The tendency to preserve -us- throughout the paradigm is historically a characteristic of northern dialects: its adoption by the standard language may be due in part to the influence of Greeks from Istanbul (Newton 1974-5: 309).)

The verbs specified under III above insert an intervocalic $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ - between their thematic vowel and the V endings; this formation is thus similar to the $a \gamma$ - formation: cf. $\dot{a} \gamma a \pi-\dot{a} \omega \rightarrow \dot{a} \gamma \dot{a} \pi-a \gamma-a$ with $\phi-\dot{a} \omega \rightarrow \dot{\epsilon}-\phi \cdot a \gamma-a$,
 $\epsilon \epsilon-\lambda-\epsilon \gamma-a, \phi \tau-a i \omega \rightarrow \epsilon \in-\phi \tau-a \iota \gamma-a$, and $\tau \rho-\omega \dot{\omega} \rightarrow \epsilon$ є $-\tau \rho-\omega \gamma-a$. For the augment prefix $e$-, see below, 5.4 ff .

In the past tenses the stress falls on the third syllable from the end. The only exceptions to this are (a) those forms which include the -úsinfix (where columnar stress is preserved), and (b) certain alternative forms ending in $-n$ (in $V$, the third person plural). As far as the latter is concerned, it is the -an version (e.g. àján $\quad$ oav) which is normally prescribed in the grammars (and is historically by far the oldest). The -an(e) forms (e.g. à $\gamma a \pi \dot{\eta} \sigma a \nu$ or $\dot{a} \gamma a \pi \dot{\eta} \sigma a \nu \epsilon$ ) clearly arose by analogy with the other plural forms: with or without the final $e$, they preserve the stress on the same syllable as in the first and second persons (giving, at least in the plural, the kind of columnar stress which is noticeable in the non-past tenses); and the version with the $e$ preserves the same number of syllables as the other two persons of the plural.

The interplay of the stems and endings examined so far is shown in Table 5.3.

TABLE 5.3

| Stem <br> Endings | Imperfective active |  | Perfective active |  | Perfective passive |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-past | Past | Non-past | Past | Non-past | Past |
|  | Impf. <br> I, II, III, IV | $\begin{aligned} & \left\|\begin{array}{l} \text { Impf. } \\ (-\mathrm{us}-) \\ (-a \gamma-) \end{array}\right\| V \end{aligned}$ | Pf. act. I, II | Pf. act. <br> V | Pf. pass. II | Pf. pas <br> $-i k-+$ |

It is clear that, despite differences in detail, all the active endings can be reduced to the following common characteristics ( $\mathrm{V}=$ Vowel, $\mathrm{V}_{1}=$ -0 or $-a, \mathrm{~V}_{2}=-i,-a$, or $e$ ):

|  | $\mathbf{S}$ | $\mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $-V_{1}$ | -me |
| 2 | $-s$ | -te |
| 3 | $-V_{2}$ | -n(e) |

It might be said that $\left(\mathrm{V}_{1}+\emptyset\right),-s,\left(\mathrm{~V}_{2}+\emptyset\right), m, t$, and $n$ are person markers (with the second singular and the first plural, compare the characteristic $s$ and $m$ of the second- and first-person pronouns respectively: $\sigma \dot{\epsilon} / \sigma a ̈ \varsigma$ and $\mu \epsilon ́ / \mu a ̈ \varsigma)$, while 'person marker $+\emptyset$ ' and 'person marker $+e$ ' are the markers for singular and plural respectively (this provides further motivation for the use of the optional $e$ of the third plural). Matthews (1974: 151-2) suggests that the third singular of the MG verb is the maximally unmarked form, an argument supported by the fact that (a) the third singular is used for impersonal verbs, and (b) the third singular of the perfective non-past also acts as the perfect formant, which is essentially non-personal. Similarly, tense is marked by the opposition $a$ vs. $-o$ in the first singular, $e$ - vs. other vowels before the final $-s$ in the second singular, $e$ vs. other vowels in the third singular, and $a$ - vs. other vowels throughout the plural (cf. Newton 1973a: 196). That such a tense marker is sufficient even in the absence of other conventional markers (such as position of stress, or the absence/presence of the augment) may be seen in the pairs
 in which there is no possibility of confusion for the hearer/reader.

### 5.3.2 PASSIVE-TYPE ENDINGS

These endings fall into two basic paradigms, used for the imperfective passive non-past and past respectively. The multiplicity of dialectal,
idiolectal, and stylistic variants of these two basic sets of tense-endings presents a bewildering picture to the foreign learner (and is not without difficulties for native speakers too). The past tense endings in particular have led linguists to describe them as a 'jungle' (Ruge 1973: 142) and 'the generativist's nightmare' (Newton 1973b: 336).

For convenience, we shall adopt a slightly different approach here from that taken when considering the active-type endings, preferring to examine the thematic vowel after giving each paradigm.

The imperfective non-past endings are:

| VI |  | $\mathbf{S}$ | $\mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1 | -me | -maste |
| 2 | -se | -ste/-saste |  |
| 3 | -te | -nde |  |

(Note that the first singular and third singular endings are identical in some classes of verb with the first and second plural of the imperfective non-past active; since in the former the final $e$ is spelled -al and in the latter $-\epsilon$, this is a source of confusion for writers of Greek-and for readers of badly spelled texts!)

These endings are straightforward enough: but in order to specify the thematic vowel (i.e. the vowel immediately preceding each of the above endings), it is necessary not only to use the class-labels that have already been employed, but to invent additional ones.

Class 1 verbs (those stressed on the stem-final vowel in the imperfective non-past active) have the following thematic vowels:


The less common -ume of the first singular is also more colloquial than the -ome, which is prescribed in most grammars; the osaste of the second plural has a similar relationship to the este. The stress is not columnar, but falls always on the third syllable from the end.

The more learned verbs of Class $2 a$ (those which end in stressed -is in the second singular of the imperfective non-past active) have the following thematic vowels, which correspond in their distribution to
the predominant pattern of Class 1 , the mid vowels of Class 1 becoming high vowels in Class 2a:

|  | $\mathbf{S}$ | $\mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $-\mathrm{ú}-$ | $-\mathrm{ú}-$ |
| 2 | $-\mathrm{i}-$ | $-\mathrm{i}-$ |
| 3 | -í- | -ú- |

Here there are no colloquial alternatives: on the contrary, even more formal alternatives -úme७a ( Pl ) and -isधe ( P 2 ) are occasionally found. Stress is columnar, on the thematic vowel throughout.

Verbs of Class $2 b(i)$ (those which may end in ai in the third singular of the imperfective non-past active), and some colloquially used verbs of Class 2a, have the following thematic vowels, always preceded by $-j$ :

|  | $\mathbf{S}$ | $\mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | -jé- | -jó- |
| 2 | -jé- | -jé-/-jó- |
| 3 | -jé- | -jú- |

The same holds for the alternative forms of the second plural (jéste and -jósaste) as for those mentioned under Class 1 . Stress is on the thematic vowel throughout.

The (learned) verbs of Class $2 b(i i)$, which have the third singular of the imperfective non-past active in $a$ only, form passives in a different way again. Once more, the stress is on the thematic vowel throughout:

|  | $\mathbf{S}$ | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -ס- | -ó- (-ómeva) |
| 2 | -á- | -á- (-ásve) |
| 3 | -á- | -ó-/-ú- |

In practice (like the passives of Class 2 a ), these verbs are found more often in the third singular and plural than in any other person, while the first and second person of both numbers are rare; the more formal versions (given in brackets) are preferred by many writers and speakers to the alternative, more demotic, forms. In the third plural, the -unde ending is sometimes found (wrongly, according to the grammarians) by
analogy with the paradigm of Class 2 c , whose conjugation this learned passive paradigm of Class 2 b (ii) so resembles.

Class $2 c$ consists of deponent verbs ( $\vartheta v \mu a ̈ \mu a \iota ~ ‘ I ~ r e m e m b e r ', ~ к о щ a ̈ \mu а \iota ~$ 'I am asleep; I sleep', $\lambda v \pi \tilde{a} \mu a \iota ~ ' I ~ a m ~ s o r r y ' ~ a n d ~ ф o ß a ̃ \mu a l ~ ' I ~ a m ~ a f r a i d, ~$ I fear'), which have the following thematic vowels, always stressed:

|  | $\mathbf{S}$ | $\mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | -á- | -ó- |
| 2 | -á- | -á-/-ó- |
| 3 | -á- | -ú- |

Again, there are alternative forms aiste and osaste in the second plural. The distribution of the thematic vowels is similar to that in $2 b(i)$.

Finally there are the remnants of AG verbs in $-\mu$. This conjugation, which we shall call Class 3, preserves the same thematic vowel throughout, and the stress pattern is similar to that of Class 1 . Compounds of -ioraual (Class 3a) present the following pattern:

$$
\begin{array}{lll} 
& \mathbf{S} & \mathbf{P} \\
1 & \text {-a- } & \text {-á-meधa } \\
2 & \text {-a- } & \text {-a-sधe } \\
3 & \text { ea- } & \text { ea- }
\end{array}
$$

The verb $\tau i \vartheta \epsilon \mu a \iota$ and its compounds (Class 3 b ) follow the same pattern, except that the thematic vowel is $e$ - throughout.

The imperfective past endings of the passive are the following:

| VII |  | $\mathbf{S}$ | $\mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1 | imun(a) | -maste/'mastan |
| 2 | isun(a) | -saste//sastan |  |
|  | 3 | itan(e)/-ndan | indusan/-ndan(e)/-ndan |

The stress is normally columnar, falling on the thematic vowel. It is clear that even before we examine the thematic vowel there are more observations to be made about possible variant forms in VII than in VI. It might be worth giving first the forms which tend to appear in
prescriptive grammars (e.g. Triandaphyllidis 1949: 155), and then commenting on the variants:

|  | $\mathbf{S}$ | $\mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | -mun | -maste |
| 2 | -sun | -saste |
| 3 | -tan | indan |

These are also the forms which are the most frequently found in writing. The forms with the final $a$ or $e$ in the singular are more colloquial than those without. The choice of other variants is normally dictated by a speaker's/writer's regional provenance. Northern varieties of Greek (e.g. that spoken in Salonica) favour the an endings in the first and second persons plural, which admittedly make a useful distinction between past and non-past which is otherwise neutralized. The -ndusan of the third plural is characteristic of Athens and the Peloponnese: in the capital it is by far the most frequently found form of this person, while the prescribed ending (without $e$ ) is actually the least common in everyday speech. In Salonica, however, the reverse order of frequency could be said to operate, the -ndusan ending being decried by Salonicans as vigorously as the $a \gamma$ - infix of the imperfective active past of Class 2; on the other hand, northerners tend to use the same form for the third singular as they do for the third plural (viz. $\dot{-n d a n}$ ). This particular ending, with its stress on the vowel which precedes the thematic vowel, represents an anomaly in the stress system.

Having dealt with these endings from the point of view of region and register, we shall now approach them according to type of verb.

Class 1 verbs are simple in this respect, preserving the thematic vowel $-o$ - throughout the paradigm. The verbs that have -jé- in VI, and those of Class 2 c , similarly preserve the same thematic vowel throughout, namely -jó- and $-\delta$ - respectively (always stressed).

The other classes are not however so straightforward. The learned verbs of Class 2a (those in -ume in the imperfective non-past passive) have the stressed thematic vowel $-\dot{u}$ - throughout, but the third singular has the 'northern' ending -ndan, like the third plural. This, at least, is the demoticist theory, which is followed in much literary and journalistic writing today; but the fact is that the imperfective past passive of this kind of verb is rare in speech, and is thought by many speakers to be susceptible of katharevousa endings. The first and second persons of this type of verb are hardly ever used in this tense, but the third persons
are often found in the form -ito (sing.) and -undo (pl.), with or without the augment (e.g. ( $\dot{\epsilon}) \vartheta \epsilon \omega \rho \epsilon i ̈ \tau o,(\dot{\epsilon}) \vartheta \epsilon \omega \rho o u ̈ \nu \tau o)$. The augment is obligatory in katharevousa: thus these forms without the augment belong strictly neither to demotic nor to katharevousa, but are used by certain speakers and writers who seem to find the -undan ending too vulgar for such elegant verbs!

Class 3 verbs are likewise hardly used in the imperfective past passive except in the third person. The thematic vowels are as for the non-past, and the endings like the learned alternatives in 2a.

As in the active, there is much shifting of verbs from one class to another, and in Class 2 verbs (except those in 2 c ), the $2 \mathrm{~b}(\mathrm{i})$ endings tend to attract verbs from other paradigms. Thus, for instance, although evxapıot $\dot{\omega}$ 'I thank; I please' belongs to 2 a in the active, the passive єưxapıotıé $\mu a \iota$ ' $I$ am pleased' belongs to $2 \mathrm{~b}(\mathrm{i})$; although the stereotyped form $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi a \rho \tau a ̃ \tau a l ~ ' i t ~ d e p e n d s ' ~ i s ~ f r e q u e n t l y ~ f o u n d ~ i n ~ s p e e c h ~ a s ~ w e l l ~ a s ~$ in writing (from $\bar{\epsilon} \xi a \rho \tau \tilde{\omega} \mu a l, 2 b(i i)$ ), the imperfective past is often found following $2 \mathrm{~b}(\mathrm{i})$ (e.g. $\epsilon \xi a \rho \tau \kappa \dot{\sigma} \tau a \nu(\epsilon)$ 'it depended'); and, similarly, many speakers avoid using either $\vartheta \epsilon \omega \rho o v ́ \nu \tau a \nu$ or ( $\dot{\epsilon}) \vartheta \epsilon \omega \rho \epsilon i \tau o$ '(s)he/it was considered' in the singular, preferring $\vartheta \epsilon \omega \rho \dot{\sigma} \tau a \nu \epsilon(2 b(i i))$ or even $\vartheta \epsilon \omega \rho o ́ \tau a \nu(1$ or $2 c ?$ ). Nevertheless, such a shift of class is not always possible: note the pair кaтaprєĩal (katariite) 'it is abolished' (2a) and катарıє́тaı (katarjéte) '(s)he curses' ( $2 \mathrm{~b}(\mathrm{ii})$ ).

Despite all these complications, the fact remains that $\epsilon i \mu a \iota$ ' $I$ am' is the only truly irregular verb in MG as far as its endings are concerned. It does, however, show many of the characteristics of the passive-type endings in its two tenses (it lacks the perfective and the perfect). The thematic vowel is $i$-(stressed) throughout, spelled $\epsilon l$ - in the present and (usually) $\eta$ - in the past; the endings are shown in VIa (non-past) and VIIa (past).

| VIa |  | S | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | -me | -maste |
|  | 2 | -se | -ste/-saste |
|  | 3 | -ne | -ne |

Note that the third person singular and plural are not distinguished, and that the other endings are the same as in paradigm VI: the same observations hold for the alternatives of the P2 as in VI. The first plural has a more formal alternative ime $\vartheta a$ which is sometimes heard in the speech of older people.

| VIIa |  | S | $\mathbf{P}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | -mun(a) | -maste/-mastan |
|  | 2 | -sun(a) | -saste/'sastan |
|  | 3 | $\underline{-t a n(e)}$ | - $\tan (\mathrm{e}) /-\operatorname{san}(\mathrm{e})$ |

Again, the endings are for the most apart those of VII, and similar observations apply. Most speakers do not distinguish between the singular and plural in the third person, although the - $\operatorname{san}(e)$ of the plural is also found. Again, some speakers use imeva in the first plural, which has the same disadvantage of neutralizing the tense distinction as has imaste.

With the exception of $\epsilon$ lual and the rather aberrant endings of some of the other verbs mentioned above, the two chief passive-type paradigms can be reduced to the following common characteristics:

|  | $\mathbf{S}$ | $\mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | -m- | -mast- |
| 2 | -s- | (sa)st- |
| 3 | -t- | -nd- |

These sounds may be designated person markers, and there is indeed a remarkable similarity (except in the third plural) between them and the accusative of the clitic personal pronouns:

|  | S | P |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | me | mas |
| 2 | se | sas |
| 3 | to(n)/ti(n) | [ta] |

It is not easy to specify any particular number marker, as one can in the active-type endings. Again, since in many speakers the tense distinctions are not made in certain persons of the plural, it is obvious that there is no unambiguous tense marker in that number; although the e/nopposition in the singular does distinguish between tenses.

### 5.4 THE AUGMENT

In Classical Greek almost all verb stems underwent an alteration of their initial sound(s) in all past tenses: this involved either prefixing $\dot{\epsilon}$ - if the
first sound of the verb stem was a consonant, or augmenting (lengthening) the initial vowel if the stem began with a vowel. The first type of change is traditionally called syllabic augment, the latter vocalic augment. Today, syllabic augment is still obligatory in certain circumstances, while vocalic augment too is sometimes found. There is a general principle, however, that augment does not occur in SMG unless the vowel produced by augmentation is stressed (this will be explained below). A further complication is that in Classical Greek the augment took place in the verb stem: in compound verbs consisting of prepositional prefix + verb stem, the augment took place after the prefix. This phenomenon, known as internal augment, could be either syllabic or vocalic. Many traces of internal augment are found in SMG today. Katharevousa applied the Classical rules for augment fairly rigorously (but not completely): although non-internal syllabic augment was obligatory in certain situations in demotic, most instances of vocalic augment and of internal augment are part of the legacy of katharevousa to SMG. (In certain dialects, however, non-internal vocalic augment was the norm.)

### 5.4.1 SYLLABIC AUGMENT

Syllabic augment is obligatory in SMG only when all the following conditions are fulfilled: that the verb (a) is in a past tense; (b) has a stem beginning with a consonant; and (c) would, but for the augment, consist of only two syllables. These conditions are closely connected with the fact that in most past-tense forms the stress falls on the third syllable from the end. In the past tenses, there is a 'floating' antepenultimate stress which falls on whatever vowel is available, whether this be part of the stem (à $\gamma \dot{a} \pi \eta \sigma a$ ), an augment ( $\epsilon \delta \epsilon \sigma a$ ) or the final syllable of a preceding clitic ( $\tau \delta{ }^{\prime} \delta \epsilon \sigma a$ ) (Kaisse 1976: 169). It follows that the syllabic augment is obligatory only when it constitutes the stressed syllable. It also follows that it is obligatory only in the past tenses of the active (perfective and imperfective), and then only in the singular and (unless the optional $e$ is added) in the third person plural. This explains the behaviour of the augment in the imperfective past active of $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \omega$ (see Appendix I).

The following verbs do not obligatorily take the syllabic augment in the perfective active: $\beta \gamma \tilde{\eta} \kappa a$ 'I went/came out', $\beta \rho \tilde{\eta} \kappa a$ 'I found', $\mu \pi \tilde{\eta} \kappa a$ 'I went/came in', $\pi \tilde{\eta} \rho a$ 'I took' and $\pi \tilde{\eta} \gamma a$ 'I went'. Note that these verbs are stressed on the first syllable throughout this paradigm (i.e. they have columnar stress).

Conversely, since the augment was normally compulsory in katharevousa and in certain dialects, some speakers and writers use it (albeit usually without any great consistency, and often for purely euphonic reasons) even where it is not obligatory: this occurs more frequently in verbs which are felt to be more learned, and it always occurs when a katharevousa perfective passive past is used (e.g. certain forms found in journalism, such as $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{\chi} \vartheta \vartheta \eta$ ( $=\epsilon i \pi \omega ่ \eta \kappa \epsilon$ ) 'it was said' and $\epsilon \sigma \sigma a ́ \lambda \eta$ (= $\sigma \tau \dot{\lambda} \lambda \vartheta \eta \kappa \epsilon$ ) '(s)he/it was sent').

### 5.4.2 VOCALIC AUGMENT

The only example of Classical Greek lengthening of an initial vowel of a verb-stem in past tenses which was realized phonetically in katharevousa was that $e$ - and $a$ - were 'augmented' to $i$ - (spelled $\eta$-). This phenomenon still occurs in SMG in a restricted number of verbs, and then only when the initial vowel of the stem is stressed; it is never really obligatory, even though some speakers find the unaugmented vowel 'unnatural'. These verbs include è̀ $\lambda \pi i \zeta \omega$ 'I hope', è $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \chi \omega$ 'I check, control' and aip $\omega$ 'I lift (ban, etc.)'.

Thus a typical idiolect might have the following paradigm of the imperfective past active of $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i \zeta \omega$ :

|  | S | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | jn $\lambda \pi \stackrel{5}{ }$ |  |
| 2 |  | èлпi乡ate |
| 3 |  | $\eta{ }^{\prime \prime} \lambda \pi \iota \zeta a \nu /(\epsilon \lambda \pi i \zeta a \nu \epsilon)$ |

(The last alternative is questionable for such an idiolect, since a speaker who uses the vocalic augment in one person is unlikely to use such a colloquial variant in another: the vocalic augment tends to be usedoutside newspapers and rather official styles-by older people whose idiolect contains a substantial dose of 'learnedisms'.)

In addition, there are traces of vocalic augment in one of the most basic verbs in the language, namely $\check{\epsilon} \chi \omega$ 'I have' ( $\rightarrow i \underline{i} x a$, spelled $\epsilon l \chi \chi a$ ), while other basic verbs have a double augment, in which an initial consonant is prefixed by $i$ - rather than by $e-: \pi \iota \tilde{\omega}$ 'I drink' (perfective) $\rightarrow$ $\ddot{\eta} \pi i a ; \pi \bar{\omega}$ 'I say’ (perfective) $\rightarrow \epsilon \boldsymbol{I} \pi a$; $\delta \bar{\omega}$ 'I see’ (perfective) $\rightarrow \epsilon i \delta a ;$ $\vartheta \epsilon \in \lambda \omega$ 'I want' (imperfective) $\rightarrow \boldsymbol{\eta} \vartheta \epsilon \epsilon \lambda a$.

### 5.4.3 INTERNAL AUGMENT

In SMG there are about eighteen prepositional prefixes (i.e. prefixes which were used in AG as prepositions), and two or more of these may
be used in the same word（these are dealt with at length by Warburton， 1970b：49－67）．Katharevousa brought with it a huge number of verbs with these prefixes，and with them a number of complications in phonology and morphology，（a）because of internal augment，and（b） because the final sound of most of these prefixes is affected according to what sound immediately follows it（or，to be more precise，what sound immediately followed in AG）．

Internal augment may be either syllabic or vocalic，according as the verb－stem begins with a consonant or a vowel（the augment follows immediately after the last prepositional prefix if there is more than one）．The rules concerning the presence or absence of the augment， and the form it takes if present，are the same as those given in 5．4．1 and 5．4．2．Thus，again，the augment is usually present only if it con－ stitutes the stressed syllable．

The complicated nature of the internal syllabic augment can be seen from the following paradigm of the perfective active past of $\sigma \nu \lambda \lambda a \mu \beta \dot{\nu} \omega \omega$＇I arrest；I conceive＇，in which the prefix $\{\sin \}$ loses its $-n$－before $-l$－but regains it before a vowel：

## S <br> P

| 1 | ovvểaßa | оv入入ḋßанє |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | ovvé入 \( |  |
| ) aßes | ои入入áßaтє |  |
| 3 | ovvé入aße | ovvé入aßav／ov入入áß |

It is little wonder that in colloquial speech the form $\tau \dot{\delta} \nu \pi$ áa $\sigma a v e$＇they caught him＇is preferred to $\tau \delta \delta \nu \sigma v e ́ \lambda a \beta a \nu$＇they arrested him＇（and especially to $\sigma v \nu \in \lambda \dot{\eta} \phi \vartheta \eta$＇he was arrested＇）．Even in the weekly press nowadays there is a tendency to avoid the internal augment in the third person plural of such compound verbs by resorting to forms such as ou入入áßav．

Most prepositional prefixes which end in a vowel delete the final vowel before another vowel．A further complication arises when the initial vowel of the verb－stem was aspirated（i．e．preceded by an $h$－ sound，noted in the orthography by a rough breathing）in AG．The aspirate had the effect of aspirating the final consonant of the preposi－ tional prefix（that is，the consonant which immediately precedes the verb stem after the final vowel has been elided）if this is $-p$－or $-t$－ （synchronically，these sounds become the fricatives $-f$－and $-\vartheta$－respec－ tively）．The interplay of these tendencies is especially apparent in certain remnants of the AG verbs in $-\mu$ ，in which the prefix has a stop
in certain tenses and a fricative in others: e.g. $\dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \kappa a \vartheta-$-ío $\tau a \mu a l ~ ' I ~ s e t t l e ', ~$ perfective past è $\gamma \kappa a \tau a-\sigma \tau a ́ \vartheta \eta \kappa a$, or $\dot{v} \varnothing$-íбтaтal '(s)he/it undergoes', perfective past $\dot{v} \pi-\epsilon \in \sigma \tau \eta$ (cf. Table 5.2).

Vocalic augment is far more frequently found in internal than in external position. It is used in such common verbs as $\nu \pi$ - $\alpha \rho \chi \omega$ 'I exist' (obligatorily, $\rightarrow \nu \pi-\tilde{\eta} \rho \chi a$ ) and $\pi a \rho-a \gamma \gamma \dot{\jmath} \lambda \lambda \omega$ 'I order' (optionally, $\rightarrow$ $\pi a \rho-\dot{\eta} \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda a)$. Conversely, it is sometimes possible in katharevousa to place an augment before the prepositional prefix, and in SMG the past of $\pi \rho \delta$-кєєгаl 'it is a question of; be about to' is $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \rho \delta \delta \epsilon \iota \tau о$ (instead of the form which would have been correct in AG, namely $\pi \rho o u ̈ \kappa \epsilon \iota \tau o$ ).

The problem of the internal augments is an acute one for most speakers of Greek, and it is not surprising that several strategies are employed to avoid a decision whether to use it or not (e.g. the use of a perfect instead of a perfective past, or the use of a synonymous verb or paraphrase). It should not however be thought that the avoidance of the internal augment is necessarily natural for educated speakers. Conscientious demoticists who deliberately shun the internal augment (writing, e.g., $\mu \epsilon \tau a ́ \phi \rho a \sigma a$ for $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ ф \rho a \sigma a$ 'I translated') are often criticized for using forms which are not simply 'wrong' but 'unnatural'.

The extent to which the internal augment of some verbs comes naturally to educated (and even many uneducated) spakers is witnessed by its extension to the imperative, in which its presence is historically incorrect (the imperative not possessing tense and therefore 'pastness'). Thus utterances such as,
 (for $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \psi \epsilon$ ), or
 drachmas I owe you' (for $\pi \rho \delta \sigma \vartheta \epsilon \sigma \epsilon$ ),

may be even more common in casual speech than those in which the unaugmented forms are used. Even the poet Cavafy was not averse to using such a form: the imperfective imperative | $\pi$ | $\epsilon$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\sigma$ |  |$\epsilon \varnothing \epsilon \epsilon$ 'return' stands as the title of one of his poems, and is used again in the poem itself (Kav. 1963: I. 56). One may even hear,


which displays internal vocalic augment. This phenomenon is motivated by the fact that in most verbs of more than two syllables the active imperative singular is identical to the third singular of the past (e.g. коiтa $\epsilon, \gamma \dot{\rho} \rho \iota \sigma \epsilon, \pi \dot{\gamma} \gamma a \omega \epsilon \in$, etc.); that the augment has spread from a past
tense to a tenseless form is evidence of how deeply ingrained the augment is in the consciousness of educated speakers.

Despite this, normative grammarians have decried the augmented imperative and have counselled the avoidance of vocalic and internal augment altogether; and it must be admitted that MG verb morphology would be neater without these encumbrances (e.g. there would not be a potentially disorientating change in stem between the noun $\mu \in \tau \dot{d} \vartheta \epsilon \sigma \eta$ 'transfer' and the verb $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \vartheta \epsilon \sigma \epsilon$ '(s)he transferred').

In traditional demotic verbs, internal syllabic augment is often found as an optional alternative (e.g. そ̧avaékava or そ̧aváкava 'I re-did'). In some verbs, however, there is a difference in meaning according to whether the augment is present or not (e.g. the idiomatic $\tau \dot{a}$ mapákave '(s)he overdid it', but параéкаขє крv́o 'it was too cold').

### 5.5 NON-FINITE FORMS

The only non-finite forms of the MG verb are the imperative and the participles. There is no infinitive. The imperative varies for number, is invariable for person (always second), and is never past. There is one active-form participle, which is invariable. The passive-form participles decline like adjectives for number, gender and case, and indicate tense.

### 5.5.1 THE IMPERATIVE

The most frequently used forms of the imperative in SMG are the imperfective and perfective active and the perfective passive. The perfect aspect has no imperative.

The imperfective active imperative of Class 1 verbs is formed by adding $e$ (sing.) or 'ete (plural) to the imperfective stem: the stress falls as far forward as possible (i.e. on the penultimate syllable in disyllabic forms and on the antepenultimate in longer forms). For Class $2 \mathrm{a},-i$ ( $=-\epsilon \iota$ ) (sing.) and -ite (plural) are added to the imperfective stem (the singular is rare); for Class $2 \mathrm{~b},-a$ (sing.) and aite (plural) are added. The stress for all Class 2 verbs is on the penultimate syllable in both numbers. The plural of the imperfective active imperative of all verbs is the same as the second person plural of the imperfective active non-past. The perfective active imperative is formed by adding $e$ (sing.) and -te (plural) to the perfective active stem. The stress of the singular is the same as that in the imperfective of Class 1 ; the plural is stressed on the penultimate syllable. In many frequently used verbs the final $e$ of the singular (especially of disyllabic forms) commonly drops before $t$ : e.g.

кó廿’ $\tau 0$ ( $=\kappa o ́ \psi \epsilon \tau 0$ ) 'cut it'. In some verbs (and sometimes, in more formal style, in others too) the plural ending is ete: these are verbs whose perfective stem ends in a nasal, a dental, a velar or a labial (nevertheless, $\kappa \dot{a} \nu \tau \epsilon$ (kánte) 'do' is more common than $\kappa \dot{a} \nu \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ ), and here the stress falls on the antepenultimate syllable. It is clear that the plural of the imperative is a reduced form of (or identical to) the plural of the perfective non-past, and in practice there is a certain amount of interchangeability between them. In many common labial- and velar-stem verbs, the $-p s$ - or $-k s$ - of the perfective active imperative may optionally be reduced to $-f$ - and $-x$ - respectively before $t$ to form a more colloquial


The perfective passive imperative is normally formed by adding - $u$ to the perfective active stem (sing.) and -ite to the perfective passive stem (plural). Stress always falls on the penultimate syllable. (The singular is not as commonly found as the plural, and is not formed from many verbs with asigmatic perfective active stems.)

There is also an imperfective passive imperative which is hardly used. This exists in SMG only for Class 1 verbs, and is formed by adding -u (sing.) and 'este (plural) to the imperfective stem. Stress falls on the penultimate syllable in the singular and on the antepenultimate in the plural. Again, as in the perfective passive, the plural form is identical to the second person plural of the corresponding non-past tense.

Certain common verbs have irregular imperative forms, particularly in the singular. Some of these are abbreviated: e.g. àкоv́ $\omega \rightarrow$ ăкои 'listen' (for *äкоvүє); $\sigma \omega \pi a i \nu \omega \rightarrow \sigma \dot{\omega} \pi a$ (besides $\sigma \dot{\omega} \pi a \omega \epsilon$ ) 'be quiet';
 à $\bar{\epsilon} \beta a \omega \epsilon$ ) 'go up; get on'; катєßaiv $\omega \rightarrow$ катéßa (besides катє́ßawє) 'go down; get off'. Others have monosyllabic perfective imperatives in $-s$ in the singular: $\beta \gamma a i \omega \omega \rightarrow \beta \gamma$ és (also less polite é $\beta \gamma a$ ) 'get out'; $\mu \pi a i \nu \omega \rightarrow$ $\mu \pi \epsilon ́ s$ (also $\epsilon \in \mu \pi a$ ) 'go in’; $\beta \lambda \epsilon \in \pi \omega \rightarrow \delta \epsilon ́ \varsigma ~(b e s i d e s ~ i m p e r f e c t i v e ~ \beta \lambda e ́ ~ \pi \epsilon) ~$ 'see, look'; $\beta$ piok $\omega \rightarrow \beta \rho \epsilon ́ \varsigma ~ ‘ f i n d ’ ; ~ \lambda e ́ \omega ~ \rightarrow \pi e ́ \varsigma ~(b e s i d e s ~ i m p e r f e c t i v e ~$ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon$ ) 'say, tell'; $\pi i \nu \omega \rightarrow \pi t \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime}$ (besides imperfective $\pi \dot{\nu} \epsilon$ ) ‘drink'. The plurals of these may end in éste or -ite. There are some alternative (less polite) forms in $a: \tau \rho \epsilon \bar{\chi} \omega \rightarrow \tau \rho \epsilon \in \chi a$, pl. $\tau \rho \epsilon \chi \bar{a} \tau \epsilon$ (more polite $\tau \rho \epsilon \in \xi \epsilon, \tau \rho \in ́ \xi \tau \epsilon$ ) 'run'; $\phi \epsilon \cup ̛ \gamma \omega \rightarrow \phi \epsilon u ̛ \gamma a$, pl. $\phi \epsilon v \gamma a ̃ \tau \epsilon$ (more polite $\phi u ̛ \gamma \epsilon$,
 $\dot{a} \phi \dot{\eta} \nu \omega$ has ä $\sigma \epsilon$ (shortened to ă $\sigma$ ’ before $t$ ), pl. ä $\sigma \tau \epsilon$ (besides ä $\phi \eta \sigma \epsilon$, $\dot{a} \phi \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ) 'leave; let'; and $\tau \rho \dot{\omega} \omega$ has $\phi \dot{a} \gamma \epsilon$ (shortened to $\phi \dot{a}$ ' before $t$ ) 'eat', beside $\tau \rho \tilde{\omega} \gamma \epsilon$. (For further information on the aspect of the imperative, see 3.2.6.)

### 5.5.2 THE PARTICIPLES

Traditional demotic possessed two participles, one with active form, the other with passive form; katharevousa has bequeathed to SMG an additional present participle passive.

The active participle (sometimes called the gerundive) is formed by adding ondas to the imperfective stem ('ovtas in Class 1, -'́vtas in Class 2). It can be formed in SMG only from verbs which possess an active voice. The sole participle of elual, however, is the active-form obvtas 'being', which is not frequently used.

The formation of the (past) passive participle has already been dealt with (5.2.4).

The present participle passive, being a legacy from katharevousa, tends to be formed only in verbs which could be used in katharevousa. It is formed by adding - $-\dot{L} v o s$ to the thematic vowel of the first person singular of the imperfective non-past passive. Verbs with $-j e ́-(2 b(i))$ and $a^{-}$-(2c) as their thematic vowel do not form this participle. Of verbs in $-\omega \nu$ - in the imperfective, those that derive from ancient $-\delta \omega$ normally have -ov́uevos in the present passive participle.

In a few demotic verbs there is a form which superficially resembles the present passive participle: thus $\tau \rho \in \chi$ 人 $\rightarrow \tau \rho \in \chi o v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ 'running



A perfect participle is occasionally found in either voice, formed by the participle of $\begin{array}{c} \\ \chi \\ \end{array}$ (è $\left.\chi о v \tau a \varsigma\right)+$ the perfect formant (see also 3.2.5).

Katharevousa attempted to resurrect all the AG participles (present, aorist, future, and perfect in active, middle, and passive). There are traces of some of these in SMG, especially in journalistic writing, while, as we have seen, the present passive participle has become an integral part of the language of educated people. The additional learned participles which are sometimes found today are the present and aorist active and the aorist and perfect passive.

Those who use the katharevousa present participle, which is formed by adding - $\omega \nu$ (Class 1 ) or $-\bar{\omega} \nu$ (Class 2) to the imperfective stem, and declines in all numbers, genders, and cases like the adjective èv $\nu \delta a \phi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \nu$ (see 4.2), see it as having an advantage over the demotic active participle in that it can be used as an adjective or a noun and is therefore useful for translating French or English present participles with the minimum of effort. Thus one finds: $\dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \pi เ \kappa \rho a \tau o v ̄ \sigma a ~ i \delta \epsilon o \lambda o \gamma i a ~ ' t h e ~$

prevails'), $\mu \dot{a} \phi \vartheta$ 'ivovóa $\mu \epsilon \omega \nu o ́ \tau \eta \tau a$ 'a dwindling minority' (adjectives in both examples), oi $\sigma \nu \mu \pi a \vartheta o u ̃ v \tau \epsilon \varsigma \tau \bar{\eta} \varsigma$ Néas $\Delta \eta \mu о к \rho a \tau i a s ~ ' t h e ~ s y m p a t h-~$ izers of the New Democracy [Party]' (cf. F les sympathisants, noun); and occasionally such a participle is even used with an object: e.g. oi
 the Ministry of Co-ordination' ( $T 18$ Aug. 1979, 8) (lit. 'the neighbouring (plural) the Ministry . . .'). The present participle is also used humorously to indicate one who plays at being something: e.g. $\dot{o}$ $\tau \rho \sigma \tau \sigma \kappa i \zeta \omega \nu$ (fem. $\grave{\eta} \tau \rho \sigma \tau \sigma \kappa i \zeta o v \sigma a)$ 'one who plays the Trotskyite'.

The aorist participle is also fully declinable, and is formed by adding $-a \rho$ (masc.), - $a \sigma a$ (fem.), $-a \nu$ (neuter) to the perfective active stem (the masc. acc. sing. is ${ }^{-a \nu \tau a}$, and the rest declines like évסiaфép $\omega \nu$, except for the $a$ - instead of -0 - before the $-n$-; but it is very rare outside the nominative and accusative of the masculine and neuter). Like the present participle, the aorist is used as an adjective or noun, but with past reference. It is hardly used except with a slightly comic colouring: e.g.
 'the few remaining [lit. 'having remained'] champions of sexual morality'; or
(2) oi b入i'qov xouvtioavtes 'those who collaborated slightly with the junta [of 1967-74]' (T 17 Dec. 1981, 16) (lit. 'the a-little (kath.) having-juntaized', using a coined verb रouvti§().

The aorist passive participle is similarly declinable; it is formed by adding - $\epsilon$ is to the perfective passive stem (fem. - $\epsilon i \sigma a$, neuter $-\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu$ ). The masc. acc. sing. is -évta, and the participle from then on declines like évסuapép $\omega \nu$ (again, it is hardly used except in the masculine and neuter nominative and accusative). It too is used as an adjective or a noun, with past reference. The difference in meaning between this and the past passive participle is minimal (or non-existent), the aorist being sometimes preferred simply because the formation of the past participle of the particular verb is problematic. Examples: oi ov

 $\vartheta \nsucc \epsilon \dot{\nu} \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ àdó $\tau$ á ép $\rho \gamma a$ 'those who have been disturbed by the [engineering] works', oi $\delta \kappa \pi \gamma \gamma \delta \rho o l ~ \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \pi \sigma \partial \nu v \vartheta \epsilon \nu \tau \omega \nu$ 'the lawyers of those who have been dismissed' (both substantivized).

Lastly, the learned perfect participle passive is formed in the same way as the demotic past passive participle (see 5.2.4), except that the
first sound of the verb-stem undergoes reduplication: this is a similar phenomenon to augmentation, and may be internal (cf. 5.4.3). Reduplication is achieved in the case of stems beginning with a consonant (except voiceless fricatives) by adding the same consonant $+e$ - at the beginning (e.g. $\tau \rho i \beta \omega \rightarrow \tau \epsilon \tau \rho u \mu \epsilon \in \nu o \varsigma)$, unless the initial consonant is followed immediately by another consonant (except $r^{-}$), in which case the initial consonant is prefixed by $e$-; an initial $z$-, $k s$ - or $p s$ - is prefixed by $e$ - alone; a voiceless fricative is prefixed by a voiceless stop with the same place of articulation $+e$-; an initial vowel is reduplicated by means of augmentation, as in vocalic augment (5.4.2).

Most learned perfect passive participles are now fossilized forms, and many speakers think of them as being adjectives (or nouns) in their own
 'charge d'affaires' is not always connected in a speaker's mind with
 connected with $\sigma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \kappa \rho i \nu \omega$ 'I compare'. On the other hand, è éєє 'extensive' ( $\leftarrow$ ék $\tau \epsilon \dot{\nu} \omega$ 'I extend') and è $\nu \delta \epsilon \delta \epsilon \iota \gamma \mu e ́ v o s ~ ' i n d i c a t e d, ~ c a l l e d ~$ for' ( $\leftarrow$ Ėv $\delta \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \nu \cup ̛ \omega ~ ' I ~ i n d i c a t e ') ~ s e e m ~ t o ~ b e ~ m o r e ~ m o t i v a t e d ~ o r ~ t r a n s-~$ parent, in that they show their origin more clearly.

There is much wavering between the use of the learned perfect and demotic past passive participles (i.e. between reduplicated and unreduplicated forms), according to linguistic attitude of the speaker/ writer: thus one may say either катєбтраццévos or катабтрадцévos
 ( $\leftarrow \dot{\tau} \pi o \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \omega$ ). On the other hand, many such participles cannot lose their reduplication ( $\pi a \rho a \tau \epsilon \tau a \mu e ́ v o s ~ ' e x t e n d e d ' ~ \leftarrow \pi a \rho a \tau \epsilon i v \omega), ~ w h i l e ~$ others have a different meaning according as they show reduplication or not: cf. $\mu \in \mu о \nu \omega \mu e ́ \nu o s$ 'isolated' with $\mu 0 \nu \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o s ~ ' i n s u l a t e d ' ~(~ \leftarrow \mu o \nu \omega ่ \nu \omega$ 'I isolate; I insulate'), or $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \mu \mu \in ́ v o s ~ ' t r i t e ' ~ w i t h ~ \tau \rho щ \mu e ́ v o s ~ ' r u b b e d ; ~$ worn (down)' ( $\leftarrow \tau \rho i \beta \omega$ 'I rub; I wear (down)'); cf. also $\tau \in \tau a \mu e ́ v o s$ 'tense' ( $\leftarrow \tau \epsilon i \nu \omega$ 'I tend') with $\tau a \mu e ́ v o s ~ ' v o w e d ’ ~(~ \leftarrow \tau a ́ s \omega ~ ‘ I ~ v o w ') . ~$

Many verbs, in addition to participles, possess a verbal adjective in - $\tau 0 \varsigma$ which has a similar meaning to that of the past passive participle (the difference in meaning is sometimes comparable to that which exists in English between two forms of the passive participle: e.g. $\psi \eta \mu e ́ v o s ~ ' r o a s t e d ', ~ b u t ~ \psi \eta T o ́ s ~ ' r o a s t ' ; ~ a ̀ \nu o c \gamma \mu e ́ v o s ~ ' o p e n e d ', ~ b u t ~ a ̀ \nu o ı x-~$ $\tau \delta$ s 'open'). Sometimes, however, the verbal adjective implies suscept-
 mattress' (cf. фovoк $\omega \mu$ évo in this context, 'inflated'). In this positive form the final syllable is stressed. Some verbs have a negative verbal
adjective, stressed on the third syllable from the end, which may be used as the negative of the past passive participle or of the positive verbal adjective, and which may denote either subjection or susceptibility to the action of the verb: e.g. $\pi a \rho \mu e ́ v o s ~ ' t a k e n, ~ c a p t u r e d ' ~ \rightarrow a ̆ \pi a \rho \tau o s ~$
 'unexplored; unfathomable'. Sometimes, however, only one of the
 'independent', not '*independable'. There are also compounds with forms resembling verbal adjectives as their base, e.g. єv่ко入оцáध $\vartheta \tau \tau \circ$ 'easily learned'; סvбкiшntos ‘slow-moving, sluggish'.

## 6

## THE NOUN PHRASE, PREPOSITIONS, AND PRONOUNS

### 6.1 THE NOUN PHRASE

### 6.1.1 ORDER OF CONSTITUENTS

Word order is rather flexible in MG, as we shall see when dealing with the order of constituents within the clause (7.1.1). There is also a certain amount of flexibility within the noun phrase itself. To provide full details concerning the possible combinations of elements in the noun phrase and the order in which they may appear would be an almost endless task. Here we shall confine ourselves to the basic principles.

A noun in MG is normally preceded by its modifiers (always by the article, usually by demonstratives, adjectives, and numerals). Apart from the definite article itself, modifiers of the noun may be divided into those which are normally placed between the article and the noun (when the article is present), and those which normally fall outside the scope of the phrase beginning with the article and ending with the noun. The second category consists of the demonstratives aürós, toütos,
 they modify a noun, these are used in conjunction with the definite article (except $\delta \lambda \delta \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \rho \sigma$, which may be accompanied by the definite or the indefinite article, or by neither, and $\delta \lambda o s$, which may modify a noun without an article). The regular position of these modifiers is before the definite article (the quantifiers preceding the demonstratives), but they may alternatively follow the noun, in which case they usually receive special emphasis.


(3) $\delta \lambda о \varsigma$ ò кобоцоs 'all the world; everyone';
(4) $\dot{\text { ( }} \dot{\delta} \kappa \lambda \eta \rho о$ тó $\delta \iota \pi \lambda \omega \mu a \tau \kappa \delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu a$ 'the whole diplomatic corps'.

When $\delta \lambda o s$ means 'whole' it may follow the article (under katharevousa influence):

Other quantifiers and restrictive modifiers tend to come immediately after the definite article (or at the beginning of the noun phrase when the definite article is absent). Those which may be used with the definite article include numerals, кá $\vartheta \epsilon$ 'each', $\mu \dot{v} v o s$ 'only', $\tau$ óoos 'so much', $\lambda i \gamma o s ~ ' l i t t l e ', ~ a n d ~ \pi o \lambda u ́ s ~ ' m u c h ' . ~ T h o s e ~ w h i c h ~ a r e ~ n o t ~ u s e d ~ w i t h ~ a n ~$ article (nor with demonstratives) include $\mu \in \rho \iota к о i$ 'a few', doı $\quad \mu$ évo 'certain', кá $\mu \pi о \sigma o \iota ~ ‘ q u i t e ~ a ~ f e w ', ~ a n d ~ к a v e ́ v a s ~ ' n o ; ~ a n y ' . ~$

The interrogative pronoun aoós 'who; which' comes first in the noun phrase. The modifiers кámows 'some (or other)' and ठ̀mows (or
 be preceded by évas 'a; one'.
 optionally by the definite or indefinite article), and 'iows 'same' (almost always used with the definite article). Next comes the possessive $\delta u \delta \delta{ }_{s}$ ( $\mu \sigma v$ ) '(my) own', then other adjectives, and finally the noun. There are of course constraints on the number of modifiers that may appear in any one phrase, as also on which modifiers may co-occur with which others.
 children used to come each day';
(7) $\vartheta a ́ ~ \pi \epsilon \vartheta a ́ v o v \nu ~ \tau \delta \sigma o l ~ a ̆ \lambda \lambda o l ~ \delta u k o i ́ ~ \mu o v ~ a ̈ \nu \vartheta \rho \omega \pi o \iota ~ ' s o ~ m a n y ~ o t h e r s ~$ of my nearest and dearest will die' (lit. 'so-many other myown people');
 green beads';
(9) кavévas ă $\lambda \lambda \frac{1}{} \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda o s ~ \eta ̆ \rho \omega a s ~ ' n o / a n y ~ o t h e r ~ g r e a t ~ h e r o ' ; ~$
 straw chairs'.

Before proceeding, two oddities concerning the position of ä $\lambda \lambda \lambda$
 тóvos means 'as much again'; évas ä à $\lambda o s$ 'another (a different)', but ä $\lambda \lambda o s$ évas 'another (one more)'.

As for adjectives proper, these may follow the noun if they need to receive special emphasis; but if they follow a noun which is accompanied by a definite article, the definite article must be repeated before each of the postposed adjectives:
(11) $\tau a ́ ~ \pi a \lambda ı a ́ ~ \pi a \lambda \iota к a ́ \rho ı a ~ o r ~ \tau a ́ ~ \pi a \lambda \iota к a ́ \rho ı a ~ \tau a ́ ~ \pi a \lambda ı a ́ ~ ‘ t h e ~ o l d-f a s h i o n e d ~$ heroes'.

Note that when a Christian name and a surname are said together in colloquial Greek, the article is usually placed before each of the names (the colloquial word for 'surname', $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \vartheta \epsilon \tau 0$, is the same as the word for 'adjective'):
 'George Papadopoulos'.
When an adjective is used in apposition to a noun (and therefore belongs to a separate phrase), it stands outside the noun phrase:
(13a) $\epsilon i \delta a ~ \tau \eta \dot{\prime} \gamma v \nu a i k a ~ \gamma v \mu \nu \dot{\eta}$ 'I saw the woman naked'; cf.
 the naked woman'.

When more than one adjective modifies a noun, there is a certain flexibility in their order of appearance. There does not appear to be any set of rules according to which, for instance, an adjective denoting some inherent quality precedes or follows one which conveys a subjective attitude. There follow some examples of phrases in which the order is different from in English:
 phrases' (Sef. 1977: 70);
 (Tsi. 1973: 28);
 1979, 22);
(17) єíซarópєva àvá入oүa à $\gamma a \vartheta$ ̛̀ 'analogous imported goods' ( $R 25$ July 1980).
In each case, the order of the adjectives could be reversed; or, alternatively, any one of the two could be placed after the noun.

A peculiarity of the combination of adjective and demonstrative is that where one or more adjectives precede the noun, the demonstrative may be placed after the adjective (or before the last adjective if there is more than one). Thus:
 great poets';
 evenings/nights'.

Where an adverb modifies an adjective, the former normally precedes the latter:
(20) $\mu a ́ ~ к a \vartheta a \rho a ́ ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \omega \pi \kappa к \eta$ $\gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu \eta$ ‘a purely personal opinion’;
(21) $\tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \chi \epsilon \delta o ́ v \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \eta$ ä $\rho \nu \eta \sigma \eta$ 'the almost complete refusal'.

There is a number of adverbs and other words which may act as adjectives, i.e. they may directly modify nouns. These adverbs include adverbs of place and time, but not of manner:
(22) Kaтá $\tau \eta \dot{v}$ є̀ $\delta \tilde{\omega} \pi a \rho a \mu o v \eta$ n $\tau o v$ ‘during his stay here’;
(23) $\dot{\eta} \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \pi \dot{\delta} \lambda \eta$ 'the upper town';
(24) $\dot{\eta} \tau \dot{\partial} \tau \epsilon \kappa \kappa \beta \epsilon \dot{\rho} \rho \nu \eta \sigma \eta$ 'the government of the time';
(25) $\grave{\eta}$ кvрíws 'E $\lambda \lambda a ́ \delta a$ 'Greece proper';
(26) $\dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho a \iota \tau \in \dot{\rho} \rho \omega$ é $\lambda a ́ \tau \tau \omega \sigma \eta$ 'the further decrease';
(27) $\vartheta \dot{a} ~ \lambda a ́ \beta \epsilon \iota ~ v i \pi o ́ \psi \eta ~ \tau \eta \varsigma ~ \tau a ́ ~ \tau v \chi o ́ v ~ \nu o ́ \mu \psi \mu a ~ \sigma v \mu \phi e ́ \rho o v \tau a ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu ~$ $\chi \omega \rho \tilde{\omega} \nu$ 'it will take into account the possible legal interests of other countries' (T 11 Oct. 1979, 10).

The MG noun phrase is a flexible series of units whose order may be altered, as we have seen; it is also receptive of interpolations in the form of adverbs or even conjunctions. It is possible to observe how a construction such as (28) or (29), in which the adverb has been placed after the adjective (or other word) which it modifies, can become one (31) in which the adverb bears a looser relationship (or none at all) to the adjective etc.
 professionally';
 $\gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ 'the supposedly "objective" students of our linguistic affairs' (Kri. 1979: 34) (cf. oi $\tau a ́ \chi a ~ a ̀ v \tau<\kappa є є \mu \epsilon \nu к о i ~ \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau \eta \tau \epsilon ́ s ~$ (ibid.: 35));
(30) סéка $\pi \epsilon \rho i \pi о v ~ \pi \epsilon \rho ı \pi о \lambda \iota к a ́ ~ б к а ́ \phi \eta ~ ' a b o u t ~ t e n ~ p a t r o l ~ v e s s e l s ’ ; ~$
 '[the words] are recorded usually in their principal meaning'.

The conjunctions that may interrupt a noun phrase are those which may not stand as the first word of a clause (e.g. $\mu \epsilon \boldsymbol{c}^{\nu}$ 'on the one hand', $\delta \epsilon ́$ 'on the other hand; but; now'), or those which may optionally appear after the beginning of the clause (e.g. ö $\mu \omega \mathrm{s}$ 'but; however'; $\lambda o \iota \pi o ́ v$ 'so, then'):
(32) ó $\delta \dot{\text { é }}$ Пét $\rho o s . .$. 'but/now Peter (on the other hand) . . .';
 'so an aggregate of these four factors . . .'

An extreme example of the discontinuous nature of the MG noun phrase, characteristic of certain styles of Greek (journalism and scholarly writing in particular), is the hyperbaton, in which the article is separated from the noun by one or more phrases (usually adverbial). Although this type of construction, which has come into SMG by way of katharevousa, may owe something to AG, it is probably rather a sign of German influence on the language. In fact, the interpolated phrase usually appears between an adjective and a noun, and normally modifies the former:
 schools which are promoted as [being] democratic' (P 29.7);

 $\mathrm{N} \tau o ́ \rho \pi \phi \lambda \omega \tau$ [sic], $\mu 0 v \sigma \in i o$ 'the archaeological treasures found a fitting home in the museum designed for them by the German architect Dörpfeld' (T 25 Feb. 1982, 45);

 second in size'] refinery in the country, after ESSO Papas in Salonica' (T 4 Oct. 1979, 16).

Occasionally the adverbial phrase may even contain a verb:
 $\gamma \lambda \omega \dot{\omega} \sigma a$ 'a modern Greek written language which has been suitably [lit. 'as is-fitting'] formed' (Kri. 1979: 94);
 of reacting' (from oral football commentary).

Sometimes the phrase qualifies a deverbal noun rather than an adjective:
 definitive prevalence of the demotic at all levels'(Kri. 1979: 96).

Hyperbaton may sometimes be avoided by means of discontinuous modification:
 snow' (K 23 Dec. 1980).

### 6.1.2 THE USE OF THE ARTICLES

MG has a definite and an indefinite article. While the former is used in a similar way to the French definite article (with some additional uses), the latter (which is the same as the numeral 'one') is used rather less than in French.

The definite article is used to refer to a specific referent which has already been mentioned or is in some way readily identifiable by the hearer/reader:

(1b) $\mu i \lambda o v ̃ \sigma a ~ \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \nu ~ к v \rho i a ~ \pi o v ́ ~ \eta ̉ \rho \vartheta \epsilon ~ \chi \vartheta \epsilon ́ \varsigma ~ ' I ~ w a s ~ t a l k i n g ~ t o ~ t h e ~ l a d y ~$ who came yesterday' (definite).

Specific referents are taken to include the following (except when used indefinitely with the indefinite article): (a) all proper names, whether of people, places, heavenly bodies, days, months, seasons, festivals, etc.; (b) nouns used with possessive pronouns or demonstratives; and (c) other instances where the existence of the referent is presupposed but not previously mentioned:
 ridis has come';
 'a certain Gerasimos/Mr Poniridis has come';
 capital of Greece';
(b) (4a) $\delta \phi i \lambda o \varsigma \mu o v ~ ' m y ~ f r i e n d ' ; ~$
(4b) Є̈vas $\phi i \lambda o s \mu o v$ 'a friend of mine';
(5) aưtó $\tau \delta \dot{\psi} \psi v \gamma \epsilon i ̃ o ~ ' t h i s ~ r e f r i g e r a t o r ' ; ~$
(c) (6) $\tau \delta \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \delta \alpha \mu \epsilon ~ \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\nu} \tau \eta \lambda \epsilon o ́ \rho a \sigma \eta$ 'we saw it on (the) television';
(7) そ̈ $\rho \vartheta a \mu \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ ~ \tau o ́ ~ \tau \rho a i ̃ o ~ ' w e ~ c a m e ~ b y ~(t h e) ~ t r a i n . ' ~$

The indefinite article may be used figuratively with a proper name to indicate 'someone like X ' or 'a work of art by X ':
 peare';
(9) $\pi$ évтє Baбı入єiov єiסuká $\zeta \omega \gamma \rho a \phi \iota \sigma \mu e ́ v a$ 'five specially painted Vasilious'.

The definite article is also used in expressing times and dates (oris $\tau \rho \epsilon i s$ 'at three o'clock; on the third'), in expressing fractions ( $\tau$ á $\tau \rho i a$
$\tau \epsilon ́ \tau a \rho \tau a \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$ ' $\mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \omega \nu$ 'three-quarters of the Greeks'), and in phrases


In order to express 'both', 'all three', etc., the definite article is used, preceded by кai:

The definite article is also used for generic specification in singular or plural; in such cases no distinction is made between specific and generic reference:
(11) Tó ä入oyo єival $\dot{\omega} \rho a i ̃ o ~ \zeta \omega ̃ o ~ ' t h e ~ h o r s e ~ i s ~ a ~ l o v e l y ~ a n i m a l ' ; ~ ;$
(12) $\tau$ á ä $\lambda о \gamma a$ єlval $\dot{\omega} \rho a i ̈ a ~ \zeta \tilde{\omega} a$ 'horses [or 'the horses'] are lovely animals';
(13) Tparovó́ $\iota ~ \sigma d ́ \nu ~ \tau o ́ ~ a ̀ \eta \delta o ́ v \iota ~ '(s) h e ~ s i n g s ~ l i k e ~ a / t h e ~ n i g h t i n g a l e . ' ~$

Nevertheless, a correlation between the generic or specific meaning of the definite article and the aspect of the verb has been noted (3.2.3.4.3).

Names of substances and of abstract concepts are also specified with the definite article, especially when they stand as the subject of a sentence. Again, no distinction is made between specific and generic reference, except where (a) the meaning is partitive (no article), or (b) the indefinite article (or equivalent) is used. In these respects, plurals in general often behave in a similar way to names of substances and of abstract concepts:
> (14a) $\tau \delta \dot{\prime} \mu \in \lambda$ d́vı $\epsilon l \nu a l ~ \mu a \tilde{\rho} \rho o$ 'ink [or 'the ink'] is black'; cf.
> (14b) хрєiá乡oual $\mu \in \lambda a ́ v l ~ ' I ~ w a n t ~[s o m e] ~ i n k ' ; ~$
> (15) фоßã $\mu a \iota \tau \delta \dot{\vartheta} \vartheta a ́ v a \tau o ~ ' I ' m ~ a f r a i d ~ o f ~(t h e) ~ d e a t h ' ; ~$
> (16a) $\zeta \in i \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \in \lambda \pi i \delta a$ '(s)he lives in [lit. 'with the'] hope'; cf.
> (16b) $\delta \dot{v} \nu$ ë $\chi \omega$ кацıá é $\lambda \pi i \delta a$ 'I have no hope.'

The definite article is used in a distributive sense with a noun in the accusative:
 a month.'

Finally, the neuter of the definite article is used to substantivize other parts of speech (and even whole phrases and clauses) in a variety of ways:
(18) $\dot{\eta} \delta \rho a ́ \sigma \eta ~ \tau o v ̃ ~ \phi i \lambda \mu ~ \tau о \pi о \vartheta \epsilon \tau \epsilon і ̈ \tau a \iota ~ \sigma \tau \delta ~ \sigma \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho a$ 'the action of the film is set (in the) today';
 'towards the (plural) here');
 adverb or a substantivized adjective in the neuter plural);
(21) $\mu \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \tau a ̃ s ~ \tau o ́ ~ \gamma \mu a \tau i ~ ' d o n ' t ~ a s k ~(t h e) ~ w h y ' ; ~$
 only [thing] that interests him is (the) how much [money] he will collect.'

In addition, any word or phrase, when talked about as a word or phrase (i.e. when one is talking about language) may be preceded by the neuter of the definite article, as may the title of a book, song, etc. (cf. 2.1.2):
 $\beta a \vartheta \mu i \leqslant \omega$ ';
(24) $\psi a ́ \lambda a \mu \epsilon ~ \tau o ́ ~ X \rho ו \sigma \tau o ́ s ~ ' A \nu e ́ \sigma \tau \eta ~ ' w e ~ s a n g ~ t h e ~[h y m n] ~ " C h r i s t ~ i s ~$ risen".'

Since the indefinite article évas is identical to the numeral 'one', it is not always possible to decide in which function the word is being used. It is nevertheless true to say that the meaning 'one' is often present even when the word is used as an article; and where the notion of singularity is not being emphasized the article is often omitted.

Apart from its meaning of 'one', évas is used to mean 'some (one)', 'a certain' (even with abstract nouns), i.e. in a similar sense to кámoos (cf. (2b)):
 for you';
(26) $\tau \dot{\text { ó } \pi о i \not \eta \mu a ~ e ̈ ~} \chi \in \iota ~ \mu l a ́ ~ \vartheta a v \mu a \sigma \tau \eta ́ ~ e ̀ \nu o ́ r \eta \tau a ~ ' t h e ~ p o e m ~ h a s ~ a n ~ a d m i r-~$ able unity.'

The indefinite article is often used to emphasize the particularly good or bad qualities of the referent:
(27) éx $\omega \mu$ 'á $\pi \epsilon \dot{\omega} \nu a$ 'I've got a [great] hunger';
 itself [lit. ‘a whole Italy'] wasn't able to defeat us';
(29) $\check{\epsilon \rho \iota \chi \nu \epsilon ~ \mu น a ́ ~} \beta \rho o \chi \eta$ 'it was pouring down' (lit. 'it-was-throwing a rain').

Having examined the uses of the articles, we shall now look at the circumstances in which they are not used. These are chiefly instances in
which the noun is used as a complement, in apposition, or in a partitive sense.

The indefinite article is not normally used before a complement (referring either to the subject or to the object); the complement may however be made more specific by the use of the definite article:
(30) є̈ $\gamma \omega \in$ vaút $\eta$ s 'he became [a] sailor';
(31) тóv $\delta$ ópıoà кaখ $\eta \eta \eta \tau \eta$ 'they appointed him professor';
(32) $\mu$ е́ $\lambda e ́ v є$ Mápкo 'I'm called Mark';
(33) $\dot{\eta}$ à $\pi a i \not \tau \eta \sigma \eta$ єlvaı $\dot{a} \pi a i \tau \eta \sigma \eta$ 'a demand is [a] demand';
(34) $\grave{\eta}$ è $\rho \mu \eta \nu \in i a \operatorname{\pi ov}$ סiveıs $\delta e ́ v ~ \epsilon l \nu a l ~ \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \sigma \tau \eta$ 'the interpretation you're giving isn't the right [one]' ( $\sigma \omega \sigma \tau \dot{\eta}$ without the article would simply mean 'right').

When nouns are used in a partitive sense (whether they are plurals or refer to substances or to abstract concepts), they are used without an article. In such cases, the nouns refer neither to specific instances of their referents, nor to all their referents in general:
(35) $\mu$ 'à àéól vá $\tau \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \omega$ 廿ápıa 'I like eating fish' (i.e. neither particular fish, nor all fish, but simply the fish I eat); $\gamma \rho a ́ \phi \epsilon \iota \beta \iota \beta \lambda i a$ '(s)he writes books' (cf. à $\gamma a \pi a ́ \epsilon \iota ~ \tau a ́ ~ \beta i \beta \lambda i a ́ a$ '(s)he loves (the) books': in the second case, books as a whole are referred to); $\vartheta \epsilon ́ \lambda \omega \psi \omega \mu i$ 'I want [some] bread' (neither particular bread, nor all bread in general); $\mu v p i \zeta \in \iota \varsigma ~ к о \lambda o ́ v i a ~ ' y o u ~ s m e l l ~ o f ~ c o l o g n e ' ; ~ ;$
(39) aútó $\tau \dot{\delta} \phi i \lambda \mu \vartheta v \mu i \zeta \in \iota ~ \nu \tau о к \nu \mu a \nu \tau a i \rho ~ ' t h i s ~ f i l m ~ i s ~ r e m i n i s c e n t ~ o f ~$ [a] documentary' (i.e. no particular documentary, nor documentaries as a class).

A large number of demotic collocations (especially with $\kappa a ́ v \omega$ or ëx $\omega+$ object) contain no article: e.g. káv $\omega \mu \pi a ́ v \iota$ 'I have a bath;
 кє́ффало 'I've got a headache'; é $\chi \omega$ ठiкıo 'I'm right.'

The absence of an indefinite article in AG led to its being used less frequently in katharevousa than in demotic. In the present situation, the indefinite article is found less often in writing of an abstract or official kind than in either the spoken language or in literature. A large number of collocations of learned origin consist of verb + noun, preposition + noun, or noun + noun, with no article before the subordinated noun (which is usually abstract): e.g. $\delta e ́ v$ éx $\epsilon \iota$ onuaoia 'it has no
significance, it's not important', $\delta \eta \lambda \omega \dot{\omega} \omega \sigma$ $\sigma u \mu \epsilon \tau \sigma \chi \dot{\eta}$ 'I register my entry [for a competition]', ê $\delta \omega \sigma a \nu$ $\delta a a \tau a \gamma \eta$ 'they issued [an] order',
 impression on me'; $\sigma \epsilon ́ ~ \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \cup \tau a i a ~ a ̀ v a ́ \lambda \nu \sigma \eta ~ ' i n ~ t h e ~ l a s t ~ a n a l y s i s ' ~(b a s e d ~ o n ~$
 point of view', $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ќ кошんขкó є́ $\pi i \pi \epsilon \delta o$ 'on [a] social level', $\mu \epsilon ́ ~ \pi \rho o \vartheta v \mu i a ~$ 'willingly, readily', ка́ $\tau a \operatorname{\pi \rho o\sigma é\gamma \gamma ı\sigma \eta ~'approximately',~} \mu \epsilon ́ \sigma \omega$ 'A $\vartheta \eta \nu \omega ̄ \nu$
 licence', $\delta \in \lambda \tau i \circ$ тavtóт $\eta \tau o s$ 'identity card'. In most cases the noun again refers neither to a specific instance nor to the whole concept viewed in general terms. There is no article in many official phrases which include proper names: e.g. dóós Eúpıníoov 'Euripides Street' (cf. oi $\tau \rho a \gamma \omega \delta i \epsilon \epsilon$
 'University of Salonica' (cf. orov́s $\delta \rho o \delta \mu o u s ~ \tau \tilde{\eta} s ~ \Theta \epsilon \sigma \sigma a \lambda o v i k \eta s ~ ' i n ~ t h e ~$ streets of Salonica'), $\Delta \tilde{\eta} \mu o s$ Kaloaplavj̄s 'Kaisariani Borough Council' (cf. oтó кє́vтдo $\tau \eta ̃ \varsigma ~ K a \iota \sigma a \rho ı a \nu \eta ̃ s ~ ‘ i n ~ t h e ~ c e n t r e ~ o f ~ K a i s a r i a n i '), ~ K o \mu \mu o v-~$ $\nu \iota \sigma \tau<к o ́ ~ K o ́ \mu \mu а ~ ‘ E \lambda \lambda a ́ \delta a s ~ ‘ C o m m u n i s t ~ P a r t y ~ o f ~ G r e e c e ’ ~(c f . ~ \sigma ’ ~ o ̀ \lambda a ~ \tau a ́ ~$ $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho \eta ~ \tau \eta ̃ s ~ ‘ E \lambda \lambda a ́ \delta a s ~ ' i n ~ a l l ~ p a r t s ~ o f ~ G r e e c e ' ~(s e e ~ a l s o ~ 4.5 .1 .2)) . ~$

In journalistic and official writing an effort is often made, under the influence of katharevousa, to omit the indefinite article in contexts in which it would appear in ordinary speech:
 minister, in [an] interview with [a] weekly magazine . . .';
(41) ă $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau o \varsigma \mu \pi \tilde{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ a ̀ \nu \tau \varkappa \kappa \lambda \epsilon i \delta \iota ~ \sigma \tau o ́ ~ \sigma \pi i \tau \iota ~ \tau o u ̃ ~ к . ~ X . ~ ‘[a n] ~ u n-~$ known [person] entered the house of Mr X with a skeleton key' (from broadcast news bulletun).

Colloquially, the definite article is sometimes omitted with names of places in certain uses (it is noticeable that the preposition is often omitted at the same time):
(42) ä $\nu \mu \dot{e ́ v \epsilon \tau \epsilon ~} \Psi v \chi<\kappa o ́, ~ . ~ . ~ . i f ~ y o u ~ l i v e ~ P s y c h i k o ~ w a y ~ . ~ . ~ . ’ ; ~ ;$
(43) $\vartheta a ́ ~ \pi a ̃ s ~ ' A \vartheta \eta ' ท ี a ; ~ ‘ a r e ~ y o u ~ g o i n g ~ A t h e n s ~ w a y ? ' ; ~$
 'they can't get Athens [TV station] on their television sets.'

In collocations such as $\pi a ́ \omega \pi \epsilon \rho i \pi a \tau o ~ ' I ~ g o ~[f o r ~ a] ~ w a l k ', ~ \pi \tilde{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \phi \cup \lambda a k \eta '$ '(s)he went [to] prison', є"̈aote onitı 'we're [at] home', the noun is best regarded as being used adverbially (cf. 2.2.3).

When two nouns stand as co-subjects or co-objects linked by кai, the article is repeated if the two nouns refer to non-abstract referents,
but may be omitted before the second noun if the referents are abstract or if both nouns have the same referent:
 (the) magazines';
 search [for] and discovery of the tombs has been completed';
(47) $\dot{o} \gamma \omega$ ós $\tau \eta \varsigma$ каi àv $\eta \psi$ ós $\tau 0 v s$ 'her son [who is] their nephew'.

Note the singular verb in (46): the two subjects are being considered as part of a single process (cf. 2.3).

### 6.2 SIMPLE AND COMPLEX PREPOSITIONS

The simple (one-word) prepositions which SMG has inherited from traditional demotic are few: àmó, $\gamma \dot{a}, \mu \epsilon ́, \sigma a ́ \nu, \sigma \epsilon ́, \chi \omega \rho i \varsigma ~(o r ~ \delta i ́ \chi \omega \varsigma) ~$ and $\dot{\omega} \mathrm{c}$. All these govern the accusative and may be called primary prepositions. (Of these, $\sigma \dot{\epsilon}, \dot{a} \pi \dot{o}, \mu \dot{\prime}$, and $\gamma$ rá are by far the most frequently used prepositions, $\sigma \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ being used more often than all the other prepositions combined: Householder et al. 1964: 34.) To these may be added prepositions from katharevousa which have entered SMG, where they too take the accusative: à $\tau \tau \dot{i}, \kappa a \tau a ́, \mu \epsilon \tau a ́, \mu e ́ \chi \rho \iota, \pi a \rho a ́, ~ a n d ~ \pi \rho o ́ s . ~$ (For the use of the nominative and genitive after prepositions which normally govern the accusative, see 2.2.1 and 2.2.4.7 respectively.) Those prepositions that govern other cases, and those that are still thought of as belonging to katharevousa, will be examined later. In addition to these simple prepositions, there is a number of adverbs of time and place which combine with one of the primary prepositions to form complex prepositions (and many more which are followed directly by a clitic pronoun in the genitive).

One syntactical characteristic common to all the simple prepositions is that they may not govern clitic pronouns; and only the primary prepositions are normally found governing an emphatic pronoun. A semantic characteristic of some of the simple prepositions is that they may refer equally to time or place. Thus $\sigma$ '́ may denote 'position at' or 'motion to', but also 'point in time' (although this is often rendered with a simple accusative); àmó may indicate 'motion from' or 'position away from', but also 'time since' (in addition to 'agent'); $\check{\omega} \varsigma$ and $\mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota ~ ' u n t i l ' ~ m a y ~ a l s o ~ m e a n ~ ' a s ~ f a r ~ a s ' ~(\vartheta a ́ ~ \sigma e ́ ~ \pi a ́ \omega ~ \mu e ́ \chi \rho ı ~ \tau o ́ ~$ Xi $\lambda \tau \sigma \nu$ 'I'll take you as far as the Hilton'); $\pi \rho i \nu$ (à $\pi o ́$ ), in addition to its temporal meaning 'before', may be used of place ( $\vartheta$ á $\sigma \tau a \mu a \tau \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota \varsigma \lambda i \gamma o$
$\pi \rho \dot{\nu}$ à áo $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ 'Ouóvola 'you'll stop just before Omonia [Square]'); and $\mu \epsilon \tau a ́$ 'after' may similarly be used of time or place ( $\mu$ évou $\mu \in \mu \in \tau a ́ \tau i s$ r $\rho a \mu \mu \epsilon ́ s ~ ' w e ~ l i v e ~ j u s t ~ p a s t ~ t h e ~[r a i l w a y] ~ l i n e s ') . ~$.

Just as the ancient dative was replaced by the genitive or by eis ( $>\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon})+$ accusative, so there is a tendency today to use a preposition (usually à $\pi \dot{o}$ or $\gamma(a \dot{a})+$ accusative instead of the genitive in circumstances where there is no sense of possession (cf. 2.2.4.2). Thus one often finds constructions such as the following in the writing of those who want to make a show of avoiding katharevousa:
(1) èva $\pi о \sigma o ́ ~ a ̀ m o ́ ~ \sigma a \rho a ́ v \tau a ~ e ̀ k a \tau о \mu \mu v ́ \rho ı a ~ \delta o \lambda \lambda a ́ \rho ı a ~ ' a ~ s u m ~ o f ~ f o r t y ~$
 $\lambda a \rho i \omega \nu)(T 4$ Oct. 1979, 16);
(2) $\tau \epsilon \chi \nu i \tau \epsilon \varsigma \dot{a} \pi$ ' ö̀єऽ $\tau i \varsigma ~ \epsilon i \delta c \kappa o ́ r \eta \tau \epsilon \varsigma \varsigma$ 'skilled workmen of all specialities' (usually $\tau \epsilon \chi \nu i \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ ö $\lambda \omega \nu \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \epsilon i \delta \kappa o \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \nu$ ) ( $T 23$ Aug. 1979);
(3) ò ' $\Upsilon \pi o u \rho \gamma o ́ s ~ \gamma a ́ ~ \tau \eta ́ ~ \Delta \eta \mu o ́ \sigma a ~ T a ́ \xi \eta ~ ' t h e ~ M i n i s t e r ~ o f ~ P u b l i c ~ O r d e r ' ~$ (normally the katharevousa formula ó ' $\Upsilon$ noverós $\Delta \eta \mu o \sigma i a s$ Tá $\xi \in \omega \varsigma$ is used).

Such constructions are not usually approved by demoticist grammarians, who consider them as vain attempts to translate katharevousa constructions literally into demotic. (In (1), for example, the phrase $\epsilon^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \boldsymbol{\nu} a \pi$ побó $\dot{a} \pi \dot{o}$ is redundant; while perhaps a more acceptable version of (3) might be $\dot{\delta}$ ' $\Upsilon \pi o v \rho \gamma o ́ s ~ \tau \bar{\jmath} \varsigma \Delta \eta \mu o ́ \sigma u a s ~ T a ́ \xi \eta \varsigma$.) There seems to be no evidence, however, of a breakdown of the case system in Greek, as occurred in the Romance languages, and there is still no alturnative to the genitive of possession.

Many of the prepositions (simple and complex) have a wider range of meanings today than they had in traditional demotic. The previously restricted uses have been augmented by a number of different functions based on Western European languages, particularly French. Thus, while $\sigma \varepsilon ́ ~ g e n e r a l l y ~ m e a n t ~ ' t o ; ~ a t ; ~ i n ; ~ o n ', ~ o f ~ t i m e ~ a n d ~ p l a c e ~(a s ~ w e l l ~ a s ~ b e i n g ~$ used with the indirect object), there is now a large number of collocations in which this preposition is used with an abstract noun (e.g.
 $\beta a \vartheta \mu$ 'to [a] significant degree', óé $\mu \eta \nu a i a \beta a ́ \sigma \eta$ 'on [a] monthly basis', $\sigma \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \bar{i} \delta o s$ 'in kind', etc.). Again, $\dot{a} \pi \bar{\delta}$, apart from its functions of denoting the agent of a verb or of substituting for a genitive, acted chiefly as a preposition of time and place; nowadays, there are collocations in
which àmó has taken on other functions (e.g. à $\pi o ́ ~ o i к о \nu о \mu к к \grave{~ a ̈ \pi о \psi \eta ~}$ 'from [the] economic point of view').

Simple prepositions may govern not only nouns and emphatic pronouns, but (in certain cases) question words: e.g. à $\pi o ́ ~ \tau i$ 'from what' (e.g. is something made), $\gamma a \tau i$ 'why' (written as one word), $\sigma \epsilon \in \tau i$ 'in what [respect]', $\mu \epsilon ́ ~ \pi o o ́ v ~ ' w i t h ~ w h o m ', ~ a ̀ ~ a o ́ ~ \pi o v ̃ ~ ' f r o m ~ w h e r e, ~ w h e r e ~$ from', $\omega \varsigma / \mu e ́ \chi \rho \iota ~ \pi o ́ \tau \epsilon ~ ' t i l l ~ w h e n, ~ h o w ~ l o n g ' . ~ ' A \pi o ́, ~ \gamma ı a ́, ~ a n d ~ \omega ̆ \varsigma / \mu e ́ ~ \chi ́ \rho \iota ~$ may also govern adverbs of time and place: e.g. à $\pi \dot{\prime} \kappa \epsilon i$ 'from there',
 $\dot{a} \pi o ́ ~ \mu a \kappa \rho i a ́ ~ ' f r o m ~ a ~ d i s t a n c e ' . ~ F i n a l l y, ~ a ̀ ~ a ́ o ~ m a y ~ g o v e r n ~ t h e ~ r e l a t i v e ~$ ठัтоv: à $\pi$ ' ö $\pi о v$ 'from where'.

The adverbs that may combine with prepositions before a noun or emphatic pronoun (in the accusative) and which may directly govern a clitic pronoun (in the genitive: cf. 2.2.4.4) are the following (in brackets is the preposition that must follow if the governed word is a noun or emphatic pronoun; as will be seen below, even those normally followed by $\sigma \hat{\epsilon}$ may also be followed by àmó): àvá $\mu \epsilon \sigma a$ ( $\sigma \hat{\epsilon}$ )


 away from', $\mu \pi \rho o \sigma \tau a ́$ ( $\sigma \dot{\epsilon} / \dot{a} \pi o ́)$ 'in front of; compared with', $\mu \tilde{́} \sigma a$ ( $\sigma \hat{\epsilon}$ )

 'beside'.

Other complex prepositions (in which however the adverb may not govern a clitic pronoun) include é $\kappa \tau o ́ s ~ a ̀ ~ \pi o ́ ~ ' e x c e p t ~ f o r ', ~ е ̈ ~ \xi ̆ ~ a ̀ ~ a ̀ ~ ' o u t-~$

 mean 'before', $\pi \rho i \nu$ alone to mean 'ago, previously' (e.g. $\pi \rho i v$ à ád $\tau o ́ v$
 previously'; the latter type of phrase is probably originally a translation from katharevousa $\pi \rho o ́ \delta v i o ~ \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \dot{\omega} \nu$, and is consequently condemned by certain demoticists, despite its frequent use). There are also of course many adverbs of manner which may be followed by one of the primary prepositions.

Like the simple prepositions, the complex prepositions have also
 this subject', rúp $\omega$ $\sigma \tau \delta \delta$ $\Sigma o \lambda \omega \mu o ́$ 'about [= concerning] Solomos',
 филєтєкє́s סцакрібєєऽ 'beyond racial discrimination(s)'.

Some uses of the most important primary prepositions $\sigma \hat{\epsilon}, \dot{a} \pi \delta^{\prime}, \gamma \dot{a}$, and $\mu \epsilon ́$ will now be examined in turn, after which some comments will be made about other prepositions.

### 6.2.1 $\Sigma \mathrm{E}$

$\Sigma \epsilon$ loses its vowel before the definite article, and (optionally) before a word beginning with a vowel (particularly $a, o$, or $e$ ). In the first case it is written as one word with the article ( $\sigma \tau \dot{\delta}(\nu), \sigma \tau \dot{\eta}(\nu)$, etc.); in the second it is written as a separate word with an apostrophe ( $\sigma^{\circ}$ éva $\mu \eta v a$ 'in a/one month').

The prime uses of $\sigma \dot{\epsilon}$ are to express (a) the indirect object; (b) position in place or time; and (c) progress towards a point in place or time.

When the indirect object is a clitic pronoun, the genitive is used (see 2.2.4.1); when it is a noun or emphatic pronoun, $\sigma \dot{\epsilon}+$ accusative is normally used, although even here the genitive is sometimes found instead of $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \dot{\epsilon}+$ accusative:
(1) $\tau \delta$ ' $\delta \omega \sigma a \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \kappa \delta \dot{\rho} \eta \mu o v / \sigma$ ' $a \dot{v} \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ 'I gave it to my daughter/to her' (also $\tau \bar{\eta} \varsigma ~ \kappa \delta ́ \rho \eta \varsigma ~ \mu o v) . ~$

An indirect-object construction is of course also found after nouns and adjectives with meanings connected with verbs which take indirect objects: e.g.

The semantic connection between the indirect object and 'motion to' is clear to see, since with verbs of giving etc., nere is usually some movement of the direct object to the indirect object.

MG generally makes little or no distinction, except in verbs, between position at a point and movement to a point. This is clear in cases where $\sigma \hat{\epsilon}$ cannot be used, such as with adverbs of place (or time); compare (3(a-f)), in which no preposition is used, with (4(a-c)), which use àmó:
(3a) єiuat $\epsilon \delta \tilde{\omega}$ 'I'm here';
(3b) $\pi \tilde{\eta} \gamma a$ éкei 'I went there';
(3c) $\pi o v ̃ ~ \epsilon l \sigma a l ; ~ ' w h e r e ~ a r e ~ y o u ? ' ; ~ ; ~$
(3d) $\pi о \tilde{v} \pi \tilde{\eta} \gamma \in \varsigma$; 'where did you go?';
(3e) кáv७ouaı коขтá $\tau \eta \varsigma$ 'I sit/live near her';
(3f) $\mathfrak{\eta} \rho \vartheta a$ коитá $\tau \eta \mathrm{\eta}$ 'I came near her (= to her side)';
(4a) $\epsilon \Pi \mu a \iota ~ a ̀ \pi o ́ ~ \delta ~ \delta \omega ~ ' I ' m ~ f r o m ~ h e r e ' ; ~$
(4b) Є̈фиүа à áó кєí ‘I left (from) there';
(4c) є́фvүа à áó коขтá $\tau \eta$ S 'I left her side' (lit. 'I-left from near her').

Thus the distinction that is made is between (a) position or motion to and (b) position or motion away from. This binary distinction is comparable to that between the two tenses in MG: non-past (including present ('at') and future ('to')) and past ('from'). So too, $\sigma$ é of place may be locative or directional, the nature of the verb indicating which interpretation should be attached to it:

(5b) $\pi \dot{\eta} \gamma \mathrm{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\mu} \epsilon \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \dot{\prime} \gamma \rho a \phi \in i o$ 'we went to the office'
(cf. also elliptical constructions such as eival $\sigma \pi i \pi \iota$ '(s)he's [at] home' and $\pi \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \sigma \pi i \tau i$ '(s)he went home ( $=$ to home)').

When $\sigma \dot{\epsilon}$ is used of position, it denotes a range of spatial relationships between the referents concerned (corresponding to English 'at', 'in', 'on', etc.); precisely which of the possible relationships is meant may usually (but not always) be inferred from the context: e.g. $\sigma \tau \delta \dot{\delta} \tau \rho a \pi \epsilon ́ \zeta \iota$ 'on the table', otó $\tau a \beta a ́ \nu l$ 'on the ceiling' (i.e. on its nether side), but $\sigma \tau \dot{\prime} \pi a \nu \in \pi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \mu \iota o$ 'at [or 'at the'] university; in [or 'in the'] university'. Thus the adverbs $\mu \epsilon ́ \sigma a$ 'in' or $\pi$ dava 'on' may be prefixed to the prepositional phrase to avoid ambiguity:
(6a) $\mu$ é $\sigma a$ otó aưroкín $\tau o$ 'in(side) the car';
(6b) $\pi \dot{\partial} \nu \omega$ otó à̇тoкiv$\eta \tau o$ 'on (top of) the car'.
In the absence of such an adverb, a relationship of contiguity which is neither necessarily 'inside' nor 'on top of' is generally assumed, according to the context.

Just as, of position, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ é may mean 'at', 'on', or 'in', so of motion it may denote 'to', 'towards', 'on to', or 'into'. Again, $\mu$ '́̇a may be added to make clear that the last meaning is intended:
(7a) $\pi \dot{\eta} \gamma a$ [ $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma a$ ] $\sigma \tau \delta \dot{\delta} \sigma \pi i \tau \iota$ 'I went [in] to the house';
(7b) $\pi \epsilon \in \rho a \sigma a ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \sigma a ~ \sigma \tau o ́ ~ \sigma \pi i \tau \iota ~ ' I ~ p r o c e e d e d ~ i n t o ~ t h e ~ h o u s e . ' ~$
Adverbs such as $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma a$ and $\pi a ́ \nu \omega$ are thought to be sufficiently separate from the prepositional phrase to be placed, alternatively, after it:

| (6c) $\sigma \tau$ á à̇токішŋтo $\mu$ éga | (meanings as in (6a) and (6b)) |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | (meanings as in (6a) and (6b)) |

Thus, as in (6c) and (6d), the speaker may state the general spatial relationship first (i.e. 'not "away from"') before specifying the relationship more precisely. This placing of the adverb after the prepositional phrase may occur with other adverbs too, and not only with those which are commonly constructed with $\sigma$ ' (see (8d)):
(8a) $\sigma \tau o ́ ~ \sigma \pi i \tau \iota \mu \pi \rho o \sigma \tau a ́ ~(=\mu \pi \rho o \sigma \tau a ́ ~ \sigma \tau o ́ ~ \sigma \pi i \tau \iota)$ 'in front of the house';
(8b) $\sigma \tau o ́ ~ \sigma \pi i \tau \iota ~ к о \nu \tau a ́ ~(=~ к о \nu \tau a ́ ~ \sigma \tau o ́ ~ \sigma \pi i \tau \iota) ~ ' n e a r ~ t h e ~ h o u s e ' ; ~ ; ~$
(8c) бтóv тоїхо каßá入а (= каßá入a бтóv тоĩхо) 'astride the wall';
 house'.

But for the fact that some of these adverbs may directly govern a clitic pronoun, one might conclude that the preposition ( $\sigma \hat{\epsilon}$ or àmó) does not actually depend on the adverb, but that the prepositional phrase and the adverb are simply two adverbial phrases of place in apposition to each other. This latter phenomenon does actually occur, especially in cases where the adverb in question cannot govern the particular preposition:
(9a) ка́т $\omega \boldsymbol{\sigma} \delta \dot{\delta} \sigma \pi i \tau \iota$ (or $\sigma \tau o ́ ~ \sigma \pi i \tau \iota ~ \kappa a ́ \tau \omega) ~ ‘ d o w n ~ a t ~ t h e ~ h o u s e ’ ; ~ c f . ~$

Since кát $\omega$ cannot be constructed with any preposition but à $\pi \delta \dot{\delta}$ it is clear that in (9a) the adverb кá $\tau \omega$ and the prepositional phrase $\sigma \tau o$ $\sigma \pi i \tau \iota$ are not syntactically interdependent.

Apart from place, $\sigma \epsilon$ is used (though wil. more restricted uses) for time; again, one can observe that in some cases $\sigma \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ denotes a point at which something takes place, while in others it indicates progress (over a period of time):
(10) $\sigma \tau i \varsigma \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \rho ı \varsigma ~ \grave{\eta} \omega ̆ \rho a$ 'at four o'clock';
(11) $\sigma \epsilon ́ ~ \delta v o ́ ~ \omega ̀ \rho \epsilon \varsigma ~ \vartheta a ́ ~ ' \chi o v \mu \epsilon ~ \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \omega ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon ~ ' w e ' l l ~ h a v e ~ f i n i s h e d ~ i n ~ t w o ~$ hours';
 [with]in two days.'

Other meanings of $\sigma \epsilon$, apart from indirect object, time, and place, are too numerous to be covered extensively here. In these figurative uses, the prepositional phrase may be governed (a) by a verb or phrase, (b) by a noun, (c) by an adjective or adverb, or (d) by a numeral.
(a) Verb or phrase:
(13) $\mathfrak{\eta} \rho \vartheta \vartheta a \nu ~ \sigma \tau a ́ ~ \chi є ́ \rho เ a ~ ' t h e y ~ c a m e ~ t o ~ b l o w s ~[l i t . ~ ' t o ~ t h e ~ h a n d s '] ' ; ~$
(14) [ $\pi \dot{\nu} \omega \omega$ ] $\sigma \tau \eta \dot{\nu} \nu \dot{\gamma} \epsilon \in i a \operatorname{\sigma ov}$ '[I drink] to your health';
(15) $\vartheta$ ' àvтьota७oùuє $\sigma \tau \dot{\prime} \beta i a$ 'we shall resist violence';
 iron into gold';
 'in anything']';
(18) $\tau$ óv $\mu a v ́ \rho \iota \sigma a \nu ~ \sigma \tau o ́ ~ \xi u ́ \lambda o ~ ' t h e y ~ g a v e ~ h i m ~ a ~ g o o d ~ b e a t i n g-u p ' ~(l i t . ~$ 'they blackened him in-the wood').
(b) Noun (usually deverbal):
 relations with Turkey' (the genitive could be used here instead of $\sigma \dot{\epsilon}+$ accusative);
(20) $\dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon к \tau \iota к \dot{\sigma} \tau \eta \tau a \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \tau \rho о ф \dot{\mu} \omega \nu \sigma \epsilon \in \lambda i \pi o s$ 'the fat content of foodstuffs' (lit. 'the content of-the foodstuffs in fat').
(c) Adjective (usually deverbal):
(21) $\tilde{\eta} \tau a \nu \nu \tau \cup \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta ~ \sigma \tau a ́ ~ \mu a ̃ ̃ \rho a ~ ' s h e ~ w a s ~ d r e s s e d ~ i n ~ b l a c k ' ; ~ ;$
 cement';
(23) $\delta$ év єluaı $\delta v \nu a \tau o ́ s ~ \sigma \tau a ́ ~ \mu a \vartheta \eta \mu a \tau \kappa a ́ ~ ' I ’ m ~ n o t ~ g o o d ~[l i t . ~ ' s t r o n g '] ~$ at mathematics';
 in-the tiredness': the noun is used as an adjective).
(d) Numeral:
(25) $\dot{\delta}$ Ěvas $\sigma \tau o v ́ s ~ \tau \rho \epsilon і ̈ \varsigma ~ \kappa \epsilon \rho \delta i \zeta \epsilon \iota$ 'one in [every] three [people] wins.'

### 6.2.2 АПО

In spoken Greek, àmó is not stressed; it is often shortened to $\dot{a} \pi{ }^{\prime}$ in front of the definite article or before a word beginning with $a$ or $o$. In more elevated styles, it generally preserves its final vowel in front of the article.

Its prime meanings are 'from' (of place or time), 'out of' (of place), and 'since' (of time), but its other important spacial sense is 'through' or 'past'. In addition, it is used to specify the agent of a verb (not only of a passive verb) or to denote cause or reason, and as an alternative to the genitive (especially of content: see also 6.2 , example (1)). It may also mean 'than' after comparatives, and it has a distributive meaning.

Like $\sigma \dot{\epsilon}$ ，it is also used after various adverbs，to produce complex prepositions．

In its spatial meaning of＇position or motion from＇，$\dot{a} \pi \dot{\delta}$ acts as the opposite of $\sigma \dot{\epsilon}$ ．Thus，while $\sigma \dot{\epsilon}$ indicates direction to or location at a point which is inside，on top of，or at least very close to the referent of the noun or pronoun it governs，so àmó indicates location or direc－ tion away from（or provenance from）such a point：e．g．
 $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$＇A $\vartheta \eta \dot{\eta} v a$＇Salonica is situated at a distance of 540 km ．from Athens＇（location away from）；
 kawoúpo＇（s）he took the canary out of the old cage and put it in the new［one］＇（direction out of）；
 to Delphi＇（direction away from）；
（4）$\mu a ́ 乡 \epsilon \psi \epsilon ~ \tau a ́ ~ \pi a \iota \chi \nu i ́ \delta ı a ~ a ̀ \pi ’ ~ \tau o ́ ~ \tau \rho a \pi \epsilon ́ S ̌ ~ к а i ~ \beta a ́ \lambda ’ ~ \tau a ~ \sigma \tau o ́ ~ \pi a ́ \tau \omega \mu a ~$ ＇pick up the toys off the table and put them on the floor＇ （direction from on top of）．

It is also the converse of $\sigma \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ in the latter＇s indirect object use：
 it from Stephen and gave it to Panos．＇

Like $\sigma \dot{\epsilon}$, ànó may be used in conjunction with a number of adverbs which specify the precise spatial relationship between the referents．In some cases（but not all），there is a correspondence between construc－ tions with $\dot{a} \pi o ́$ and those with $\sigma \dot{\epsilon}$ ：
 ants were emerging from inside the tree－trunk＇（cf．$\mu$ é $\sigma a$ oróv кориó＇inside／into the trunk＇）；
（7）aữoi oi $\tau v ́ \pi o l ~ \epsilon l v a l ~ \pi a ́ v \tau o \tau \epsilon ~ \pi \rho \omega ่ \eta \nu ~ є ̇ \pi i \vartheta \epsilon \tau а ~ \delta i ́ \pi \lambda a ~ a ̀ \pi o ́ ~ \tau a ́ ~$ òтоіа таралєі申७ŋкєє ка́тоьо ov́бルабтькó＇these forms are always former adjectives from beside which some noun has been omitted＇（Lyp．1977：100）（cf．סín $\lambda a$ otá ò oĭa＇beside which＇）；
 ＇the cloud［of smog］refuses to go away［lit．＇unstick＇］from over our heads＇（ $T 27$ May 1982，131）（cf．$\pi a ́ v \omega \sigma$ $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$＇above and in contact with＇with $\pi a \dot{v} \omega \dot{a} \pi \dot{a}$＇above and not in con－ tact with＇）；
 table' (here кát $\omega$ àmó would also have to be used for 'motion to' or 'position at', since $\kappa$ ká $\tau \omega$ $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ' is impossible).

In (8) and (9), if the speaker feared the possibility of ambiguity, an additional à $\pi o ́$ could be inserted before $\pi \dot{\partial} \nu \omega$ or $\kappa \dot{\tau} \tau \omega$. (The order adverb + prepositional phrase is not normally reversible when the sense of 'movement away from' is present.) When the noun or emphatic pronoun after adverb $+\dot{a} \pi o ́$ is transformed into a clitic pronoun, à $\pi \delta \dot{o}$ is removed from after the adverb and placed before it:
(6a) $\tau$ á $\mu v \rho \mu \dot{\gamma} \gamma \kappa \kappa a$ ë $\beta \gamma a \omega a \nu$ àmó $\mu$ é $\sigma a$ $\tau o v$ 'the ants were emerging from inside it.'

In phrases of time, à $\pi \dot{\delta}$ is again largely the converse of $\sigma \dot{\epsilon}$, since it indicates time that elapses from a certain point. Nevertheless, it may correspond rather to $\check{\omega} \varsigma$ or $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \chi \rho l$ 'until', or to an absence of a preposition:
(10) $\sigma \in ́ \pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\mu} \epsilon \nu a$ à $\pi o ́ \tau i \varsigma ~ e ́ \xi \eta\rceil$ 'I was/had been waiting for you since six' (cf. oтiऽ $\epsilon \xi \xi \eta$ 'at six', but $\mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota ~ \tau i \varsigma ~ \epsilon ̈ \xi \eta ~ ' t i l l ~ s i x ') . ~$

The other chief spatial function of àmó, that of 'passage', does not correspond to any use of $\sigma \dot{\epsilon}$, nor does it possess any analogy in time. This use of àdó is so frequent in MG that it is worth examining in detail, especially since the construction is different from those which are found in certain other European languages (e.g. English and French). This function is regularly associated witn che verb $\pi \in \rho \nu a \dot{\omega}$ 'I pass' (transitive or intransitive), but is also found with other verbs of motion. Like most other verbs of motion in MG, $\pi \epsilon \rho \nu a \dot{a} \omega$ in itself gives no indication of the manner in which the motion takes place (including the means of transport).

The use of $\dot{a} \pi o ́$ for 'passage' may have one of two meanings: 'through' (i.e. passing inside the perimeter of the referent of the word it governs), or 'past' (i.e. passing outside the perimeter of the referent). When àm in the sense of 'passage' is not preceded by an adverb, it usually (but not always) means 'through':
(1i) $\vartheta a ́ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho a ́ \sigma \omega ~ a ̀ \pi ' ~ \tau o ́ ~ \sigma \pi i ́ \tau \iota ~ \sigma o v ~ ' I ' l l ~ d r o p ~ i n ~ a t ~ y o u r ~ h o u s e ' ~(l i t . ~$ 'I'll pass from your house');
 through the ring';
 house by the back door';
 [Square] by [way of] Syndagma [Square].'

The sense of 'through' may be made more explicit by means of $\mu \epsilon \in \sigma a$ :
(15) ò $\sigma \delta \eta \rho o ́ \delta \rho o \mu o s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \nu a ́ \epsilon \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \sigma a ~ a ̀ \pi o ́ ~ \tau o ́ ~ \sigma \pi i \tau \iota ~ ' t h e ~ r a i l r o a d ~ r u n s ~$ through [the middle of] the house.'

When the passage is not actually through but past (including over and under), an adverb is usually necessary before à áo:
(16) $\delta v o ́ ~ a v ̉ \tau o \kappa i v \eta \tau a ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \rho a \sigma a \nu ~ \mu \pi \rho o \sigma \tau a ́ ~ a ̀ \pi o ́ ~ \tau o ́ ~ \sigma \pi i \tau \iota ~ ' t w o ~ c a r s ~$ drove by (in front of) the house';
 flew over the harbour';
 a bridge';
(19) $\tau o ́ \pi \lambda o i ̃ o ~ \mu a \varsigma ~ \vartheta a ́ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ к o v \tau a ́ a ~ a ̀ \pi o ́ ~ \tau \eta ́ ~ N a ́ \xi o ~ ‘ o u r ~ b o a t ~ w i l l ~ s a i l ~$ right by Naxos.'

Note that MG often fails to distinguish between 'across' and 'along': motion across and along is normally expressed equally by $\pi \epsilon \rho \nu a \dot{\omega} \omega$. Position across and along is not easily expressible: an expression such as $\dot{a} \pi o ́ ~ \tau \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{a}$ äк $\rho \eta \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \eta$ (lit. 'from the one side/end to the other') is usually resorted to.
'A $\pi$ ó is used to designate the agent or quasi-agent of a verb (see 3.1.2.1 and 3.1.4.4). In fact, this sense of àmó is wider than simply the designation of agent or quasi-agent, and includes cause and reason:
 bright that the world looked black to me' (lit. 'from the much light I-was-seeing the world black') (Pre. n.d.: 9).

The use of à á to designate agent or cause also appears after deverbal nouns and adjectives:
 тoṽ Maкрvyávon 'the enthusiasm [caused] by the discovery of the value of works such as [those] of Makriyannis' ( $A$ 15 Nov. 1979);

àко́да à áo $\pi v \rho \eta \nu \kappa \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ 'the frigate Elli is unapproachable by foreign attack(s), even by [a] nuclear [one]' (T 17 Dec. 1981, 55).

Certain other uses of ám $\dot{\delta}$ (e.g. of content or material or part) might be semantically connected with its senses of provenance or cause:
(23) $\check{\text { éva } \pi \lambda \tilde{\eta} \vartheta o \varsigma ~ a ̀ \pi o ́ ~} \pi \rho o \beta \lambda \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau a$ 'a host of problems' (= genitive of content in more formal styles);
(24) тó є̀ $\rho \gamma о \sigma \tau a ́ \sigma ю ~ к а \lambda u ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \iota ~ \tau o ́ ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma а \lambda u ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s ~ a ̀ ~ \pi o ́ ~ \tau i s ~ a ̀ v a ́ \gamma-~$ $\kappa \epsilon \varsigma \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$ 'Е $\nu o ́ \pi \lambda \omega \nu \nu \nu \nu \dot{a} \mu \epsilon \omega \nu$ 'the factory covers most [lit. 'the greater part'] of the needs of the Armed Forces' (= genitive);
(25) $\tau$ ó $\gamma \rho a \phi \epsilon i ̃ ~ \epsilon l \nu a \iota ~ a ̀ \pi o ́ ~ \mu a o ́ v l ~ ' t h e ~ d e s k ~ i s ~[m a d e] ~ o f ~ m a h o g a n y ' ; ~ ;$
(26) éva коитí à $\begin{gathered}\text { ó } \mu \pi \iota \sigma \kappa o \tau a ~ ' a ~ b i s c u i t ~ t i n ' ~(i . e ., ~ a ~ t i n ~ t h a t ~ h a s ~ c o n-~\end{gathered}$ tained biscuits: cf. є̀ $\nu a$ коиті $\mu \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \delta \dot{\tau} a$ 'a tin of biscuits');

(28) $\pi \dot{\rho} \rho \in \kappa \iota$ à $\pi$ ' aútá 'take [some] of these too.'
'A $\pi \delta$ is used, as we have already seen, after comparatives and super-
 implies difference, otherness (comparable to its meanings of distance in time and place):
 beautiful than all the others';
 of all [of them]';

 'the picture [presented by] our higher education, which was nothing other than variations of violence and degradation' (K 23 Dec. 1980).

In its distributive use, ànó followed by a cardinal numeral has the sense of 'each':
(31) $\vartheta a ́ ~ ф a ̃ \mu \epsilon ~ a ̀ \pi o ́ ~ \delta v o ́ ~ a u ̛ \gamma a ́ ~ ' w e ' l l ~ e a t ~ t w o ~ e g g s ~ e a c h ' ~(l i t . ~ ' w e ' l l ~ e a t ~$ from two eggs').

In its other, more figurative meanings, some sense of 'movement from', cause, etc., is usually present. The following examples will serve to indicate the range of its uses:
(32) $\epsilon l v a \iota ~ a ̈ \rho \rho \omega \sigma \tau \eta ~ a ̀ \pi o ́ ~ \gamma \rho i \pi \pi \eta ~ ' s h e ' s ~ i l l ~ w i t h ~ ' f l u ' ; ~$
 deserted [lit. 'desolate of people']';
 on fuel yesterday' ( $T 13$ Dec. 1979, 15);
 from petrol');
(36) $\gamma \nu \omega \dot{\rho} \iota \sigma a \tau o ́ v$ " $\mathrm{A} \lambda \kappa \eta$ à $\pi o ́ \tau \dot{\tau} \nu \mathrm{~T}$ Yév $\eta$ 'I met Alkis through Jenny';
(37) $\delta \dot{e ́ \nu} \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \dot{\rho} \rho \omega$ à $\pi o ́ \mu о v \sigma \kappa \eta$ 'I don't know anything about music';
(38) àmó фaí $\tau i$ é $\chi \epsilon \iota \varsigma$; 'what have you got in the way of food?';
(39) à áo $\tau \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{a} \mu \epsilon \rho \dot{a}$. . . à $\pi o ́ ~ \tau \eta \dot{\nu} \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \eta$ 'on the one hand [lit. 'side'] . . . on the other';
(40) $\tau \dot{v} \nu$ ă $\rho \pi a \xi \epsilon \in \dot{a} \pi o ́ ~ \tau o ́ ~ \chi є ́ \rho \iota ~ '(s) h e ~ g r a b b e d ~ h i m ~ b y ~ t h e ~ a r m / h a n d ' ; ~$
(41) $\delta$ v́o à $\pi \delta \delta$ $\pi \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \epsilon ~ \tau \rho i a ~ ' t w o ~ f r o m ~ f i v e ~[m a k e s] ~ t h r e e ' ; ~ ; ~$
(42) $\tau o ́ \pi \epsilon \in \tau a \xi a$ à $\pi o ́ ~ \phi \delta \delta \beta o \mu \dot{\gamma} \pi \omega \varsigma \delta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma o u ̃ a ̈ \rho \epsilon \sigma \epsilon$ 'I threw it away for fear that you might not have liked it.'

### 6.2.3 ГIA

The chief meanings of $\gamma \dot{\text { a }}$ are 'for' (benefit, time, purpose, destination, price) and 'about' (= 'concerning'):
(1) $\tau a ́ ~ ' \phi \epsilon \rho a ~ \gamma u ́ a ́ ~ \sigma e ́ v a ~ ' I ~ b r o u g h t ~ t h e m ~ f o r ~ y o u ' ; ~$

(3) $\pi \tilde{\eta} \gamma \in \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \beta \rho v ́ \sigma \eta \gamma \dot{\alpha} \nu \in \rho \delta$ 'she went to the spring for water';
(4) ä́pı фєưز $\omega \gamma \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \dot{\nu} \nu$ 'I $\sigma \pi a \nu i a$ 'I'm leaving for Spain tomorrow';
 'for a piece of bread']';

$\Gamma \dot{a}$ is also used to express cause:
(7) $\gamma i$ ' aùtó $\delta \dot{v} \nu \in i \pi \epsilon \varsigma ~ \tau i \pi o \tau a$; 'is what why [lit. 'for that'] you said nothing?';
 sudden change'.

「á may designate subject or object complements in certain types of construction:
(9) $\pi \epsilon \rho \nu a ́ \epsilon \iota \gamma$ lá $\dot{\omega} \rho a i a$ 'she passes for [being] beautiful';
(10) $\gamma$ tá тóซo корóઈo $\mu$ ' é $\chi \in เ \varsigma ; ~ ‘ d o ~ y o u ~ t h i n k ~ m e ~ s u c h ~ a ~ s u c k e r ? ’ ~ ' ~$ (lit. 'for so-much sucker me you-have?');
 himself as prospective mayor.'
In (11), $\gamma$ á could be replaced by the conjunction $\dot{\omega} \varsigma$ 'as'.
Most other meanings of $\gamma \dot{a}$ can be readily connected with the foregoing. Examples:
 'this meeting is considered [to be] decisive for the future' (i.e. it will determine the future) ( $T 20$ Sept. 1979);
 oav rıá aúpı тó $\beta \rho a ́ \delta \iota$ 'the demolition work(s) on the Katrantzos building has been suspended until tomorrow evening' (K 23 Dec. 1980);
 'the Palace was pushing aside Stephanopoulos in favour of Karamanlis' (T 30 Aug. 1979, 8);
(15) є̇ov $\tau \rho \omega \bar{\varsigma} \gamma$ á $\delta$ vo! 'you eat [enough] for two!'

When there might be doubt whether ria means 'for' or 'about', the former interpretation is more likely:
 $\vartheta \epsilon ́ \mu a ~ \tau a ́ ~ \pi a i \delta i ́ a ~ ' a ~ b o o k ~ a b o u t ~ c h i l d r e n ~[l i t . ~ ' w i t h ~ s u b j e c t ~ t h e ~$ children']').

### 6.2.4 ME

The chief uses of $\mu \epsilon$ correspond broadly with English 'with' (accompaniment or instrument). In its sense of .companiment, $\mu \epsilon$ may be preceded by $\mu a s i$ 'together'; and when $\mu a \xi i$ is used with a clitic pronoun it may stand for either $\mu a S_{i}^{\prime} \mu \dot{́}$ or plain $\mu \epsilon ́+$ noun or emphatic pronoun:
(1) $\pi \dot{\gamma} \gamma \mathrm{a} \mu \epsilon$ éкєĩ $\mu \epsilon ́$ тóv $\pi a \tau \in ́ \rho a ~ \mu o v$ 'we/I went there with my father';
 many of you are there?" "Four including [lit. 'together with'] the driver" ';
(3) $\tau \dot{\delta} \nu \quad \sigma \kappa о \tau \omega \dot{\sigma} a v \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \rho i \sigma \tau \rho o ф о$ 'they killed him/he was killed with [a] revolver.'

In addition, $\mu e ́$ also indicates means of transport ( $\mu e ́ ~ \tau a ́ ~ \pi d \delta \delta i a ~ ' o n ~ f o o t ' ~$ [lit. 'with the feet'], $\mu$ é av̇zoкiunto 'by car'), and may express certain other types of means or manner:
(4) $\tau \dot{d}$ ' $\gamma \rho a \psi a \mu \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \lambda a ́ v l$ 'I wrote it in ink';
 read by moonlight';
 '(the) oranges are sold by the kilo, (and) not singly [lit. 'by the piece'].'

Other uses of $\mu \epsilon$ include the following: carrying or wearing (7-8); characteristic or possession (9); weather or time of day (10-11); contents (12); cause or pseudo-agent (13); opposition ('against': '14-15); contrariety ('despite'), always followed by the relevant form of $\bar{\lambda} \lambda o s$
 17-18); with numerals (two different senses of 'by': 19-20); with an abstract noun, instead of an adverb of manner (21); in expressions of time (two different meanings: 22-3); 'concerning' or 'in relation to' (24); and exchange or replacement (25):
 cloth-cap in his hand';
(8) тó корiтбı $\mu$ é $\tau$ d́ $\mu a \tilde{\rho} \rho a$ 'the girl in black';
 golden eyes';
 this';
(11) $\xi \cup \pi \nu o v ̃ \sigma a ~ \mu \epsilon ́ ~ \tau \eta \dot{\nu}$ av̛v่ 'I used to wake up at dawn' (implying 'as soon as dawn came');
(12) ěva ßáso $\mu \epsilon ́ ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda l ı$ ‘a jar containing honey’;
(13) $\gamma \epsilon \lambda a ́ \sigma a v \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \mu \eta \chi a v i a ~ \tau o v$ 'they laughed at his embarrassment';
(14) $\pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma a \mu \epsilon \mu \epsilon$ тov́s 'I $\tau a \lambda o v{ }^{\prime}$ 'we fought against the Italians' (this could be alternatively interpreted as 'together with', in which case $\mu \epsilon$ is usually preceded by $\mu a \xi i$;
(15) $\mu \eta \dot{\nu} \nu$ тá $\beta a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \mu e ́ ~ \tau \eta ́ ~ B a ́ \sigma \omega ~ ‘ d o n ’ t ~ t a k e ~ i t ~ o u t ~ o n ~ V a s o ’ ~(l i t . ~$ 'don't put them with Vaso');
 є́Xoume 'for all its faults it's the best dictionary we have';
 is the same make as mine';
 father';
 by 3 ';
(20) $\dot{\eta} \Lambda i \beta \epsilon \rho \pi о \nu \lambda \nu i \kappa \eta \sigma \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ ~ 2-0[\delta u ́ o-\mu \eta \delta \epsilon ́ \nu] ~ \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ "A $\rho \sigma \epsilon \nu a \lambda$ 'Liverpool beat Arsenal 2-0';
 lingly';
 to eleven';
(23) ĕ̀ $\lambda a$ otiৎ $\delta v o ́ \mu i o \eta ~ \mu e ́ ~ \tau \rho e i s ~ ‘ c o m e ~ a b o u t ~ 2.30 ~ t o ~ t h r e e ' ; ~$
(24) è $\chi \in \iota ~ \mu a \nu i a ~ \mu e ́ ~ \tau o ́ ~ к v v \dot{\gamma \iota \iota}$ 'he's mad about hunting' (lit. 'he-has madness with the hunting');
 to [ex]change (the) beads for gold.'

There are other frequently used constructions with $\mu \dot{\epsilon}$, including those in which it is followed by an abstract noun and a noun phrase in apposition: e.g.
 figures' (lit. 'with basis the official figures').

Other such phrases are $\mu \epsilon ́ ~ a ̀ \pi о т e ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \mu a ~ ' w i t h ~ t h e ~ r e s u l t ', ~ \mu \epsilon ́ ~ \sigma к о \pi o ́ ~$ 'with the purpose', $\mu$ ' $\sigma \tau \delta \chi o$ 'with the aim'. Some of these phrases may be followed by a $\nu$ da-clause instead of a noun phrase:
 $\pi$ ádous $\tau o v$ 'he made the revelations with the aim of discrediting his opponents.'

Finally, a frequently used phrase in colloquial Greek is $\mu \epsilon$ timoтa (lit. 'with nothing'), indicating impossibility:
 in' (lit. '(s)he doesn't put it down with anything').

### 6.2.5 OTHER COMMON PREPOSITIONS

It is necessary to comment here only on certain semantic and syntactical aspects of the prepositions $\sigma a ́ v, \pi a \rho a ́, ~ \kappa a \tau \dot{a}, \mu \epsilon \tau a \dot{a}, \dot{a} \nu \tau i$, and $\pi \rho o ́ s$.
$\Sigma a ́ v$. This word acts sometimes as a conjunction, sometimes as a preposition. As a conjunction it may introduce a subordinate temporal clause ('when, as'); or it may introduce a phrase of comparison, in which the noun or other word after it is syntactically in apposition to another noun or pronoun in the clause (1-2). As a preposition, oá $\nu$ takes the accusative (3). Normally, the noun after the conjunction oáv is
non-specific, and therefore has no article; after the preposition $\sigma \dot{d} \nu$, the noun is accompanied by the definite article:
(1) $\tau \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \epsilon \iota$ бáv $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda c a ́ s$ 'he eats like a king';
 like numb']';
(3) $\tau \rho \omega \in \iota \iota$ бáv $\tau o ́ \beta a \sigma ı \lambda ı a ́ ~ ' h e ~ e a t s ~ l i k e ~ t h e ~ k i n g . ' ~$

Mapá. This too may act as a conjunction or as a preposition; it may also act as an adverb. As a conjunction it may introduce a 'than'-clause (e.g. $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o ~ e ́ ß \lambda a \psi \epsilon ~ \pi a \rho a ́ ~ \dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon$ 'it did more harm than good'); or it may be an adversative after a negative (e.g. $\sigma \tau \delta \dot{v}$ é $\rho \eta \mu o$
 папои́тби $\tau \eta \varsigma$ 'nothing could be heard in the deserted street but the sound of her new shoes'). As an adverb, $\pi$ ápa (stressed on the first syllable) may modify only the adjective mo入v́s ( $\pi a \dot{\rho} \rho a \pi 0 \lambda \lambda o i$ 'very many; too many') or certain adverbs (mostly of place): here $\pi a ́ \rho a$, which often combines with the adverb into one word, may be replaced by $\pi \omega$ 'more' (e.g. парака́т $\omega$ 'further down, further on'; па $\rho a \pi \epsilon ́ \rho a$ 'further on, further away'). Finally, mapa- is a colloquial verbal prefix denoting excess ( $\pi a \rho a \epsilon i ̃ v a l ~ \pi k \rho o ́ ~ ' i t ' s ~ t o o ~ b i t t e r ', ~ \pi a \rho a 乇 ́ \phi a \gamma a ~ ' I ' v e ~$ overeaten').

As a preposition, majá has three distinct meanings: 'against' or 'despite' (1); 'less' (with numerals), or by a certain margin (2-4); and temporal alternation (5):
(1) $\pi a \rho a ́ ~ \tau \eta ' ~ \vartheta є ́ \lambda \eta \sigma \grave{\eta} \mu o v ~ ‘ a g a i n s t ~ m y ~ w i l l ’ ; ~$
(2) $\sigma \tau i \varsigma ~ \delta \omega \dot{\omega} \delta \epsilon \kappa a \pi a \rho a ́ \pi \epsilon \in \nu \tau \epsilon$ 'at five to twelve';
 pools by one digit';
(4) $\pi a \rho a ́ ~ \lambda i \gamma o ~ \nu a ́ ~ \sigma к о т \omega \vartheta o v ̃ \mu \epsilon ~ ' w e ~ w e r e ~ n e a r l y ~ k i l l e d ’ ~(l i t . ~ ' b y ~$ a-little to we-be-killed');
(5) $\mu e ́ \rho a ~ \pi a \rho a ́ ~ \mu e ́ \rho a ~ ' e v e r y ~ o t h e r ~ d a y ' . ~$

Kazá. This is not stressed when followed by the accusative; it has several quite separate meanings. Its traditional demotic meaning of 'towards' has largely been superseded in its spatial sense by $\pi \rho \delta \rho$, but still remains in relation to time (= 'about': kaтá tis déka 'about 10 o'clock'). In SMG kará has also preserved the meanings that it took on in katharevousa: 'according to' (often equivalent to $\sigma \dot{\prime} \mu \phi \omega \nu a \mu$ '́, which is sometimes preferred by demoticists: (1)-(2) ); 'during' (3); measure of difference (also (3) ); and 'in respect of' (4):
(1) катá $\tau \eta \dot{\eta} \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \mu o v$ 'in my opinion';
(2) катá $\tau o u ̛ ́ s \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \sigma \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu o \nu \epsilon \varsigma ~ ' a c c o r d i n g ~ t o ~ t h e ~ e x p e r t s ' ~(=~$ $\sigma \dot{\mu} \mu \phi \omega \nu a \mu$ $\overline{\text { ) }}$;
 increase of 40 per cent occurred [lit. 'was noted'] during the first three months' (kaтá could be omitted each time);
(4) катá $\tau a ́ a ̈ a \lambda a ~ \pi \epsilon \rho a ́ \sigma a \mu \epsilon ~ к а \lambda a ́ ~ ' i n ~ o t h e r ~ r e s p e c t s ~ w e ~ h a d ~ a ~ g o o d ~$ time [lit. 'we-passed well']'.

Katá is also used with the genitive (see 6.2.6).
Metá. Although demoticists often urge the use of the complex prepositions $v \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho a \dot{a} \pi o ́$ or $\check{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \tau \tau a \dot{a} \pi o ́$ for 'after', $\mu \epsilon \tau a \dot{a}$ is the most frequent way of expressing this (it is also an adverb: 'afterwards', as in $\mu \in \tau \dot{a}$ $\tau \rho \epsilon i \varsigma \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \in \varsigma$ or $\tau \rho \in i \varsigma \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \varsigma ~ \mu \epsilon \tau a ́$ 'three days later'). Syntactically, $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{a}$ is normally followed by ànó when it governs anything but a noun preceded by the definite article ( $\mu \in \tau a \dot{a} \tau \dot{\delta} \nu \pi \delta \dot{\lambda} \epsilon \mu 0$ 'after the war'; but $\mu \in \tau \dot{a}$ àmó $\epsilon \nu a \quad \pi \delta \partial \lambda \epsilon \mu o$ 'after a war'). Nevertheless, this general rule is not always followed, and many speakers always place à ád after $\mu \in \tau \dot{a}$.
'Avti. This too may be used with or without an additional preposition (in this case, $\gamma(a \dot{a})$. Here the choice is a matter of style, àvti $\tau \delta$ $\Gamma$ Lávvך 'instead of John' being considered more elegant than àvti $\gamma$ lá $\tau o ́ ~ \Gamma$ láv $\nu \eta$. 'A $\nu \tau i$ is never used as an adverb.

חlós. In addition to its primary spatial meaning ('towards'), $\pi \rho o \delta$ has several other functions, especially 'towards' (of time: (1)); 'in respect of' (after nouns and adjectives: (2)-(3) ); and purpose (4):
(1) $\pi \rho o ́ s ~ \tau o ́ ~ \beta \rho a ́ d i ~ ' t o w a r d s ~ e v e n i n g ' ; ~$
 'the support of the Soviet Union for the Kurds';
(3) $\dot{\eta} \gamma \lambda \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma a$ $\sigma \tau a ́ \vartheta \eta \kappa \epsilon \mu \tau \sigma a \lambda \lambda o ́ \delta o \xi \eta \pi \rho o ́ s ~ \kappa a ́ \vartheta \epsilon ~ \delta a ́ v \epsilon ю ~ ' t h e ~ l a n g u a g e ~$ remained intolerant towards all loanwords' (Kri. 1979: 34);

 $\sigma \tau o \iota \chi \epsilon i \omega \nu$ रá $\tau o u ́ s ~ \delta \rho a ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \tau \dot{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \rho \eta \sigma \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu$ 'the on-the-spot investigation which was carried out yesterday by special officers of the Security Police with the aim of locating evidence concerning the culprits of the acts of arson proved negative' ( $K$ 23 Dec. 1980).

The expression $\dot{\omega} \varsigma \pi \rho \delta \delta \varsigma$ is used to mean 'in respect of, with respect to'.

### 6.2.6 OTHER PREPOSITIONS INHERITED FROM KATHAREVOUSA

There are numerous other prepositions which SMG has inherited from katharevousa. Some of these are in active use, some have a more restricted range, while others are mostly confined to fixed collocations.

Those prepositions in active use include:
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i+$ acc. 'for the duration of': $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \tau \rho \in i \varsigma ~ \sigma v v \in \chi \in i ̃ s ~ \mu e ́ p \in s ~ ' f o r ~ t h r e e ~$ days on end'; + gen. 'in the time of; under the rule of': é $\pi i$ Néas $\Delta \eta \mu o$ крatias 'under [the government of the] New Democracy [Party]'; or 'at the expense of': $\mu \dot{a} \nu i k \eta ~ \grave{e ̀} \pi i \quad \tau \bar{\eta} s$ AEK 'a victory over AEK [football team]';

катá + gen. (stressed) 'against': катá $\tau \bar{\eta} \varsigma \delta<\kappa \tau a \tau о \rho i a s ~ ‘ a g a i n s t ~ t h e ~$ dictatorship' (cf. кaтá $\tau \dot{\eta}$ סкктaторia ‘during the dictatorship');
$\mu \epsilon \tau a \xi v$ + gen. 'among; between': $\mu \epsilon \tau a \xi v$ ' $\phi \lambda \omega \nu$ 'among/between friends', $\mu \epsilon \tau a \xi \dot{v}$ رas 'between ourselves' ( F entre nous); also used with reciprocal verb or equivalent: $\delta e ́ v ~ \pi \rho o ́ к \epsilon \iota \tau a \iota ~ \nu a ́ ~ \xi a v a \pi a \nu \tau \rho \epsilon \cup \tau o u ̃ \nu ~ \mu \epsilon \tau a \xi u ́ ~$ rous 'there's no question of their marrying each other again', $\tau a ́ ~ v i \phi a ́-~$
 have the same thickness (as each other)';
v̀ $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho+$ gen. 'for, on behalf of': єíual vimé $\tau \bar{\eta} s ~ \delta \eta \mu о к \rho a \tau i a s ~ ' I ' m ~ i n ~$


Meтa $\xi \dot{\prime}$ is syntactically unusual among prepositions from katharevousa in being capable of governing clitic pronouns. Two other words of learned origin used as prepositions which may govern clitics are èvav-
 genitive.

Other, less active, prepositions include:
$\delta i a ́+g e n$. 'through the agency of': $\delta \dot{a} \tau \bar{\eta} s \beta i a c$ 'by force';


$\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad+$ acc. 'about (approximately)': $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ тá $\delta v v_{0}$ èкатоциúpıa 'about two million(s)'; + gen. 'about (concerning)': $\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \dot{\omega} o s ~ \pi \rho o ́-$ кєєта! 'what it's all about';
$\pi \rho \delta$ + gen. 'before; ago’: $\pi \rho o ́ ~ X \rho ı \sigma \tau o v ̀ ~ ' b e f o r e ~ C h r i s t ', ~ \pi \rho o ́ ~ \delta e ́ к а ~$ $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$ 'ten years ago';

 the Suisse Romande Orchestra conducted by [lit. 'under the direction of ${ }^{\prime}$ Ernest Ansermet.'

To these should be added the prepositions used in mathematics: ov́v 'plus', also used colloquially and figuratively with either acc. or nom.;
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ 'multiplied by', especially in phrases of measurement: $\epsilon ้ \nu a$ кє $\lambda \lambda i$ $\tau \rho \dot{a}$ è $\pi i \quad \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \rho a$ 'a three by four [metre] cell';

סá ‘divided by';
$\mu \epsilon і ̈ \nu \nu$ or $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$ 'minus'.
Finally there are prepositions which are used only in fixed expressions:
ä $\nu \in v+$ gen. 'without': épraoia ävev à $\pi o \delta o x \tilde{\omega} \nu$ 'unpaid work' (lit. 'work without emoluments');
$\epsilon i s+$ acc. 'in, at, etc.': $\epsilon i \varsigma ~ \beta a ́ \rho o s ~ ' a t ~ t h e ~ e x p e n s e ~(o f) ', ~ \epsilon i s ~ v ̀ \gamma \epsilon i a v ~ ' t o ~$ [your] health', $\epsilon i s$ cídos 'in kind';
$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$ + gen. 'from': $̀$ è $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ 'in advance';
$\dot{\epsilon} \nu+$ dative (a case which exists in katharevousa but not in demotic) 'in': $̇ \nu \tau a ́ \xi \epsilon \iota ~ ‘ O . K . ' ~(l i t . ~ ' i n ~ o r d e r '), ~ \grave{~} \nu \dot{a} \nu a ́ \gamma \kappa \eta$ 'if need be' (lit. 'in need').

Despite the large number of examples that have been provided in the previous sections, there is an even larger number of special uses of the various prepositions which have not been included. The aim of these sections on prepositions has been simply to divide them into valid categories and to examine the general tendencies within each category.

### 6.3 THE USES OF THE PRONOUNS

### 6.3.1 PERSONAL PRONOUNS

MG has two types of personal pronoun: clitic (unemphatic) and emphatic (disjunctive).

### 6.3.1.1 Clitic pronouns

These may be either proclitic (i.e. placed immediately before a verb) or enclitic (i.e. immediately following the word which governs them). Except in a limited number of circumstances, clitic pronouns have no nominative, since the subject of a verb (if it is not a noun, adjective or clause) is not stated unless it is to be emphasized.

Clitic pronouns are used with the following classes of word, with which they form a single phonological word:
(i) Verbs: in the accusative or genitive (cf. 2.2.4.1), as direct and indirect objects respectively; the pronouns precede finite forms of the verb, but follow the imperative and the present participle (e.g. ooṽ $\tau 0$
 'seeing them (fem.)');
(ii) Nouns: in the genitive, as possessive pronouns (e.g. ò $\pi a \tau$ épas $\mu o v$ 'my father') (cf. 2.2.4.2);
(iii) Adjectives: occasionally, in the genitive, after comparatives (e.g. $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda u ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \eta$ ' $\sigma o v$ 'larger/older (fem.) than you') (cf. 2.2.4.3);
(iv) Pronouns: in the genitive, in order to specify person (kavévas $\mu a \varsigma$ 'any/none of us', ò kaখt̀vas $\sigma a \varsigma$ 'each of you', ò $\lambda \epsilon \varsigma$ rovs 'all (fem.) of them' (cf. 2.2.4.3);
(v) Numerals: in the genitive, to specify person (ò évas rous 'one of them', oi Svó rovs 'the two of them; both of them') (cf. 2.2.4.3);
(vi) Adverbs of place and time: in the genitive (e.g. $\mu a \xi i$ mas 'with us) (cf. 2.2.4.4);
(vii) Exclamatory words (usually in wishes or curses): in the genitive (e.g. $\mu \pi \rho a ́ ß o ~ \tau \eta s ~ ‘ g o o d ~ f o r ~ h e r ', ~ a ̀ \lambda i \mu o \nu o ́ ~ \sigma o v ~ ' w o e ~ b e t i d e ~ y o u ', ~ \pi \epsilon \rho a-~$ oткá $\tau 0 v$ 'may he get well soon') (cf. 2.2.4.6);
(viii) Kaj $\bar{\omega} \varsigma$ : in the accusative ( $\kappa a \lambda \bar{\omega} \varsigma \tau o \nu$ 'welcome’) (cf. 2.2.3);
(ix) $\Pi o \check{v} \nu^{\prime}$ and $\nu \dot{d}$ : (third person) in the nominative or (first and second persons, only after $\nu \dot{d}$ ) in the accusative (e.g. moũv' $\boldsymbol{\tau} 0 \varsigma$; 'where is he?', $\nu a ́ ~ \tau o c!~ ' t h e r e ~ h e ~ i s!', ~ \nu a ́ ~ \mu \epsilon ~ \pi a ́ \lambda!!~ ' h e r e ~ I ~ a m ~ a g a i n!’ ~(c f . ~ 4.4 .2) . ~$

With nouns taking clitic pronouns should be included the reflexive tóv éautó ( $\mu \mathrm{ov}$ ) '(my) self' (cf. 4.5.3.2). The possessive pronoun may also follow an adjective or other premodifier either when the pre-
 acquaintance of ours who is an officer' [lit. 'a known of-us officer']), or when the pronoun really qualifies the sun modified by the pre-
 happens to be old', or (b) 'our previous car'): this last phenomenon appears to take place often for purely euphonic reasons, in order to avoid the placing of a second stress on a proparoxytone (i.e. $\tau \delta$ ma入ıó au̇zoкiuntó $\mu a \varsigma$, which is equally normal, but only in meaning (b)). The possessive is often absent when parts of the body or personal belongings are referred to:
 the door with [his] hat in [his] hand.'

Verbs may be found with up to two clitic pronouns; but if there are two together, the first must be in the genitive and the second both accusative and third-person. This means that (a) first- and second-person
clitic pronouns do not co-occur; (b) a first- or second-person pronoun precedes a third-person; and (c) a genitive precedes an accusative.

The neuter singular $\tau$ (sometimes the plural $\tau \dot{a}$ ) is often used to refer to a whole clause:
 you heard (it)? Brezhnev's died." "Yes, I know (it)" ';
(3) tó 'каva $\chi \omega \rho i s ~ \nu a ́ ~ \tau o ́ ~ \vartheta e ́ \lambda \omega ~ ' I ~ d i d ~ i t ~ w i t h o u t ~ w a n t i n g ~[t o ~ d o] ~ i t . ' ~$ In (2), $\tau a ́$ perhaps refers to $\tau a ́ \nu \in ́ a$ 'the news', while $\tau o ́$ before $\xi \in \in \rho \omega$ is optional. In (3), the $\tau$ ó before $\vartheta \epsilon \in \lambda \omega$ stands for vá $\tau o ́ \kappa a ́ v \omega$.

There are many idiomatic expressions in which a proclitic pronoun used with a verb does not appear to refer to any particular referent: some of these will be examined in 11.2.

An important function of the clitic pronoun is its proleptic and resumptive uses. When used proleptically, the clitic pronoun anticipates the object proper (a noun, emphatic pronoun, etc.) (4); when used resumptively it recalls an object which has already been stated (5):
(4) $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \boldsymbol{\xi} \epsilon \in \rho \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau \dot{\eta} \Lambda o v ́ \lambda a ;$ ‘do you know (her) Loula?’;
(5) $\tau \dot{\eta} \Lambda o u ̛ \lambda a ~ \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \xi \in ́ \rho \omega ~ ' I ~ k n o w ~(h e r) ~ L o u l a . ' ~$

A clitic pronoun is always used when $\quad 0 \lambda a$ ('all') is the direct object ( $\tau a ́ \xi \epsilon \in \rho \epsilon \iota s$ ö $\lambda a$ 'you know everything').

Whether or not a proleptic or resumptive pronoun is used depends largely on which part (if any) of the clause is being emphasized by the speaker/writer, that is (in most cases) which part of the clause contains new information (the focus or rheme) as opposed to the already known topic (or theme) of the discourse. Nevertheless, the proleptic and resumptive uses must be examined to some extent separately.

When a clitic pronoun is used proleptically, the object proper of the verb must be a noun (or some other word) preceded by a definite article, or an emphatic pronoun (which includes a demonstrative used pronominally), or a clause. When the object proper is anything but a clause, the presence of the proleptic pronoun is normal in ordinary speech if the emphasis is on any part of the clause except the object (i.e. the object is the topic of the clause) ( $6 a$ and $6 b$ ); the absence of a proleptic pronoun may make the expression neutral (with no particular emphasis on any element) or may serve to place an emphasis on the object (which then becomes the focus) (7a and 7b). In speech, of course, a heavy stress is placed on that part of the utterance which is to be emphasized.
(6a) тó $\vartheta$ é $\lambda \omega$ aútó $\tau \dot{\prime} \beta \beta \lambda i o$ 'I want this book' (the book has already been mentioned);
(6b) $\vartheta \notin \lambda \omega$ aùtó тó $\beta \not \beta \lambda i o$ 'I want this book' or 'this book' (perhaps in answer to the question $\tau i \vartheta$ ध' $\lambda \epsilon \iota \varsigma$; 'what do you want?' or

 loves Mary';
 or with emphasis on Mary, depending on stress).

In more elevated styles, however, perhaps under the influence of katharevousa, the proleptic pronoun may be absent even when the emphasis is on the verb or subject. When the object of the verb is a noun clause (especially one introduced by $\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma} \iota \iota$ or $\pi \omega \varsigma$ or by an interrogative), a proleptic pronoun is used in colloquial speech when the verb is the focus:
 remember' (the speaker's collocutor has already given indications that (s)he does not remember);
 remember' (here the indications are that the collocutor does remember);
(9) $\sigma \in ́ ~ \xi \in ́ \rho \omega ~ \tau i ́ \psi \in u ́ t \eta s ~ \epsilon i ̉ \sigma a l ~ ‘ I ~ k n o w ~ w h a t ~ a ~ l i a r ~ y o u ~ a r e . ' ~$

Again, in more elevated styles, the proleptic pronoun may be absent even when the verb is the focus of the clause.

The resumptive uses of the pronoun ari imilar to these except that (a) the exclusion of nouns without definite articles does not apply (10); (b) more types of noun clause are used with resumptive than with proleptic pronouns (e.g. clauses introduced by $\delta, \tau \iota$, $\delta \sigma o \varsigma$, etc.) (11); and (c) resumptive pronouns are used to recall the relative pronouns $\pi o v$ and (occasionally) $\dot{o}$ ò $\pi o \ddot{o} c$. Once again, the resumptive pronoun is often absent in less colloquial styles.

(6d) aútó тó $\beta \downarrow \beta \lambda i o$ ing on intonation);
 loves Mary';

(10) фрои̃та тá трळ́єt кацıá форá (s)he eats fruit from time to
time' (i.e. 'as for fruit, (s)he does eat it . . .': this is connected with $\tau \rho \omega \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \iota$ ф $\rho о \tilde{\tau} \tau$, not $\tau \rho \dot{\omega} \epsilon \iota \tau$ व́ ф $\rho о \tilde{\tau} \tau a)$;
(11) ö, $\tau \iota \epsilon i ̉ x a \nu a ́ \pi \tilde{\omega}$, $\tau$ ó $\epsilon i \pi a$ 'what I had to say I've said (it)'.

For the correlation between word order and focus, see 7.1.
With the relative pronoun the resumptive clitic has various different functions. One of these is (a) to distinguish a defining from a nondefining clause: in the former (12a), unlike in the latter (12b), the clitic pronoun is not normally used for the direct object when it has the same referent as the relative:
 woman John saw was the girl's mother';
 'Mary, whom John saw, was the girl's mother.'

Another function of the resumptive clitic is (b) to distinguish a relative clause in which the antecedent of the relative pronoun acts as the subject of the verb from one in which it acts as the object: the clitic cannot of course be used in the former (13a), whereas it may in the latter (13b):
(13a) $\dot{o}$ äv $\tau \rho a \varsigma \pi o v ́ ~ \sigma \kappa o ́ \tau \omega \sigma \epsilon ~ \tau o ́ ~ \pi a i \delta i ~ ' t h e ~ m a n ~ w h o ~ k i l l e d ~ t h e ~ c h i l d ' ; ~ ; ~$
(13b) ó ä $\nu \tau \rho a \varsigma \pi o v ́ \tau o ́ \nu ~ \sigma \kappa o ́ \tau \omega \sigma \epsilon \tau \dot{\prime} \pi a \kappa \delta i$ 'the man whom the child killed', or 'the man who was killed by the child'.

It appears that the function which distinguishes (13a) from (13b) (i.e. subject from object) is more powerful thar the one that distinguishes (12a) from (12b) (i.e. defining from non-defining clause), in that function (b) may override function (a), especially when (as in (13a) and (13b)) it is not clear from the morphological form of a noun phrase in the relative clause (in this case $\tau \dot{\prime} \pi a i \delta i$ ) whether it is the subject or object of the verb. A clitic pronoun may also be used in other instances where the clause would otherwise be ambiguous; and it regularly stands as the indirect object in the relative clause (see 8.1.1).

### 6.3.1.2 Emphatic pronouns

These are used only when their referents are to be given special emphasis. The first- and second-person emphatic pronouns have a nominative and an accusative form (the latter acting additionally as a genitive); the third-person pronouns are broadly identical to demonstratives: see 4.4.1.

Despite being emphatic, these pronouns do not always constitute
the focus of a clause: thus they may or may not co-occur with clitic pronouns:
 $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon ́ v a$ is the focus);
 'of what (s)he told you': here the direct object is the focus: i.e. 'as for me, (s)he told me the opposite').

When the emphatic pronoun represents a genitive of possession, it generally co-occurs with a clitic possessive pronoun:

Finally, emphatic pronouns are used in elliptical sentences:
 dow?" "I [did].",

### 6.3.2 DEMONSTRATIVES

The demonstratives aùtós, éкєivos, and zoũтos may be used adjectivally (with a noun) or pronominally (as emphatic third-person pronouns). What Tzartzanos (1946: 138-9) says about the difference in meaning between these three words may once have been true: namely, that toũtos was used for referents close to the speaker, aùtos for those close to the hearer, and $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \bar{\nu} \nu o s$ for those removed from either (cf. the three adverbs of place 'here' and 'there': $\dot{\epsilon} \delta \tilde{\omega}, a \dot{v} \tau o \tilde{v}, \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \check{\imath})$. But today this three-term system appears to have broken down, with toũ̃os being largely absent from more elevated styles (unless, paradoxically, the writer/speaker is imitating katharevousa oṽoc), it being considered to sound rather vulgar, at least when it is used about a person; the same is true of aúroũ, which traditionally corresponded not to toṽтos but to aútós. A two-term system now prevails, aútós being the unmarked form, indicating referents close to either speaker or hearer, while $\dot{\text { éкeivos is the marked form, for referents distant from both. }}$

When the demonstratives are used to indicate a referent which is present not in reality but in the discourse, aúrós may stand in opposition to èкєі̀роs, av̇тós meaning 'the latter', е̇кєivos 'the former'. 'Екєїроs is often used to indicate a change of grammatical subject:
 $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ äкovo $\epsilon$ 'she begged her daughter to return, but she [i.e. the daughter] did not listen (to her).'
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Aúrós however is commonly used to refer to an indefinite subject modified by a relative clause:
(2) aùtoi mov́ $\lambda$ éve тétoua $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau a ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ ' t h o s e ~ w h o ~ s a y ~ s u c h ~$ things . . .'

The neuter aútó is used to refer to the content of a whole phrase, whether preceding or following:
(3) Mooós тóv $\sigma \kappa o ́ \tau \omega \sigma \epsilon$; Aútó vá $\mu о v ̃ \pi \epsilon i \varsigma . ~ ' W h o ~ k i l l e d ~ h i m ? ~ T h a t ' s ~$ what you should tell me.'

An important function of aúvós in speech is as a substitute for a noun which the speaker cannot bring to mind: it is normally preceded by the definite article, and is often followed characteristically by $\pi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$ ( $\tau o ́$ ) $\lambda \epsilon \in \nu \epsilon$; 'what's (it) called?'. It may even be used in the vocative:
(4) $\delta o ́ s ~ \mu o v ~ \tau o ́ ~ a v ̛ \tau o ́ ~[, ~ \pi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma ~ \tau o ́ \lambda \epsilon e ́ v \epsilon ;] ~ ' g i v e ~ m e ~ t h e ~ t h i n g u m m y ~[, ~ w h a t ' s ~$ it called?'];

 name?]'

This use of aùtós has also given rise to a verb, aùr $\omega \nu \omega$ 'I whatsit', when the speaker cannot recall (or, in its vulgar use, does not want to utter) the relevant verb:
 oovv] $\tau \delta \delta \delta \delta \dot{\alpha} o$ 'the machines ar' coming today to whatsit [ $=$ resurface] the road.'

The above use of au̇rós should not be confused with another meaning of $\dot{o}$ avizós, namely 'the same' (see 4.5.3.1).

The other MG demonstratives are $\tau$ ézolos 'such [a]; that sort of' and tóoos 'so much/many'.

### 6.3.3 REFLEXIVE AND RECIPROCAL EXPRESSIONS

With many verbs, reflexive and reciprocal meanings can be achieved with the use of the passive; the construction $\dot{o}$ évas $\tau \dot{\nu} \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda o \nu$ (only used with verbs in active sense) may also convey reciprocal action (see 3.1.2.2 and 3.1.2.3).

With these alternatives available for the expression of reflexive meaning, the reflexive $\delta$ éaviós ( $\mu o v$ ) is not used as frequently as the reflexive pronoun is in French or English. In fact, $\delta$ éaurós ( $\mu \mathbf{o v}$ ) tends
to be used mostly when it does not function as the direct object of a verb, except when the reflexive has a relationship with some other word(s) in the same sentence:
 to admit it to herself';
(2) кацıá форá $\pi$ เávovuє тóv є̀avtó $\mu a \varsigma$ vá $\lambda \epsilon ́ \epsilon \iota ~ \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau a ~ \pi o v ́ ~$ $\delta \epsilon ́ ~ \vartheta \epsilon ́ \lambda o v \mu \epsilon ~ \nu a ́ ~ \pi o u ̃ \mu \epsilon ~ ' s o m e t i m e s ~ w e ~ c a t c h ~ o u r s e l v e s ~ s a y i n g ~$ things we don't want to say.'

As in English, so in MG, a personal pronoun, not a reflexive, is used after prepositional phrases expressing spatial relationship:
(3) коiтaझॄ $\gamma \dot{\rho} \rho \omega \tau \eta \varsigma$ 'she looked around her';
(4) $\check{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \lambda \epsilon \phi \tau a ́ ~ \pi a ́ v \omega ~ \sigma o v ; ~ ‘ h a v e ~ y o u ~[a n y] ~ m o n e y ~ o n ~ y o u ? ' ~$

### 6.3.4 INTENSIVE PRONOUNS

The pronoun $\delta$ i $\delta \omega o s$ has two different functions. When followed immediately by a noun, it means 'the same'; when followed by a definite article modifying a noun, or when preceded by a pronoun or by a noun with a definite article, it means '(my)self' not in a reflexive but in an emphatic sense. In each sense, $\dot{\delta}$ ícos may also be used (as in (4) and (5)) without modifying a stated pronoun or noun:
 times';

 himself;

(5) $\vartheta a ́ ~ \tau o ́ ~ к a ́ v e \iota ~ o ́ ~ i ̂ ́ l o s ~ ' h e ' l l ~ d o ~ i t ~ h i m s e l f . ' ~$
' O i $\delta \omega$ os may be used by a speaker on the telephone to inform the caller that (s)he is the person sought:
 poulos, please." "Speaking." '
'O ílos may be used with a possessive personal pronoun when the emphasis is not on the possessor but on what is possessed (contrast $\delta<\kappa o ́ s(\mu \nu v)$ ), and even with the reflexive $\tau o ́ v$ èavoó ( $\mu \circ v$ ):
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 èavtó 'we don't say such things even to our own selves.'

The intensive pronoun $\mu o ́ v o s$ ( $\mu 0 v$ ) 'by myself' may be used, like its English rendering, to mean 'in solitude' or 'without assistance' (see 2.2.4.3). It may also be used in a reflexive sense: see 3.1.2.2.

### 6.3.5 INTERROGATIVE, INDEFINITE, AND PARTITIVE PRONOUNS

The interrogative pronouns $\pi i$ 'what' and $\pi o l o$ s 'who; which' (like the interrogative adverbs) are used in both direct and indirect questions; each may be used with or without a noun. When a person is referred to, but a noun is absent, moós is used. When $\tau i$ or $\pi o o{ }^{\prime}$ is used with a noun (whether the referent is a person or not), the difference in meaning is broadly similar to that between 'what' and 'which' in English: i.e. noós is used to refer to one or more of a specified group of referents, while $\tau i$ is more universal (often with the implication 'what sort of'):
 $\mu a s$ 'we see what [linguistic] concoctions certain of our public speakers are led to' (Kri. 1979: 34);
 'which is the conclusion we should now reach [lit. 'where we must finish']?' (ibid.: 38).
$T i$ is often used to express disbelief or conu diction when it precedes a word or phrase repeated from the interlocutor's speech:
(3) - $\Delta o v \lambda \epsilon v ́ \omega ~ \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho a ́ . ~-~ T i ́ ~ \sigma к \lambda \eta \rho a ́ ~ \beta \rho \epsilon ́, ~ \pi o v ́ ~ \beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ ð ̀ \lambda \eta ~$ $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho a ~ \tau \eta \lambda \epsilon \delta \dot{\rho} a \sigma \eta ;$ ' "I work hard." "What [do you mean,] hard, when you watch television all day?",

Ti may often be substituted for raati (cf. English 'what for') when the meaning is clear from the context:

$T i$ is also used as an exclamatory word, especially with an adjective or adverb:
(5) $\tau i \dot{\omega} \rho a i a \pi n o v ́ ~ \epsilon i ̋ \sigma a!!~ ' h o w ~ b e a u t i f u l ~ y o u ~ a r e!’ ~$

Finally, it may be used to indicate that the difference between the referents of two nouns is minimal:
(6) $\tau i \Lambda \omega \zeta a ́ v \nu \eta, \tau i$ Ko̧ávq; 'Kozani is every bit as civilized as Lausanne' (lit. 'what Lausanne, what Kozani?').

The equivalents of the interrogative pronouns in declarative sentences are кáтı 'something', $\tau o ́ ~ к a ́ \vartheta \vartheta є \tau i ́ ~ ' e v e r y ~ s i n g l e ~ t h i n g ', ~ к a ́ \pi o \iota o s ~ ' s o m e o n e ', ~$ and ( $\delta$ ) кaখ̀́vas 'each one'. While $\tau \dot{\delta} \kappa \dot{a} \vartheta \epsilon \epsilon i$ may not be used with a noun, кátı may modify an animate or inanimate noun in the plural (i.e. it may appear as a partitive determiner, in which case it is never obligatory: (7)); kaখ白vas is not normally used with a noun (contrast $\kappa \alpha ́ \vartheta \epsilon$ 'each, every') and may refer only to a person (no plural); and кárooos may refer only to a person when it is used without a noun, but with a noun it may have an animate or inanimate referent (8):
 could be omitted or replaced by кároюю);
(8) $\tau o ́ ~ \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi o ́ ~ \tau \eta \varsigma ~ ф а \nu \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu \epsilon ~ к а ́ \pi о \iota a ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu o \chi \omega ́ \rho ı a ~ ' h e r ~ f a c e ~ d i s-~$ played a certain worry.'

The negative equivalents are tímoтa 'nothing' and kavévas 'no one; no' (which in these meanings always co-occur with a verbal negative except when used elliptically in a verbless sentence). These may also be used non-negatively in 'yes/no' questions ('anything', 'anyone; any') and in sentences expressing possibility, that is, especially with verbs in the subjunctive or imperative ('something or other', 'some[one] or other'). When tímota is used with a noun (animate or inanimate), the latter must be plural; kavévas refers to a person when used without a noun, but may refer equally to an animate or an inanimate referent when used with a noun:

(9b) — Ti $\vartheta є ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \varsigma ; ~ — ~ T i \pi o \tau a . ' ~ ' W h a t ~ d o ~ y o u ~ w a n t ? " ' ~ ' N o t h i n g . " ~ ' ; ~ ;$
(10a) $\delta \epsilon ́ \beta \rho \eta \dot{\kappa а \mu \epsilon ~ к а \nu \epsilon ́ \nu a \nu ~ ‘ w e ~ d i d n ’ t ~ f i n d ~ a n y o n e ' ; ~}$
(10b) - Поoóv ßрйкатє; - Kavévav. "Whom did you find?" "No one." ';
(11) $\psi \omega \dot{\nu} \ell \sigma \epsilon \varsigma ~ \tau i \pi \sigma \tau a ~ ф \rho o u ̄ \tau a ; ~ ‘ d i d ~ y o u ~ b u y ~ a n y ~ f r u i t(s) ~ a t ~ a l l ? ’ ; ~$
 some disease or other in there';
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（13）фє́pe $\mu a s$ каí кацıá татáтa т $\eta \gamma a \nu \eta \tau \eta$＇＇bring us some chips ［lit．＇some fried potato or other＇］too．＇

Kavévas，especially in its non－adjectival personal use，has an alternative form кaveis in the masculine nominative singular．When used after a verb without a negative，kaveis means＇one＇（French on）；in this mean－ ing，kaveis is uninflected for gender and may only be the subject of the verb．

There are some assertive uses of kavévas．These include the phrase ками́ форá＇from time to time，occasionally＇，and constructions with a group of nouns denoting approximate number（e．g．каці́ סєкарiá ＇about ten＇）．

In speech，the genitive of кámolos and kavévas，when used as an indirect object referring to a person，is often replaced by кámov （lit．＇somewhere＇）and $\pi o v \vartheta \epsilon v a ́ ~(' n o w h e r e ') ~ r e s p e c t i v e l y . ~ A ~ s i m i l a r ~$ phenomenon occurs with $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda o \tilde{v}$＇elsewhere＇，which is often used to mean＇to someone else＇；and sometimes with $\pi o \bar{u}$（＇where＇）for＇to whom＇：
（14）$\mu \dot{\eta} \nu \tau o ́ ~ \pi \epsilon \tau a ̆ \varsigma — \delta o ́ s ~ \tau o ~ к a ́ \pi o v ~ ' d o n ' t ~ t h r o w ~ i t ~ a w a y — g i v e ~ i t ~$ to someone＇；
（15）à à $o v o ~ v a ́ ~ \tau a ́ ~ \lambda e ́ s ~ a u ́ t a ́!~ ‘ d o n ’ t ~ g i v e ~ m e ~ t h a t ~ n o n s e n s e!' ~(l i t . ~$ ＇elsewhere to them you－say these＇）；
$\pi o \tilde{v}$ émoaaбєऽ；＇whom do you take after？＇（lit．＇where you－ resembled？＇）．

There are no partitive pronouns for use with singular nouns：
（17a）ク̈ $\pi \iota a \mu \epsilon \kappa a ́ t \iota ~ ' w e ~ d r a n k ~ s o m e t h i n g ' ; ~$
（17b）ク̈̆тааєє крабi＇we drank wine／some wine＇；
（18a）ク̈таатє тіпота；‘did you drink anything？’；
（18b）ク̈ $\pi \iota a \tau \epsilon ~ к \rho a \sigma i ;$＇did you drink wine／any wine？＇；

（19b）$\delta \dot{\nu} \nu$ ク̈ $\pi \iota a \mu \epsilon ~ к \rho a \sigma i ~ ‘ w e ~ d i d n ’ t ~ d r i n k ~ w i n e / a n y ~ w i n e . ' ~$
Nothing need be said about the quantifiers modv́s＇much；many＇and $\lambda$ íos＇（a）little；（a）few＇，except that the latter is more often used in a negative（＝＇not much／many＇）than in a positive sense．The positive quantifiers include $\mu \in \rho \kappa \kappa o l$＇some，a few＇（always plural）and ка́ $\mu \pi о \sigma o s$ ＇quite a lot of＇．

TAble 6.1. Correspondence table of proforms



## THE CLAUSE: WORD ORDER; CO-ORDINATION; NEGATION

### 7.1 WORD ORDER IN THE CLAUSE

### 7.1.1 THE ORDER OF SUBJECT, VERB, AND DIRECT OBJECT

As has been said before, MG presents a high degree of flexibility in its word order. Since MG is a highly inflected language, it is normally clear from the morphological forms which word or phrase is the subject of the verb and which is the object. (In this section, 'object' refers to a noun phrase (not a clitic pronoun) used as the direct object of a verb.) Thus word order in MG does not serve a syntactical function, as it does in English, where the order SVO (Subject-Verb-Object) is almost always obligatory in a declarative clause. This does not however mean that any order of subject, verb, and object in MG has precisely the same sense as any other; word order in MG is u_ed (together with clitic pronouns, and with intonation in speech) to indicate which part of the clause contains the new information which is being conveyed (the focus).

What is certain is that the order SVO in MG is not the only neutral one (i.e. that in which no part of the clause bears more emphasis than any other, because each element conveys equally new information). Warburton (1982) has shown that the order SVO may be the result of the thematization of the subject, that is, the process whereby the theme (the already known topic of the sentence) is placed early in the clause as the subject of the verb: the focus, if any, tends to be placed at the end. MG tends to have end-weight: i.e. the main emphasis of a sentence regularly falls at the end, unless some other part of the sentence is heavily stressed in speech. (A distinction should be made here between stress, which is phonetic, and emphasis, which is semantic.) If one
examines sentences which have no theme or topic (e.g. ones that begin a new subject of conversation and do not presuppose that the hearer knows any of the information contained in them), one notices that a large number of them have the order VSO. Thus the order VSO must be considered as being one of the neutral orders:
 'Eגútทs $\tau o ́$ Nó $\mu \pi \epsilon \lambda$. 'Have you heard the news? Elytis has won the Nobel [Prize].'
Here the speaker is not trying to emphasize that it is Elytis (and not another writer) who has won the prize, nor that Elytis has won the Nobel Prize rather than any other prize; (s)he is simply stating a bare fact. Nevertheless, in normal speech, the strongest stress (and emphasis) in an unmarked sentence will fall on the last phonological word.

When a neutral clause has no object or complement, the order VS is far more likely than SV: indeed, SV is normally impossible if the verb denotes existence or occurrence (this last seems to be a tendency in English too):
 there was a king';
 heard? There's been an earthquake in Salonica.'
Horrocks (1980) has formulated some convincing rules about MG word order. According to his analysis, SVO and VSO are the neutral orders in declarative main clauses and in subordinate clauses introduced by $\partial \tau \iota / \pi \dot{\omega} s$. One could also add causal clauses introduced by $\gamma a \pi i$, $\epsilon \pi \epsilon i \delta \dot{\eta}$ and $\dot{a} \phi o \tilde{v}$, or, roughly, most of those types of clause (except relative clauses) which are not introduced by a subjunctive marker. The word order in yes/no interrogative sentences is normally no different. Horrocks gives the following examples (which I have slightly amplified):
(4a) ò $\pi a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a \varsigma ~ \mu о v ~ \delta ц a ß a ́ \zeta є \iota ~ K a ̧ a \nu \tau \zeta a ́ к \eta ~(S V O) ; ~ ;$


 reading/reads Kazantzakis.'

The following alternative interpretations may be made of each of (4a)-(4d):
(4a) (i) No particular emphasis (neutral); in speech, main stress fall on last word;
(ii) Heavy stress on subject: 'it's my father (not anyone else) who . . .';
(iii) Heavy stress on verb: 'my father is reading [or 'does read'] Kazantzakis' (contradicting previous speaker);
(iv) Heavy stress on object: 'it's Kazantzakis (not any other author) that. . $\therefore$
(4b) (i) Heavy stress on object: as (4a) (iv);
(ii) Heavy stress on verb: rather like (4a) (iii), but more tentative; the meaning of the verb is likely to be habitual rather than progressive, and the clause is likely to be followed by some qualification (e.g. 'my father does read K., but not often');
(iii) Heavy stress on subject: as (4a) (ii).
(4c) (i) No particular emphasis (as (4a) (i));
(ii) Heavy stress on verb: as (4a) (iii).
(4d) (i) Heavy stress on verb: as (4a) (iii);
(ii) Heavy stress on object: as (4a) (iv).

The most frequently found orders for each type of emphasis are the following:
(i) No particular emphasis: SVO or VSO;
(ii) Heavy emphasis on subject: OVS;
(iii) Heavy emphasis on verb: VOS;
(iv) Heavy emphasis on object: OVS.

It should be pointed out that not all the possible interpretations have been included in the above list, and that it is not impossible for the verb to appear at the end of the clause. In addition, as we have seen, when the object is a noun preceded by the definite article, special emphasis can be indicated by the presence or absence of a proleptic or resumptive pronoun (6.3.1.1).

In direct and indirect question clauses introduced by interrogative adverbs (or by interrogative pronouns governed by prepositions), SVO, VSO, and VOS are all possible neutral orders (Horrocks 1980). Nevertheless, the verb more usually comes first (i.e. immediately after the interrogative word):
(5a) пóтє є̈ $\gamma \rho a \psi \epsilon$ ò Г Гávvŋs tó $\gamma \rho a ́ \mu \mu a$;

> 'when did John write the letter?'

In direct and indirect question clauses introduced by interrogative pronouns, in which the interrogative word is the subject or direct object of the verb, the verb must stand immediately after the interrogative pronoun:

(7) $\delta \in ́ v ~ \xi ́ \epsilon ́ \rho \omega ~ \pi o l o ́ s ~ \vartheta a ́ ~ \beta \rho \epsilon i ~ \tau \eta ̀ ~ \lambda u ́ \sigma \eta ~ ‘ I ~ d o n ’ t ~ k n o w ~ w h o ~ w i l l ~ f i n d ~$ the solution.'
 noun phrase $\tau i$ סukaю入oria cannot be split, the $\tau i$ acting not as a pronoun proper but as a determiner.) When the interrogative pronoun is in the genitive, the verb may precede or follow the other constituents of the clause:
 know whose house I was in';
(9) tivos $\pi$ awi $\epsilon l \sigma a l ;$ 'whose child are you?'

In other subordinate clauses the most common neutral order is for the verb immediately to follow the conjunction. Nevertheless, in written styles (but never in $\nu \dot{d}$ - or ă $\rho$-clauses), the subject is often placed before the verb. It is possible that in writing, Greek speakers have been influenced by katharevousa, which tries to approximate to the SVO order of French, and that this influence extends even to those kinds of subordinate clause in which this order was not frequently found in natural speech. Moreover, today the written order seems to have influenced the spoken, especially when the subject is emphasized:

 back at the first sign and you will give [it] to her again when she herself asks for the food.'

Again, if the verb denotes existence or occurrence, the order is always VS. The relative pronoun nov́ always comes at the beginning of the clause, whether it is the subject or direct object, or neither; and it is almost always followed immediately by the verb. When the genitive of the relative pronoun $\delta$ d $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ oios is used, the subject or object frequently comes immediately after it:
 то́ Полєцєко́ цая Navтко́ ота́ Navтŋүєїа इкарацаүка̄ . . .
'the ten patrol vessels, whose construction our (Military) Navy has entrusted to the Skaramanga Shipyards . . . (T 2 July 1981, 26).
In $\nu \dot{d}$-clauses, the verb must immediately follow $\nu \dot{a}$. But the topic of the vá-clause (subject or object) may be placed before vá so that the emphasis may fall on the focus at the end of the clause:
 to marry Mimis';
 marry Mimis';
 went by without Peter (nom.) noticing it';
 went by without Peter (nom.) noticing it.'

Nevertheless, as has been mentioned before, thematization of a direct object may be achieved by the use of a clitic pronoun, irrespective of word order. Thus (12c) has the same emphasis as (12b) (i.e. on the subject of the subordinate verb):
(12c) $\vartheta \notin \lambda \omega ~ \nu a ́ ~ \tau o ́ v ~ \pi a \nu \tau \rho \epsilon v \tau \epsilon i ̄ ~ \eta ̀ ~ Р о v ́ \lambda a ~ \tau o ́ ~ М i ́ \mu \eta . ~$
When the main stress of a clause falls at the end, any part of the clause from the end forward may convey new information (14); but when the heavy stress falls further forward, nothing after the stress is new information ((15)-(17)). The $\mathrm{J}^{-+}$ter phenomenon is shown especially in answers which partially echo their questions:
(14) - Tí кávє $\epsilon \epsilon \tau \dot{\rho} \rho a$; - חã $\mu \epsilon \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ 'A $\vartheta \dot{\eta} \nu a$. "What are you doing now?" "We're going to Athens" ';
 going now?" "We're going to Athens"';
 day?" "No, I'm going today"';
 Sakis pass?" "No, they failed the poor fellow.",

In (15) and (16) the information after the emphasized words is repeated from the question (thus it constitutes the topic); and in (17) the last two words add no new information to what has already been said.

In some styles, however, especially in journalism and broadcasting, the focus is often placed at the very beginning of a long sentence:

 'the National Meteorological Service forecasts good weather with local cloud in mainland [Greece] (for) today';

 shop in the Nea Elvetia district barefoot, and left wearing shoes!' (the emphasis in the first clause is on the fact that he was barefoot) ( $T 4$ Nov. 1982, 64).

A similar front-focusing occurs in elliptical press headlines:
(20) $\mu a ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \rho a s ~ o ̀ ~ \delta o \lambda o ф o ́ v o s ~ ' t h e ~ m u r d e r e r ~[i s / w a s ~ a] ~ c o o k . ' ~$

### 7.1.2 THE POSITION OF ADVERBIALS

Just as there is a large measure of flexibility in the order of subject, verb, and direct object in MG, so adverbs and adverbial phrases may be placed in various positions in the clause. It is difficult to generalize on this matter; but a characteristic position of an adverbial of time, as also that of a sentential adverb, is at the beginning of a clause, while an adverbial of manner usually immediately follows the verb (it hardly ever immediately precedes the verb unless it is to receive special emphasis); when an adverbial of time and an adverbial of place immediately follow one another, the former usually precedes the latter. Again, there are some constraints (optional or obligatory) operating according to the type of clause concerned. When the direct object is the topic of the clause, adverbials are normally placed late in the clause ( $\tau \delta \delta \nu$ חaü $\lambda o$
 ordinate clause, an adverbial does not usually stand before the verb (indeed, in a $\nu$ da-clause it cannot). Nevertheless, exceptions can be found:
 wash once [ $=$ on a certain occasion], (s)he'll wash next time';
(2) $\pi \rho \dot{\nu}$ калá-ка入á ката入áß $\omega$ $\tau i ́ \gamma \dot{\nu} \in \tau a \iota$. . . 'before I realized properly what was happening . . $\therefore$

Finally, it is characteristic of MG that the chief constituents of a sentence are often found far removed from one another. In the following example, taken from an oral source, note how far removed
the $\nu \dot{\alpha}$ of the subordinate clause is from the adjective $\dot{e} \nu \delta \in \chi \chi \dot{\delta} \mu \nu 0$ which governs it:


 тá èvтоца aùtá 'it is quite possible [for] the figs, during their transportation from the insecticide chambers to the processing areas, and even during the processing, to undergo infection from these insects.'

### 7.2 CO-ORDINATION; KAI AS CO-ORDINATING CONJUNCTION AND FOCUSING PARTICLE

The chief co-ordinating conjunctions in MG are: kai 'and', $\grave{\eta}$ 'or', $\grave{\eta}$. . . $\grave{\eta}$ or $\epsilon \ddot{\tau} \tau \epsilon \ldots$. . $\epsilon \grave{\tau} \tau \epsilon$ 'either . . . or' (the latter may also subordinate: 'whether . . . or'), $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda a ́$ and $\mu \dot{a}$ 'but', $\partial \mu \omega \varsigma$ and $\dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \delta \delta \sigma o$ 'however' (the former often standing after the first word in the clause), $\epsilon \nu \dot{\omega}$ 'whereas' (also a subordinating temporal conjunction: 'while') and őxı $\mu$ оvo ...
 anything from single words to whole clauses, while the rest are normally used only to co-ordinate clauses. One should also add $\delta \epsilon$ 'but', which never stands first in a clause; although it is of katharevousa origin, it is frequent in speech, but not in creative literature.

The conjunction kai is by far the most frequently used of all these: its functions are wide-ranging. When dou' 'ed (каi . . . кai), it means 'both . . . and'. In this sense it acts as a focusing particle, placing emphasis on the word or phrase which immediately follows (as a focusing particle, it is often stressed in speech):
 È $\dagger \rho \gamma \eta \tau \kappa \dot{\eta}$ 'the passive voice both exists and is different from the active' (i.e. 'not only does it exist but it is different').

Even without being doubled, кai is very frequently used as a focusing particle, with the sense of 'also' or 'even', although often it is not possible to render it precisely in English. In this function, kai may be placed before nouns, adjectives, adverbs, pronouns, and prepositions, but not often before verbs.
 Angela today too';
 phoned Angela today';
 Angela too today';
(3) $\delta e ́ v ~ \tau \tilde{\eta} s$ ékava каi тimoтa 'I didn’t really do anything to her';

 are, Marina dear, but . . ' (the implication is that she could improve!);
(6) кátoє $\kappa \iota$ è $\sigma$ ' 'you too sit down' (imperative).

As is exemplified by (2c), kai is not placed between any of certain prepositions (à $\pi \delta \mathbf{o}, \gamma \dot{a}, \mu \dot{\epsilon}, \sigma \dot{\epsilon}$ ) and its noun phrase. Kaí may be followed by a third-person pronoun even when the noun it refers to is specified in the sentence:
 meaning as (2b)).
Since kai is not often used to focus a verb, the phrase кai $\lambda i \gamma o$ (lit. 'a little also') is frequently used instead in colloquial speech:
(7) à $\eta \eta \sigma v \chi \tilde{\omega}$ кai $\lambda i \not \gamma o$ 'I'm also worried.'

Kai may also be used before certain conjunctions in order to focus the whole of the following clause (e.g. кı äs 'even though', $\kappa \iota a ̈ \nu$ or кai $\nu$ á 'even if': but cf. ä $\nu \kappa a i$ 'although'); but кai may also be used as a focusing particle with ăs or $\nu$ d́ outside these set expressions:
(8) $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota$ кaí $\nu a ́ ~ \epsilon ̈ \lambda \eta \xi \epsilon$ 'it can't have finished' (i.e. 'it might have done all sorts of things, but it can't have finished');
 chair as well' (i.e. 'haven't you done enough already, without taking . . .?').

Spoken Greek uses parataxis (as opposed to subordination of clauses) far more than certain Western European languages. As well as meaning simply 'and' (and often 'but'), kai may also introduce a clause which substitutes for one of the following: a participle or a temporal clause (10); a vá-clause ( (11-15)); a relative clause (16); or a clause expressing result (17-20) or reason ((21) and (22)). A kai-clause may also stand as the apodosis in the equivalent of a conditional sentence ( (23) and (24)), including a negative condition ( (25) and (26)):

$\tau \eta \lambda \epsilon o ́ \rho a \sigma \eta$ 'he was on the first floor of his house (and he was) watching television' ( $=\beta \lambda \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \pi \nu \tau a \varsigma / \dot{\epsilon} \nu \bar{\omega}$ ё $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon$ );
(11) à $\rho \chi i \zeta \omega$ кai $\pi \epsilon \omega a ́ \omega$ 'I'm beginning to get hungry' (= vá $\pi \epsilon \omega a ́ \omega$ );
(12) $\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \omega$ каі $\chi a \mu о \gamma \epsilon \lambda a \bar{s}$ ‘I see you're smiling' (cf. $\sigma \epsilon ́ ~ \beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \omega ~ \nu a ́ / ~$ $\pi o v ́ ~ \chi a \mu o \gamma \epsilon \lambda a ̃ s ~ ' I ~ c a n ~ s e e ~ y o u ~ s m i l i n g ') ; ~$
(13) тóv äкоvба каi фढ́va̧є 'I heard him shouting’ (= vá фفvá̧єı/ $\pi o v ́ \phi \omega ́ v a \zeta \epsilon) ;$
(14) $\mu \pi о \rho о \tilde{\sigma \epsilon}$ каi $\tau \delta$ 'каvє '(s)he was able to do it and (s)he did it' ( $\nu$ á $\tau \dot{\text { ó }}$ кáveı would not make it clear whether or not (s)he succeeded);
(15) $\mu$ ' èккаєєऽ каi $\sigma \in ́ ~ \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \psi a$ 'you made me believe you' (= $\nu$ á $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \tau \in \dot{\psi}(\omega)$;
 a king who had two daughters' (= $=\pi 0$ é $\epsilon i \not \chi \epsilon)$;
 Why don't you speak?' (lit. 'what you-suffered and not youspeak?');
 can't find workmen and [that's why] he's not coming' (the last verb is not governed by $\mu \pi о \rho \in i \quad \nu a \dot{a}$ );
 $\vartheta$ đ́vató $\tau \eta \varsigma$; 'what harm can Iphigenia have done them for them now to desire her death?' (Hadz. 1976: 74);
(20) ка入á ëкаves $\kappa \iota \grave{\eta} \rho \vartheta \epsilon \varsigma$ 'you've done well to come' (in the future the second clause must be subordinated: ка入á $\vartheta \dot{a}$

 him';
(22) фáe $\kappa \iota$ єivaı $\dot{\omega} \rho a i o ~ \tau o ́ ~ \phi a i ̂ ~ ' e a t ~[u p], ~ t h e ~ f o o d ' s ~ l o v e l y ' ; ~$
(23) $\lambda \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \mu \epsilon \kappa a i \sigma^{\prime} \tau o ́ \delta \epsilon i \chi \nu \nu \omega$ 'untie me and I['ll] show you (it)';
(24) $\tau \dot{\prime}$ тарацкко́ каi фúyацє '[if you do] the slightest thing, we're leaving';
(25) ävoı $\epsilon$ tó taрáधvpo кai ७á бкáбovцє 'open the window or we'll suffocate';
(26) $\psi \eta \lambda a ́ ~ \tau a ́ ~ \chi \epsilon ́ \rho ı a ~ к а i ́ ~ \sigma ’ ~ e ̈ ф а \gamma a!~ ‘ h a n d s ~ u p ~ o r ~ I ~ s h o o t!’ ~(l i t . ~ ‘ h i g h ~$ the hands and you I-ate').

It cannot be overemphasized how common such uses of $\kappa a i$ are, especially with the sense of result (e.g. (17)-(20)).

After verbs of perception kaí, like nov́ and vá, may clearly indicate
a change of grammatical subject even when both verbs are in the third person:
(27) $\beta \rho \tilde{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ ко́р $\boldsymbol{\kappa \iota}$ ëклаı子є 'he found the girl weeping' (= $\nu \dot{a}$ $\kappa \lambda a i \epsilon \iota / \pi о$ и́ éк $\lambda a \iota \gamma \epsilon$ ) (Seiler 1952: 117).

Here the imperfective of the second verb indicates temporal coincidence. One of the two characters was weeping at the same time as the man found the girl, and in such a context the present participle $\kappa \lambda a i-$ rovtas could have been used if the man were the subject. The perfective $\epsilon \kappa \lambda a \psi \epsilon$ would also refer to the man: he began weeping after finding the girl.

### 7.3 NEGATION

MG is unusual among European languages in possessing two different negative particles for the verb. M $\dot{\eta}(\nu)$ is used after $\nu$ da and äs, and to negate a participle (the morphological imperative is used only for positive commands: see 9.4.4.1), while $\delta \dot{\epsilon}(\nu)$ is used to negate any other form of the verb. It is certainly not true to say (as does Tzartzanos 1946: 280 n .) that $\delta \dot{v} \nu$ is used with the indicative and $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ with the subjunctive (if 'subjunctive' is defined according to morphological criteria), since each negative particle can be used with either mood: ă $\nu \delta \dot{\nu} \nu$
 tionally known as the 'aorist subjunctive'); $\mu \pi о \rho \epsilon i \quad \nu a ́ \mu \eta \nu \nu \eta \vartheta \vartheta a \nu$ (not
 tionally called the 'aorist indicative'). The act is that if one considers 'subjunctive' to be a morphological category, as do traditional grammarians, there is no precise correlation between negative and mood: while $\nu \dot{d}$ and ăs always take $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$, other particles and conjunctions never do so. The use of $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ rather than $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \nu($ apart from the participle) is conditioned purely by whether or not the verb is in a $\nu \dot{d}$ - or ăs-clause:
 meaning 'even if they don't come'. Although for this reason some linguists now reserve the term 'subjunctive' solely for vá- and ásclauses, calling verbs in all other finite forms 'indicative', the scheme adopted in this book has been to term 'subjunctive' all verbs in clauses introduced by subjunctive markers, with verbs in $\nu \dot{a}$ - and ăs-clauses being treated as belonging to a special category of subjunctives: see further, 9.3.

As well as $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ and $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$, there are the negatives $\delta \boldsymbol{\chi} \downarrow \iota$ and ơّ $\tau \epsilon(\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon)$.

We shall now examine the ways in which different types of words and phrases are negated.

As a substitute for a word or phrase, ő $\chi \iota$ or $\mu \dot{\eta}$ (not $\mu \dot{\eta} \underline{\underline{\nu}}$ ) is used. " $\mathrm{O} \subset \iota$ means ' $n o$ ' in response to a question or statement:
(1) — ©á $\pi a ̈ s ; ~-~ " O \chi ı . ~ ' ~ " W i l l ~ y o u ~ g o ? " ~ " N o " ' ~(o ̈ \chi \iota ~ h e r e ~ s t a n d s ~$ for $\delta \dot{́} \vartheta a ́ ~ \pi a ́ \omega ~ ' I ~ w o n ' t ~ g o ') . ~$
Similarly it means 'not' when substituting for a word or phrase in the same sentence:
(2) $\vartheta a ́ \pi a ̃ s ~ \eta ̈ ~ o ̋ x \iota ; ~ ‘ w i l l ~ y o u ~ g o ~ o r ~ n o t ? ’ ; ~$
(3) $\dot{o}$ ä $\nu \vartheta \rho \omega \pi o s, \mu a \rho \xi \iota \sigma \pi \eta \rho^{\prime} \eta \not \eta o ̈ \chi \iota, \ldots$. . a man, [whether a] Marxist or not. . . .
To negate a noun or adjective, producing in effect its antonym ('non-'), $\mu \dot{\eta}$ (not $\mu \dot{\eta} \underline{\underline{\prime}}$ ) may be placed before it (e.g. ò $\mu \dot{\eta}$ фaaioras 'the non-fascist', oi $\mu \dot{\eta}$ סaavooú $\mu \in \nu o i$ 'non-intellectuals'). Sometimes, under the influence of katharevousa, $\mu \dot{\eta}$ (not $\mu \dot{\eta} \underline{\nu}$ ) is used instead of öxı in similar circumstances to (3):
 $\tau \eta \tau a \varsigma ~ \eta ้ ~ \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \tau a \omega t \bar{\omega} \nu \pi o v ́ \pi \rho o ß a ́ \lambda \lambda o v \nu$ 'cinema managers must themselves check/control the degree of seemliness or otherwise of the films they show' ( $\mu \dot{\eta}$ here seems to stand for a non-existent noun derivative of ä $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu 0$ s 'unseemly, obscene') (T 25 Feb. 1982, 82);
(5) $\tau$ á паркарıбнéva каí $\mu \dot{\eta}$ IX 'those private cars that are parked and those that are not' (for 'IX' see 10.3.5).

Similarly, öx $\iota$ can be used before most parts of speech in a verbless sentence:
(6) ö $\chi \iota$ モ̇ $\delta \tilde{\omega}, \dot{\text { è } \kappa \epsilon і ̈ ~ ' n o t ~ h e r e, ~ t h e r e ' ; ~}$
(7) őxı $\grave{\eta} \Pi o ́ \pi \eta, \grave{\eta}$ Péva 'not Poppy, [but] Rena'.

M $\eta$ (not $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ ) may also be used on its own as a universal negative imperative ('don't!'), or, again, elliptically, before most parts of speech when a verb form which would normally be negated by $\mu \dot{\eta}(\nu)$ is understood:
(8) $\mu \dot{\eta} \chi \in \iota \rho \dot{\partial} \tau \epsilon \rho a!$ (an elliptical expression of disapproval, suggesting, 'may I never live to see worse than this');
(9) $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau a ́ \chi \chi \in ́ \rho!a \operatorname{\sigma ov}$ ë $\xi \omega$ 'don't [put] your hands out' (e.g. 'of the window').

A noun after $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ used in this way is normally in the accusative.
"Oxt is often used as a phrase negator: that is, it may negate most parts of speech that are present in the clause: noun (10), adjective (11), numeral (12), adverb (13), or clause ((14)-(15)):

 not [only] could there be no question of marriage between us, but not even of a permanent liaison' (Kar. n.d.: II 72-3);
(11) $\mu \grave{a}$ à $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta}$, ő $\chi \iota ~ \sigma \eta \mu a \nu \tau \kappa \dot{\eta} \pi \rho o \sigma a \rho \mu \sigma \gamma \dot{\eta}$ 'a simple, not significant adjustment' (= à $\sigma \dot{\eta} \mu a \nu \tau \eta$ 'insignificant');
 Nobel [prizes]' (Kar. n.d.: I 62);
 [in football]' (i.e. 'not very dangerous');
 $\tau 00$ 'I was obliged not [simply] to inform [him], but almost to obtain his permission' (Kar. n.d.: I 62);
 (here the negative covers the whole clause, not just the verb).

After ä $\nu$, the negative in such cases is normally obx (16), but under the influence of katharevousa $\mu \dot{\eta}$ is occasionally found (17):

(17) eỉvą $\delta \dot{v} \sigma \kappa o \lambda o-a ̈ \nu ~ \mu \eta$ ád $\delta \dot{v} v a \tau o \nu$ 'it is difficult-if not impossible.'

The set phrase ä $\nu \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \iota a ̆ \lambda \lambda o$ 'if nothing else' is frequently used, although it is sometimes found in demotic translation (ă $\nu$ ö $\chi \iota \tau i \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon a ̆ \lambda \lambda o$ ).

Although in a negative sentence non-assertive proforms are characteristically used, assertive proforms are not excluded:
 $\pi \epsilon \rho \imath \vartheta \dot{\omega} \rho i a$ vá $\pi \dot{\omega} \dot{\partial} \tau \iota \delta \dot{\eta} \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon$ 'he throws so much light on the whole affair that there remains no room for me to say anything' ( $A 9$ May 1982) (cf. тimoтa 'nothing').

The negative particle oúr $\boldsymbol{\text { (sometimes } \mu \dot { \eta } \tau \epsilon \text { ) may substitute for or }}$ co-occur with $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\nu}$ or $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ in an indicative or subjunctive clause with the meaning 'neither', 'nor', or 'not even'. It may also stand for $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ in front of a participle; and oúrє $\nu \dot{d}$ may substitute for $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ in a negative command. When oưre precedes the verb, a second negative particle ( $\delta \in \in \nu$ or
$\mu \dot{\eta} \nu)$ is not normally added, but is not excluded; when it follows the verb, however, another negative is obligatory:
 you listened to me', or 'nor did you listen to me either';
 ко入ov७oṽøє 'not only was he not encouraging the discussion, but he was not even following it', or '. . . but he was not following it either' (Kar. n.d.: I 133);
 a/one moment';
 'he neglected [his] business, and he did not even appear at the shop' (here oört is equivalent to kai סév 'and not'; кáv 'even' adds further emphasis);
 (lit. 'I want neither the one nor the other').

In (19), (21), and (23), it is either possible or obligatory for two negatives to appear in the same clause. Within the clause two negatives do not cancel each other out but reinforce each other. Nevertheless, two negatives usually retain their separate force when they appear in different clauses, whether these clauses are co-ordinated, or whether one of them is subjunctive and subordinated to the other (as in (24) and (25)):
(24) $\delta \in ́ v \nu \pi o \rho \tilde{\omega} \nu a ́ \mu \eta \dot{\nu} \nu \pi a ́ \omega ~ ' I ~ c a n ' t ~ n o t ~ g o ', ~ o r ~ ' I ~ c a n ' t ~ a v o i d ~ g o i n g ' ; ~ ;$
(25) $\delta e ́ v$ ä $\nu \tau \epsilon \xi a$ vá $\mu \eta{ }^{\eta} \nu \tau o ́ ~ \kappa a ́ v \omega ~ ' I ~ c o u l d n ' t ~ r e s i s t ~ d o i n g ~ i t ' ~(l i t . ~$ 'I didn't resist not to do it').

The negative of a main verb may carry its force into an indicative subordinate clause:
 oтóv $\chi$ аракт $\dot{\rho} \rho a \operatorname{\tau \eta }$ 'she doesn't think that any of the jobs she has done suited her character' ( $T 18$ Oct. 1979, 80) (the $\delta \dot{\prime} \nu$, which did not appear in the actual sentence quoted, could be optionally inserted, but then the sentence might mean, 'she doesn't think that none of the jobs . . .').

Sometimes, however, especially in colloquial speech, a negative may be used redundantly in a subordinate clause after a verb which is negative in meaning:
(27) à $\rho \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \vartheta \eta \kappa \epsilon \not \partial \tau \iota \delta \in \in ́ \nu \tau \delta \dot{\pi} \pi \bar{\rho} \rho \in$ 'he denied he had taken it' (lit. 'he denied he didn't take it').

Here the speaker was clearly influenced by the (actual or hypothetical) words of the person he is quoting ( $\delta e ́ v ~ \tau \delta \delta ~ \pi \tilde{\eta} \rho a$ 'I didn't take it'). Such a construction is consistent with what has been said (3.3.2) about the indirect speech clause preserving the structure of the direct speech in MG. In some constructions, however, the negative cannot cover the subordinate verb as well as the main verb:
(28) $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \nu \pi a ̃ \mu a \iota ~ \pi o v ́ ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \vartheta a v e ~ ' I ' m ~ n o t ~ s o r r y ~(s) h e ~ d i e d ' ~(t h e ~ f a c t ~ t h a t ~$ (s)he died is not disputed: contrast (26)).

Further examples of double negatives in which each preserves its
 careful not to go to sleep and miss hearing the bell') are given later (9.4.4.1).

Lastly, there are some idiomatic uses of $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ when placed between reduplicated instances of the same verb:

(30) $\vartheta \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \delta e ́ ~ \vartheta e ́ \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \varsigma, \pi \rho e ́ \pi \epsilon \iota ~ \nu a ́ ~ \pi a ̈ \varsigma ~ ' w h e t h e r ~ y o u ~ w a n t ~ t o ~ o r ~ n o t, ~$ you've got to go.'

## 8

## SUBORDINATING CONJUNCTIONS INTRODUCING INDICATIVE CLAUSES

### 8.1 THE USES OF חOY

$\Pi o v ́$ (not to be confused with the interrogative adverb $\pi 0 \tilde{v}$ 'where') has a multiplicity of uses. It is almost always a subordinating conjunction, and is not normally a subjunctive marker in itself (but see below, 8.1.3.5). Its three chief functions are to act (i) as a relative pronoun, (ii) as a semi-relative whose antecedent is not a noun or pronoun, and (iii) as an introductive of a clause governed by a verb or by a whole clause. In its first use, it introduces adjectival clauses, while in the others the clauses it introduces normally have an adverbial or nominal function.

### 8.1.1 חor AS A RELATIVE PRONOUN

This is the most important function of $\pi o v$, and $\pi o v$-clauses are by far the most common relative clauses. (Despite the fact that strictly it might be correct to talk about $\pi o v$ always as a conjunction rather than as a pronoun, the traditional approach will be adopted here for convenience.) In this function $\pi o v^{\text {e }}$ has a (stated or unstated) noun or pronoun as the antecedent whose semantic role $\pi o \delta$ then plays in the relative clause. Since $\pi o v v^{\prime}$ is indeclinable and therefore unmarked for gender, number and case, and since MG does not clearly indicate the subject and object of a verb by means of word order, there are instances where there may be some ambiguity about the syntactical function of $\pi o v$ in the relative clause. In some cases, the alternative relative pronoun o d dmoios, which is fully inflected, may serve to remove the ambiguity. 'O дтоїs, whose avoidance is often counselled by demoticist
grammarians as being clumsy and alien to the true demotic style, is found fairly frequently in speech and in non-literary writing even in circumstances in which $\pi o v$ would be quite unambiguous.

But $\pi o v$ is not restricted to standing for the subject or direct object of the verb in its clause. It may act as the indirect object or it may be the equivalent of a prepositional phrase. When $\pi 0 v$ acts as indirect object, a clitic pronoun in the genitive is normally placed before the verb in order to indicate that $\pi o v$ serves this function, just as a clitic pronoun in the accusative often precedes the verb when $\pi o v$ acts as a direct object (see 6.3.1.1). When $\pi 0$ v́ stands for a prepositional phrase, there may be no indication of its function other than its semantic context; but in cases of possible ambiguity the appropriate preposition followed by a pronoun is normally placed within the relative clause. The declinable $\delta \boldsymbol{\delta} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{o}$ s is especially useful in such circumstances.
 man who came is my uncle' ( $=\delta$ d $\boldsymbol{\sigma} 0 i \sigma$ );
(b) $\pi o v ́$ as direct object: (2) $\delta$ ă $\nu \vartheta \rho \rho \omega \pi o \varsigma \pi o v ́ \epsilon i \delta \epsilon \varsigma ~ \epsilon l v a \iota ~ \delta ~ \vartheta \epsilon i ̃ o s ~$ $\mu o v$ 'the man you saw is my uncle' (= $\tau \delta \nu \nu \dot{\delta} \pi o i o$ );
(c) $\pi o v ́$ as indirect object: (3) ò ă $\nu \vartheta \rho \rho \omega \pi o \varsigma ~ \pi o v ́ ~ \tau o v ̃ ~ \delta a ́ v \epsilon \iota \sigma a ~ \tau a ́ ~$ $\lambda \epsilon \phi \tau a ́ \epsilon l \nu a l ~ \delta \partial \epsilon i o s ~ \mu o v ~ ' t h e ~ m a n ~ I ~ l e n t ~ t h e ~ m o n e y ~ t o ~ i s ~ m y ~$ uncle' (= $\sigma \tau \delta \nu \nu ~ \grave{\pi} \pi o і ̈ o ~ \delta a ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \sigma a) ;$
(d) $\pi o v i$ standing for various prepositional phrases: (4) ol $\delta \rho a ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \varsigma$
 'the culprits had to cut the chains [with] which the crates were


 was a marvellous lover he would certainly have been an awful husband' (= $\gamma \dot{a}$ tís dmoï $\varsigma$ : the fact that $\gamma$ ua has already been used would have rendered any alternative construction cumbersome) (Kar. n.d.: I 180);
 [through] which the thief entered' (=à áo $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{o} \pi o i a)$;
(7) фтáбauє $\sigma \tau o ́ ~ \sigma \pi i \tau \iota ~ \pi o v ́ ~ к а \vartheta o ́ \tau a \nu ~ o ̀ ~ \pi o \iota \eta \tau \eta \dot{s}$ 'we reached the


 'when'] one couldn't go swimming in the nude' (= $\sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\partial} \pi o i a)$;
(e) as (d), but with prepositional phrase present: (9) $\tau \delta \sigma \chi 0 \lambda \epsilon i o$
 'which near it'] there was a plane-tree' (= коขтá oró d̀ $\pi о i ̃)$ (without koviá rov, the sentence would mean, 'the school where . . .').

According to the context, a given relative clause may have two quite different meanings:
 old man who takes/buys the wine live?' or 'where does the old man (s)he buys the wine from live?'

In addition to the above, the antecedent of mov may appear as a possessive genitive in the relative clause:
 gentleman whose wife we met [lit. 'who we met his wife'] is a doctor' (= $=0 \tilde{v} \delta \pi o i o v)$;
 whose parents have abandoned them'.

Since $\pi o v$ is not tied to any one syntactical relationship with the verb, it may be found in more than one different function in the same sentence (13) (and it could be said to perform two functions within the same clause in (12)):
$\vartheta a ́ ~ \sigma a ̃ ৎ ~ a ̀ m a \tau a ̃ ~ \beta e ́ ß a i a, ~ \mu e ́ ~ \gamma v \nu a i ̄ \kappa \epsilon ৎ ~ \pi o v ́ ~ \vartheta a ́ ~ t o ́ v ~ a ̀ \gamma a \pi o u ̃ \nu ~ к а i ́ ~$ $\delta E ́ \nu \vartheta$ ' à $\gamma a \pi a ́ \epsilon \iota ~ ' h e ' s ~ m o s t ~ p r o h ~ b l y ~ b e i n g ~ u n f a i t h f u l ~ t o ~ y o u, ~$ with women who love him and [whom] he doesn't love' (Kar. n.d.: I 180) ( $\pi$ ov may optionally be repeated after кai).

It will be noticed in (7) and (8) above that $\pi 0 v$ may be used as a relative adverbial of place or time ('where' or 'when'). There is sometimes a free alternation between $\pi 0 v$ and $\delta \pi \sigma 0$, the latter also being sometimes used for time rather than for place (e.g. $\sigma \pi i s \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \tau \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \iota s$
 when . . .'), but $\pi o v$ tends to be found more frequently in such circumstances than $\delta \pi 0 v$ in colloquial speech, and in any case when the relative clause is restrictive or defining ( $\delta$ mov may normally be used only with an inanimate antecedent). Also, contrast 'from which' with 'to which' in (15a) and (15b):
 ठ̈тоv каі бтрєфо́ца⿱亠єє 'the next step which the poet takes is
the First Draft，to which we［now］turn＇（here the relative clause introduced by ömov is non－defining）（ $P$ 22．31）；
（15a）$\dot{\eta} \gamma \lambda \omega \dot{\omega} \sigma a \dot{a} \pi$＇ö $\pi o v \mu \in \tau a \phi \rho a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota s$＇the language you translate from＇（lit．＇from where＇）；
（15b）$\dot{\eta} \gamma \lambda \dot{\omega} \sigma \sigma a \quad \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ òmoia $\mu \epsilon \tau a ф \rho a ́ 乡 \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ ' t h e ~ l a n g u a g e ~ y o u ~ t r a n s-~$ late into＇（lit．＇in／to which＇）．

Greek speakers are so accustomed to $\pi 0 v$ standing in a rather vague relation to its antecedent that it is sometimes used elliptically，as in （16）．Example（17）shows the writer attempting to be more specific about the relationship of $\pi o v$ to its antecedent：
 dismissed Catherine＇（lit．＇the next－day which you－dismissed＇） （Kar．n．d．：II 71）；

 versation with the Prime Minister took place the day after the Member of Parliament sought a meeting＇（lit．＇．．．the follow－ ing day from that which he sought meeting＇）（ $T 9$ Sept． 1982，6）．

Let us now examine some instances of possible ambiguity with $\pi 0 v$ ． One is where both subject and direct object of the verb in relative clause are either neuter singular or neuter plural，and therefore do not indicate whether they are to be taken as nominative or accusative：
（18a）є̌ $\rho \chi є \tau а \iota ~ \tau o ́ ~ к о \rho i \tau \sigma \iota ~ \pi о и ́ ~ \chi \tau u ́ \pi \eta \sigma є ~ \tau o ́ ~ a ̀ ~ \gamma o ́ \rho \iota, ~$
 girl who hit the boy＇，or＇．．．whom the boy hit．＇

In（18a），$\tau \dot{d} \dot{a} \gamma \dot{\gamma} \rho \iota$ would be more likely to be taken as the object；in （18b）the reverse is true．But neither sentence precludes the opposite interpretation，and the use of $\tau \dot{\delta} \dot{o} \pi о i o$ would not make the meaning any clearer．In practice，of course，the ambiguity is normally neutral－ ized by the linguistic or situational context，and especially by intona－ tion．（On the presence or absence of the clitic object pronoun，see 6．3．1．1．）

Again，it is sometimes not clear whether mov acts as the subject or indirect object of the verb：
 $\sigma \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \chi \epsilon \tau \kappa \dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \tau \eta \sigma \eta \sigma \tau \dot{\eta}$ Bou入ウ่ ．．．＇the minister，to whom he
gave false figures so that he [= the minister] could answer a question on the subject in Parliament ...'( $T 2$ July 1981).

The context of this example made it more likely that the rendering given here is correct; but the phrase could equally mean that it was the minister who provided the other man with the false figures. The use of otóv òmoĩo instead of mov́ $\tau 0 \bar{v}$ would have removed the ambiguity.

Another possible source of ambiguity is a more complex sentence in which the $\pi 0$ ó-clause contains an embedded subordinate clause:
 whom the monster wanted to eat'; or (b) 'the princess who wanted the monster to eat her' (if the clitic $\tau \dot{\eta}$ is removed, the example could reasonably be interpreted as (c) 'the princess who wanted to eat the monster'!).

Since in this case the object pronoun can be placed only before the subordinate verb, there is no way, using $\pi o v$, of specifying which is the subject of the main $\pi o v$-clause verb. Thus a sentence of type (20) can be interpreted as in (a) or (b): only the context can elucidate the matter.

It is perhaps a consciousness of the inherent possibilities of ambiguity provided by $\pi o v$ that has led speakers to produce sentences which, from a strictly syntactical point of view, are examples of anacolouthon. Such constructions, in which the antecedent, instead of the relative pronoun, indicates government by the verb of the relative clause or by a preposition which equally belongs to the relative clause, are frequently found in speech, although they are usually avoide $\lrcorner$ in serious writing:
 'the only people the judge listened to were the policemen' (strictly, oi póvol (nom.) );
 on is . . .' (strictly, but awkwardly, av́tó $\pi o v ́ ~ \delta \lambda o \iota ~ \sigma v \mu \phi \omega \nu o v ̃ \nu$ $\sigma^{\prime}$ aútó єival . . .).

In (21) the case in which $\pi o v$ would stand were it inflected (the accusative) is transferred to the antecedent, which is nevertheless the subject or complement of the main verb; likewise, in (22), the preposition which would have governed the relative had the relative been susceptible of being so governed is transferred to the antecedent (a better alternative for (22) might have been aúró $\sigma \tau o ́ ~ o ̀ ~ o ̀ o i ̃, ~ o r ~ s i m p l y ~ e ́ к \epsilon i ̈ ~ \pi o u ́) . ~$

Thus, while on a colloquial level the versatility of the relative pronoun
$\pi o v$ makes it possible for the speaker to express a range of relationships with great ease, in the written language, where a greater syntactical complexity is often desired and where ambiguity should be avoided, there are difficulties involved with $\pi o v$ which can sometimes be eased by using $\dot{o} \dot{o} \pi o i o s$. When a sentential relative is required (i.e. one whose
 which') must be used:
 comes, which seems to me impossible . . $\therefore$

There is also the stylistic problem that (at least according to some writers, especially those brought up in the katharevousa tradition) the frequent use of $\pi 0 v$ is felt to be inelegant (see, for example, Babiniotis 1979b: 60, for an attack on ' $\pi o v-\pi o v-\iota \sigma \mu o ́ s ')$.

There is certainly a case for occasionally using $\delta \dot{\delta} \pi o i o s ~ e i t h e r ~ t o ~$ avoid ambiguity or as a stylistic variant; but $\pi o v$ remains the MG relative pronoun par excellence.

### 8.1.2 ПОт AS A PSEUDO-RELATIVE

Closely connected with the use of $\pi o v$ as a relative pronoun is its function with an antecedent which is not a noun or pronoun, but an adverb of place or time ( $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon i{ }^{i}$ 'there', $\dot{\epsilon} \delta \dot{\omega}$ 'here', $\tau \dot{\delta} \tau \epsilon$ 'then', $\tau \dot{\omega} \rho a$ 'now'). Here $\pi o v$ is a relative adverbial, and the clause it introduces is also adverbial rather than adjectival. 'Eкєi $\pi o v$ ' has the sense 'at/to the place where', $\dot{a} \pi \delta \dot{\prime} \kappa \epsilon i ̈ \pi o v ́$ 'from where'. Similarly, $\tau \dot{\partial} \tau \epsilon \pi o v$ means 'at the time when' and à áó $\tau o ́ \tau \epsilon \pi o v ́ ~ ‘ s i n c e ' . ~ C l e a r l y ~ s u c h ~ c o n s t r u c t i o n s ~ a r e ~ n o t ~ f a r ~ r e m o v e d ~$ from true relative uses such as $\sigma \tau \delta \dot{\mu} \boldsymbol{c}^{\prime} \rho o s ~ \pi o v ' ~ ' a t / t o ~ t h e ~ p l a c e ~ w h e r e ', ~ o r ~$ $\dot{a} \pi \delta \dot{\sigma} \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi o x \dot{\eta} \pi o v ́$ 'since the time when'.
 поликатокіа 'where the old tavern used to be, there now rises a huge block of flats';
(2) $\pi \epsilon ́ \rho a \sigma a \nu ~ \epsilon і ̈ к о \sigma \iota ~ \chi \rho o ́ \nu \iota a ~ a ̀ m o ́ ~ т o ́ \tau \epsilon ~ \pi о v ́ ~ \pi \rho \omega \tau о \pi \tilde{\eta} \gamma a ~ \sigma \tau \eta ่ \nu ~ ‘ E \lambda \lambda a ́ \delta a ~$ 'twenty years have passed since I first went to Greece';
 you've finished your thesis, what are you going to do?'

As in its use as a relative pronoun, so as a pseudo-relative $\pi o v$ may enter into a rather loose relationship with its apparent antecedent and the subordinate verb:

üфєoŋs 'where there was a hitch, however, was on matters of détente [or, 'the recession']' (T 1 Nov. 1979, 10);
(5) Є̈фvүav à $\pi o ́ ~ к \epsilon i ̃ ~ \pi o v ́ ~ \eta j \rho \vartheta a \nu ~ ' t h e y ~ r e t u r n e d ~ f r o m ~ w h e n c e ~ t h e y ~$ came' (lit. 'they-left from there that they-came').

In (4), è éeĩ is a proform for $\sigma \tau \dot{d}$ Э $७ \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau a$ 'on matters', while the verb $\dot{\eta} \tau a \nu$ would strictly require ( $\tau$ á) $\vartheta$ ध́ $\mu a \tau a$ 'matters' as subject or complement ('the matters on which there was a hitch were matters of détente'): the preposition $\sigma(\dot{\epsilon})$, which belongs syntactically to the relative clause, has been transferred to the main clause. In (5), àmo belongs semantically with $\eta \jmath \vartheta \vartheta a \nu$, not with $\check{\epsilon} \phi \cup \gamma a \nu$.

There are also some idiomatic uses of éкєi пои́ (temporal: 'while'; adversative: 'whereas') and $\dot{\epsilon} \delta \dot{\omega} \pi o v ́ ~(\grave{̇} \delta \dot{\omega} \pi o v ́ \tau a ́ ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon ~ ' b y ~ t h e ~ w a y ') . ~$

### 8.1.3 חơ aS A NON-RELATIVE CONJUNCTION

Пov́ is frequently used to introduce a clause acting as object or subject of a verb, or linking some other word, or a phrase, or a whole clause, to the clause which it introduces.

Its chief uses as a non-relative conjunction are to introduce (a) clauses governed by verbs of perception and expressions of emotion; (b) other clauses expressing cause or reason; (c) clauses expressing result or consequence; (d) contrary clauses; and (e) clauses governed by various other words.

As an introductive of a nominal clause, nov is used where the truth of what is stated in the subordinate clause is presupposed. With those verbs which may be followed by either $\pi_{-}$' or $\delta \tau \iota / \pi \omega \varsigma$, the main verb expresses an attitude to the fact stated in a $\pi 0$ ou-clause, but an attitude to the truth of the assertion contained in an $\partial \sigma \tau / \pi \dot{\omega} s$-clause (Christidis 1982).

While grammarians class these uses of $\pi o v$ as different from its relative functions, there is often a noun or pronoun in the main clause which might be construed as an antecedent: indeed, it may be that most speakers would consider nov́ to be no different from a relative pronoun in such circumstances.

### 8.1.3.1 Пov́ after verbs of perception and expressions of emotion

Verbs of perception (and certain other verbs, such as $\vartheta v \mu a ̈ \mu a l ' I$ remember', $\xi \in \chi \nu a ́ \omega$ 'I forget') often govern a $\pi o v$-clause:
 you there was nothing to worry about');
(2) $\vartheta v \mu a ̄ \sigma a \iota ~ \pi o v ́ ~ \tau o ́ ~ ’ \chi a \mu \epsilon ~ \pi \epsilon i ̄ ~ \tau \eta ̇ \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \rho a \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta ~ ф о \rho a ́ ; ~ ‘ d o ~ y o u ~ r e-~$ member our having said it last time?' (Эvuãual $\pi o v$ suggests immediate recall, $\vartheta v \mu a \check{\mu a \iota}$ ö $\tau \iota$ suggests remembering as a result of effort).

חoú-clauses may be governed by words or phrases (including exclamations), such as $\lambda v \pi a \check{\mu a \iota}$ 'I'm sorry', oгєvox $\omega \rho t \in ́ \mu a \iota ~ ' I ' m ~ u p s e t ', ~$ $\mu \epsilon \tau a \nu o \omega \dot{\omega} \nu \omega$ 'I regret', ( $\mu \circ$ ) à à $\rho \in ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota$ '(I) like'; the adverbs $\epsilon \dot{่} \tau v \chi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$ 'fortunately', $\delta v \sigma \tau v \chi \bar{\omega} \varsigma$ 'unfortunately', кa入á 'it's a good thing'; the noun кр'iцa 'it's a pity'; and the adjectives (preceded by eival 'it's') $\sigma v \gamma \kappa \omega \eta$. ткó 'touching', $\pi a \rho \dot{\gamma} \gamma о \rho o ~ ' c o n s o l i n g ', ~ e t c .: ~$
(3) $\lambda v \pi a ̃ \mu a l ~ \pi o v ́ ~ \delta e ́ ~ \sigma e ́ ~ \epsilon l \delta a ~ ' I ' m ~ s o r r y ~ I ~ d i d n ' t ~ s e e ~ y o u ' ; ~$
(4) $\mu \epsilon ́ ~ \sigma v \gamma \chi \omega \rho \epsilon i \tau \epsilon ~ \pi o v ́ a ̉ \rho \gamma \eta \sigma a$ 'excuse me for being late';
 language' (lit. of-me it-lacks that not I-hear . . .');
 to have taken part in the operation';
(7) $\mu^{\prime}$ à $\rho \dot{\rho} \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \pi o v ́ ~ \mu ’ ~ a ̀ \gamma a \pi a ̃ s ~ ‘ I ~ l i k e ~ y o u r ~ l o v i n g ~ m e ’ ~(c f . ~ \mu ' ~ a ̀ ~ \rho e ́ \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \iota ~$ $\nu a ́ \mu$ ' à $\gamma a \pi a ̄ s$ 'I like you to love me');
(8) ка入á $\pi o v ́ \tau o ́ ~ \vartheta v \mu \eta ่ \vartheta \eta \kappa \epsilon \varsigma!~ ' i t ' s ~ a ~ g o o d ~ t h i n g ~ y o u ~ r e m e m b e r e d ~ i t!' ~$

Note that in each of the examples (3)-(6) there is a first person in the main clause which might be considered as the antecedent of the $\pi 0 v$.

### 8.1.3.2 Пov introducing cause or reason clauses

Пov́ may also introduce a cause or reason clause, even when it is not governed by an expression of emotion. Here the precise syntactical and semantic relationship between nov́ and the main clause is not always easily discernible:
 transporting you';
(10) $\epsilon$ é $\lambda a \delta \bar{\omega} \pi o v ́ \sigma \epsilon ́ ~ \vartheta \epsilon ́ \lambda \omega ~ ' c o m e ~ h e r e, ~ I ~ w a n t ~ y o u ' ; ~$

 apparent that you've taken away her initiative-that's apparent [from the fact] that she doesn't want to pick up the spoon.'

Such loose uses of $\pi 0 v$ as in (11) (which was recorded from conversation) are frequently used, despite the fact that grammarians might
not approve of them. On the other hand, one can find loose uses in
 'all the more so because' (which are probably influenced by F d'autant plus que).

### 8.1.3.3 Mov́ introducing result clauses

חov is the most frequently used introductive of result clauses, when a word such as $\tau \in ́ \tau o w s ~ ' s u c h ~[a] ', ~ \tau o ́ \sigma o(\varsigma) ~ ' s o ~ m u c h ', ~ o r ~ e ̈ \tau \sigma \iota ~ ' i n ~ s u c h ~$ a way' is found in the main clause:
 $\pi \dot{a} \nu \dot{d} \dot{\prime} \delta o v \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \iota$ 'Stephen won so much money on the football pools that he doesn't need to work any more.'
(Result and final clauses introduced by $\pi o v i v a ́$ are discussed in 9.4.2.2.6; for the alternative result-clause introductive $\dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ see 8.4.)

Clauses loosely expressing result may be introduced by mov́ even when there is no such preceding adjective, pronoun, or adverb as those mentioned above:
 car broke down';
 a fright that I almost died' ( $\mu \dot{a}$ here stands for $\tau$ étoua 'such a').

### 8.1.3.4 Пov́ introducing contrary clauses

In contrary clauses, $\pi o v$ has the meaning of 'whereas'. In most such cases, the syntactical relation of $\pi 0 v v^{\prime}$ to the main clause is rather loose, except that often an antecedent may be found:
 'last night (s)he slept a lot, whereas other nights (s)he's continually waking up.'

### 8.1.3.5 Other uses of $\pi 0$ v́

The other uses of $\pi o v$ are many and varied. In some ientences the clause introduced by $\pi 0$ ó acts as a noun clause (i.e. it may be replaced by a noun phrase), but in others the $\pi o v$-clause cannot be viewed separately from the word or phrase which governs it. Since there is no space for a full discussion of the matter, some examples must suffice. First, some instances of the $\pi 0$ ou-clause standing for a noun phrase:
 quite apart from being ugly, is also very stupid' (cf. ékтós à $\pi o ́+$ noun);
 house has no window-panes, owing to the fact that the kids have broken them' (cf. $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega+$ noun in genitive);
(18) ка́धє $\pi o v ́ \pi \eta \gamma a i \nu \omega \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ 'A $\gamma \gamma \lambda i a$, à $\rho \rho \omega \sigma \tau a i \nu \omega$ 'every time I go to England, I get ill' (cf. ká $\vartheta \epsilon+$ noun);
 'despite the fact that we worked hard, we achieved nothing' (cf. $\pi a \rho$ ' $\begin{aligned} & \lambda \epsilon \varsigma ~ \\ & i \\ & \text { is } \pi \rho o \sigma \pi a ́ \vartheta \epsilon \epsilon \in ́ s ~ \\ & \mu a s ~ ‘ d e s p i t e ~ o u r ~ e f f o r t s ') ; ~\end{aligned}$
 sooner had I gone through the main door than I saw Elena' (very colloquial);
 (cf. deictic particle $\nu \dot{d}+$ noun).
hen some examples of various other constructions:
 $\kappa а ф \epsilon \delta a ́ k \iota ~ ‘ s i n c e / n o w ~ y o u ' v e ~ c o m e, ~ s i t ~ d o w n ~ a n d ~ I ' l l ~ m a k e ~ y o u ~$ a cup of coffee';
(23) є̀ $\chi \in \tau \epsilon \check{\omega} \rho a \pi o v ́ \nsupseteq \rho \vartheta a \tau \epsilon$; 'have you been here long?' (lit. 'youhave hour/time that you-came');
(24) $\vartheta \epsilon \in \lambda \omega \nu \alpha \dot{d} \pi \dot{a} \omega$, $\mu \dot{\delta} \nu o \pi o v ́ ~ \phi o ß a ̄ \mu a \iota ~ \lambda ' \gamma o ~ ‘ I ~ w a n t ~ t o ~ g o, ~[i t ' s] ~ o n l y ~$ (that) I'm a bit frightened';
 X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau 0$ '̛́y $\epsilon \nu a$ 'the way things are going, we shan't finish before Christmas';
 wouldn't return, but nevertheless [lit. 'come that'] (s)he came';
(27) ò Фi入ımaas $\mu o ́ \lambda \iota \varsigma ~ \pi o v ́ ~ к \rho a \tau \iota o ́ r a v \epsilon ~ \sigma \tau a ́ ~ \pi o ́ \delta \iota a ~ \tau o v ~ ‘ P h i l i p ~ c o u l d ~$ hardly stand on his feet' ( $\pi o v$, which is not obligatory here, may serve to distinguish $\mu$ ó $\lambda \iota s$ as adverb 'hardly' from its use as conjunction 'as soon as');
 I didn't even touch it' (again, mov́ may optionally be used to distinguish the meaning of oür $\epsilon$ 'not even' from 'neither' or 'nor');
(29) фаivєтal $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o ~ \nu \epsilon ́ o s ~ \pi a \rho a ́ ~ \pi o v ́ ~ \epsilon i v a l ~ \sigma \tau \eta ̇ \nu ~ \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a \tau к o ́-~$ $\tau \eta \tau a$ 'he looks/seems younger than he really is' (usually $\dot{a} \pi$ ' ö, $\tau \iota$ is used instead of $\pi a \rho a ́ \pi o v)^{\prime}$.

Finally, there are some functions of $\pi o v$ which do not seem to fit into any of the categories already mentioned. One is the phrase $\pi o v ́ \lambda e ́ s$, used colloquially (like $\lambda o \iota \pi o ́ v$ ) to mean 'so, well' to link an utterance with a previous one, or even to embark on a new subject of conversation; syntactically similar is $\pi o v$ vé $\lambda \in \in$ 'as they say', placed after a proverbial expression or some other expression that the speaker uses while disclaiming it as his/her own. There is also an idiomatic use of mov between two identical verb forms, corresponding to the English 'anyway' in the following:
 $\mu \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$; '[since] I'm coming to the conference anyway, why don't you let me speak?'
Thus $\pi o v^{\prime}$ is an extremely useful linking word whose versatility is positively Protean. Together with the equally ubiquitous $\nu a ́, \pi o v v^{\prime}$ links phrases and clauses without some of the problems of subordination which exist in other languages; thus it enables the Greek speaker to form complex sentences with the minimum of effort, and contributes greatly to the special genius of the MG language.

Before leaving $\pi o v$, however, it must be pointed out that there are instances in which $\pi$ ov acts as a subjunctive marker. This phenomenon occurs in a limited number of contexts (i.e. after a limited number of governing words), usually where the clause has some future or universal reference. In some cases, therefore, $\pi o v v^{\prime}$ is equivalent to one of the universal relatives (see 8.2):
 éкфןá $\sigma \omega$ 'each thing I see brings me a subject, a tragic situation to express' (cf. ò, $\boldsymbol{t} \iota \delta \tilde{\omega}$ 'whatever I see') (Tsa. 1973: 93);
 rovs 'it will depend on the degree [to] which there [will] be [an] understanding between them' (alternatively, vá v́má $\rho \xi \in \iota$ ) (T 16 Aug. 1979, 8).

### 8.2 OTHER RELATIVE WORDS

The other relative pronouns are the universal relatives $\delta \pi o l o s$ 'anyone who; whoever; whichever', $8, \tau \iota$ 'that which; whatever' and $8 \sigma o(s)$ 'as
much/many as; those who/which'. In addition, the adverbial conjunctions önov 'wherever' (also 'where': 8.1.1), ठ $\pi о \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \epsilon$ 'whenever', and ö $\pi \omega \varsigma$ 'however' (also 'as') behave in many respects similarly to the universal relatives. All these words are distinguished in use from $\pi 0 v$ and $\delta$ omoios in that (a) they never have an antecedent, and (b) semantically they form part of two clauses simultaneously. Their chief uses are (i) to introduce noun clauses which act as subjects or objects (this does not apply to the adverbial conjunctions); (ii) to introduce adverbial clauses; and (iii), in certain circumstances, to act solely as pronouns or adverbs, without introducing a clause. In many of these functions, the universal relatives may be used either pronominally (i.e. without a noun) or attributively (i.e. adjectivally). Used pronominally, $\delta, \tau \iota$ functions as the neuter singular (and occasionally plural) of $\delta \pi$ mows in any grammatical case; adjectivally, it may replace any form of $\partial \pi o w s$. "Ooos is not used in the singular to refer to persons; in the plural it may act as the plural of $\delta \pi \sigma o s$ or $\delta, \tau \iota$. Its neuter singular öoo may also act as an adverb.

After first examining the three uses mentioned above, we shall look at some other functions of the versatile $\delta \sigma o(s)$.

### 8.2.1 NOMINAL RELATIVE CLAUSES

Nominal relative clauses may function as the subject of a verb, as the object of a verb or preposition, or instead of a genitive noun governed by a noun or verb. As in adverbial clauses introduced by these relatives, they may be subjunctive markers if their a nse is not actual but potential (see 3.2.3.4.1 (12)-(17) and 9.4.4.5); to make their scope even more general, they may be followed by $k \iota a ̈ \nu$ (or kai vá) and/or by the suffix - $\delta \dot{\eta} \pi \sigma \pi \epsilon$. Thus 'whoever' may be rendered (in ascending order of universality) as $\partial \pi о \omega \varsigma, ~ \partial ̈ \pi о \omega \varsigma ~ \kappa \iota ~ a ̈ \nu, ~ \partial \pi о \omega \sigma \delta \dot{\eta} \pi о т \epsilon$, and $\partial \pi о \omega \sigma \delta \dot{\eta} \pi о \tau \epsilon \kappa \iota$ $a ̈ \nu$. In nominal relative clauses $\delta \pi \sigma o \omega$ and $\delta \sigma o s$ link two clauses, in each of which they may stand in a different relation to the rest of the clause; in such circumstances, if the subordinate clause precedes the main clause, the relative stands in the case suitable to the subordinate clause; if the main clause precedes, then the relative appears in the case suitable to its function in that clause.
 (subject);
 as you want' (object of verb);
 'you may borrow as much money as you need' (object of verb);
(4) à ád who asked him questions' (object of $\sigma \epsilon$ );
 arrested the father of anyone who joined the partisans' (lit. 'whoever went with the partisans, they arrested his father') (instead of genitive governed by $\pi a \tau \epsilon \in \rho a \varsigma) ;$
 we said...'

On the other hand, a clause introduced by one of these relatives may be not strictly nominal but adjectival:
(7) ò äv७ $\psi \omega \mu i$ 'a man, whoever he might be, cannot live without bread.'

Two observations should be made about nominal relative clauses. First, the definite article is sometimes used before the relative. With öros, the article may optionally be used when the relative is neuter plural only, as in (6). When ömolos is used attributively, it too may be preceded by the article:
 ever problems the new law creates are touched on' (alternatively, $\left.\gamma_{\sigma a} \pi \rho \circ \beta \lambda \dot{n} \mu a \tau a\right)$.

Secondly, as with $\pi o v$, so with other relative pronouns, the anacolouthon construction is frequently found, in which the relative (like the antecedent in $\pi 0$ ov-constructions) is attracted into a case which does not appear to be syntactically suitable:
 'those to whom I've told the joke have burst out laughing'

 $\dot{a} \pi \dot{a} \nu \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon$ 'none of those to whom I wrote about the magazine
 (Kaz. 1958: 124).

In (9) the case of the relative is determined by its function in the subordinate clause ('I've told the joke to them'), while its other syntactical function is as the subject of the main verb; in (10) it is the function of the relative in the subordinate clause that is neglected (i.e. the fact that the speaker sent letters to them is not expressed explicitly). Clearly, when the relative has two conflicting syntactical functions in the same sentence, one has to be expressed at the expense of the other. Which of the two will be preferred will depend on the likely ambiguity or otherwise of the alternative constructions.

An added complication arises when ob $\lambda o l$ 'all' is added to the relative öool (when $\delta \lambda o c$ is followed by a relative, this is almost always öoot: ö $\lambda o \iota \pi o v ́$ is rare). Grammarians usually urge that $\delta$ o $\lambda o l$ should be in the case appropriate to its function in the main clause, while the relative should be in that appropriate to its role in the subordinate clause. Some even go so far as to insist that $\delta \lambda 0$ should be inserted (even when it is semantically redundant) so that the two cases can be indicated:
(11) $\nu$ d́ $\tau o ́ ~ \sigma \nu \mu \pi \epsilon ́ \rho a \sigma \mu a ~ ठ ̀ \lambda \omega \nu$ (gen.) $\delta \sigma a$ (acc.) єï $\pi a \mu \epsilon \pi\llcorner o ́ \pi a ́ \nu \omega$ 'that's the conclusion of what we said above' (Dorbarakis (11-12 Nov. 1979) actually claims that $\delta \sigma \omega \nu$ instead of $\delta \lambda \omega \nu$ ठ $\sigma a$ is grammatically incorrect).

In practice, however, most speakers and writers make little attempt to put the two words into different cases, and are quite content with utterances such as (12):
 gave away the books to all those who wanted them.'

### 8.2.2 ADVERBIAL RELATIVE CLAUSES

The same relatives that are used to introduce nominal clauses may also introduce adverbial clauses (which may in addition be introduced by adverbial conjunctions). The adverbial clauses themselves are constructed in exactly the same way as the nominal clauses, the difference lying rather in their relationship with the main clause: that is, the adverbial relative clause does not act like a noun in relation to any element in the main clause. When used with $\kappa \iota a ̈ \nu$ or кai vá, the relatives often have more of a concessive sense than when they introduce nominal clauses (see example (1)).
 [= 'no matter who'] you ask, I'm not coming to your party';
入oyaplaonov́s＇every time I told you to sit down so we could talk，you were doing calculations＇（cf．кáधє форá $\pi ⿰ 丿 v$ ）（Fra． 1962：243）；

 ＇I managed to remain a faithful and responsive admirer of this human victory，wherever I saw it，wherever I found it＇（Hadz． 1976：40－1）；
（4）à $\pi o ́ ~ \delta \pi о \nu \delta \dot{\eta} \pi о т \epsilon \beta \rho \epsilon \vartheta \tilde{\omega}, \vartheta a ́ ~ \epsilon ́ \xi a \kappa о \lambda o v \vartheta \eta ̇ \sigma \omega ~ \nu a ́ ~ к а \tau \epsilon v \vartheta v ́ v \omega ~ \tau o ́ \nu ~$ EOT＇from wherever I find myself，I shall continue to control EOT［＝the National Tourist Organization］＇（T 24 Jan．1980，6）；
 ever you need anything．＇

Such adverbial relative clauses are subject to anacolouthon construc－ tions in a similar way to other relative clauses：
 from＇（Kaz．1965：524）；
（7）$\tau o ́ \mu \kappa \rho o ́ ~ \vartheta a ́ ~ \pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota ~ \nu a ́ ~ к о \mu \eta \vartheta \epsilon \epsilon i ̆ ~ a ̀ \pi ’ ~ \partial ̀ \pi o v ~ \delta \epsilon ́ ~ \vartheta a ́ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \nu a ̃ \mu \epsilon ~ ' t h e ~$ baby will have to sleep［somewhere］where we won＇t keep pass－ ing by［and disturbing it］．＇

In both（6）and（7），the à áo which appears to govern the relative is itself actually governed by the verb in the subordinate clause，not，as it appears superficially，by the main verb．Both sentences become syntac－ tically clearer if an antecedent which could govern ámó is inserted：in
 this reason，it may be more correct to say that these particular sentences are instances of ellipsis rather than of anacolouthon．

## 8．2．3 UNIVERSAL RELATIVES IN NON－CONJUNCTION USES

These relatives（usually either reduplicated or with the suffix－$\delta \dot{\eta} \pi \sigma \pi \epsilon$ ） may act purely as pronouns，adjectives，or adverbs without introducing clauses．There is great variety in usage，but certain relatives are used only in a limited number of contexts．

Examples of reduplicated uses：
 anyone＇；
（2）$\tau \dot{\omega} \rho a \quad \pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota ~ \nu a ́ ~ \beta \gamma \epsilon i ~ \tau o ́ ~ \psi \omega \mu i ́ ~ o ̈ \pi \omega \varsigma ~ o ̈ \pi \omega \varsigma ~ ' t h e ~ b r e a d ~ m u s t ~$
come out [of the oven] now somehow or other' (Tzartzanos 1963: 215);

Sometimes these relatives are used unreduplicated in elliptical constructions:
 $\dot{\eta}$ viov $\epsilon \sigma i a$. . . in order to evade whatever possibilities [there might be] that the adoption might get bogged down somewhere . . ,'

In (4), $\tau i \varsigma$ could have been omitted and $\pi \imath \vartheta a \nu o ́ r \eta \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ have been followed by úmápxouv to produce a full clause; in fact, $\delta \boldsymbol{\delta} \pi o t \in \varsigma$ is here equivalent to $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \delta \in \chi \dot{\rho} \mu \epsilon \nu \in S$ or the indeclinable $\tau 0 \chi \delta \nu$ 'possible, contingent'.

The relative $\delta, \tau \iota$ is used eliptically in two chief types of construction: followed by an adjective (where an existential verb such as $\grave{\grave{\pi} a} \rho$ $\chi \in \iota$ 'there is; (it) exists' is understood: (5)-(6)); or preceded by a preposition such as àmó or mapá 'than' (after a comparative: (7)), or mapá ‘despite' (8):
(5) 'O, $\tau \iota$ Néo 'Whatever ['s] New' (name of shop in Athens);
 kinisi [name of a discotheque] is the most "in" thing in Athens night [-life]' (T 25 June 1981, 33);

 daytime than when I'm dreaming' (after $\delta, \tau \iota$, a potentially repetitious $\delta 00 \lambda \epsilon \dot{v}_{\epsilon}$ h has been deleted);
 oro vautuk 'although [he is a] landsman by origin/descent, Kyriakos served in the Navy.'

The relatives in - $\delta \dot{\eta} \pi \sigma \pi \epsilon$ may also serve as non-conjunctions, although in such circumstances they could be considered to be conjunctions introducing elliptical clauses in which, e.g. the verb 'to want' has been deleted:
 have written it';


just any performance at school as a learning step by the pupil';
(11) $\pi a ́ \rho \epsilon \delta \dot{\delta} \tau \delta \dot{\eta} \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon$ 'take anything [= 'whatever you like']';
(12) - Пoù vá kavion; - 'Onovঠウ́nore.' "Where should I sit?" "Wherever [you like]" ' (or, 'It doesn't matter where'); (13) $\vartheta a ́ ~ ' ~ ’ \vartheta o u ̃ \mu \epsilon ~ \delta \partial \omega \omega \sigma \delta \eta \pi \sigma о \tau \epsilon$ 'we'll definitely come.'

Because of the dual role of the relatives in - $\delta \dot{\eta} \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon$, it is nuite common (especially in spoken Greek) to find the relative followed by a redundant $\pi o v$, suggesting that the speaker does not see it as a conjunction:

 influence any of the decisions that have already been taken by the Embassy.'

### 8.2.4 OTHER USES OF 'OEOE

"Ooos is an extremely versatile relative, whose various functions can be divided into more than twenty different categories. For our purposes, however, it is convenient to observe its functions under four heads, according to whether or not it is used together with its correlative $\tau$ obos, and whether or not it is used only in its adverbial form $8 \sigma 0$ (although one should bear in mind that some of its adverbial uses are little different from its adjectival and pronominal ones).

### 8.2.4.1 Adjectival/pronominal రoos without tóoos

The prime use of $8 \sigma o s$ as a straightforward relative introducing a nominal or adverbial clause has already been dealt with (8.2.1, 8.2.2). The concessive function of $\partial \sigma o s ~ \kappa \iota ~ a ̈ \nu$ (or каi $\nu \dot{a}$ ) has also been mentioned (see also 9.4.2.2.6 (4)). Two chief uses remain to be looked at: its use after comparatives, and its use in phrases meaning 'as . . . as possible'.

The use of 8 oos after comparatives is similar to that of $8, \pi \iota$ (see 8.2.3 (7)). In the simplest kind of comparative sentence $\dot{a} \pi \delta$ 'than' may directly govern a noun phrase (e.g. $\delta$ Гávvns $\epsilon i \nu a l ~ \mu \in \gamma a \lambda u ́ r \epsilon \rho o s$ $\dot{a} \pi \delta \dot{\delta} \tau \dot{\delta} \Gamma \stackrel{\omega}{\rho} \rho \gamma o$ 'John is older/bigger than George'); but when the second term of the comparison is not a noun phrase, àmó (or sometimes $\pi a \rho a \dot{a}$ ) is followed by $\delta \sigma o$ (or $\delta, \pi \iota$ ). Even when the second term is a noun phrase, but it is the subjects and not the objects of the verb(s) that are being compared, $\dot{a} \pi^{\prime} \mathbf{\delta}^{\prime} \sigma o$ (or $\dot{a} \pi^{\prime} \delta, \tau \iota$ ) is often used:
 loves the neighbour more than the neighbour's wife [does]' ( $\dot{a} \pi o ́ ~ \tau \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \iota \tau \delta \dot{v} \iota \sigma \sigma a$ would not make it clear whether the sentence is to be given the above interpretation or 'more than she loves the neighbour's wife').

Certainly $\dot{a} \pi{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \delta \sigma o$ is preferable to simple $\dot{a} \pi o ́ ~ i n ~ m o r e ~ c o m p l e x ~ s e n t e n c e s, ~$ in which otherwise it would not be at all clear what was being compared:



 which preceded [this programme] perhaps constitute for some of our listeners a somewhat more auspicious portent than [did] the Romantic and demonic melodies of Gustav Mahler last time.'

Normally, however, $\dot{a} \pi^{\prime} \quad \delta \sigma o$ is used before clauses, before adverbial phrases, or before noun phrases in the genitive (already governed by some word in the main clause). "Ooos may be inflected to agree with some clearly stated noun or pronoun in the main clause (as in (3)); in the absence (or sometimes, especially in speech, in the presence) of such a word, the indeclinable (adverbial) $8 \sigma 0$ is used (as in (1), (2), and (4)).
 received more letters than (those which) we expected';

 more by the Prime Minister's anger than by the earthquake' (E 30 June 1978).

Another use of $8 \sigma o s$ also involves comparatives; here the comparative is not in the main clause but in the $\delta \sigma o s$-clause. There are several variants of this construction, which corresponds to English 'as . . . as possible'. The $\delta \sigma o s$-clause may contain the verb $\mu \pi \sigma \rho \bar{\omega}$ 'I am able' (in the requisite person), or rivetal 'it is done; it is possible', or the (indeclinable) $\tau \delta \dot{\delta} \delta v v a \tau \delta(\nu)$ '(the) possible'. The construction with $\gamma \dot{\nu} \in \tau a l$ is sometimes criticized as being too colloquial for serious written use.
 $\kappa a \lambda a ́$ ) 'I did the job as best I could';
 'I'm trying to show as many aspects of life as possible' ( $K$ 6 Sept. 1979) (since the adjective $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \varsigma$ already displays agreement with the noun, the adverbial $\delta \sigma o$ could have been used instead of $\delta \sigma \epsilon \varsigma)$;
 $\mu \mathrm{a}$. . . 'to cover as broad a spectrum of our businesses as possible. . .' (K 23 Dec. 1980).

### 8.2.4.2 Adverbial ర̈бo without $\tau$ óvo

There are two temporal constructions with $\delta \sigma 0$, in the first of which it
 in the second (followed by $\pi o v$ 'or $\nu \dot{d}$ ) 'until' (= $\dot{\omega} \sigma \pi o v(\nu a ́)$ : see 9.4.2.2.6(3)):
 'during my stay there'] I was content';
 ing my eyes out, until I got hiccups' (Tzartzanos 1963: 217).

The indeclinable ofoo is used with $\gamma \dot{\text { a }}$ or (in the form $\delta \sigma o \nu$ ) with the verb á $\phi o \rho \bar{a}$ '(it) concerns' to mean 'as for' or 'as far as . . . is concerned':
 not to come with you';

 concerned, I don't know whether the government will manage [it] so that we leave [it].'

The adverbial $\delta \sigma o$ is used especially to introduce a clause with a positive sense in contrast to a main clause containing a negative verb (or the equivalent of a negative, such as $\lambda$ i' $\gamma o s$ 'little; few', $\sigma \pi a ́ v i a ~ ' r a r e l y '): ~$
 should';
 not aim to amuse as much as to inform.'
"Ooo may be used as a correlative of adverbs other than $\tau \delta \sigma o$, such as $\epsilon \xi \xi i \sigma o v$ or $\tau \delta$ "íco 'equally':
 just as important as learning［lit．＇lessons＇］．＇

Finally，$\delta_{\sigma o}$ followed by kai acts as a coordinating conjunction，usually between adjectives or adverbs（＇as well as＇）：
 $\pi \rho о i o ̈ \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \bar{\eta} \varsigma$ è $\tau a \varphi \in i a s ~ \mu a s$＇it is an impressive，as well as characteristic，sample of our company＇s products．＇

## 8．2．4．3 Adjectival／pronominal 8бos with $\tau$ రбos

An important use of the correlatives tóoos ．．．$\partial \sigma \sigma$＇as much／many ．．． as＇is to link two clauses in which the nouns they modify are different：
 $\dot{a} \nu a \gamma \nu \tilde{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \varsigma \tau \eta \varsigma$＇the new poetry permits as many readings as there are（its）readers＇（Arg．1979：86）；
 $\tau o ́ \sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu a \tau \eta s \delta v \delta \mu \iota \sigma \eta$ фopés＇the queen［bee］is capable of laying eggs to a total of two and a half times her body weight＇（lit．＇so－ many eggs，as weight weighs the body of－her two－and－a－half times＇）．

The main clause may contain a negative，or an equivalent；in such cases the correlatives need not modify more than a single noun：

 ＇A $A \dot{a} \nu \tau \omega \nu$＇$\tau 0 v$＇in all the years that have elapsed since his death，I have awaited few things with as much impatience as the publication of his Complete Works＇（Mal．1938：19）．

Alternatively，the subordinate clause may contain a negative：
 more than ever before＇（lit．＇I－received so－many attacks as not I－have taken ever＇）（cf．without $\tau o ́ \sigma o s: ~ \delta ~ M ı \chi a ́ \lambda \eta s ~ \mu a ̃ s ~ \beta o ウ ́ \vartheta \eta \sigma \epsilon ~$ ðбoo ка⿱亠䒑évas＇Michael helped us more than anyone＇）．

Finally，öoos precedes $\boldsymbol{\text { óo}}$ os in expressions where ofoos could be used on its own，but where the amount specified is in some way restricted：
（5）ठбa סiveıs，тóбa $\pi a i \rho v \in \iota \varsigma ~ ' y o u ~ t a k e ~ n o ~ m o r e ~ t h a n ~ y o u ~ g i v e ' ~(c f . ~$ maipveıs ठ $\sigma a$ סiveıऽ＇you take as much／many as you give＇）．

### 8.2.4.4 Adverbial 8бo with tóoo

There are several different uses of the indeclinable versions of the correlatives. In some constructions, tóoo precedes öoo, while in others it follows.

There are two chief constructions in which $\tau \delta \sigma o$ precedes. In the first, the correlatives have the sense of kai . . . кai 'both . . . and':
 Greece and Turkey'.

In the second, the main clause is negative (or equivalent), and the correlatives have the sense 'so (much) . . . as'; $\tau \delta \sigma o$ may be followed either by a noun phrase or by an adjective:
(2) $\delta \in ́ v \tau o v ́ s ~ a ̀ \nu \eta \sigma v ́ \chi \eta \sigma \epsilon ~ \tau o ́ \sigma o ~ \tau o ́ ~ \tau \rho a \gamma o v ́ \delta ı, ~ ठ \sigma \sigma o ~ \tau a ́ ~ \pi o \nu \eta \rho a ́ ~ \beta \lambda e ́ \mu \mu a \tau a ~$ $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \nu \tau i \vartheta \epsilon \tau \omega \nu$ 'they were worried not so much by the singing [lit. 'song'] as by the cunning glances of the[ir] opponents' (Tzartzanos 1963: 216) (cf. 8.2.4.2 (6) and 8.2.4.3 (3));
(3) $\tau$ á $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau a ~ \delta e ́ v ~ \epsilon l \nu a l ~ \tau o ́ \sigma o ~ a ̀ ~ \pi \lambda a ́ ~ ర \sigma o ~ ф a i v o \nu \tau a l ~ ' t h i n g s ~ a r e n ' t ~ a s ~$ simple as they seem.'

The uses of these correlatives with o ooo preceding can be divided into three categories, which are closely interconnected. One of their functions is to correlate two comparatives in clauses of proportion:
(4) ठбо $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o ~ ̇ ̇ \rho \gamma a ́ 乡 o v \tau a \iota ~ o i ~ v ̇ \pi o v \rho \gamma o i ́, ~ \tau o ́ \sigma o ~ \pi \epsilon \rho ı \sigma \sigma o ́ t \epsilon \rho a ~$ $\lambda \dot{a} \vartheta \eta$ kávouv 'the harder ministers work, the more mistakes they make.'

On the other hand, róoo may be used (often followed by a comparative) as a correlative of the temporal conjunction öoo (cf. 8.2.4.2 (1)):
 the hours passed, the torment became more awful' (Tzartzanos 1963: 216).

Finally, there is the idiomatic $\delta \sigma o \ldots a ̆ \lambda \lambda o \tau \delta \sigma o:$
 خoүapiá̧єı rá $\pi$ atépa $\tau 0 v$ 'Miltos looks upon me as his father just as much-if not more-as I look upon him as my son' ('as I look upon Miltos . . . he looks upon me as much again . . .').

### 8.3 INDIRECT SPEECH

In MG, direct speech is transformed into indirect speech, after the relevant verb or equivalent phrase, in the following ways: statements are introduced by $\partial \quad \tau \iota$ or $\pi \dot{\omega} \varsigma$; 'yes/no' questions by $a \mathrm{~L} ~($ if however the direct-speech clause begins with an interrogative pronoun or adverb, this is preserved in the indirect speech); and commands by vá. Clauses formed in this way may be nominal or adjectival (or appositional). Such noun clauses may stand as the object or subject of a verb, as the object of a preposition, or in place of a genitive noun. The adjectival/appositional clauses are used after the nouns which it is their function to elucidate.

First such clauses will be examined syntactically, then semantically.

### 8.3.1 INDIRECT-SPEECH CLAUSES AS OBJECTS OF VERBS

Such clauses involve the most common functions of $\partial \not \tau \iota$ and $\pi \omega \dot{\varsigma}$, which are used after verbs (or equivalent phrases) of saying, showing, perceiving, knowing, believing, etc. There is no difference in meaning between $\delta \partial \tau$ and $\pi \dot{\omega} \varsigma$, but the fact that the former is of more learned origin while the latter is genuinely demotic means that $\delta \tau \iota$ is used more in formal styles, $\pi \dot{\omega} \varsigma$ in less formal. The use of $\pi \dot{\omega} \varsigma$ is generally more restricted than that of $\delta \tau \iota$, the former being found most often with simple verbs such as $\lambda \epsilon \in \omega$ 'I say, tell', $\xi \in \in \rho \omega$ 'I know', $\nu o \mu i \zeta \omega$ 'I think', $\mu a \vartheta a i v \omega$ 'I learn, find out', and $\kappa a ́ v \omega ~ ' I ~ p r e t e n d ' . ~$
" $\alpha \nu$ 'whether' is, naturally, used after verbs such as $\rho \omega \tau a ́ \omega$ 'I ask', $\dot{a} \nu a \rho \omega \tau \iota \in ́ \mu a \iota$ or $\delta \iota \epsilon \rho \omega \tau \dot{\omega} \mu a \iota$ 'I wonder' (the latter more formal than the former), and $\xi \in \rho \omega \omega$ 'I know' (in interrogative and negative uses); $a ̆ \nu$ is sometimes replaced by $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \omega \varsigma$, especially if the latter appeared in the direct speech. Ná is used after verbs such as $\lambda \epsilon \in \omega$ 'I tell' and $\delta \iota a \tau a ́ j \omega$ 'I order'.

As has been mentioned elsewhere (3.3.2), the tense of the verb in the indirect-speech clause is normally that in which it would have been if the clause had recorded the direct speech; that is, the tense of the indirect speech verb is relative not to the time of utterance of the whole sentence, but to the time indicated by the sense of the main verb (i.e. the time of the main verb becomes the present of the subordinate clause).

The following examples illustrate various types of indirect speech:
 (s)he would come';
(2) $\nu \dot{\prime} \mu u \zeta a \pi \omega \dot{\varsigma} \pi \tilde{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \varsigma$ 'I thought you had gone';
(3) $\vartheta a ́ k a ́ v \in \iota ~ \pi \omega ' s ~ \delta e ́ ~ \vartheta a ́ ~ \kappa a \vartheta i \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ '(s) h e ' l l ~ p r e t e n d ~ n o t ~ t o ~ b e ~ s t a y i n g ' ; ~$
(4) $\epsilon i \sigma a \iota ~ \sigma i \gamma o u \rho o s ~ \delta \tau \tau \iota$ סév $\tau \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \omega \omega \sigma a \nu$; 'are you sure they haven't finished?';
(5) $\mu \in ́ ~ \rho \dot{́} \tau \eta \sigma a \nu \mu \dot{\eta} \pi \omega \varsigma$ єíual катáбкотoৎ 'they asked me whether I was a spy';
(6) $\xi \in \dot{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \tau \epsilon a ̆ \nu a ̈ \rho x \iota \sigma \epsilon \grave{\eta} \pi a \rho a ́ \sigma \tau a \sigma \eta$;'do you know if the performance has begun?';

(8) тóv $\delta \iota a \tau a ́ \xi a \nu \in ~ \nu a ́ ~ \kappa o ́ \psi \epsilon \iota ~ \tau a ́ ~ \delta e ́ v \tau \rho a ~ ' t h e y ~ o r d e r e d ~ h i m ~[o r ~ ' h e ~ w a s ~$ ordered'] to cut [down] the trees.'

In addition to its use after a verb of questioning, the indirect-question $a ̆ v$-clause is used elliptically either as an echo question when the speaker is not sure whether (s)he has heard the question or, as an exclamation, when (s)he thinks the answer should be obvious:
 the meeting?" [lit.] "If I did?/!"' (the answer means either (a) '[did you ask] if I went?', or (b) 'of course I went!').

### 8.3.2 INDIRECT-SPEECH CLAUSES IN OTHER NOMINAL USES

Clauses introduced by $\delta \tau \iota / \pi \dot{\omega} \varsigma$ may act as the subject of impersonal verbs (including elval with a neuter adverb); they may also act as verbal or adjectival complements:
(1) фaì $\epsilon \tau a \iota \pi \dot{\omega} \varsigma \delta \in ́ \nu \tau o u ̃ ~ a ̀ \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ ' h e ~ d o e s n ' t ~ s e e m ~ t o ~ l i k e ~ i t / h i m / h e r ' ~$ (lit. 'it seems that he doesn't . . .');
 supposed to be closed today' (lit. 'it-is-supposed that . . .');

(4) elual ßéßalos $\partial \quad \tau \iota .$. . I'm sure that . . .'

In other nominal uses, $\partial \tau \tau \iota$ and $a ̈ \nu$ are preceded by the definite article ( $\pi \dot{\omega} s$ is not used in such a way). Such is the case when a clause introduced by $\delta \tau \iota$ or ä $\nu$ precedes the main clause, or when the clause is governed by a preposition, or by a noun which usually governs a genitive noun:
 fact] that they are responding to our demand is heartening';
 'whether Greece should leave NATO is another matter';
 $\pi \rho o ́ \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \eta$ 'as to whether it will succeed or not, I cannot make any prediction';

 $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \kappa \kappa \dot{\eta} \gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau \kappa \kappa \dot{\eta}$ ' $[$ the] criterion as to whether a word of foreign origin belongs to the body of our language or not is considered to be whether it has been assimilated by Greek grammar' (And. 1967: xiii).

### 8.3.3 INDIRECT-SPEECH CLAUSES IN ADJECTIVAL OR APPOSITIONAL USES

Clauses introduced by $\partial \tau \iota, a ̈ \nu$, or $\nu$ d may be used to elucidate the meaning of a noun. Often the noun can be seen as corresponding to a verb; and the following examples are not very different from the example of verbal complement given in 8.3.2 (3):
 'E $\lambda \lambda$ á $\delta a$ 'we have information that the culprit is now outside Greece' (cf. $\pi \lambda \eta \rho о ф о \rho o u ́ \mu a \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ört . . .we are informed that . . .');

 Bolivia should encourage them';
 'I don't know what I would reply to the question whether we shall win.'

The use of $\nu a$ governed by a noun is examined later (9.4.2.2.4).

### 8.4 OTHER SUBORDINATE INDICATIVE CLAUSES

There is little need to dwell on causal clauses introduced by rati 'because', à aoṽ and $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \delta \delta \dot{\eta}$ 'since' (contrast the temporal à $\phi o v ̃, ~ 9.4 .4 .4$ ), and clauses of time, reason, or manner introduced by $\kappa a \vartheta \omega \dot{ }$ or $\delta \pi \omega \varsigma$ 'as' (contrast the universal function of $8 \pi \omega \varsigma, 8.2$.), since they are straightforward and present little interest.
$\Gamma a \pi i$ and $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \delta \delta \dot{\eta}$ may often be interchangeable, although a clause introduced by the former does not normally precede the main clause.

Also there are cases in which the scope of a negative or of some other particle is different according to whether yaati or $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon i \delta \dot{\eta}$ is used, the scope being wider with the latter than with the former:
 'E入év̀ Ф $\omega \kappa \bar{a}$ apoo $\omega \pi \kappa \kappa \dot{a}$ 'he must have stolen [the photograph] from someone else, because he didn't know Helen Foka personally' (The. 1940: 81).

In (1), $\gamma$ lati introduces the speaker's reason for making his assumption; $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \kappa \dot{\eta}$ would have given the subject's reason for stealing the photograph. These observations lead to the conclusion that quati is not a true subordinator, as $\epsilon \pi \epsilon i \delta \dot{\eta}$ is, but stands somewhere between a subordinating and coordinating conjunction.

One idiomatic use of adove is worth mentioning: this is the function of an à $\phi o \tilde{u}$-clause as an indignant exclamation:
 didn't you wash the shirts?" "But I did wash them!",

Among temporal conjunctions, $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tilde{\omega}$ 'while' (which is also used in the
 never subjunctive markers. The consecutive conjunction $\dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ '(so) that' is not in itself a subjunctive marker (though it often combines with $\nu \dot{a}$ when it is the logical consequence rather than the actual result that is being expressed: see also 9.4.2.2.5 (6) ):
 $\nu a ́ k a ́ v \omega$ каi aù $\tau \dot{\prime} \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \vartheta v \sigma i a$ 'such was my compassion for the two children that I could make this sacrifice too' (Hesse 1980: 105).

When the main clause is negative, the clause introduced by $\check{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ without vá has a positive meaning (unless of course it contains a negative); the presence of vá in such circumstances would give the subordinate clause a negative meaning:

 is not one of the virtues of our race [. . .], so that it is always profitable to stress it' (Pol. n.d.: 198).

In (4), $\nu \dot{d}$ after $\dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ would alter the meaning to: 'so that it is not always profitable' (i.e. the scope of the negative would extend to the
subordinate clause). In addition to being a subordinating conjunction, $\dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ may introduce main clauses and elliptical sentences indicating inference:
(5) $\stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \epsilon \cup ̛ \gamma \epsilon \iota s ~ ' s o ~ y o u ' r e ~ l e a v i n g ' ; ~$
(6) $\check{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ é $\tau \sigma \iota, ~ \epsilon \check{\epsilon}$; 'so that's how it is, is it?'

Finally, certain concessive conjunctions are not subjunctive markers, nor can they combine with vá. These are mostly compound conjunctions: ăv каí, $\mu$ ó入o $\pi о$ v́, $\mu 0 \lambda o v o ́ \tau \iota ~ ' a l t h o u g h ' . ~$

## 9

## MOOD: SUBJUNCTIVE CLAUSES

### 9.1 GENERAL

As has been stated before (3.2.2), the term 'subjunctive' is used in this book not as a morphological but as a semantic and syntactical category. The only morphological difference between the indicative and the subjunctive uses of verbs in MG is that the perfective non-past may appear only in subjunctive uses; conversely, the perfective past is not normally found in subjunctive clauses, except in epistemic (inferential), comparative and concessive clauses (since these express an actual, not a theoretical, possibility). The term 'subjunctive' is employed here for want of anything better: it is not really satisfactory, since it suggests that the clause in which a subjunctive appears is subjoined (i.e. subordinated) to another, whereas the MG subjunctive, like the indicative, may appear equally in main clauses or in subordinate clauses.

Semantically, the indicative normally expresses a reality, an action or state which has occurred or prevailed in the past, or is occurring or prevailing in the present: in other words, it makes some statement whose truth is potentially verifiable; the indicative is strictly 'nonmodal' (Lyons 1968: 307). The subjunctive, on the other hand, may make a statement about future time (which is not verifiable, at least at the time of speaking); it may express a supposition, a wish, a command, a desire, or it may appear in some utterance which is not actually a statement. Thus 'subjunctive' is used here as a blanket term to cover various moods traditionally known as subjunctive, optative, volitive, epistemic, deontic, and others, even imperative (when not realized morphologically as an imperative) and future. (The negative and interrogative are viewed here merely as varieties of either the indicative or the subjunctive; they generally do not in themselves alter the mood-or lack of mood-of the declarative clause.)

Syntactically, the subjunctive verb is preceded by one or more
subjunctive markers (also known as 'mood formants' or 'syntactic converters': Barri 1981): $\vartheta a ́, ~ \nu a ́, ~ a ̈ s, ~ \pi \rho i \nu ; ~ t e m p o r a l ~ c o n j u n c t i o n s ~ e x p r e s s-~$ ing anteriority and universal relatives, when reference is not to the past; and a few other conjunctions. There are a very few, mostly stereotyped, constructions, in which the perfective non-past (which may appear only in subjunctive clauses) is found without one of these markers: e.g.
 we shall go [on an] excursion tomorrow.' Thus our definition of 'subjunctive' is a rather circular one: it is the mood of the verb when that verb is preceded by a subjunctive marker; and a subjunctive marker is a particle or conjunction which may condition a perfective non-past verb form.

Perhaps the most interesting facet of modality in MG is that declarations (or questions) concerning future time are equated with nonaffirming statements, which express hypotheses or inferences about the past, present, or future, by virtue of the fact that they are all expressed by the use of $\vartheta a \dot{b}$ before the verb. Consider the following examples of verbs preceded by $\vartheta a ́:$
(1) Imperfective non-past:
$\vartheta a ́ \tau \bar{\eta} s \mu \lambda \lambda a ́ \epsilon \iota$ 'he will talk to her (habitually)' (future; iterative);
'he will be talking to her' (future; progressive); 'he must talk/be talking to her' (present; inferential; progressive or iterative);
(2) Imperfective past:
$\vartheta$ á $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \mu i \lambda o v ̃ \sigma \epsilon$ 'he would talk/be talking to her' (present; conditional; progressive, iterative, or nonprogressive);
'he would have talked/been talking to her' (past; conditional; progressive, iterative, or non-progressive);
'he was about to talk to her' (future-in-past);
'he must have talked/been talking to her' (past; inferential; progressive or iterative);
(3) Perfective non-past:
$\vartheta$ á $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \mu \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ 'he will talk to her' (future; non-iterative and non-progressive);
(4) Perfective past:
$\vartheta$ Э́ $\tau \tilde{\eta} s \mu i \lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon$ 'he must have talked to her' (past; inferential; non-iterative and non-progressive).

In most cases, the same utterances (with different intonation or, orthographically, with a question mark) could be interpreted as questions; and any of these utterances may appear in a main or a subordinate clause (subject to certain restrictions). The common factor in all these examples is that, irrespective of what (s)he believes, the speaker does not positively know that what (s)he says is a true fact, since either the event has not happened and is not happening, or, even if it has or is, (s)he cannot be absolutely certain that this is the case.

### 9.2 THE SUBJUNCTIVE MARKERS AND TENSE

The subjunctive markers are a syntactically heterogeneous group of words: $\vartheta$ á may precede a main or subordinate verb but is not in itself a subordinator; vá, ás, and certain other markers may be found with a main verb, but may act as subordinating conjunctions; while most of the other subjunctive markers may act only as subordinating conjunctions. They are also semantically heterogeneous; for example, $\vartheta$ á generally indicates future time or condition, while vá acts purely as a modal particle with no semantic function. They all however share the common characteristic that in the verbs which they govern tense distinctions tend to be neutralized, since in the most frequently used subjunctive constructions only non-past forms are possible: this is especially true in subordinate clauses (and it is also true in the morphological imperative). There is in fact a scale of neutralization, the degree depending on the syntactical and semantic function of the clause.

Maximum neutralization can be observed in verbs governed by $\pi \rho i v$ and $\pi \rho o \pi o \tilde{v}$ 'before', in which only the perfective non-past is normally possible (irrespective of temporal reference), since (a) the perfective is a mandatory component of a subjunctive clause in the expression of a non-progressive and non-iterative action which failed to coincide with another, and (b) a past tense is precluded (as it is in expressions of future time), since the verb governed by the conjunction denotes an action which takes place in the future in relation to the other verb. Thus:
 I read (past) a poem' (probably referring to a single occasion);
 I used to read a poem';
 I read (pres.) a poem' (habitual; or historic present);
（4）$\pi \rho i ́ \nu ~ к о щ \eta \vartheta \tilde{\omega}, \vartheta a ́ ~ \delta u ß a ́ \sigma \omega ~ e ̈ v a ~ \pi o i \eta \mu a ~ ' b e f o r e ~ g o i n g ~ t o ~ s l e e p, ~$ I＇ll read a poem＇（either about one occasion，or consuetudinal： see 3．2．3．2）；
 I＇ll read／be reading a poem＇（habitual，progressive，or infer－ ential）．
In all these examples，the verb governed by $\pi \rho i \nu$ is invariable．
Minimum neutralization may be observed in epistemic，comparative， and concessive constructions．Thus（epistemic／inferential）：
（6）$\mu \pi о \rho \epsilon i ̃ ~ \nu a ́ ~ \eta ̉ \rho \vartheta \epsilon ~ '(s) h e ~ m a y ~ h a v e ~ c o m e ' ~(n o n-i t e r a t i v e) ; ~$
（7）$\mu \pi о \rho \in i$ vá є̀ $\rho \chi o ́ \tau a \nu$＇（s）he may have been coming＇；＇（s）he may have come（habitually）＇；
（8）$\mu \pi \sigma \rho \in i$ vá $\notin \rho \chi \in \tau a \iota$＇（s）he may be coming＇；＇（s）he may come （habitually）＇；
（9）$\mu \pi о \rho \in i ̃ ~ \nu a ́ ~ ' є ́ \rho \vartheta \epsilon \iota ~ '(s) h e ~ m a y ~ c o m e ’ ~(n o n-i t e r a t i v e) . ~$
Here，the verb governed by $\nu \dot{d}$ is free to alter according to the temporal reference．Between these two extremes is the most usual situation，in which the subjunctive verb indicates aspect but not time：
（10）$\ddot{\eta} \vartheta \epsilon \lambda a$ vá $\phi \in ⿱ 宀 ⿱ 一 𧰨 刂 \gamma \omega / \phi u ̛ \gamma \omega ~ ' I ~ w a n t e d ~ t o ~ l e a v e ~(h a b i t u a l l y / n o n-~$ habitually＇）；
（11）$\vartheta \epsilon \in \lambda \omega$ vá $\phi \in ⿱ ⺈ ⿴ 囗 丨 丁 \gamma \omega / \phi u ̛ \gamma \omega ~ ' I ~ w a n t ~ t o ~ l e a v e ~(h a b i t u a l l y / n o n-~$ habitually）＇；
（12）$\vartheta a ́ ~ \vartheta \epsilon ́ \lambda \omega ~ \nu a ́ ~ \phi \epsilon u ̛ \gamma \omega / \phi u ̛ \gamma \omega ~ ' I ~ s h a l l ~ w a n t ~ t o ~ l e a v e ~(h a b i t u a l l y / ~$ non－habitually）＇；
（13）$\vartheta a ́ \nexists \vartheta \vartheta \epsilon \lambda a$ vá $\phi \in v ́ \gamma \omega / \phi v ̛ \gamma \omega$＇I would like to leave（habitually／ non－habitually．＇
Only in the last case（when the main verb is in the＇conditional＇）is any variation possible：$\vartheta a \dot{a} \nmid \vartheta \epsilon \lambda a$ vá é $\phi \in \vartheta \gamma a$（using the imperfective past in the subordinate verb）expresses the same meaning in a more wistful manner，but the aspectual distinction is thereby sacrificed．Outside epistemic，concessive，and comparative clauses，the construction sub－ junctive marker＋imperfective past is a modal past，which expresses an action that has not taken and is not taking place．On the other hand， past reference relative to the main verb may be expressed by using a perfect form：
 were preceded by $\vartheta$ á，it would be rendered，＇I would like to have left＇）．

Such potential neutralization sometimes leads speakers and writers to use alternatives which will give a clearer indication of time. Thus verbs of perception with a direct object and a verb expressing what this object is perceived as doing may be expressed by means of $\nu \dot{d}$, after which only an imperfective non-past is possible in the subordinated verb (cf. 9.4.2.2.1 (c)):
(15) коıтá\} $\omega$ коітаја коітаєа ७á коı兀ág $\omega$

$$
\tau o ́ ~ \pi o ̛ a ́ \mu \iota ~ \nu a ́ ~ к v \lambda a ́ є \iota ~
$$

'I $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { watch/am watching } \\ \text { was watching/used to watch } \\ \text { watched } \\ \text { shall watch }\end{array}\right\}$ the river flowing [by].

But, alternatively, $\pi o v$ and the indicative (or subjunctive if $\vartheta \alpha \dot{a}$ is used) may indicate temporal reference:

коітаја тó тотáयı поv́ кv入oṽбє



In (16) the form of the subordinate verb may vary according to the temporal reference and the nature of the action. (In fact, the four sentences in (16) are not completely synonymous with those in (15): while in the former the focus of attention is on the action of the river's flow, in the latter it is on the river itself.)

Another method of avoiding the neutralization of tense which may occur in a subordinate verb is parataxis (with kai 'and'), which is commonly found in colloquial speech. This most often occurs when there is temporal coincidence between the actions denoted by the two verbs (i.e. if in more formal Greek the subordinated verb would appear in the imperfective non-past): this is also true of the $\nu \dot{a} / \pi o v$ alternation mentioned above. In such circumstances, the clause introduced by каi is normally a statement (see 7.2).

Sometimes, however, the verb in the kai-clause is actually in the perfective non-past (i.e. it is a subjunctive), when the action denoted is not real:
(17) $\mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \mu \epsilon ́ ~ \kappa a ́ v \epsilon ı \varsigma ~ \kappa \iota ~ a ̀ \rho x i \sigma \omega ~ \pi a ́ \lambda \iota ~ ' d o n ’ t ~ m a k e ~ m e ~ s t a r t ~ a g a i n ' ~(=~$ $\left.\nu^{\prime} \dot{a} \rho \chi i \sigma \omega\right)$.

### 9.3 SYNTACTICAL HETEROGENEITY OF SUBJUNCTIVE MARKERS

Subjunctive markers differ among themselves with regard both to negatives and to word order. While vá and äs are always negated by $\mu \dot{\eta}(\nu)$, the others use the same negative as is used with the indicative, namely $\delta \dot{\epsilon}(\nu)$; on the other hand, ov́т $\epsilon$ 'neither . . . nor; not even' may be used whether or not the verb is governed by vá or äs. But although it is convenient for the purposes of distinguishing the negative particles to separate $\nu \dot{d}$ - and ăs-clauses from others, for other purposes such clauses may be viewed simply as a subcategory of subjunctive clauses. ( $\mathrm{M} \dot{\eta}(\nu)$ is a subjunctive marker in itself only when it is used without another subjunctive marker, as for example in negative commands.) Again, whereas $\vartheta \dot{a}$ is preceded by the negative, all other subjunctive markers are followed by the negative, which in turn immediately precedes the proclitic-t-verb phrase. And whereas vá and ăs (with or without the negative) and $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}(\nu)$ (when used in negative commands) are immediately followed by the proclitic-t-verb phrase, this order is not obligatory after those subjunctive markers which are true conjunctions; nevertheless, the conjunction is not usually separated from the verb in ordinary speech, and the only words that may appear between them are adverbials or subject noun phrases (see also 7.1.1 (10) and 7.1.2 (1)-(2)). There are certain co-occurrence restrictions on subjunctive markers: äs and $\mu \dot{\eta}(\nu)$ (as a subjunctive marker) cannot co-occur with any other; and $\nu \dot{a}$ may not co-occur with $\vartheta \dot{a}$ or with certain conjunctions (e.g. ă $\mu a, \mu o ́ \lambda \iota \varsigma, ~ o ̛ \tau a \nu, a ̀ \phi o v ̄, ~ a ̆ \nu) . ~$

### 9.4 USES OF THE VARIOUS SUBJUNCTIVE MARKERS

It would make little sense to divide the uses of the subjunctive according to whether it appears in a main or a subordinate clause, since there is often little difference in usage. For example, the sentence,
(1a) vá $\pi \epsilon \rho a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \sigma a ~ o ̀ ~ к u ́ \rho \iota o s ~ ' A \mu \pi a \tau \zeta o ́ \gamma \lambda \lambda o v ~ ' l e t ~ M r ~ A b a d z o g l o u ~$ go/come [lit. 'pass'] in',
in which $\nu$ á governs a main verb, differs structurally only in the addition of one word from the sentence,
 Mr Abadzoglou to go/come in',
in which it governs a subordinate verb. Similarly,
(2a) $\mu \dot{\eta} \phi u ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota ~ o ̀ ~ T a ́ k \eta \varsigma ~ ‘ d o n ’ t ~ l e t ~ T a k i s ~ l e a v e ’, ~$
is not very different in structure from,
(2b) фoßãuaı $\mu \dot{\eta}$ фứүєє ò Tákทs 'I'm afraid (lest) Takis [might] leave.'

It might even be said that underlying each of (1b) and (2b) there are two separate sentences which have become linked only by the removal of a full stop ('I want. Let him come in'; 'I'm afraid. Don't let him leave'). It has also been decided not to deal with mood according to the various semantic mood categories (future, volitive, conditional, jussive, etc.), since this would entail a high degree of repetition. Instead, the chief subjunctive markers will be examined in turn.

### 9.4.1 © A

The particle $\vartheta$ á may be used with any form of the verb. As well as being used to refer to future time, it may also endow the verb with a conditional (potential) or epistemic (inferential) sense (cf. 9.1).

In future reference proper (i.e. future in relation to the time of utterance), $\vartheta$ á is used with the perfective or imperfective non-past:
(1a) $\vartheta a ́ ~ \sigma o v ̃ ~ \gamma \rho a ́ \psi \omega ~ a \check{o ̋ \rho o ~ ' I ' l l ~ w r i t e ~ t o ~ y o u ~ t o m o r r o w ' ; ~}$
(1b) $\vartheta a ́ ~ \sigma o v ̃ ~ \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \omega ~ \tau a \chi \tau \kappa k a ́ ~ ' I ' l l ~ w r i t e ~ t o ~ y o u ~ r e g u l a r l y . ' ~$
To refer to an action which takes place before another action in the future, $\vartheta$ á may be used with the perfect non-past:
(2) ठัтav Є้ $\rho \vartheta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \vartheta a ́ ~ Є ̇ \chi о u \mu \epsilon ~ \phi u ̛ \gamma \epsilon \iota ~ ' w h e n ~ y o u ~ c o m e ~ w e ' l l ~ h a v e ~ l e f t . ' ~$

Note also the construction $\vartheta \dot{d} \pi \epsilon i$ 'means' (with inanimate subject: $i$ i $\vartheta a ́ ~ \pi \epsilon i ~ \Delta \eta \mu о к \rho a \tau i a ; ~ ' w h a t ~ d o e s ~ " D e m o c r a c y " ~ m e a n ? '-c o n t r a s t, ~$ with animate subject, $\vartheta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega \nu$ dá $\pi \dot{\omega}$ 'I mean': $\tau i \vartheta \notin \lambda \epsilon \iota s ~ \nu a ́ ~ \pi \epsilon i s ; ~ ‘ w h a t ~ d o ~$ you mean?').

In conditional sentences, $\vartheta \dot{a}$ is followed by the imperfective or perfect past: the former may have present, past, or future reference, but the latter may be used only if the speaker/writer wishes to make it clear that the verb refers to the past:
 (present/future); 'if you'd gone you'd have seen him' (past);
(4) $\chi \omega \rho i \varsigma ~ \tau o ́ v ~ ' A \lambda \kappa \eta ~ \vartheta a ́ ~ \epsilon l \chi a ~ \sigma к о \tau \omega \vartheta \epsilon i ́ ~ ' b u t ~ f o r ~[l i t . ~ ' w i t h o u t '] ~$ Alkis, I would have been killed' (past only).

A notable feature of the 'conditional' is that it is 'the one pattern in the [MG] temporal system which is relative only and not aspectual' (Kahane and Kahane 1958: 470): that is to say, not only does the conditional not necessarily indicate tense, but it always fails to show aspect too. Neither of the above sentences (3) and (4) indicates whether the potential actions are viewed as occurring habitually/progressively or non-habitually/non-progressively. Thus, whereas normally mood is not a powerful category in MG, in $\vartheta \dot{a}+$ past it takes precedence over both aspect and tense. It was not always thus: until at least the late nineteenth century, at least in some dialects, it was possible to distinguish between aspects in the conditional, with forms such as $\eta \forall \vartheta \epsilon \lambda a \quad \delta e ́ v \in \iota$ (impf.) 'I would be tying' and $\eta \vartheta \epsilon \epsilon a$ $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ (pf.) 'I would tie'. A construction that looks much like a conditional is the iterative (the past equivalent of the consuetudinal future: see 3.2.3.2):
 used to sit at the same cafe' (more normal without $\vartheta \dot{a}$ ).
While the future is expressed only with non-past forms, and the conditional only with past forms (and never with the perfective), epistemic (inferential) constructions may use any verb form; though it is characteristic that only $\vartheta \dot{a}+$ perfective past is unambiguously epistemic (since this combination is not found for the other functions of $\vartheta \dot{a}$ ), and some forms are more commonly found in epistemic uses than are others (see examples (1)-(4) in 9.1, to which could be added further examples of $\vartheta a ́$ with the perfect past and non-past tenses in epistemic uses). With the perfective non-past, it is impossible (and unnecessary) to distinguish the future from the epistemic meanings, since in either case the speaker is making a prediction, which by its very nature is a statement that cannot be verified at the time of speaking. It will be noticed that, apart from (3), the examples given in 9.1 could have been uttered (with a greater sense of certainty) without $\vartheta$ á. (Inferential epistemic utterances are often alternatively expressed by means of $\pi \rho \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \iota \nu a ́$.

The imperfective past is used after $\vartheta a \dot{a}$ in certain future-in-the-past uses, in which the verb phrase acts as a past version of one referring to the future. Such is the case when the future of direct speech is (optionally) altered, according to the sequence of tenses, after a main verb in a past tense: e.g.
(6a) 'Ti i vá kavєı;' " "What will (s)he do?" ';
(6b) $\pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\mu} \epsilon \nu a$ vá $\delta \tilde{\omega} \tau i$ ७á ëкадє 'I waited to see what (s)he would do.'

The presence of a past-tense main verb is not however necessary. Consider the following scenario:
(7a) (Present reference) ‘ $\Theta \dot{a} \beta \gamma \dot{\omega} \tau \dot{\omega} \rho a . ’$ - $N \tau \rho \dot{\omega}-\nu \tau \rho \dot{\omega}{ }^{\nu}$ ‘ "I shall go out now."-Brr-brr!';
(7b) (Past reference) ఆá éß $\beta$ रawa ơтav $\chi \tau \dot{\pi} \pi \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau o ́ ~ \tau \eta \lambda \epsilon ́ \phi \omega \nu 0$ 'I was about to go out/just going out when the phone rang.'

The imperfective past is used here in the same way as in the 'potential' example given in 3.3.1.2. In narrative it is usual to use $\vartheta$ á + imperfective past of actions which were to take place at a time posterior to the past of the main action.

Finally, $\vartheta \dot{a}+$ imperfective past may be used to formulate a polite and tentative expression of request, intention or wish (whether fulfilled or not):


(10) $\vartheta a ́ ~ \eta ̋ \vartheta \epsilon \lambda a \nu \nu a ́ ~ \mu \dot{\eta} \nu \in i \chi a \nu \pi a ́ \epsilon \iota ~ ' t h e y ~ w i s h e d ~ t h e y ~ h a d n ' t ~ g o n e ' ; ~$
(11) $\sigma v \mu \pi \epsilon \rho a \sigma \mu a \tau \kappa \kappa \dot{\prime}, \vartheta a ́ ~ \epsilon ̈ \lambda \epsilon \gamma a \tau a ́ ~ \epsilon \xi \tilde{\eta} \varsigma . .$. 'in conclusion, I would [like to] say the following . . .';
(12) $\vartheta a ́ ~ e ̆ ~ \epsilon \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon ~ \nu a ́ ~ ф v ̛ \gamma o v \mu \epsilon ~ ' w e ~ s h o u l d ~ l e a v e ' ~(c f . ~ \pi \rho є ́ ~ \pi \epsilon \iota ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ ' w e ~$ must . . .').

### 9.4.2 NA

The use of $\nu \dot{a}$ is so frequent and so varied that a systematic and comprehensive survey of its uses would be beyond the range of this book. As has already been mentioned, it may govern a main verb as a subjunctive marker pure and simple, or it may serve to subordinate a clause: such a clause may act as the subject of a verb, or it may be subordinated to a verb, a noun, an adjective, a preposition, a conjunction, a definite article, or another clause.

In many of its uses, $\nu \dot{a}+$ verb is the equivalent of an infinitive in modern Western European languages. The lack of an infinitive, which is periodically lamented by Greek writers, makes it impossible for a verb to be used without at least an implied subject: the complete impersonality of reference found in an infinitive cannot be achieved in MG, the best approximation being the use of the second person singular (which is potentially ambiguous) or of kaveis 'one'. Consider this traditional translation of the famous line from Hamlet:
 should one die, behold the question' (Vik. 1882: 82).

On the other hand, the flexibility and versatility which $\nu$ d puts at the speaker's disposal provide a degree of compensation for the lack of the infinitive. Quite apart from the ease with which one can convey subtle distinctions of mood by means of $\nu \dot{d}$ and the fact that a verb after $\nu \dot{d}$ usually displays aspectual differentiation (something lacking, for instance, from the French infinitive), this particle also facilitates such syntactical switches as changes of person, thus making for conciseness of expression:
(2) $\vartheta \epsilon \in \lambda \omega$ vá $\pi a ́ \omega$ 'I want to go' (lit. 'I-want to I-go'): cf. F je veux aller;
(3) $\vartheta \epsilon \in \lambda \omega \nu$ d́ $\pi a ̃ \mathrm{a}$ 'I want you to go' (lit. 'I-want to you-go'): cf. F je veux que tu ailles.

While in the latter example English demands the insertion of the second subject ('you') and French needs to use a completely different construction from the first, MG simply alters the person ending of the second verb.

### 9.4.2.1 Ná + main verb

### 9.4.2.1.1 Commands and exhortations

As well as being employed in third-person equivalents of the imperative, $\nu a \dot{a}+$ verb may be used as an alternative to the imperative in the second person, and, with the first person, to express an offer to do something:
 come to the telephone' (cf. imperative, én $\lambda$ Г $\Gamma \tilde{\omega} \rho \gamma o$ o $\tau o ́ \tau \eta \lambda \epsilon ́-$ $\phi \omega \nu$ o 'come to the telephone, George');
(2) (2nd person) vá фưyєıऽ aűpı, b̌xı oñ $\mu \in \rho a$ 'you should leave tomorrow, not today' (cf. imperative, фúy $\epsilon$ aúpı . . ., which is more abrupt);
(3) (1st person) $\lambda \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \mu \epsilon \kappa a i ้ \nu a ́ ~ \sigma o v ̃ ~ \delta \epsilon i \xi \omega ~ \tau o ́ ~ \vartheta \eta \sigma a v \rho d ~ ' u n t i e ~ m e ~ a n d ~$ let me show you [or 'I'll show you'] the treasure.'

Deliberative questions in the first person (eliciting a yes/no answer) may also be expressed in the same way:
(4) vá $\sigma o v ̃ \delta \epsilon i \xi \omega \tau o ́ ~ \vartheta \eta \sigma a v \rho o ́ ; ~ ‘ s h a l l / s h o u l d ~ I ~ s h o w ~ y o u ~ t h e ~ t r e a s u r e ? ' ~ ' ~$ (i.e. 'do you want me to . . .?');
（5）vá $\tau a ́ ~ \pi o u ̃ \mu \epsilon ~[s c . ~ \tau a ́ ~ к a ́ \lambda a v \tau a] ; ~ ‘ s h o u l d ~ w e ~ s i n g ~[t h e ~ c a r o l s] ? ’ ~ ' ~$ （said by children at Christmas when a householder opens the door to them）．

In all the above examples，the perfective non－past is used．The imper－ fective non－past is used when the reference is to habitual or progressive action；and the imperfective past when reference is to the past．An example of the latter is（6）：
（6）Tá $\vartheta \vartheta \vartheta \epsilon \epsilon \varsigma$ ；Ná $\mu \circ \tilde{v} \tau$＇＇$\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \varsigma$ ．＇Did you want them？You should have told me．＇

## 9．4．2．1．2 Wishes and curses

With non－past forms，vá may be used to express a wish or a curse referring to future time；with the imperfective past，it may express one referring to past or present（and therefore incapable of fulfilment）；in this case $\nu \dot{d}$ is often preceded by ăx：
（1）vá＇oaı ка入á＇bless you＇（lit．＇may you be well＇）；
（2）vá そク́ $\sigma \epsilon \iota$［ $\tau$ ó $\pi a \iota \delta i ́ o o u$ ］＇may［your child］live＇（said as a polite formula to a parent）；
 again！＇；
（4）ä vá $\chi a \vartheta \epsilon i ̄, \beta \lambda a \mu \mu \epsilon ́ v \epsilon!$＇get lost，you idiot！＇；
（5）$\nu$ á＇oovva $\mu \pi \rho o \sigma \tau a ́!$＇if only you＇d been there！＇；
（6）$\mu o ́ v o \nu a ́ \mu \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \rho \gamma o v ̃ \sigma \epsilon \varsigma ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau o \tau \epsilon ~ ' I ~ o n l y ~ w i s h ~ y o u ~ w e r e n ' t ~ a l w a y s ~$ late＇；
（7）ă $\chi$ ，vá $\mu \pi o \rho o v ̄ \sigma a ~ \nu a ́ ~ \phi u ̛ \gamma \omega!~ ‘ o h, ~ i f ~ o n l y ~ I ~ c o u l d ~ l e a v e!’ ~$

## 9．4．2．1．3 Exclamations

In an exclamation（often of protest or indignation）vá may be followed by any finite verb form，depending on temporal reference．Such an exclamation is often expressed interrogatively．
 ［lit．＇one should think＇］I raised him myself！＇；
 see me？Incredible！＇；
 by］and I haven＇t thought about it！＇（Hesse 1980：76）．

## 9．4．2．1．4 Narrative vá

The imperfective non－past is sometimes used with $\nu \dot{a}$ in narratives in
order to give dramatic effect to the description of a progressive or iterative action in the past:
 $\tau$ á $\mu a \lambda \lambda c a ́ ~ \tau \eta s$ 'as soon as Mary heard it, she began to cry and shout and tear her hair' (Tzartzanos 1963: 192).

### 9.4.2.2 Ná + subordinate verb

### 9.4.2.2.1 Ná as a subordinating particle with a verb

The most frequent uses of $\nu \dot{d}$ are in constructions of the type Verb + $\nu a ́+V e r b$. These uses are those which correspond to most uses of the infinitive in certain other European languages. Among them, the most usual functions are those in which the first verb has, loosely, the sense of necessity, ability, or volition.

It is important to distinguish between $\nu \dot{d}$-clauses that are the subjects of verbs and those that act as objects. As has been seen (2.4.1), impersonal verbs may have $\nu$ da-clauses as their subjects; these verbs include $\pi \rho \in ́ \pi \epsilon \iota, \mu \pi о \rho \epsilon i ̈$ (but not personal $\mu \pi о \rho \tilde{\omega}$ ), and the copula $\epsilon i \sim \nu a \iota$ with a neuter adjective. In the following subsections, most of the uses of $\nu \dot{d}$ which will be examined are as an introductive of object-clauses.
(a) $\mu \pi о \rho \tilde{\omega}$ and $\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \epsilon$ (dynamic, obligative, and epistemic constructions)
In the imperfective, $\mu \pi \sigma \rho \bar{\omega}$ indicates potential ability, while in the perfective it implies achievement of this potential. Additionally, in the third person singular of the imperfective (normally non-past), $\mu \pi 0 \rho \epsilon i$ may be used in an epistemic sense to denote a possibility (see 2.4.1 and, for examples, 9.2 (6)-(9)).
(1) $\vartheta a ́ ~ \mu \pi о \rho о и ́ \sigma a \mu є ~ \nu a ́ ~ \pi a ̃ \mu \epsilon, ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda a ́ ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ ' w e ~ c o u l d ~ h a v e ~ g o n e, ~$ but . . .’;
 to see the performance' (for the paratactic alternative to the positive version of such a sentence, see 7.2 (14)).

Прє́ $\pi \epsilon \iota$, which exists only in the third person and only in the imperfective, denotes necessity, obligation (whether fulfilled or not), or probability (inference):
(3) $\neq \pi \pi \rho \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ d́ $\sigma$ ’ $\tau \dot{\prime} \pi \tilde{\omega}$ (a) 'I had to tell you’; (b) 'I should have told you';
 nakias must [by then] have begun being roasted' (Ioa. 1976: 234) (epistemic sense: alternatively, ò T. ७á єỉx $\epsilon \dot{a} \rho \chi i \sigma \epsilon \iota)$.

In sense (a) of example (3), an alternative might be to replace $\begin{gathered}\pi \\ \pi\end{gathered} \epsilon \pi \epsilon$ with àvarкá $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa a$ 'I was forced'; in (b), the fact that the obligation was unfulfilled could be stressed by saying vá $\sigma^{\prime} \tau o ́ \epsilon i \chi x a \pi \epsilon i$, and/or by placing $\vartheta$ á before $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon$. An alternative (colloquial) method of indicating an unfulfilled obligation is to place калогıа́ (lit. 'ordinarily')
 only 'I shouldn't have told you.'

There are of course other verbs or verbal phrases (followed by vá) which denote ability or inability, obligation or necessity. One of the ways of expressing obligation is by é $\chi \omega \nu$ á 'I have to':

(b) Volitive and other uses

In a large number of uses loosely termed volitive, vá may replace a direct object of the main verb (e.g. $\vartheta \epsilon \in \lambda \omega$ фai' 'I want food', $\vartheta \epsilon \in \lambda \omega$ $\nu a ́ d a ́ \omega$ 'I want to eat'). Such is the case with a number of verbs such as $\vartheta \epsilon \in \lambda \omega$ 'I want', $\zeta \eta \tau \dot{\omega}$ 'I ask', $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \chi o \mu a l$ 'I agree (to do something)', $\sigma v \mu \beta o v \lambda \epsilon v ่ \omega$ 'I advise', and $\dot{a} \pi a \gamma o \rho \epsilon v ́ \omega$ 'I forbid'. Some of these demand that both main and subordinate verbs be in the same person, others that the persons be different, while yet others entail no such restrictions. A clear example of a vá-clause as equivalent to a direct object is one with $\kappa a v \omega$ such as the following:
 do nothing but accept the plan.'
 'I get ready', and verbs of motion), which cannot take the sort of direct object which might replace the $\nu$ da-clause, their relationship with the main verb is more difficult to define. Generally the main verbs contain some sense of being willing (or unwilling) to do something, or of wanting someone to act (or preventing them from acting) in some way. With some volitive verbs, the person or thing which the subject of the main verb wants to perform the action of the subordinate verb may appear either as the explicit object of the main verb or as the explicit subject of the subordinate verb.

Normally, volitive verbs may be followed by any finite verb form
but the perfective past. In expressions of fervent wishes or potential (contingent) situations, the imperfective past is often used after vá, especially when the head verb is in the same tense (cf. 9.4.2.1.2):
 [lit. 'make'] my life over again!' (Kaz. 1965: 525);
(8) $\vartheta a ́ ~ \pi \rho о \tau \mu o v ̃ \sigma a ~ \nu a ́ ~ \epsilon ́ \rho \chi o ́ \sigma o v \nu a ~ a v ̌ \rho ю ~ \pi a \rho a ́ ~ o n ̀ \mu \epsilon \rho a ~ ' I ' d ~ p r e f e r / ~$ have preferred you to come tomorrow rather than today' (future reference in second verb: cf. $\vartheta a ́$ п $\pi \rho o r \iota \mu o v ̃ \sigma a \nu a ́ \epsilon l \chi \in \varsigma$ $\phi u ̛ \gamma \epsilon \iota ~ \chi \vartheta \epsilon \in \varsigma ~ ' I ~ w o u l d ~ h a v e ~ p r e f e r r e d ~ y o u ~ t o ~ l e a v e ~ y e s t e r d a y ; ~$ I would prefer you to have left yesterday');
(9) [каí vá єỉxa,] סév $\pi \rho \delta \delta к \epsilon \iota \tau a \iota ~ \nu a ́ ~ v ̀ \pi e ́ \gamma \rho a \phi a ~ '[e v e n ~ i f ~ I ~ h a d]$,
 ن̀ாoroá $\psi \omega$ ) ( $T 2$ Aug. 1979, 37).

After certain verbs, $\nu \dot{d}+$ imperfective non-past is roughly equivalent to a present participle. Such verbs include $\beta$ aptépal 'I'm bored', kov$\rho a ́ S o \mu a \iota ~ ‘ I ~ g e t ~ t i r e d ', ~ \chi a i \rho o \mu a l ~ ' I ' m ~ g l a d ', ~ a n d ~ \lambda v \pi a ̈ \mu a l ~ ' I ' m ~ s o r r y ': ~$
(10) ßарéधŋŋка vá $\pi \epsilon \rho ч \mu \in ́ \nu \omega$ ' I'[ve] got fed up waiting';
(11) $\lambda v \pi a ̃ \mu a \iota ~ \nu a ́ ~ \sigma a ̃ s ~ a ̀ k o v o \sigma \omega ~ v a ́ ~ \mu ı \lambda a ̃ \tau \epsilon ~ e ̈ \tau \sigma \iota ~ ' I ' m ~ s o r r y ~ t o ~ h e a r ~ y o u ~$ talking like this' (cf. 8.1.3.1).

Another use of $\nu \dot{d}$ which could be classed as volitive is that indicating destination after verbs of motion:

(c) Ná after verbs of perception

When a vá-clause is governed by a verb of perception it is usually an object complement. In such cases the object of the main verb (which must be stated) normally becomes the subject of the subordinate verb:
 the road'
((13) does not specify whether he crossed or not: cf. $\delta \in ́ v \tau \delta \delta \nu$ eíßauc $\pi o v ́ \pi \epsilon \rho a \sigma \epsilon \tau \delta \delta \delta \rho \delta \mu o$, which implies that he did; and cf. 9.2 (15) and (16)). When that which is perceived is expressed not by a clitic pronoun but by a noun, the noun may figure either as the explicit object of the main verb or as the explicit subject of the subordinate verb:


'we felt the spring coming'.
（d）Ná after verbs of saying etc．
Ná is used to convert an imperative into reported speech：
 told them to go ahead．＇

Ná is also used after $\lambda \epsilon \in \rho / \lambda \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon$＇do you think？＇，and certain other verbs（especially in the negative），such as $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v \in \omega$＇I believe＇，$\vartheta v \mu a \dot{\mu} a \iota$ ＇I remember＇，фаvтájoual＇I imagine＇，and $\xi \in ́ \rho \omega$＇I know＇：
（16）$\lambda e ́ s ~ \nu a ́ ~ e ́ \rho \vartheta \epsilon \iota ~ a u ̋ p ю ; ~ ‘ d o ~ y o u ~ t h i n k ~(s) h e ' l l ~ c o m e ~ t o m o r r o w ? ’ ; ~$
（17）$\delta e ́ v ~ \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v ̛ \omega ~ \nu a ́ ~ \kappa a ́ v \omega ~ \lambda a ́ \vartheta o s ~ ‘ I ~ d o n ’ t ~ t h i n k ~ I ' m ~ m i s t a k e n ’ ; ~$
（18）$\delta e ́ ~ \vartheta v \mu a ̄ \mu a \iota ~ \nu a ́ ~ \tau o ́ v ~ \epsilon i ́ \delta a ~ \pi o \tau e ́ ~ ' I ~ d o n ’ t ~ r e m e m b e r ~ e v e r ~ h a v i n g ~$ seen him＇；
 find any difficulty．＇

Except in the case of $\lambda \epsilon \in \varsigma / \lambda \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon, \nu$ after the above verbs can be replaced by $\delta \tau \iota / \pi \dot{\omega} \varsigma$（followed by $\vartheta \dot{\alpha}$ if reference is to future time）．The con－ struction with $\nu \dot{d}$ implies that the possibility of the situation denoted by the subordinated verb being true is even more remote．

## 9．4．2．2．2 Ná after interrogatives and certain other words

Interrogative pronouns and adverbs may be followed by vá to form a question which bears the sense of＇I wonder ．．．＇The verb may be in the perfective／imperfective past or imperfective non－past for real situations in the past or present；or in the perfective／imperfective non－ past or imperfective past for unreal situations in which reference is timeless or to the past：
（1）$\pi o ̛ r \epsilon ~ v a ́ ~ e ̈ ф v \gamma \epsilon ~ a ̈ \rho a \gamma \epsilon ; ~ ' w h e n ~ c a n / c o u l d ~(s) h e ~ h a v e ~ l e f t, ~$ I wonder？＇；
 going，I wonder？＇；
（3）rıaтi vá є́pхєтą oтท่ Єeббa入ovikn；＇why should（s）he be coming［or＇come＇（habitually）］to Salonica？＇；
（4）$\pi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma \nu a ́ \mu \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \delta \dot{\nu} \dot{a} \gamma a \pi \eta \dot{\sigma} \omega$ ；＇how can I help loving nim？＇（lit． ＇how may I not love him？＇）；
 up at five every morning？＇（or，＇who can it be that gets up ．．．？＇）；
（6）$\tau i$ vá éка⿱亠䒑a；＇what could I do／have done？＇
There is also a narrative use of such a construction：
 house and what should I see？．．．＇

Such interrogative clauses may also be governed by a verb：


There are certain other words which，as in examples（1）－（6），may govern vá without the presence of a verb other than the one in the $\nu a ́$－clause．These are：$\mu а к а ́ \rho \iota, a ̈ \nu \tau \epsilon, ~ \imath \imath \sigma \omega \varsigma, ~ к а \lambda a ́, ~ \pi a \rho a ́ ~ \lambda i ́ \gamma o, ~ a n d ~ \tau o ́ ~$ $\pi o \lambda \dot{v}-\pi 0 \lambda \dot{v}$.

Maкápı is used to express a wish：it may be used with any verb form， but is most often found with the imperfective past：

## （9）$\mu а к а ́ \rho \iota ~ \nu a ́ ~ そ ̋ \xi \epsilon \rho a ~ ' I ~ w i s h ~ I ~ k n e w . ' ~$

＂A $\nu \tau \epsilon$＇come on＇is especially used in SMG with $\nu$ á + perfective non－ past in one particular construction which expresses contemptuous disbelief in the possibility that an occurrence could happen in the future：
 ＇I＇d like to see them［the Turks］entering into the ancient Greek spirit！＇（Kazazis 1975：162）．
＇Aкó ${ }^{\prime}$ vá is used with the perfective non－past to mean＇not yet＇：

Although $\boldsymbol{\imath} \sigma \omega \varsigma$＇perhaps＇may act as subjunctive marker on its own （see 9．4．4．2），and may combine with $\vartheta \dot{a}$ ，it has an epistemic sense （＇maybe＇）or a conditional sense（14）when followed by vá and any finite verb form．Whereas iows alone is not often negated（when it is， it is followed by $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ ），亢̈ $\sigma \omega \varsigma \nu$ da may readily be negated by $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ ：
（12）ï $\sigma \omega \varsigma \nu a ́ \eta j \rho \vartheta \epsilon$＇maybe（s）he came／has come＇；

 à́f $\rho a$＇others，in his position，would perhaps have blown their brains out＇（Kar．n．d．：I 227）．

Ka入á is used with $\nu \dot{a}+$ perfective non－past（irrespective of temporal reference）in the stereotyped phrase：
（15）ка入á vá $\pi a ́ \vartheta \epsilon \iota \varsigma$＇it served／serves／will serve you right．＇

Maןá $\lambda i$ íco 'nearly, almost' is followed by $\nu$ á + perfective non-past or imperfective past:
(16) $\pi a \rho a ́ ~ \lambda i ́ \gamma o ~ \nu a ́ ~ \pi \nu \iota \gamma o v ̄ \mu \epsilon / \pi \nu \iota \gamma o ́ \mu a \sigma \tau \epsilon ~ ' w e ~ n e a r l y ~ d r o w n e d . ' ~$

Tó $\pi o \lambda \dot{v}$ - $\pi o \lambda \dot{v}$ 'at the most' is normally used with $\nu$ á + perfective non-past:
 $\tau o v ̃ a ̀ v \tau \rho o ́ s ~[=a ̆ \nu \tau \rho a] ~ \tau \eta s$ 'the most that would occupy her would be a phone-call from her husband (Koum. 1978: 55).

Similar to such constructions are those in which $\nu a \dot{d}$ is preceded by an adjective, where a copula can be understood as having been deleted:
 happen';
(19) [ $\epsilon i ̄ \nu a \iota] \pi \imath \vartheta a \nu o ́ v ~ \nu a ́ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho a ́ \sigma a v \epsilon ~ \kappa ب ̣ ́ \lambda a s ~ ' i t ' s ~ p r o b a b l e ~ t h e y ' v e ~$ already come by';
 a thing.'

### 9.4.2.2.3 Ná governed by a clause

There is such a wide variety of different uses of $\nu$ á governed by a whole clause (the vá-clause being an adverbial clause) that there is not enough space to deal with each one in detail. We shall confine ourselves to attempting to show the range of its functions by providing just a few examples of each of the main types of construction, namely conditional and concessive clauses and clauses of time and manner.
(a) Ná as a conditional or concessive conjunction When used as a conditional conjunction, $\nu \dot{a}$ often gives the verb a slightly different nuance from the more neutral ă $\nu$ 'if'. There may be an emotional colouring (cf. vá as a subjunctive marker expressing wishes: 9.4.2.1.2):
 could see me in my uniform, it would be different.'

On the other hand, $\nu \dot{a}$ may be the equivalent of the concessive 'even if' (alternatively expressed by $k \iota a ̈ \nu)$. Here $\nu a \dot{a}$ is usually used with $k a i$, which then appears as the first word in the clause (or second if the clause is introduced by another conjunction). Often in such constructions a special emphasis is placed on a particular phrase (the focus of
the condition), which then stands between кai and vá and is given heavy stress in speech:
(2) $\mu a ́ ~ к а i ́ ~ \nu ’ a ̀ ~ a ̀ \nu \eta \vartheta \epsilon i ̈ ~ o ̀ ~ K \omega \sigma \tau \eta ́ s ~ \nu a ́ ~ \kappa a ́ v \epsilon \iota ~ \tau \dot{\eta} \delta \omega \rho \epsilon a ́, ~ \delta e ́ \nu \nu ~ \vartheta a ́ ~ \chi a \lambda a ́ \sigma \omega ~$ tó $\gamma$ ápo 'but even if Kostis refuses to make the bequest, I shan't dissolve the marriage' (Kar. n.d.: I 172);
 'even if you brought me a huge dowry, I still wouldn't marry [lit. 'take'] you.'
(b) Ná in clauses of time or manner

Ná may be used to introduce clauses of time (instead of, e.g., ठั $\mathrm{T} a \nu$ 'when') or clauses of manner (instead of, e.g., $\mu \in ́ ~ \tau o ́ ~ v a ́ ~ ' b y ') . ~ I n ~ p a r-~$ ticular instances it is difficult, if not impossible, to distinguish whether it is time or manner which is being conveyed. In many cases in which the subject of the two verbs is the same, the va-clause could be replaced by a present participle:
 סákpva otá $\mu a ́ \tau \iota a ~ ' t o ~ h e a r ~ h e r ~ s o b b i n g ~[l i t . ~ ' s o b s '] ~ l i k e ~ t h a t, ~$ tears came to my eyes too' (Hesse 1980: 115);
 ing' (manner or condition);
(6) $\kappa \dot{\prime} \vartheta \downarrow \sigma \epsilon \pi \rho \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \check{\omega} \rho a$ vá $\kappa \lambda a i \epsilon \iota$ '(s)he sat for a long time weeping' (alternatively клai'үovтas 'weeping' or $\kappa \iota$ єєк $\lambda a \iota \gamma \epsilon$ 'and wept').

### 9.4.2.2.4 Ná governed by a noun or an adjective

Most uses of $\nu$ d governed by nouns are equivalent to relative or result clauses (it is not always possible to distinguish which). With others, the noun in question is the equivalent of a verb in volitive or other use; while with yet others it is difficult to categorize the precise semantic function of the $\nu$ á-clause.

(1) may mean one of the following: (a) spoken by a woman, with no pause after rovaika: 'I'm not [the sort of] woman to be afraid of dreams' (relative); (b) spoken by a man, with a pause (comma) after rvvaika: 'I'm not a woman, so that I'm not afraid of dreams' (result).

 for people to stop wherever they want and foul [the place]' (Ioa. 1976: 255) (result).

In its volitive uses, the $\nu$ da-clause may explain the precise reference of the noun (which is often deverbal):
 issued, [that is to say] that we should return to our homes' (cf. $\mu a ̈ s ~ \delta ı a \tau a ́ \xi a v \epsilon ~ ' t h e y ~ o r d e r e d ~ u s ') . ~$

In such cases, the noun is often the subject or object of some commonly used verb such as éx $\omega$ 'I have', $\delta i \dot{\omega} \omega$ 'I give', кáv $\omega$ 'I make':
(4) $\grave{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ калоovov $\nu$ á $\kappa \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \pi o ́ \rho \tau a ; ~ ‘ w o u l d ~ y o u ~ b e ~ s o ~$ kind as to close the door?'

The situations in which $\nu \dot{a}$ is governed by an adjective are not very different:
 you' (cf. $\epsilon i ̄ \chi a ~ e ̀ \tau о щ а \sigma \tau \epsilon i ̈ ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ ' I ~ h a d ~ p r e p a r e d ~ m y s e l f ~ . ~ . ~ . ') ; ~ ;$
 otov́s $\sigma v \nu a \nu \vartheta \rho \omega ́ \pi o v s ~ \mu o v ~ ‘[i t ~ w a s ~ a n ~ e x p e r i e n c e ~ w h i c h ~ w a s] ~ u n-~$ susceptible of being conveyed educatively to my fellowmen' (Kar. n.d.: II 56).

### 9.4.2.2.5 Ná governed by a preposition

Ná may be governed by certain prepositions, each of the resulting combinations constituting a compound subordinating conjunction. These
 prepositions may not combine directly with vá. (חןiv, which is sometimes found in combination with vá, is perhaps better seen as a conjunction than as a preposition in such circumstances, and it will be dealt with in the appropriate place: see 9.4.2.2.6).

In many cases, the $\nu \dot{a}$-clause after a preposition may be seen as the development of a noun phrase into a clause. Since this does not usually hold true of $\gamma \dot{a} \dot{a} \nu \dot{d}$, and since this particular combination is the most frequently used, it will be dealt with separately, before the others.
(a) 「á vá

This combination differs syntactically from the others in that the two elements may never be separated, whereas it is possible for, e.g., a subject noun phrase to be inserted between another preposition and $\nu$ á.

The most common function of $\gamma \dot{a} \nu \dot{d}$ is as an introductive to final clauses ('in order that'). The final clause is a special kind of volitive clause without volition being expressed explicitly in the main clause.

The origin of $\gamma \dot{a} \nu \dot{d}$ as a development of $\gamma \dot{a}+$ noun phrase may be seen clearly in the following (cf. Tzartzanos 1963: 139):
(1) $\pi \tilde{\eta} \gamma \epsilon|\gamma \dot{a}| \nu \in \rho \delta{ }^{\prime}(\mathrm{s})$ he went for water';
(2) $\pi \dot{\eta} \gamma \in \mid \nu a \dot{a} \phi \in ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu \in \rho o ́ ~(s) h e ~ w e n t ~ t o ~ f e t c h ~ w a t e r ' ; ~$
$(1+2) \pi \tilde{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mid \gamma$ á $\mid \nu a ́ ~ \phi e ́ \rho \epsilon \iota ~ \nu \epsilon \rho d '(s) h e ~ w e n t ~ i n ~ o r d e r ~ t o ~ f e t c h ~$ water.'

As has already been mentioned, verbs of motion may be followed by simple vá to indicate destination; while purpose is more likely to be expressed by $\gamma$ iá $\nu$ á.

A second important function of $\gamma \dot{ }$ á $\nu$ á is as an introductive to result clauses, in which the combination acts instead of some other introductive such as $\check{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \quad \nu a ́$ 'with the result that'. This may be observed especially when the main verb is negative:
 $\vartheta v \nu a$ 'I don't have [any] immediate experience [of the subject] so as to be able to talk to you authoritatively' (i.e. 'with the result that I am unable') ( $N 10$ Oct. 1979).
A special kind of result clause is that in which $\gamma{ }^{\prime} \dot{a} \nu \dot{d}$ is governed by an adjective preceded by $\pi 0 \lambda \dot{v}$ (which has the sense of 'too'):
 'most of them [were] too showy to be handsome' (Koum. 1970: 17) (contrast à $\rho \kappa \epsilon \tau a ́ ~ ф a \nu \tau a \chi \tau \epsilon \rho o i ~ \gamma u a ́ ~ \nu a ́ ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ ‘ s h o w y ~$ enough to . . .').
The correspondence between such a construction as (5) (where $\pi 0 \lambda v \in$ used without an adjective) and the equivalent with $\dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \dot{a}(6)$ is conveniently illustrated in the following examples, taken from the same page of the same novel:

 think such a thing consciously' (i.e. 'he doesn't dare');

 and self-controlled, so that he won't reveal his innermost thought without reason' (or, 'he's too clever . . . to reveal . . .') (Kar. n.d.: II 64).
Note how in (6) a negative is necessary, both in Greek and in English, in the second clause if the 'too'/ $\pi 0 \lambda v$ ' $\gamma$ á $\nu$ á-construction is not used.

In the above examples of result clauses introduced by $\gamma \dot{a} \nu \dot{d}$, the situation denoted by the subordinate verb does not occur in reality. There is another type of result clause in which the subordinate verb refers to a real situation. This type may be divided into two categories: (i) where the $\gamma \dot{a}$ vá-clause states the consequence (or perhaps simply the sequel) of the main clause (7); and (ii) where the $\gamma$ da vá-clause expresses a real situation which has led the speaker to an inference stated in the main clause (8):
 France, never to return again';
 have happened to Mitsos for him to act like this.'

「á $\nu a ́$ is used not only to express intention or result, but also cause;


 [girl] here is unmarried?" "[Yes,] unmarried. Because I haven't got any money. Prospective husbands come, but I haven't got any money." '
 when the combination is governed by a noun, or by some other word such as ö $\sigma 0$ :
 кatáotaon 'there is no more room [lit. 'there are no more margins'] for the situation to get worse' ( $K 23$ Dec. 1980) (cf. $\pi \epsilon \rho \imath \vartheta \dot{\omega} \rho i a \quad \gamma \dot{a} \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ è $\pi \delta \epsilon \dot{\omega} \omega \sigma \eta \eta^{\text {'room }}$ for deterioration'; but also, in same article: $\delta \in ́ v$ vimá $\rho \chi o v \nu$ ă à $\lambda \omega \sigma \tau \epsilon$ каi $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota-$
 no room for things to happen otherwise', without $\gamma(a)$ );
 $\beta \lambda \eta \mu a$ 'as for conveying our ideas, there's no problem' (cf.

(b) Other prepositions governing $\nu$ á

When followed by $\nu \dot{a}$, the prepositions $\dot{a} \nu \tau i, \delta i x \omega \varsigma$, and $\chi \omega \rho i s$ are normally used with non-past verb forms:
 Sotiris doing it, Yota did it';
 'I won't sell the house without informing you.'
$\Sigma a ́ v \nu a ́$ is used to introduce comparative clauses. It is hardly ever followed by the perfective non-past. When the comparison is unreal, the imperfective past is normally used, although the imperfective non-past is sometimes used for present reference:
 wore his uniform as if he was a real general' (cf. $\sigma a \dot{\nu} \dot{a} \lambda \eta \vartheta(\nu o ́ s$ $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o ́ s$ 'like a real general').

When oá $\nu \nu$ á introduces an epistemic (inferential) clause (which may be a main clause, as in (16)), it may be followed by any verb form except the perfective non-past, according to the temporal reference:
(15) $\xi а ф \nu \kappa а ́ ~ \sigma \tau а \mu a ́ \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon, ~ \sigma a ́ \nu ~ к a ́ t \iota ~ \nu a ́ ~ \vartheta \nu \mu \eta ่ \vartheta \eta \kappa \epsilon ~ ‘ s u d d e n l y ~(s) h e ~$ stopped, as if (s)he [had] remembered something';
(16) $\sigma a \dot{\nu} \nu \nu$ ' ákov́ $\omega \tau \dot{\prime} \phi \omega \nu \dot{\eta} \tau o v$ '[it's] as if I can hear his voice', or 'I fancy I can hear his voice.'

Méxpı is often converted into a conjunction by the addition of $\nu \dot{d}$ or $\pi o v v^{\prime}$. Whereas $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \rho \iota \pi o v$ is used to refer to completed events in the past, $\mu$ é $\chi \rho \iota \nu a ́$ may refer to present or future time; it may also refer to past time when its meaning is 'by the time that' or 'until such time as' rather than simply 'until' (i.e. when in the $\mu \dot{\text { expol-clause the speaker's }}$ viewpoint is situated at some point during, not after, the action; see also 3.3.1.4):
 aürıotuod 'the child [had] reached ten years of age by the time they told us it was autistic' ( $T 25$ May 1982, 100);
 [such time as] the storm should pass' (the focus of narration is situated during the storm; $\mu \dot{\chi} \chi \rho \iota ~ \pi o v ́ \pi \epsilon ́ \rho a \sigma \epsilon$ would mean 'until it passed', the speaker's standpoint being situated after the storm was over; cf. also the use of $\pi \rho i v, 9.2$ ).

### 9.4.2.2.6 Ná governed by a conjunction

Certain conjunctions may be followed by vá. Some ( $\pi \rho i \nu / \pi \rho o r o v ̃$ 'before') need never be used with vá but are often found with it; certain temporal conjunctions (e.g. $\omega \sigma \pi o v, ~ e ̌ \omega \varsigma ~ o ̀ r o v ~ ' u n t i l ') ~ c o m-~$ bine with vá when reference is to future time; universal relatives may
optionally be followed by кai $\nu \dot{d}$ (or $\kappa \iota a ̈ \nu$ ); the relatives $\pi o v$ and $\partial \pi \pi o v$ and the result conjunction $\check{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ take on a more conditional or potential sense with $\nu \dot{d}$ (see also 8.4); and $\nu a \dot{c}$ converts the conjunction $\pi a \rho a ́$ from a noun-phrase connective to a clause connective.

Whether $\pi \rho \dot{\nu} / \pi \rho o r o v i$ is followed by $\nu \dot{a}$ is a matter of idiolectal preference. The conjunction without $\nu$ á appears to be more standard:
 knocked on my door before dawn [lit. 'before it dawned'].'

Several conjunctions meaning 'until' combine with $\nu \dot{d}$ in the same circumstances as have been specified for $\mu$ é $\chi \rho \iota \nu$ á above (9.4.2.2.5):
 in a trice [lit. 'by the time you could say "cumin"']';
 'as soon as the sponge-diver goes down, he's cured-until [such time as] he comes up again' (Hesse 1980: 107).
(For $\delta \sigma o$ without $\nu$ á see 8.2.4.2.)
When kai $\nu \dot{d}$ ( or $\kappa \iota \quad a \nu$ ) is used with a universal relative, the latter's sense becomes either concessive or more universal:
(4) ठбо каí vá $\tau \rho \in ́ \xi \omega$, $\delta e ́ ~ \vartheta a ́ ~ \tau o ́ v ~ \phi \tau a ́ \sigma \omega ~ ' h o w e v e r ~ m u c h ~ I ~ r u n, ~$ I won't catch up with him';
 he's a good orator.'

The sense of the kai $\nu \dot{d}$ is 'absolutely (whoever, etc.)' or 'no matter (who, etc.)'. In addition, $\partial \pi \sigma 0$ and $\delta \sigma o$ are often used in special senses with $\nu \dot{a}$ :
(6) $\delta \pi o v \nu a ́ ~ ' \nu a l ~ ' a n y ~ t i m e ~ n o w ' ; ~$
(7) ठooo vá 'val 'for all that; at all events'.

Followed immediately by $\nu$ á, $\pi o v^{(r e l a t i v e ~ o r ~ o t h e r w i s e) ~ t a k e s ~ o n ~}$ a sense of result ('such that'), expressing not so much an actual result as a potential result. In such senses $\pi o v$ may often be preceded by $\tau \delta \sigma o s$ 'so much', $\tau$ ย̇ $\tau 0 \omega \varsigma$ 'such', or ë $\tau \sigma \iota$ 'in such a way':
 $\nu$ d́ $\lambda \nu \vartheta \epsilon i \tau \delta \dot{\pi} \pi \rho \delta \beta \lambda \eta \mu a$ 'the government will definitely have to take measures such that the problem will be solved' (cf. nov introducing result clauses, 8.1.3.3).

Such a construction is often found when the main clause has a volitive, negative, or interrogative force:
(9) $\vartheta \epsilon \in \lambda \omega \mu$ á $\delta a \kappa \tau v \lambda о \gamma \rho a ́ \phi o \pi o v$ vá $\xi \in \in \rho \epsilon \iota$ à $\gamma \gamma \lambda \iota k \dot{a}$ 'I want a typist who knows English';
 anyone here who knows German?'

The relative $\delta \pi o v$ is used in an analogous way:

 a football ground in Europe where an English team has played and [where] there haven't been frightful incidents' ( $T 21$ Oct. 1982, 115).

Mov́ $\nu \dot{d}$ is also occasionally found as the introductive to an adverbial concessive clause:
 taking him [as a husband], even if he showers me with money [lit. 'he makes me golden']' (Tzartzanos 1963: 209).

When the conjunction mapá 'than' (not to be confused with the preposition mapd 'in spite of') combines with $\nu \dot{d}$, the $\nu \dot{d}$ is actually governed by some word in the main clause:
 leave than to stay here without you' (the verb $\pi \rho \circ \pi \mu \tilde{\omega}$ governs both the $\nu$ da-clauses) (Hesse 1980: 98).

After a negative verb, mapá in the sense of 'except' may also combine with $\nu a \dot{\text { in }}$ cases such as the following:
 nothing in mind but to play and laugh';
 doing is to prevent injustice' (lit. 'I'm doing nothing but to prevent . . .').

### 9.4.2.2.7 Ná preceded by the definite article

When preceded by the definite article, a vá-clause is clearly a noun clause. The article may be governed by a preposition (particularly, but not exclusively, one of those which cannot combine directly with vá: (1-2) ); the article may even be in the genitive, governed by another
noun, but it is always singular (3-4). Also, the article is normally present when a $\nu$ á-clause (especially one which acts as the subject of the main verb) stands before the main clause (5).
 $\tau a \iota \sigma \tau i \varsigma ~ \grave{\epsilon} \pi \kappa \delta \delta \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$ íkavorí $\tau \omega \nu \tau 0 \cup \varsigma$; 'how can parents direct [their] children towards being content with the performance [which is suited to] their abilities?';
 $\tau \delta \delta \dot{\partial} \beta a \sigma \mu a \tau \dot{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho i \tilde{\omega} \nu$ '(s)he knew everything, from healing the wounds of the injured to reading the stars';
(3) $\dot{\eta}$ aïo७ $\eta \sigma \eta$ тoṽ $\nu$ d́ $\epsilon i \mu a \iota$ ' $E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu a s$ ' $m y$ feeling of being Greek';
 'to leave one's neighbour to die is a great sin.'

In (3), $\tau 0 \tilde{v}$ could be omitted.

### 9.4.3 USES OF AE

The particle ăs has two chief functions: (a) hortative or permissive, and (b) concessive.

In its hortative sense, äs is normally used only with the first or third person, and expresses an injunction on the speaker's part which is rather more a wish or desire than if $\nu \dot{a}$ were used in the same context (in which the expression would contain more of a sense of obligation):
(1) äs $\pi \tilde{a} \mu \epsilon \kappa \iota$ є́ $\mu \epsilon i \varsigma ~ ‘ d o ~ l e t ' s ~ g o ~ t o o ' ; ~$
 don't want [to].'

In (2), the ás-clause has a permissive sense ('I allow them not to come'), while $\nu$ á instead of äs would convert the sense into something like, 'I order them not to come.'

When ás is followed by the imperfective past, it may be used with any person; here it expresses an unfulfilled wish:
(3) äৎ $\mu \circ \bar{~} \tau o ́ ~ ' \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \varsigma!~ ' i f ~ o n l y ~ y o u ' d ~ t o l d ~ m e!' ~(c f . ~ 9.4 .2 .1 .1 ~(6), ~$ with a similar meaning).

In its concessive sense ('even though'), ảs is always immediately preceded by $\kappa \iota$ (= кai). In such cases, the ás-clause, which expresses a situation that is felt to be more real than if kai vá were to be used, is used together with another clause:
 our party, even if you do bring your husband!';
 र $\rho v \sigma o ́$ 'Sakorapha did very well in the Games, even if she didn't win a gold [medal].'

In (4), the origin of the concessive sense in a hortative/permissive construction is obvious. As can be seen from (5), concessive äs may be followed by a perfective past if the situation expressed is a real one.

### 9.4.4 OTHER SUBJUNCTIVE MARKERS

Having examined the functions of $\vartheta \dot{a}, \nu a ́$, and ăs, it remains to survey briefly the other subjunctive markers. They can conveniently be divided into the following groups: $\mu \dot{\eta}$ (and $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \omega \varsigma$ ); $\boldsymbol{\imath} \sigma \omega \varsigma ; a ̈ \nu$ and other conditional conjunctions; temporal conjunctions; and relatives.

### 9.4.4.1 M ${ }^{\prime}$ (and $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \omega \varsigma$ )

In addition to its role as a simple negative marker after $\nu a ́ a n d ~ a ̆ s ~(a n d ~$ elsewhere: see 7.3), $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ also acts as a subjunctive marker in main clauses and as a subordinating conjunction (in the latter function it may alternate with $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \omega \varsigma$ ).

In a main clause, $\mu \dot{\eta}$ converts the verb into a negative imperative (if followed by the second person) or a negative hortative (if followed by other persons):
(1) $\mu \dot{\eta} \phi \in u ̛ \gamma \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ 'don't go away’ (negative of $\phi u ̛ \gamma \epsilon$ );
(2) $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\xi} \in \nu \bar{a} \mu \epsilon \pi \dot{\omega} \varsigma ~ . ~ . ~ ' l e t ' s ~ n o t ~ f o r g e t ~ t h a t ~ . ~ . ~ . ' ~(=~ v a ́ / a ̈ s ~ \mu \eta ́) ; ~$
(3) $\mu \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \in ́ v o l a ́ s \epsilon \iota ~ ' d o n ' t ~ l e t ~ i t ~ w o r r y ~ y o u ' ~(=~ \nu a ́ ~ \mu \eta ') . ~$

As a subordinator, $\mu \dot{\eta}$ may be treated under two heads, according to whether it is governed by a verb or equivalent phrase denoting fear (in which case it is less often used than $\mu \eta \pi \omega \varsigma$ ), or whether it is governed by some other verb or phrase (in which case $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \omega \varsigma$ is far less common). In all cases, the $\mu \dot{\eta}$-clause may be negated by $\delta \dot{e} \nu$. Semantically, the uses of $\mu \dot{\eta} / \mu \dot{\eta} \pi \omega \varsigma$ are quite various.

After expressions of fearing, the subordinate clause expresses what the subject of the main verb is afraid of. The perfective non-past is used to denote a situation posterior to the time of the main verb; the imperfective non-past indicates a situation contemporaneous with it; past tenses denote a situation anterior to it.

afraid [lit. 'they trembled'] that some teacher might discover it' (T 18 Feb. 1982, 19);
 might be beaten';
 'I became afraid the devil might have got into him and he might kill me.'

After expressions of fearing, $\mu \dot{\eta} / \mu \dot{\eta} \pi \omega \varsigma$ may alternate with $\partial \tau \tau / \pi \omega \varsigma$ : when $\delta \tau \iota / \pi \dot{\omega} \varsigma$ is used, the situation feared is perceived by the subject as being more real (here future reference is expressed by $\vartheta \dot{a}+$ the appropriate verb form). In sentences in which both verbs have the same subject and the sense is not that the subject fears the occurrence of the situation expressed in the subordinate clause but that (s)he is too afraid to perform the action of the second verb, $\phi o \beta \bar{a} \mu a \iota \nu a \dot{a}$ is used.

When not governed by an expression of fearing, $\mu \dot{\eta}(\pi \omega \varsigma)$ may have two quite opposite senses of purpose, one negative (7), the other positive (8). The former is more normal; but only the context can distinguish between them:
 I might by chance catch (some) hepatitis (or other)';
(8) $\vartheta a ́ a ́ \rho x i o \omega ~ \nu a ́ ~ \pi a \rho a \tau \eta \rho \overline{\omega ~ \tau a ́ ~ \gamma v ́ \rho \omega \vartheta ध ́ ~ \mu о v ~} \sigma \nu \mu \beta a i \nu о \nu \tau a, \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \pi \varsigma$
 observe what's happening around me, in case I might [be able to] deduce from them the existence of a position' (i.e. 'so that I might') (Kar. n.d.: I 140).

Note in (6) how easily the verb may change its subject from one $\mu \dot{\eta}(\pi \omega \varsigma)$ clause to another: a second $\mu \dot{\eta}(\pi \omega \varsigma)$ is, as usual, deleted before the second verb. Compare the following example of simultaneous change of grammatical subject and a switch from negative to positive:
 '[Andrew] is afraid Kostis might not go and he [Andrew] might stay there all alone' (Sid. 1959: 130).

One of two additional expressions is sometimes added to $\mu \dot{\eta}(\pi \omega \varsigma)$ clauses: the verb $\tau v \chi a i v e l$ 'it happens' (10) and the adverb $\tau v \chi \delta \nu$ 'by chance' (7):
 might happen to have come.'

In addition to these uses, $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \omega \varsigma$ (and, far less often, $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ) may be used to introduce a direct question: it is especially useful in that declarative sentences in MG may normally become interrogative simply by a change of intonation (or, in the orthography, by a question mark). Thus $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \omega \varsigma$ may signal to the hearer/reader from the outset that the sentence expresses a question. Nevertheless, the fact that in this function $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \omega \varsigma$ is not normally a subjunctive marker is shown by the possibility of placing it at the end of a sentence:
(11a) $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \omega \varsigma \vartheta a ́ ~ ’ \rho \vartheta \epsilon \iota \varsigma ;$
(11b) $\vartheta \dot{a}$ ' $\rho \vartheta \epsilon \iota \varsigma \mu \dot{\eta} \pi \omega \varsigma ;$
'are you going to come?'

### 9.4.4.2 "I $\sigma \omega \varsigma$

"I $\omega \omega$ s 'perhaps' may syntactically be either an adverb (followed by $\nu a ́$ or $\vartheta a ́)$ or a subjunctive marker on its own. The basic determining factor is the temporal reference of the utterance.

For present reference, lılows is followed by $\nu$ á + imperfective nonpast. For future reference it normally governs a verb in the perfective non-past, but may be used with vá or $\vartheta$ á. For past reference it may govern a verb in the past or be used with $\nu \dot{d}+$ past, its use with $\vartheta \dot{a}+$ past being reserved for an epistemic sense (for further examples see 9.4.2.2.2):
(1) đows ëp७ouv 'perhaps they'll come' (optionally with vá/धá);
(2) 亢̌ $\sigma \omega \varsigma$ ( $\nu$ á) $\eta j \rho \vartheta a \nu$ 'perhaps they've come';
(3) iows $\vartheta a \dot{a} \eta \vartheta \vartheta a v$ 'perhaps they'll have come [by now]' (inference).

It may also be used, without $\vartheta \dot{a}$, in the apodosis of conditional sentences:
(4) $\grave{\sigma} \omega \varsigma \tau \dot{\delta} \nu$ 光 $\pi \epsilon \vartheta \vartheta a$ ả $\nu$. . 'perhaps I would have persuaded/convinced him if . . .'

### 9.4.4.3 Conditional conjunctions .

Apart from $a ้ \nu$ 'if', there are other introductives to the protases of conditional sentences with similar meanings: éáv (a katharevousa borrowing which is not approved by most demoticists but is sometimes used to place special emphasis on the remoteness of the possibility), ă $\mu a$ (not considered correct by grammarians as a conditional conjunction, but very frequent in less educated speech), ér $\tau \iota$ каі (a colloquial alternative, also not favoured by grammarians; it often implies some sort of threat), $\sigma \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon i \pi \tau \omega \sigma \eta \pi o v$ (lit. 'in case that') (normally with future reference),
 meaning). The use of ăd 'whether' in reported speech and of äd kai 'although' does not concern us here, since they are not subjunctive markers.

As far as verb forms are concerned, conditional sentences may be divided loosely into factual and counter-factual. Factual constructions (including sentences which refer to the future as long as the situations which they denote are seen as capable of fulfilment) may employ any verb form, according to the aspect and temporal reference required (although for the future, the conditional conjunction is normally used with the perfective non-past alone, without $\vartheta a ́$ (4)); counter-factual constructions (including those which refer to the future but whose situations are seen as less likely to occur) may employ the imperfective past (preceded by $\vartheta$ á in the apodosis), irrespective of temporal reference (7), although the perfect past may be found in either the protasis (6) or the apodosis (5), or both, for past reference. It is of course possible for the protasis and apodosis to have different temporal references; but each of the examples given below preserves the same temporal reference for both parts.
(a) Factual
(1) Past: ä̀ rv́pıбє $\sigma \pi i \tau \iota ~ \chi \vartheta \notin \varsigma, ~ \tau o ́ \tau \epsilon ~ \delta \iota a ́ ß a \sigma \epsilon ~ к \iota o ̀ \lambda a s ~ \tau o ́ ~ \gamma \rho a ́ \mu \mu a ~$ mas 'if (s)he returned home yesterday, then (s)he's already read our letter';
 $\mu \mathrm{al}$ 'if he's travelling in weather like this, I pity him';
(3) Present (timeless): ă $\nu$ (or ă $\mu a$ ) $\beta \rho \tilde{\omega} \mu a \nu \iota \tau a ́ \rho i a, \tau a ́ ~ \tau \eta \gamma a \nu i \zeta \omega$ $\dot{a} \mu \epsilon \in \sigma \omega s$ 'if I find mushrooms, I fry them immediately' (äv $=8 \pi о \tau \epsilon$ 'whenever');
 $\tau \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ 'if my husband finds out, he'll kill me.'
(Factual conditions of the past (1) and progressive present (2) types are a frequent stylistic alternative to causal or result clauses.)
(b) Counter-factual

 $\vartheta \epsilon i ̃ \gamma$ á $\mu d \dot{\alpha}$ iסéa 'if George had not lived under the law of poetic expression, he would have committed suicide or been killed for an idea' (Tsa. 1973: 68);
 'if Hitler had listened to him, [these things] wouldn't have happened' (Sef. 1973: 160);
(7) Past, present, or future: ăv (or ėáv) ë $\pi a\llcorner\rho v a ̈ \lambda \lambda \eta \gamma v v a i k a$, ७á 'таюра каі $\pi \rho о$ 'ка 'if I'd married another wife, I'd also have got a dowry', or 'if I married another wife, I'd also get a dowry.'
" $\mathrm{A} \nu$ is sometimes reinforced by having $k \iota$ placed before it ('even if'). $K \iota a ̆ \nu$ is also frequently used after universal relatives to increase their scope or to give them a concessive sense (see 8.2.1 and 8.2.2).

Although $a \nu$, and the other conditional conjunctions mentioned above, are regularly negated by $\delta \in \dot{\delta} \nu$, there is an alternative conjunction Ėктós äd 'unless', normally used only if the protasis follows the apodosis:
 morrow we'll go on an excursion';
 sion tomorrow, unless it rains.'

A protasis is sometimes found without an apodosis in various interrogative and exclamatory constructions:
(9) äv $\pi \eta \gamma a \dot{v} a \mu \epsilon \nu$ á $\tau \delta \nu \delta o u ̃ \mu \epsilon$; ‘[what] if we went to see him?’;
(10) ă $\nu$ єỉval $\delta v \nu a \tau \delta \nu$ ! 'is it possible?' (lit. 'if it's possible': usually said of a situation of which one disapproves);
(11) ăv $ク \boldsymbol{\eta} \xi \in \rho \in \varsigma \pi \delta \sigma o \sigma^{\prime} \dot{a} \gamma a \pi a ́ \omega!$ 'if [only] you knew how much I love you!'

In a conditional sentence, two or more alternative protases may be expressed by means of clauses beginning with ă $\nu$ and linked by $\eta$ ' 'or'. If there are two or more conditions, all of which must be fulfilled, the first clause begins with ă $\nu$ but subsequent clauses simply follow a coordinating kai. When there are two mutually exclusive protases, єit $\epsilon$
 is used:
 $\delta \tau \iota \delta \epsilon \dot{\theta}$ ' $\rho \vartheta \omega$ 'if you see Helen or speak to her on the phone, tell her I won't be coming';

$\tau \eta \varsigma, \tau i \quad \pi \rho \in ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \downarrow$ á кávovuє; 'if a mother comes and tells us she's worried about her child, what should we do?';

 him, he won't give you the answer you want.'

### 9.4.4.4 Temporal conjunctions

As far as verbal syntax is concerned, temporal conjunctions may be divided into those ( $\pi \rho i \nu$ and $\pi \rho o \pi o u ̃$ ) which are followed only by the perfective non-past, and those (the rest) which are followed by a past tense if reference is to past time, a perfective (or perfect) non-past with or without $\vartheta \dot{a}$ if the reference is to future time, and either an imperfective non-past or a perfective (or perfect) non-past if the reference is to the present or is general, according to whether or not there is temporal coincidence. Thus $\pi \rho i v$ and $\pi \rho o \pi o v=$ are always subjunctive markers, while the others may or may not be, depending on syntactical context. (For words meaning 'until', which are not as straightforward as other temporal conjunctions, see 9.4.2.2.5 and 9.4.2.2.6; examples of $\pi \rho i v$-clauses have been given in 9.2.)

The other temporal conjunctions include ă $\mu a$ 'when', à $\phi o v=$ 'after' (but note that in its causal sense, 'because, since', àovi is not a subjunctive marker), $\mu \delta \lambda_{\iota}$ s 'as soon as', $\partial$ öav and oáv 'when'. Of these,
 that while $\dot{a} \phi o \tilde{v}$ and $\mu \delta \lambda_{\iota} \varsigma$, by the nature of their meanings, do not allow temporal coincidence, the others may or may not display it, according to context. In addition, certain other conjunctions might be included, such as éфóoov 'as long as; provided that' and the katharevousa colloquialism ăma§ кai 'once', which form clauses in the same way as $\chi_{\tau} \tau \nu$ and $\dot{a} \phi o \tilde{v} / \mu o ́ \lambda \iota \varsigma$ respectively.

These examples show how $\begin{array}{r}\tau \\ \tau \\ \nu \\ \text { affects the following verb: }\end{array}$
 [= had spoken] to me, I realized (s)he was right';
 (s)he was talking to me, I realized (s)he was right';
 to talk to me, I realized (s)he was right';
 strains it' (either iterative or historic present);
 strains it' (Kahane and Kahane 1958: 457);
 they finish [= have finished] they'll get up and leave.'

When àфoū or $\mu o ́ \lambda \iota s$ is used, constructions such as (5) are unlikely, since these conjunctions can only express non-coincidence. Nevertheless, while the imperfective is excluded after $\dot{a} \phi o \tilde{v}$ and $\mu \dot{\partial} \lambda \iota s$ in present and future reference, it may be used in past reference when the expression of iterativeness overrules the expression of noncoincidence (8):
(7) àфoṽ $\ddot{\epsilon} \phi a \gamma \epsilon, \pi \tilde{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho i \pi a \tau o$ 'after (s)he ate [= had eaten], (s)he went for a walk' (single action);
 used to go for a walk' (habitual action);
 goes for a walk';
(10) àфoṽ фá $\epsilon$, ७á $\pi a ́ \epsilon \iota ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i \pi a \tau o ~ ' a f t e r ~(s) h e ~ e a t s ~[=~ h a s ~ e a t e n] ~$ (s)he will go for a walk' (single action).

Thus while $\pi \rho i v$ and $\pi \rho o r o \bar{v}$ entail maximum neutralization of tense (and even aspectual) distinctions, and $\delta \tau a \nu$ causes almost minimal neutralization, $\dot{a} \phi o \bar{v}$ stands somewhere between the two on the scale of neutralization (cf. 9.2).

### 9.4.4.5 Universal relatives

The universal relatives are those which correspond to the English relatives in '-ever' (see 8.2 ff .). Any of these may be followed by каi $\nu a ́$ or $\kappa \iota a ̈ \nu$ to emphasize their universality.

As far as verbal aspect is concerned, these universal relatives behave in a similar way to the temporal conjunctions of the $\begin{array}{r}\text { ta } \\ \text { a } \\ \text { variety. In }\end{array}$ other words, they are regularly followed by a perfective form if there is no temporal coincidence between the actions denoted by the main and subordinate verbs, but by an imperfective if there is coincidence. Nevertheless, universal relatives are frequently used with an imperfective, even where there is no coincidence, when the habitual nature of the action is stressed; and, conversely, even when the action of the subordinate verb is iterative, a perfective is often found when the allembracing or universal nature of the conjunction is emphasized (for examples of these relatives used as subjunctive markers, see 3.2.3.4.1 (12)-(17)).

There is a particular elliptical use of these conjunctions in which no
main clause governs the relative clause: here the perfective non-past is almost always used:
 all ran after the bus and whoever could catch it [caught it]';
 your arms and [take] whatever they reach' (both Hesse 1980: 113).

With those conjunctions that may or may not have a universal meaning (such as $\delta, \tau \iota$ ), a different construction is used according to their semantic function. Compare the following examples:
(3) $\pi \omega \tau \epsilon ́ \psi \tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon, \delta, \tau \iota \vartheta a ́ n \tilde{\omega} \epsilon i ̉ v a \iota \dot{a} \lambda \dot{\eta} \vartheta \epsilon \epsilon \iota a$ 'believe me, what I'm about to say is [the] truth';
(4) $\delta, \tau \iota \kappa \iota a ̋ \nu \pi \epsilon i s ~ \vartheta d a ́ ~ \epsilon l \nu a \iota ~ \psi e ́ \mu a \tau a ~ ' w h a t e v e r ~ y o u ~ s a y ~ w i l l ~ b e ~ l i e s . ' ~$

In (3), since reference is to a particular statement that the speaker is about to make, the verb cannot be simply in the perfective non-past, but must be preceded by $\vartheta$ á to give it particular future reference. In (4), the perfective non-past is used, with optional reinforcing particles ( $\kappa \iota a \partial \nu$ ), to indicate that the speaker does not have any particular object of the verb 'say' in mind. Such conjunctions are therefore subjunctive markers in themselves only when they are used in an indefinite or universal (or potential) sense.

## 10

## VOCABULARY

### 10.1 GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS

Two striking characteristics of the MG vocabulary are its size, and the length of its words.

There are a number of reasons why the vocabulary of MG is large. One of these is the high degree of synonymy, due to the varied origins of SMG, namely the dialects and katharevousa. In the case of words for the same concept from different dialects, one of these has usually become predominant, leading to the disappearance of the others from everyday usage, but not necessarily from literary writing: e.g. $\pi \rho 0 \sigma \delta \psi \iota$ ( $<\mathrm{AG} \pi \rho o ́ s ~ ' t o w a r d s '+\quad$ ò $\psi \iota \varsigma$ 'face') and $\pi \epsilon \sigma \kappa i \rho \iota(<\mathrm{T}$ peşkir) 'towel' have yielded to $\pi \epsilon \tau \sigma \in ́ t a$ (< It. pezzetta), while 入áua (< It. lama)
 theless, Greek writers have often seen literature partly as a repository for non-standard words and phrases and (especially in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries) have added to these dialectal elements words of their own making (chiefly compounds). It is unfortunate that lexicographers of MG have largely ignored the wealth of non-standard words used by poets and fiction-writers.

With respect to the opposition between words which have entered SMG from the popular tradition and those which have been introduced via katharevousa, there is often an exact synonymy, each word sometimes being used to gloss the other in a dictionary: e.g. D à $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \tau \rho \iota$ and K ä $\rho o \tau \rho o(\nu)$ 'plough'. Often there is a stylistic difference (or difference of register) between the popular and learned member of a pair of doublets. For example, someone talking or writing today about the Greek War of Independence and attempting to project himself into the spirit of the period will talk of weapons as äpmaгa (<L arma), while an objective historian might use $8 \pi \lambda a$ (AG). Again, 'bones' to a cook will be коккала (D), but oбтà (K) to a doctor. Often, where two words, one belonging to D and the other to K , were originally synonyms, the second has taken on a figurative meaning, or is used only in certain
collocations: thus $\sigma \pi i \tau \iota(\mathrm{D})$ is 'house' for most purposes, but oikos, which is K for 'house', is normally used in SMG to mean '(commercial) firm'; also compare $\tau \delta \dot{\prime}$ ä $\sigma \pi \rho o ~ \sigma \pi i \tau \iota$ 'the white house', with ò $\Lambda$ evoós Oíkos 'the White House (in Washington)'. The last is an example of a widespread phenomenon in SMG: the reluctance to use an everyday word in a figurative sense which has been imported from a Western European language. Thus, the 'arm' of a person is $\chi$ '́pı or $\mu \pi \rho a ́ \tau \sigma o$ (< Ven. brazzo), but the 'pick-up arm' of a record-player is $\beta \rho a \chi$ iovas ( $\mathrm{K} \beta \rho a \chi i \omega \nu$ 'arm'); the 'ceiling' of a house is $\tau a \beta a \dot{\nu}$ ( $<\mathrm{T}$ tavan), but the 'ceiling' of, for example, a wage increase is $\pi \lambda a \phi o ́ v$ ( $<\mathrm{F}$ plafond); and while 'hole' is $\tau \rho v u^{\pi} a$ (D), 'black hole (astron.)' is $\mu a v ́ \rho \eta \dot{o} \pi \dot{\eta}$ (AG $\dot{o} \pi \dot{\eta}$ 'hole'). This may be a sign that MG is a healthy language: it often resists using an imported figurative meaning, preferring instead to use a completely different word (from a foreign language or from K ) for this meaning. In some cases, one of a pair of roughly synonymous words is unmarked, or neutral, while the other is slightly or highly pejorative: e.g. кєфалаюккратía (K) and катьта入ıбнós (D) ‘capitalism', the latter being the pejorative term.

Another factor underlying the large size of the MG vocabulary is the great ease with which derivatives may be formed, and especially the fact that many nouns require a corresponding denominal adjective. For the construction that corresponds to English or German Noun + Noun (class struggle, Klassenkampf) or French Noun $+d e+$ Noun (lutte de classes), MG usually prefers Adjective + Noun ( $\tau a \xi \kappa \kappa \dot{s} \dot{a} \gamma \omega \dot{\nu}$ as: $\tau a \xi \nless \kappa o ́ s<\tau a ́ \xi \eta$ 'class'; cf. R klássovaya borbá). More examples of such formations are given later (see also 4.6 ff .). Nevertheless, MG does have other ways of joining concepts, such as the compound word in which the head-word appears second (e.g. $\gamma \rho a \phi o \mu \eta \chi a \nu \eta$ 'typewriter', modelled on G Schreibmaschine) or first ( $\pi о \nu о к є ́ \phi a \lambda o s ~ ' h e a d a c h e '), ~ o r ~ t h e ~ t w o-~$ word collocation in which the headword appears first, and the second word is in the genitive (e.g. к $\rho a \sigma i$ ionit $\eta \tau o \varsigma$, modelled on F vin de qualité).

A further factor is the wealth of diminutive and augmentative endings (chiefly of nouns), which have already been examined (4.6.1).

Genuine MG words may end only in a limited number of sounds (either a vowel, or a vowel $+/ \mathrm{s} /$ or $/ \mathrm{n} /$ ). Furthermore, there is a rather restricted number of phonemes in the language, and these may combine only in a limited number of sequences. Despite these restrictions, the chief classes of words (nouns, adjectives, verbs) have to indicate, by their endings and sometimes by prefixation, their multiple relationships
both with their referents and with other words in the clause (e.g. number, gender, case, aspect, voice, tense). In view of the combination of these factors, MG words tend to be rather long: this is also due to the fact that there are few root-words in the language, most words being derivatives of AG words, whether these words exist in the language today or not. Far from there having been any drastic reduction in the length of words since Classical times (such as that which occurred between Latin and French, for instance), MG tend on average to be longer than AG words.

Monosyllables are few in SMG, the most common word length being two or three syllables. Words of up to eight or nine syllables are not infrequent: e.g. $\pi \epsilon \tau \rho \epsilon \lambda a \ldots \pi a \rho a \gamma \omega \gamma o ́ s ~ ' o i l-p r o d u c e r ' ~(8), ~ П а \pi а \delta \eta \mu \eta-~$ трако́тоилоя (surname) (8), бпиобюüтадл $\eta \lambda \ldots \delta$ s 'of civil servants' (9), оікороцккокош $\omega \nu$ го́s ‘socio-economic' (9).

Whereas there are many monosyllables among the so-called 'grammatical words' in MG (the definite article, the clitic pronouns, кai 'and', $\tau i$ 'what' (interrogative), $\pi 0$ ' 'who' (relative), etc.), others are disyllabic ( $\gamma a \tau i$ 'why', $\pi$ ó $\tau \epsilon$ 'when' (interrogative), $\partial \tau a \nu$ 'when' (relative), $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \dot{a}$ 'but'). There are no monosyllabic adjectives, and only four monosyllabic nouns ( $\gamma$ ós 'son', voús 'mind', $\gamma \dot{\eta}$ 'earth', and $\phi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$ 'light'-if one excepts $\gamma \in \dot{a}$ 'health', which is used only in certain set phrases). The only other lexical (as opposed to grammatical) monosyllables are certain forms (chiefly non-past perfectives) of a few common verbs. Nevertheless, it is possible to form a sentence consisting solely of mono-
 you go and tell them (it)?' (Mirambel 1953: 66).

Finally, the importance of the ending in MG makes it impossible to form curtailed versions of long words in popular use: contrast E [aero]plane, [omni]bus, demo[nstration], pram [perambulator], etc., or F manif[estation], dactylo[graphe], frigo [frigidaire], etc. Although MG may take over certain ready-made abbreviated words from French (such as $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho \delta$ 'underground railway' < F métro[politain], or mopvó 'porno-graphy/-ic' < F porno[graphique]), there are only two truly abbreviated words in SMG, namely $\pi \rho о к а ́ т ~ ' p r e f a b ' ~(n o u n ~ a n d ~ a d j e c t i v e ~<\pi \rho о к а т а-~$ $\sigma \kappa \epsilon v a \sigma \mu \epsilon ̇ \nu o s$ 'prefabricated' (formed by analogy with E prefab) and $\tau \delta$ dis 'a billion ( 1,000 million)' (usually of drachmas) < бוбєкатон$\mu \dot{p} \rho \iota$. (In addition, there are acronyms and stump compounds, mentioned in 10.3.5, and certain slang abbreviations, such as àv७v́mas for $\dot{a} \nu \vartheta v \pi a \sigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\varsigma}$ 'regimental sergeant major', which do not belong to the standard language.)

### 10.2 ORIGINS OF THE MG VOCABULARY

Another striking characteristic of the MG vocabulary is the overwhelming preponderance of words of AG origin. Five different categories of such words might be distinguished:
(i) Words which have remained unchanged in the language since ancient times (unchanged, that is, in orthography, since almost all have slightly altered phonologically): these include most of the grammatical words such as kai, $\tau i, \pi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$, and $\pi o \tilde{v}$, but also many basic nouns and other words, such as ä $\nu \vartheta \rho \omega \pi o \varsigma$ 'person, man', $\vartheta a ́ \lambda a \sigma \sigma a ~ ' s e a ', ~ o u ́ \rho a \nu o ́ s ~$ 'sky', $\mu \eta \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a$ 'mother', $\pi a \tau \epsilon \in \rho a$ 'father' (acc. sing.) (the last two are identical to the accusative, but not to the nominative, singular in AG);
(ii) Words which have altered slightly in morphology: e.g. $\pi a \downarrow \delta i$

(iii) Words which fell out of ordinary use but have been reintroduced more or less unchanged into the modern language (internal borrowings), such as $\beta$ ou $\lambda \dot{\eta}$ 'parliament', $\pi o \lambda i \tau \eta s$ 'citizen', oroá 'arcade' (it is of course not always possible to define what is meant by the phrase 'fell out of use', since a large number of ancient words were kept half-alive by the learned tradition, even though the common people were ignorant of them);
(iv) Derivatives of AG words which have passed through the popular tradition, such as $\nu \in \rho \delta \delta^{\prime}$ 'water' (< AG $\nu \in a \rho \delta \nu$ [ $\nu \delta \omega \omega \rho$ ] 'fresh [water]'), $\pi a i \rho \nu \omega$ 'I take' (< AG èmaiph 'I raise'), пapá७vpo 'window' (< AG $\pi a \rho a ́ ~ ' n e x t ~ t o ’ ~+~ \vartheta v ́ \rho a ~ ‘ d o o r ’), ~ \pi a ́ \omega ~ ‘ I ~ g o ' ~(<~ A G ~ v ̈ \pi a ́ \gamma \omega ~ ‘ I ~ l e a d ~ u n d e r ; ~$ I go on'); and
(v) Derivatives of AG words which have been coined in modern times, e.g. $\lambda \epsilon \omega \phi$ о $\epsilon i_{0}$ 'bus' ( < Attic $\lambda \epsilon \omega \dot{s}$ 'people' + root $\phi \epsilon \rho / \phi o \rho-$ 'carry'), $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \iota \sigma \mu$ ós 'culture, civilization' ( $<\pi 0 \lambda i \tau \eta \varsigma$ ).
Another division cuts across categories (i) to (iii), namely, whether the word has undergone some semantic change or not.

Van Dijk-Wittop Koning (1963: 100-4) has made the following calculations on the basis of three written MG texts. Out of 1,148 different words found, 324 are AG words that have remained in continuous use and unchanged in form and meaning; another 191 have undergone morphological or semantic change, or both (e.g. ò $\lambda i$ íos $\rightarrow \lambda i \gamma o s$ 'little', $\pi i \pi \tau \omega \rightarrow \pi \epsilon \in \phi \tau \omega$ 'I fall', $\tau i \vartheta \eta \mu \iota \rightarrow \vartheta \epsilon ̇ \tau \omega$ 'I place’; $\delta o u \lambda \epsilon v \omega^{\prime} \omega$ 'I am a slave' $\rightarrow$ 'I work', к $\rho \dot{d} \tau$ os 'strength' $\rightarrow$ 'state'); 129 are AG words whose use has been revived in modern times; a further 202 words manifested themselves in written Greek between the fourth century BC and the fourth
century AD (all derivatives of AG words: e.g. $\sigma v \nu \in \chi i \xi \omega$ 'I continue', èvéprєıa 'action', àкатámavozos 'incessant'); while the rest consist mainly of MG popular or learned derivatives of AG words: only fifty loanwords were found in this corpus.

The proportion of loanwords to words of Greek origin (like that of AG derivatives of popular origin to AG derivatives of learned origin) varies according to register: it is quite possible to write whole pages on certain abstract or scholarly topics without using a single loanword, whereas everyday conversation abounds in them. Indeed, some of the most basic nouns are of foreign origin (the vast majority of loanwords are nouns), such as $\pi \delta \rho \rho \pi a$ 'door' (< L porta), onitı 'house' (< L hospitium), т ̧ákı 'hearth' (< T ocak), and even a few grammatical words such as $\mu \dot{a}$ 'but' (< It. ma). In addition, there are many loanwords which are so much a feature of MG that they help to give it its special character: e.g. $\gamma \lambda$ év̇ı 'party; merrymaking' (ult. < T eğlendi), кé申ı 'mood; high spirits' (< T keyif). There are loanwords for denoting family and social relationships, such as коvцтápos 'best man; godfather' (<It. compare) and $\mu \pi a \tau \zeta a \nu a ́ k \eta s ~ ‘ b r o t h e r-i n-l a w ' ~(s e e ~ 10.4 .1: ~<~ T ~ b a c a-~$ $n a k$ ), and some exclamations: e.g. à $\mu a ́ \nu!$ 'alas!, oh dear!' (< T aman), roúp!! 'good luck!’ (said when someone accidentally breaks a glass or piece of crockery: < T uğur), and $\mu \pi \rho a ́ ß o!~ ' w e l l ~ d o n e!' ~(<I t . ~ b r a v o) . ~$.

Since many scientific and other technical terms in Western European languages are themselves of Greek origin, MG has found little difficulty in reconstituting their 'original' Greek form: thus F anécdote has become àvéкбото (as in ěva бо́кш àvéкботo ‘a dirty joke'!), G Leukämie is $\lambda e v \chi a \mu i a$ (the German word is in fact an erroneous formation, which has been corrected in the Greek), F nécrologie 'obituary' is $\nu \in \kappa \rho o \lambda o \gamma i a$, and E telephone is $\tau \eta \lambda \epsilon \in \phi \omega \nu 0$. Some of the words of AG origin which were borrowed by MG from other languages were indeed AG words themselves which had fallen out of use in the Greek language.

Great efforts have been made by Greek academics and journalists, especially in the nineteenth century, to find suitable Greek translations of Western European concepts, instead of simply importing loanwords. Quite apart from the nationalist ideology which urged that all traces of non-Hellenic culture should be effacted, there were two valid linguistic reasons for avoiding the wholesale introduction of loanwords: one was that MG nouns, adjectives and verbs require inflectional endings, and another that, owing to the limited range of MG phonology, most words from French, German, or English, if used in MG, would have either to change beyond recognition in order to become susceptible
of being pronounced and spelled by Greeks, or to alter the phonology and orthography of the MG language. (French, German, and English sounds such as [J], [3], [w], [h], [œ], [y], etc., do not exist in spoken MG, nor can they be indicated in the orthography. (The fact that the existence of some of these sounds in Turkish did not prevent a large number of loanwords being adopted by MG from Turkish is irrelevant, since these words entered the Greek language by a natural and gradual process of assimilation, not through wholesale importation by the intelligentsia.) There was also a positive advantage in using Greek roots in order to coin words for new concepts, namely that the resulting words were likely to be more readily comprehensible and therefore more widely acceptable than actual loanwords.

Examples of calques or loan translations based on Western European languages abound: $\sigma \delta \eta \rho \delta \delta \rho o \mu o s$ 'railway' (< AG $\sigma i \delta \eta \rho o s ~ ' i r o n '+M G$ $\delta \rho \delta \mu o s$ 'road': most probably based on G Eisenbahn), à $\lambda \epsilon \xi$ ккє́ $\rho a v \nu o s$ 'lightning-conductor' (<AG à $\lambda \epsilon \xi \xi \omega$ 'I ward off' $+\kappa \epsilon \rho a v \nu o ́ s ~ ' t h u n d e r-~$ bolt': based on F paratonnerre or It. parafulmine), ßрахvкঠкк $\omega \mu a$ 'short circuit' (< AG $\beta \rho a \chi u ́ s ~ ' s h o r t ' ~+~ M G ~ к ט ́ к \lambda \omega \mu a ~ ' c i r c u i t '), ~ \delta \iota є \vartheta \nu \eta ' s ~$ 'international' (< $\delta a a-$ 'inter-' $+\epsilon$ є̀ $\vartheta \nu o s ~ ' n a t i o n '), ~ e ̀ v \tau о \mu о к \tau \delta \nu o ~ ' i n s e c t i c i d e ' ~$ (< ̇̇̀ $\nu \tau о \mu о$ 'insect' + AG -ктóvos 'killing'), èv $\nu \tau \pi \omega \sigma a ́ \zeta \omega ~ ' i m p r e s s ' ~(<~$ post-Classical èvrúm $\omega \sigma \iota \varsigma$ 'impression' + -cá乡 $\omega$ : based on F impressionner),
 'materialism' (< ט̈ $\lambda \eta$ 'matter'), ט̇ $\pi a \rho \xi \iota \sigma \mu o ́ s ~ ' e x i s t e n t i a l i s m ' ~(<~ ט ̈ \pi a \rho \xi \eta ~$ 'existence'). There are also semi-calques (based on a foreign word, part of which is of Greek and part of non-Greek origin), such as aviroкiunto 'automobile' (< aúro- 'self-' + кшךтós 'mobile'), रрафєькратіа
 'television' (< $\tau \eta \lambda \epsilon$ - 'far' $+\delta \rho a \sigma \eta$ 'vision').

As has already been said, however, since the beginning of the nineteenth century a large number of words have been revived from AG, or invented, in order to translate foreign concepts without adhering closely to the form of the relevant foreign words. The intelligentsia of the nineteenth century was responsible for successfully introducing thousands of such words into everyday Greek, an achievement for which they have received scant acknowledgement in recent times. Revivals from AG include the following (most of the dates in brackets after certain words are those given for their first use in Koumanoudis' (1900) invaluable collection of neologisms):
$\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda о \gamma \rho a \phi i a$ (lit. 'mutual writing'): AG 'the writing of amoebaean poems'; MG 'correspondence' (c.1800);
$\vartheta \epsilon \rho \mu о к \rho a \sigma i a$ (lit. 'hot-mixing'): AG 'mixing of hot drink'; MG 'temperature' (1812);
$\dot{v} \pi a ́ \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda o s: A G$ ‘subordinate' (adj.); MG ‘employee, clerk' (noun);
v̀movorós: AG 'serving' (adj.); MG 'minister' (noun) (1824), formerly $\mu \nu i \sigma \tau \rho o s ;$
 ist' (noun) (before 1840);
 (1833);
$\tau \epsilon \lambda \omega \nu \epsilon i o$ (revived from post-Classical Greek with the same meaning): ‘customs house’ (before 1840), replacing коטцє́ $\rho \kappa \iota$ ( $<\mathrm{L}$ commercium);
$\dot{a} \in \rho o \pi \delta \rho o s: A G$ 'traversing the air' (adj.); MG 'airman' (noun) (early twentieth century).
New coinages included the following:
$\lambda a \vartheta \rho \epsilon \mu \pi \delta \rho ю$ 'smuggling' (1809: < AG $\lambda a ́ \vartheta \rho a ̣$ 'by stealth' + MG є́ $\mu \pi$ о́рю 'trade'), formerly когтрацлávто;
 'mould');
$\pi a \nu \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \mu \iota \circ$ 'university' (1810s: cf. AG $\pi a \nu \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu \omega \nu$ 'allknowing');
 'writing');
 'wish, will');
$\pi o \delta \dot{\eta} \lambda a \tau o ~ ‘ b i c y c l e ’ ~(1890: ~<\pi o \delta-$ 'foot; leg' + AG ė $\lambda a v i v \omega$ 'drive');
$\pi о \lambda \nu \beta o ́ \lambda o$ 'machine-gun' (< AG adj. $\pi 0 \lambda \nu \beta$ ónos '(of catapult) throwing many missiles');
$\vartheta \epsilon \rho \mu \sigma \sigma i \phi \omega \nu o$ 'water-heater' (1898: < $\vartheta \epsilon \rho \mu \delta \rho^{\prime}$ 'hot' + AG $\sigma i \phi \omega \nu$ 'tube').
It is notable that most of the words coined in order to render modern European concepts since 1800 have been based on AG roots, including some that are not used in the demotic vocabulary. Very few have been based on demotic roots (a notable example is $\tau \rho o \chi \delta \sigma \pi \tau \tau o$ 'caravan', < $\tau \rho o \chi \delta{ }^{\prime}$ 'wheel' $+\sigma \pi i \tau \iota$ 'house'). Still today the process of forming new words based on AG roots (whether by loan-translation or not) continues apace, and the ability of the Greek language to form new words is still very much alive.

Nevertheless, a surging tide of new loanwords has been sweeping over Greece during the present century, the chief donor languages being French and English: the latter is close to superseding French as the chief source of loanwords today. As in other countries, intellectuals constantly bemoan, and attempt to resist, this tide, but it seems that, with the constant advances in technology and in other fields where English is the chief language of communication, Greece can do little but accept a large number of loanwords which may never be either assimilated phonologically and morphologically or translated into its own language. The problems lie not so much with those loanwords which are (often as a result of pure accident) fully declinable in MG (e.g. $\begin{gathered}\xi \\ \xi \\ \tau\end{gathered} \mu \mu-$
 '(yachting) marina', $\mu \pi о \nu \lambda \nu \tau o ́ j a ~ ' b u l l d o z e r ', ~ \nu \in \tau \rho \delta \delta \nu o ~ ' n e u t r o n ' ~(<~ F ~$ neutron), $\pi \lambda o v \rho a \lambda \iota \sigma \mu o ́ s ~ ' p l u r a l i s m ', ~ \sigma o \sigma ı a \lambda \iota \sigma \mu o ́ s ~ ‘ s o c i a l i s m '), ~ a s ~ w i t h ~$ indeclinable nouns and adjectives (verbs must inflect in MG), many of which contain un-Greek combinations of sounds, especially at their ends. Linguists and others are fighting a losing battle to translate foreign loanwords which have already become well entrenched. While
 despite its length, is sometimes used instead of кол $\pi \ldots v \bar{\tau} \epsilon \rho$ (the diminutive коитюоитєрáкı is firmly established as 'pocket calculator'), the proposed translations of some other words (such as à $\gamma о \rho a \tau \epsilon \chi \nu \ldots \eta$ for $\mu$ á $\rho \kappa є \tau \iota \gamma \kappa ~ ' m a r k e t i n g ' ~ o r ~ \chi є \iota \rho a \gamma \omega ่ \gamma \eta \sigma \eta ~ f o r ~ \mu a ́ \nu a \tau \zeta \mu \epsilon \nu \tau ~ ' m a n a g e-~$ ment', suggested by Tsopanakis (1979: 485-6), or $\dot{\mu} \mu \pi о \rho є v \mu а \tau о к ц \dot{\jmath} \tau ь$ for коขтain $\nu \in \rho$ 'container') will surely go the way of many nineteenthcentury attempts at Hellenizing already familiar concepts (e.g. $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota-$ $\sigma \kappa \epsilon \lambda i ́ s$ for $\pi a \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \delta \nu l$ 'trousers', or $\lambda a \mu \nu \delta \not ́ \tau \eta s$ for $\gamma \rho a \beta a ́ \tau a$ 'tie', which sound as ridiculous today as when they were invented).

French still acts as the chief donor of words connected with haute couture. It is significant that even such an apparently basic word as $\mu \pi \lambda \epsilon ́$ 'blue' should be from French (< bleu); many words for subtle shades of colour are also of French origin, presumably because they are connected with fashion: e.g. $\mu \pi \lambda \epsilon \in \tau \in \in \lambda$ 'sky-blue' (< bleu ciel), $\mu \pi \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu a \rho \epsilon ́ v$ (sic) 'navy blue' (< bleu marine), , $a \rho \delta \delta \nu$ 'chestnut' (< marron) (side by side with the native kaotavós), $\mu \omega \dot{\beta}$ 'mauve', oó $\zeta$ 'pink' (< rose), $\mu \pi \epsilon \in \zeta$ 'beige’, кафé 'brown’ (< café), $\lambda \iota \lambda a ́ ~ ‘ l i l a c ’ ~(<~$ lilas), etc. There are other adjectives, such as àoooti 'matching' (< assorti), кaןd' 'check' (< carreau), vté-Ttés 'two-piece' (<deux-pièces), and ógu§єve' 'peroxided' (< oxygéné), but it is chiefly as nouns that French words appear in Greek fashion pages: $\tau \delta \delta$ калоóv 'tights' (<
caleçon), $\dot{\eta}$ ко $\epsilon \epsilon \xi$ ю́ $\nu$ '(fashion) collection', тó $\mu$ аүю́ 'bathing-costume' (< maillot), tó $\mu a \nu \nu \epsilon \kappa \epsilon ́ \nu ~ ' m a n n e q u i n, ~ m o d e l ', ~ \grave{o} / \grave{\eta} ~ \mu о \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda i \sigma \tau ~ ' d r e s s ~$ designer' (< modéliste), tó $\mu \pi \lambda o v ́-\tau S \grave{\eta} \nu ~ ' j e a n s ' ~(<~ b l u e-j e a n ~<~ E), ~ \tau o ́ ~$ $\mu \pi o ́ \rho ~ ' r i m ~(o f ~ h a t) ' ~(<~ b o r d), ~ \tau o ́ ~ \pi a \lambda \tau o ́ ~ ' c o a t ' ~(<~ p a l e t o t), ~ \grave{~} \pi \in \rho \mu a v a ́ v \tau$ 'perm' (< permanante), tó $\sigma \epsilon \sigma o v a ́ \rho ~ ‘ h a i r-d r y e r ’ ~(<~ s e ́ c h o i r), ~ \tau o ́ ~ \sigma \mu o ́ к ш ~ \nu ~$ 'dinner-jacket’ (< smoking < E).

It is not uncommon on the fashion pages of Greek magazines to find captions to photographs such as the following:
 $\tau \iota \rho a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ 'gauze-like mercerized sleeveless combed-cotton blouse striped in blue and white with shoulder-straps' ( $T$ 24 June 1982, 106).

The following French loanwords are used in this example: peigné, gazé, mercerisé, blouse, and bleu; tıpávia is from It. tirante (masc., with different meaning). But perhaps the most interesting word here is $\rho \iota \gamma \dot{\epsilon}$, which is a conflation of F rayé with MG pira 'stripe' (< It. riga); or perhaps even $\rho i \gamma a+$ suffix - $\epsilon$. This suffix is common enough in words of French origin to have become a Greek suffix in its own right: thus there is a type of apple called $\mu \pi a \nu a \nu e ́ ~(<\mu \pi a \nu a ́ v a ~ ' b a n a n a ' ~+~-\epsilon ́), ~ a n d ~$ there are slang versions of some words with this ending (e.g. $\tau \zeta a \mu \pi \epsilon$ 'free, without paying', < $\tau$ §'́ $^{\prime} \mu \pi a<\mathrm{T}$ caba).

Another area in which loanwords from French are prominent is the motor car: e.g. tó à $\mu \pi \rho a \gamma a^{\zeta} \zeta$ 'clutch' (< embrayage), $\tau o ́ \kappa a \pi \delta$ 'bonnet' (< capot), тó каритvратé $\rho$ 'carburetter’ (< carburateur), тó $\lambda \in \beta \iota \epsilon$ '
 'windscreen' (< pare-brise), тó пópт- $\pi \pi a \gamma \kappa a ́ \zeta ~ ' b o o t ' ~(<~ p o r t e-b a g a g e s), ~$
 (< chauffeur); there are also verbs (fully inflected, with the suffix -áp $\omega$ < It. -are) such as $\mu$ apoájo 'I rev up' (< marcher 'I run (of engine)'), $\nu \tau \epsilon \rho a \pi a ́ \rho \omega ~ ' I ~ s k i d ’ ~(<~ d e ́ r a p e r), ~ \rho o v t a ́ \rho \omega ~ ' I ~ r u n ~ i n ~(e n g i n e) ' ~(<r o d e r) . ~$.

Finally, French loanwords figure prominently in the areas of entertainment and the arts: $\grave{\eta} \dot{a} \tau \rho a \xi$ 'óv 'attraction (special number in cabaret etc.)', $\grave{\eta} \gamma \kappa а \lambda \epsilon \rho i ́$ 'art gallery' (<galerie), $\delta \dot{\mu} \mu \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \omega \nu \sigma \mu \dot{\partial} s$ (declinable) 'impressionism', $\nu$ aí 'naïve (of painter or painting)' (< naïf), $\dot{\eta} \pi \rho \epsilon \mu \iota \epsilon ́ \rho a$ 'première' (declinable), $\tau$ ó $\rho \in \sigma \iota \tau a ́ \lambda ~ ‘ r e c i t a l ' ~(<r e ́ c i t a l), ~ \tau o ́ ~ \sigma o v \xi ́ e ́ ~ ‘ s u c c e s s ~$ (i.e. successful song etc.)' (< succès), $\dot{\eta}$ rovpvé '(concert) tour' (< tournée), $\tau \delta \dot{\prime} \phi \epsilon \sigma \tau \beta a ́ \lambda ~ ' f e s t i v a l ' . ~ A p a r t ~ f r o m ~ s u c h ~ e x a m p l e s, ~ F r e n c h ~$ loanwords appear in sport, cuisine, science, technology, and in fields of more abstract thought.

Loanwords first began to enter MG in significant numbers from English in the realm of sport（especially football）．Although modo－ $\sigma \phi a \varphi o$（calqued on E football）has replaced $\phi o u \tau \mu \pi \delta \lambda$（the stress suggests that this latter entered Greek via French）as the name of the game，the following words are constantly on the lips of most Greek
 ＇corner（－kick）＇，ò $\lambda a ́ \omega \sigma \mu \mu a \nu$＇linesman＇（also $\epsilon \pi \delta \pi \pi \eta \zeta)$ ，ö $\phi-\sigma a ́ \omega \tau ~ ' o f f-$

 $\tau \rho i \pi \lambda \epsilon \varsigma$＇dribbling＇（conflation of dribble with $\tau \rho \iota \pi \lambda \delta{ }^{\prime} s$＇triple＇），and $\tau \delta$ фáov入＇foul＇．Outside football，there are the names of various sports
 $\tau o ́ ~ \tau e ́ v i s ~ ' t e n n i s '), ~ a n d ~ c e r t a i n ~ t e r m s ~ u s e d ~ i n ~ t h e s e ~ a n d ~ o t h e r ~ g a m e s: ~ \tau o ́ ~$



The other main area in which English plays a leading role as donor language is the world of entertainment：$\tau \delta \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ rovéo $\sigma \epsilon \rho \nu$＇Western（film）＇
 $\tau о ́ ~ к о ́ \mu к(\mathrm{~s}) ~ ' c o m i c ~(b o o k) ', ~ \tau \delta ́ ~ \mu ю u ́ 乡 к а \lambda ~ ' m u s i c a l ~(s h o w) ', ~ \tau \delta ́ ~ \mu \pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau-~$ $\sigma \epsilon \in \lambda \lambda \epsilon \rho$＇best－seller＇，$\tau \delta$ ońpıa入＇（television）serial＇，$\delta / \eta$ o $\tau$ á $\rho$＇star＇，
 $\tau \rho a \nu \zeta i \sigma \tau o \rho ~ ' t r a n s i s t o r ~ r a d i o ' . ~$

A number of English words have entered the world of business，and especially shipping：e．g．$\tau \delta \dot{\prime} \lambda \delta \kappa$－ăout＇lock－out＇，$\tau \delta \dot{\delta} \tau d \nu \kappa \in \rho$＇tanker （ship）＇．Fashion，leisure，and travel have their share too of English loan－
 $\mu \pi а \gamma к а \lambda o \delta o v ~ '(h o l i d a y) ~ b u n g a l o w ', ~ \tau o ́ ~ \mu \pi \delta \rho \nu \tau \iota \gamma к-к а ́ \rho \nu \tau ~ ' b o a r d i n g-c a r d ', ~$ （ $\tau \delta) \sigma \dot{\eta}-\vartheta \rho o v ́$＇see－through＇（noun and adjective），$\tau$ d́ $\sigma \delta \rho \tau \varsigma$＇shorts＇，$\tau \delta$ $\sigma \pi \rho \dot{e ́ v}$＇aerosol spray＇，$\tau \delta \dot{\tau} \sigma a ́ \rho \tau \epsilon \rho$＇charter（flight etc．）＇．Anglo－American cuisine is popular among young Greeks，who are fond of $\tau \dot{a} \nu \tau \delta \nu a \tau \varsigma$ ‘doughnuts＇，$\tau$ á $\tau \sigma i \pi s ~ ' p o t a t o ~ c r i s p s ’ ~(U S ~ ' p o t a t o ~ c h i p s '), ~ a n d ~ \tau a ́ ~ \chi a ́ \mu-~$ поט $\boldsymbol{\gamma к є \rho ~ ' h a m b u r g e r s ' ; ~ b u t ~ \tau a ́ ~ к р а к є \rho а ́ к ı a ~ ' c r e a m ~ c r a c k e r s ' ~ a n d ~ \tau o ́ ~}$ $\mu \pi \epsilon ́ к о \nu$＇bacon＇have been known for longer；and $\tau \delta \mu i \xi \in \rho$＇food mixer＇ and $\tau \delta \mu \pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \tau \tau \rho$＇food blender＇are firmly entrenched in Greek kitchens．

Finally，English has supplied some common words used in various walks of life：e．g．$\tau \dot{\delta} \sigma \notin \rho \beta \iota \varsigma$＇（motor－car）service＇，$\tau a ́ \quad \sigma \lambda a ́ w \tau \varsigma ~ '(p h o t o) ~$ slides＇，$\tau \dot{\delta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \rho \mu a ́ \rho \kappa \epsilon \tau$＇supermarket＇，$\tau \dot{\delta} \tau \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \tau$＇test（of intelligence，or
 $\tau \dot{\delta} \chi \dot{\omega} \lambda$＇hall（of house or flat）＇．Two other words have become very

have upset you') and $\phi$ oú入 '(adj.) full; (noun) full speed; (adv.) flat out, at full speed', which is used in a variety of idiomatic ways (also verb фou入áo $\omega$ 'I fill up; I sprint; I cause (machine) to work flat out').

German, which has provided the model for a large number of loantranslations in MG (e.g. коб $\mu \circ \vartheta \epsilon \omega \rho i a$ 'world-view' < Weltanschauung, кобмоїоторко́s 'of world importance' < welthistorisch), has been the source of very few loanwords (e.g. $\tau \dot{\text { ó крá }}$ '(financial) crash' < Krach, $\tau \dot{\prime} \lambda a ́ \iota \tau-\mu о \tau i \beta$ 'leitmotiv', and $\tau$ ó $\pi \rho o \tau \sigma \epsilon \in$ 'process' < Prozess (normally
 words in MG.

Italian has continued to provide a number of loanwords since the early nineteenth century, but on a far smaller scale than before. Many of these are used in the performing arts: e.g. $\delta$ ко $\pi$ á $\rho \sigma o s$ 'walking-on
 'ballet' (< balletto), $\tau \delta$ д $\nu \tau \mu \pi о и ̃ т o ~ ' d e ́ b u t ~(<~ d e b u t t o ~<~ F ~ d e ́ b u t), ~ \tau o ́ ~$ палкобє́vıо ‘stage' (<palcoscenico), $\grave{\eta} \pi \rho \delta \beta a \operatorname{\tau \zeta \epsilon \nu \epsilon \rho á\lambda \epsilon ~‘dress~rehearsal’~}$ (< prova generale), $\tau \dot{\delta} \sigma \epsilon \nu a ́ \rho ı ~ ' s c e n a r i o ', ~ \tau \delta ~ \phi w a ́ \lambda \epsilon ~ ' f i n a l e ' . ~ M o s t ~ o f ~$ these (but not $\phi w a ̀ \lambda \epsilon$ ) are fully declinable.

Some trade names (Greek and foreign) have become normal words for certain types of product, even when the actual product in question is not made by the manufacturer specified: e.g. $\tau \delta \dot{d} \dot{\alpha} \rho o \lambda \epsilon \xi \xi$ 'foam
 'sticking plaster' (< Leukoplast), $\tau \delta \quad \mu \pi i \kappa$ 'ballpoint pen' (< Bic), $\tau \delta$ $\nu 0 \beta o \pi a ́ v ~ ' h a r d b o a r d ' ~(<~ N o v o p a n), ~ \grave{\eta} \rho \iota \pi o \lambda i \not \omega \eta$ 'gloss paint' (< Ripolin), $\tau \delta \phi \in \lambda_{l} \zeta \delta \bar{\lambda} \lambda$ 'polystyrene'.

Sometimes a word of foreign origin has entered the language even when a word already existed for the same concept. In such cases, the loanword has either superseded the old one or pushed it into a lower register. Thus $\tau \delta \dot{\mu} \mu \pi \nu \epsilon \rho \delta \delta$ 'bathing costume' has given way to $\mu a \gamma \iota \delta$, $\tau o ́ \rho \omega \gamma o ß v \dot{s} \iota$ 'baby's bottle' to $\tau \delta \dot{\prime} \mu \pi \mu \pi \epsilon \rho \delta \dot{\prime}(<\mathrm{F}$ biberon), and $\tau o ́$
 är). On the other hand, $\tau \delta \sigma \kappa a \mu \nu i$ 'stool' is now reserved for a lowly kind of stool, while $\tau \dot{( }(\xi) \sigma \kappa а \mu \pi \delta \dot{\prime}(<\mathrm{F}$ escabeau) refers to a fashionable stool which might adorn one's drawing-room. Two curious examples of displacement are the words for 'henna' and 'hashish': the older Greek words entered the language from Turkish ( $\grave{\eta} \kappa ı \nu a ́<T k ı n a$, and $\tau \delta$ xaoial < T hasiş). Both these words became tainted, the former because of its associations with the cosmetic habits of Turks and Arabs, the latter because of its associations with the underworld. Now that 'respectable' people may talk about drugs with impunity, 'hashish' is $\tau \delta$ xaois
(indeclinable, < F haschische, or E), and now that henna has begun to be imported into Greece from the West, it is now called $\dot{\eta}$ रévva (presumably $<\mathrm{E}$ ).

### 10.3 WORD-FORMATION

Word-formation in MG occurs through the addition of a prefix or suffix (in either case, with or without changing the part of speech of the base-word), or by the compounding of two or more roots into one. The grammatical category (part of speech) of a word cannot normally be altered without a prefix or suffix (usually the latter) being added.

The only examples of words changing their category without the addition of affixes (prefixes or suffixes) are provided by various types of substantivization. In the first, a word that normally belongs to another category may become a noun: e.g. $\pi\llcorner\sigma \tau \epsilon \delta \omega$ 'I believe' $\rightarrow \tau \delta$

 In the second, an adjective becomes a noun through the omission of a noun which it modifies (although it is not always possible to specify which noun has been deleted). This is different from another kind of substantivization of an adjective, in which any adjective can play the role of a noun temporarily and in a particular context (see 4.2: in addition, some neuter adjectives may be substantivized as abstract nouns, e.g. $\tau \delta \quad \pi \epsilon \zeta \omega \delta \dot{\delta}$ 'infantry', or $\tau \delta \dot{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \chi \tau \rho u \delta \delta$ 'electricity'). Some of the substantivized adjectives which concern us here have been inherited from AG (the omitted noun appears in square brackets): e.g. $\eta \gamma \rho a \mu$ -
 'straight line'. Others have entered the language since Classical times:
 day', $\delta \dot{\delta} \delta \rho a v \lambda \kappa \kappa \delta ́ s ~[\tau \epsilon \chi \nu i \tau \eta \varsigma ?]$ 'plumber'.

Adjectives with nouns omitted are frequently used in contemporary Greek: usually the relevant noun may optionally be specified. Some examples are the following: $\tau \delta \dot{\delta} \beta \rho a \delta \omega \delta \dot{\prime}$ [фa $\eta \eta \delta \delta$ ] 'dinner (evening
 [ $\sigma \chi 0 \lambda \epsilon \ddot{o}$ ] 'primary school', $\delta$ є $\mu \phi \dot{\prime} \lambda \omega \rho$ [ $\pi \delta \delta \lambda \epsilon \mu \circ \varsigma$ ] 'the [Greek] civil war',
 $\sigma \tau \eta \mu a$ ] 'the single-accent system', $\dot{\eta}$ Nouкк $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ [ $\Sigma \chi o \lambda \eta$ ] 'the Law Faculty',
 'square metre'. There are many neuter singular forms in which a noun
such as $\pi \rho \delta \beta \lambda \eta \mu \mu a$ 'problem' or $\zeta \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \eta \mu a$ 'question' is understood: $\tau \delta$ китргако́ 'the Cyprus question', $\tau \dot{\prime} \quad \mu \in \sigma[0] a v a \tau o \lambda \ldots \delta \dot{\prime}$ 'the Middle East problem'. In some neuter plurals, rejovó $\boldsymbol{\sigma} a$ 'events' is understood: $\tau$ á $\Delta \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \mu ß \rho ı \nu a d$ 'the events of December 1944'. Some substantivized adjectives may stand for more than one phrase: $\dot{\eta} \lambda a \ddot{k} \eta \dot{\eta}$ [ $\gamma \lambda \omega \dot{\omega} \sigma \sigma a$ ] ‘slang', or [á $\gamma o \rho a ́]$ 'weekly open market'; $\grave{\eta} \pi \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \eta$ [ $\pi a \rho a ́-$ $\sigma \tau a \sigma \eta$ ] 'first performance, première’, or [ $\tau a \chi u ́ \tau \eta \tau a$ ] 'first gear’.

The formation of words by means of prefixes and suffixes is not always a simple matter, and may involve changes of stem or of stress. To take two examples with the privative prefix $\dot{a}$-, the opposite of $\phi v \sigma \kappa \delta s$ 'natural' is aфv́бuкos (change of stress) and the opposite of סikaws 'just' is ä $\delta$ ккоs (change of stem and stress).

The number of affixes used in forming words in MG is considerable. Since a comprehensive account of word-formation appears in Triandaphyllidis (1941: 115-79), it would be vain to attempt a full coverage of this vast subject here. We shall confine ourselves to examining some of those affixes which are most productive today, and some typical modern compounds. In our discussion of affixes we shall treat only those which cannot stand as words on their own (i.e. those which are bound forms), consigning free forms to our examination of compounds.

If we compare Triandaphyllidis's list of affixes with those which are productive today, our conclusion is that, despite the revival of various ancient affixes, the repertoire is nowadays rather less rich than it has been in the past. The fact is that many of the traditional demotic affixes have fallen out of active use.

### 10.3.1 SUFFIXATION

Some examples of word-formation by suffixes have already been given in the chapters on morphology, namely diminutives and augmentatives (4.6.1), feminines of masculine nouns referring to persons (4.6.2), and nouns and adjectives derived from verbs (5.2.7). The aim in this section is to give some modern examples of word-formation using typial suffixes. While Triandaphyllidis's examples illustrate traditional formations, we shall for the most part avoid the kinds of example which he provides.
(a) The largest group of suffixes consists of those which produce nouns. Of these, the majority convert nouns into other nouns (the
examples given below exclude augmentative, diminutive, and feminine formations):
(i) Nouns referring to persons:
-ás (masc.): тvodotıтa ‘cheese pie’ $\rightarrow$ тvpotırás ‘cheese-pie maker/seller';
-adónos (masc.) (< Ven. ador; added especially to words of Romance origin): контiшa 'trick, racket' ( $<\mathrm{F}$ combine) $\rightarrow$ контшабодооs 'trickster' (also from verbs: $\mu а \rho к а ́ \rho \omega ~ ' m a r k ' ~$ (< It. marcare) $\rightarrow$ маркабópos 'marker (pen)');
 $\rightarrow$ коидтоvрlápךs ‘culture-vulture’(fem. -á $\rho a$, adj. -á $\rho є к о \varsigma) ; ~$ -ias (masc.): àvti $\rho \rho \eta \sigma \eta$ 'objection’ $\rightarrow$ àvt $\rho \rho \eta \sigma i a s$ 'conscientious objector';
-iotas (masc.) (< F -iste or It. -ista, both ult. < AG -ıõท's): $\kappa \iota \vartheta a ́ \rho a ~ ‘ g u i t a r ’ ~ \rightarrow ~ \kappa \iota \vartheta a \rho i \sigma \tau a s ~ ' g u i t a r i s t ' ~(c f . ~ I t . ~ c h i t a r r i s t a ; ~$ also $\kappa \imath \vartheta a \rho \omega \tau \eta)$ ) and many other words for instrumentalists; ă $\rho \sigma \eta \quad \beta a \rho \tilde{\omega} \nu \quad$ 'weight-lifting' $\rightarrow$ a $\rho \sigma \nprec a \rho^{\prime} \sigma \tau a s$ 'weightlifter', and other athletes (also from verbs: $\gamma \rho a ́ \phi \omega$ 'I write' $\rightarrow$ roapiozas 'graphic artist'; based on F graphiste);
 '(man) who has a sweet tooth' (fem. - $\tau 00$ ); $\mu \pi \epsilon \tau$ ' 'concrete' (colloquial plural of $\mu \pi \epsilon \tau \delta(\nu)<\mathrm{F}$ béton) $\rightarrow \mu \pi \epsilon \tau a \tau$ Sn's 'concreter';
(ii) Nouns referring to objects:
-ápa (fem.) (see also 4.6.1): $\delta v v_{0}$ 'two' $\rightarrow$ סvápa ‘a two (in dice or cards)';
-ápı (neuter): $\delta v a ́ \rho \iota ~ ' t w o-r o o m e d ~ f l a t ' ; ~ \delta \omega ́ \delta \epsilon к а ~ ' t w e l v e ' ~ \rightarrow ~$ $\delta \omega \delta \epsilon \kappa a ́ \rho ı a$ 'twelve-point type'; oapavtanévтє 'forty-five' $\rightarrow$ бapavtađєvтápı '. 45 pistol';
-tépa (fem.) (< F -ière or It. -iera): oaumávia 'champagne’ $(<\mathrm{F}) \rightarrow$ oa $\mu \pi a \nu L \epsilon ́ \rho a$ 'champagne bucket'; $\psi \omega \mu i$ 'bread' $\rightarrow \psi \omega \mu t \in \rho a$ 'bread-basket';
(iii) Nouns referring to places:
(a) $\rho i a$ (fem.) (< It. .-(e/ria): $\pi i \tau \sigma a$ 'pizza' (< It.) $\rightarrow \pi \iota \tau \sigma a \rho i a$ 'pizzeria';

- $\delta$ кко (neuter) (usually from nouns in -ás or -TSグs): Ễoчa
 కท́סuка 'reach-me-downs'; тv $о \pi \iota \tau a ́ s ~ \rightarrow \tau v \rho о \pi \iota \tau a ́ \delta ı к о ~ ‘ c h e e s e-~$ pie shop';
(iv) Nouns referring to abstract concepts:
-á (fem.): кєфá入ı 'head' $\rightarrow \kappa \epsilon \phi a \lambda c a ́ ~ ' h e a d e r ~(i n ~ f o o t b a l l) ' ; ~$ $\mu \pi a ́ \lambda a$ 'ball' (< It. palla or F balle) $\rightarrow \mu \pi a \lambda a^{\prime}$ 'kick (in football)';
$-\iota \sigma \mu \delta{ }^{\prime}($ masc.) (properly from verbs in -i $\zeta \omega+-\mu \delta \varsigma): ~ \sigma \tau \rho o v \vartheta o-$ $\kappa а ́ \mu \eta \lambda о \varsigma ~ ‘ o s t r i c h ' ~ \rightarrow \sigma \tau \rho о v \vartheta о к а \mu \eta \lambda \iota \sigma \mu o ́ s ~ ' o s t r i c h ~ m e n t a l i t y ' ; ~$ ë $\tau \sigma \iota \vartheta \epsilon \in \lambda \omega$ 'that's how I want it' $\rightarrow \dot{\epsilon} \tau \sigma \imath \vartheta \epsilon \lambda \iota \sigma \mu \dot{\delta}$ 'selfish and arbitrary behaviour'; ка७ف́ऽ $\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \epsilon$ 'comme il faut' $\rightarrow$ $\kappa a \vartheta \omega \sigma \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \mu \delta{ }^{\prime} s$ '(excessive) respectability' (also oi $\delta \dot{a}-$ фороь -七о $о$ ' 'the various -isms');
 'the post of leader';
 telling of anecdotes'; $\phi \bar{\eta} \mu \in \varsigma$ 'rumours' $\rightarrow \phi \eta \mu о \lambda о \gamma i a$ 'the various rumours (on a certain subject)'.
(b) There are a few suffixes which convert verbs into nouns (see also 5.2.7):
 lyst'; $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \nu \delta \dot{J} \omega$ 'I invest' $\rightarrow \epsilon \pi \epsilon \nu \delta v \tau \eta{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ 'investor' (contrast K є̇ $\pi \epsilon \nu \delta \dot{r} \eta \eta^{\text {'overcoat'); }}$

 $\dot{a} \nu \in \mu i \zeta \omega$ 'expose to the air' $\rightarrow \dot{a} \nu \epsilon \mu \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \rho a s$ 'fan, ventilator';
 bition hall';
(iv) Abstract: - $\mu a$ (neuter): $\gamma \iota \gamma a \nu \tau \dot{\omega} \nu o \mu a \iota$ 'I become gigantic' $\rightarrow$ $\gamma \iota \gamma a ́ \nu \tau \omega \mu a$ '(action of) becoming gigantic'; $\delta a \lambda \bar{\epsilon} \gamma \omega$ 'I choose' $\rightarrow \delta \dot{a} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu a$ '(action of) choosing'.

There are also a couple of endings which convert adjectives into (usually abstract) nouns:
 plebs';

 ооvтрофко́т $\eta \tau a$ 'comradeship'.
(c) There is a number of suffixes forming adjectives, either from nouns or from verbs. The base-word is usually a noun:

'fresh’ (< It. fresco) $\rightarrow$ фрєбка́тоऽ '(of person) looking/feeling fresh';
 like' (lit. 'fascistoid');
-évos (base-words denote materials): когтраплакє́ 'plywood' (< F contre-plaqué) $\rightarrow$ когтратлакévıos 'plywood (adj.)'; $\lambda а \mu а \rho i v a ~$
 metal';
$-l(a) k o ́ s$ (by far the most productive adjectival ending): Alōos 'Aeolus (ancient god of the winds)' $\rightarrow$ aio入ık $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \rho \rho \in \epsilon a$ 'windpower'; àvá $\pi \tau v \xi \eta ~ ‘ d e v e l o p m e n t ' ~ \rightarrow ~ a ̀ v a \pi \tau v \xi\llcorner a к \delta ́ ~ \pi \rho o ́ \gamma \rho a \mu \mu a ~$

 кошоткฑ ขоцо७єбіа '[EEC] community legislation';
-iotikos (base-words usually denote persons): $\delta$ avooú $\mu \in \nu o s$ 'intellectual' $\rightarrow$ סəavoovuєviotwos 'of intellectuals (pejorative)'; $\mu \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon '$ 'baby' (<F bébé) $\rightarrow \mu \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \delta i \sigma \tau \tau k a$ 'baby-talk';
-oṽरos (lit. 'possessing'): $\gamma \boldsymbol{\eta} \pi \epsilon \delta o$ 'football pitch' $\rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi \epsilon \delta o u ̃ \chi o s$
 substantivized).
(d) A couple of suffixes convert verbs to adjectives (see also 5.2.7 and 5.5.2):
$-\tau \epsilon o s$ (referring to an action which must be carried out on the noun): $\mu \epsilon \tau a \rho \rho v \vartheta \mu i \zeta \omega$ 'reform' $\rightarrow \mu \in \tau a \rho \rho v \vartheta \mu \tau \sigma \tau \in ́ o s$ 'which must be reformed';

- $-\mu o s$ ( $=$ susceptible of having the relevant action carried out on it):
 $\rightarrow$ катокท่бцоя ‘inhabitable' ( $\neq$ àкатоікптоs ‘uninhabited'); $\sigma v$. $\epsilon \nu \nu o o v ̃ \mu a \iota ~ ' r e a c h ~ a n ~ u n d e r s t a n d i n g ' ~ \rightarrow \sigma v \nu e v \nu o n o \mu u o s ~ ' u n d e r s t a n d-~$ ing, co-operative'.
(e) Lastly, a few suffixes (all of them highly productive) convert nouns or adjectives into verbs:
-áp $\omega$ (< It. -are): $\gamma v a \lambda \delta \chi$ халтo 'sandpaper' $\rightarrow \gamma v a \lambda o x a \rho \tau a ́ \rho \omega ~ ‘ I ~ s a n d-~$
 ing a suit'; $\mu \pi i$ is or $\mu \pi i \zeta$ 'encore!' ( $<\mathrm{F}$ or It. bis) $\rightarrow \mu \pi i$ S'j $\rho \omega$ 'I encore' (cf. F bisser and It. bissare); $\pi \rho \delta \sigma \tau \mu о$ 'fine' $\rightarrow \pi \rho о \sigma \tau \iota-$ $\mu a ́ \rho \omega$ 'I fine'; $\tau \zeta i \rho o s ~ ‘ t u r n o v e r ' ~(<I t . ~ g i r o) ~ \rightarrow \tau \zeta ゅ a ́ \rho \epsilon \iota ~ \delta v o ́ ~ e ̀ к а т о \mu-~$ $\mu$ úpia 'it has a turnover of two million';
 $-\pi o \omega \bar{\omega}$ (and noun - $\pi o i \eta \sigma \eta$ ) (this has become the verbal formant par excellence in recent years, superseding most others, a fact bewailed by many Greeks): ăvooos 'immune' $\rightarrow$ àvooonolù 'immunize';
 Cypriotization of the National Guard'; пошкós 'penal' $\rightarrow$ a $\pi о \pi о-$

$-\omega ́ \nu \omega: ~ \gamma \rho a \beta a ́ t a ~ ‘ t i e ’ ~(<~ I t . ~ c r a v a t t a) ~ \rightarrow ~ \gamma \rho a ß a \tau \omega \mu e ́ v o s ~ ' w e a r i n g ~$ a tie'; $\phi \vartheta \delta \dot{\rho} ю$ 'fluoride' $\rightarrow \phi \vartheta o \rho \omega \omega \nu \omega$ 'I fluoride (water supply)'.

Sometimes a suffix that is itself derived from a word should nevertheless be seen as a suffix proper, since it existed as a suffix in AG and it may not be associated in speakers' minds with the word with which it is etymologically connected. Such is the case with - $\lambda$ oria above ( $<$ $\lambda o ́ \gamma o s$ 'word'), and even with -oũxos (< éx $\omega$ 'I have, possess'). There are other such suffixes, such as -краті் and -кратіа (< AG крáтоs 'power': e.g. àvסоократia 'dominance of society by males').

### 10.3.2 PREFIXATION

Prefixes too may be divided into those which are derived from existing words and those which are not: the latter include AG words which are not used in SMG, or which as prefixes have different senses from those in which they are used as words in SMG.

The majority of prefixes proper used in SMG are AG prepositions. These will be examined in alphabetical order.
$\dot{a} v a-$ (attached to verbs and nouns: 're-'): $\gamma \delta \mu \omega \sigma \eta$ 'stuffing' $\rightarrow \dot{a} \nu a$ $\gamma \delta \mu \omega \sigma \eta$ 'retread (of tyre)'; $\pi a \lambda(a) \iota \omega \nu \omega$ 'make/grow old' $\rightarrow$ $\dot{a} \nu a \pi a \lambda a \iota \omega \nu \omega$ 'restore (building)';
$\dot{a} \nu \tau \iota-$ (attached to nouns and adjectives: 'un-' or 'anti-'): $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta \mu o \nu l-$ $\kappa o ́ s ~ ' s c i e n t i f i c, ~ s c h o l a r l y ' ~ \rightarrow a ̀ \nu \tau \iota \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu o \nu u \kappa \delta ' s ~ ' u n s c i e n t i f i c, ~$
 argument'; $\grave{\lambda \iota a \kappa \delta}{ }^{\prime}$ 'solar' $\rightarrow \dot{a} \nu \tau \iota \eta \lambda \iota a \kappa \delta \quad \phi i \lambda \tau \rho o$ 'filter against
 'anti-theft briefcase';
 $\mu a \gamma \nu \eta \tau i \zeta \omega$ 'I demagnetize';
$\delta u$ - (converts nouns to adjectives: 'inter-'): $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \iota \sigma \mu \delta{ }^{\prime}$ 'culture' $\rightarrow$


$\epsilon \kappa$ - (converts adjectives to verbs, often in conjunction with -ij $\omega$ :


$\epsilon \nu$ - (converts nouns to adjectives: 'containing'; converts nouns to verbs: 'in-, en-'): bopavo 'instrument, organ' $\rightarrow$ èvóp $\gamma a \nu \eta$ Х $\eta \mu \epsilon i a$
 $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu a$ 'body' $\rightarrow \dot{\epsilon} \nu \sigma \omega \mu a \tau \omega \dot{\omega} \omega$ 'embody';
є̇ $\pi a \nu a$ - (attached to verbs: 're-'): $\pi \rho o \sigma \delta \iota \rho i \zeta \omega$ 'define’ $\rightarrow$ émava$\pi \rho о \sigma \delta \omega \rho i \xi \omega$ 're-define';
$\mu \in \tau a$ - (traditionally attached to verbs: 'trans-'; now especially converts nouns to adjectives: 'post-'; also attached to nouns: 'meta-'):
 Civil War Greece'; $\gamma \lambda \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma a$ 'language' $\rightarrow \mu \epsilon \tau a \gamma \lambda \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma a$ 'metalanguage';
mapa- (attached to nouns; modern formations probably based on F para-: 'beside, para-'): $\pi a \ltimes \epsilon i a$ 'education' $\rightarrow \dot{\eta} \pi a \rho a \pi a \kappa \epsilon i a$ 'the unofficial (private) education system'; $\phi \epsilon \sigma \tau \nprec a ́ \lambda ~ ' f e s t i v a l ' ~ \rightarrow o i$ $\pi а \rho a \phi \epsilon \sigma \tau 队 а \lambda<\kappa \epsilon ́ \varsigma ~ \epsilon ̇ \kappa \delta \eta \lambda \omega \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \iota s$ 'fringe events';
$\pi \rho o$ - (converts nouns to adjectives: 'pre-'): $\sigma \in \iota \sigma \mu \delta \rho^{\prime}$ 'earthquake' $\rightarrow$ $\dot{\eta} \pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \omega \sim \mu \kappa \grave{\prime}$ Zákvvษos 'Zakynthos as it was before the [1953] earthquake';
$\sigma v \nu$ - (attached to nouns and adjectives: 'co(n)-'): $\pi a \rho a \gamma \omega \gamma \eta$ 'production' $\rightarrow \sigma \nu \mu \pi a \rho a \gamma \omega \gamma \eta$ 'co-production';
$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho$ - (attached to any class of word: 'super-, over-, hyper-, trans-, inter-'): à $\sigma \tau \kappa o ́ s ~ ' u r b a n ' ~ \rightarrow ~ i \pi \epsilon \rho a \sigma \tau u \delta o ́ s ~ ' t r u n k ~(c a l l) ' ~(c f . ~ F . ~$
 atlantic'; єv̇aio७ŋros ‘sensitive' $\rightarrow \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon v a i \sigma \vartheta \eta r o s ~ ' h y p e r s e n s i t i v e ' ;$

 more than covered';
$\dot{v} \pi o-$ (attached to any class of word: 'sub-, under-'): $\dot{a} \pi a \sigma \chi \dot{\delta} \lambda \eta \sigma \eta$
 'grade' $\rightarrow$ v̇oßaখpiگん ‘degrade, debase’ (a vogue word disapproved of by many commentators); è $\pi \tau \tau \rho o \pi \dot{\eta}$ 'committee' $\rightarrow$ ט̇ாоєாเтропท่ 'subcommittee'.

A number of other prefixes (mostly of AG origin) are widely used in SMG. The most productive of these include the following:
$\dot{a}$ - (attached to adjectives and nouns: 'un-'): x $\quad$ vada $\omega$ 'I beat' $\rightarrow$

$\dot{a} \rho \chi \iota$－（attached to nouns：‘arch－，chief＇）：$\beta o \mu \beta \iota \sigma \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \varsigma$＇bomber（person）＇
$\rightarrow \dot{a} \rho \chi \not \beta o \mu \beta \omega \tau \eta \dot{\eta}$＇arch－bomber＇；
aữo－（mostly attached to verbs：see 3．1．2．2）：$\dot{\epsilon} \xi \delta \rho \iota \sigma \tau o \varsigma ~ ' e x i l e ’ ~$ （person）＇$\rightarrow$ aưroc ${ }^{\prime}$ ó $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o s ~ ‘ s e l f-e x i l e ' ; ~$
$\delta v \sigma-$（mostly attached to nouns；the opposite of $\epsilon \dot{v}-:$＇${ }^{\text {dys－，mal－＇）：}}$
$\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau o v \rho \gamma i a$＇function＇$\rightarrow$ סvonєєтovoria＇malfunction＇（see also 5．5．2）；
$\dot{\epsilon} \xi \omega$－（mostly attached to adjectives：＇extra－＇）：$\sigma v \varsigma ̧ \gamma \kappa \kappa o ́ s ~ ' c o n j u g a l ' ~ \rightarrow ~$
 ＇out of school＇（adj．：＝F extra－scolaire）；
$\epsilon \dot{v}$－（forms chiefly adjectives：‘eu－，well，easily＇）：$̇ \pi \eta \rho \epsilon a ́ \zeta \omega ~ ‘ I ~ i n f l u-~$ ence＇$\rightarrow \epsilon \dot{v} \in \pi \eta \rho$ éa $a \tau o s$＇easily influenced＇；
Eúpo－（attached to nouns：＜E and F Euro－）：Eủj $\omega \beta$ ou入evtńs ＇Euro－MP＇；Eu̇р $\omega \kappa$ oıvoßoú入ь＇European Parliament＇；
$\eta \mu l$－（attached to nouns and adjectives：＇semi－＇）：$\delta$ a $a \tau \rho o \phi \eta$＇board （food）＇$\rightarrow \dot{\eta} \mu \delta \delta a \tau \rho o \phi \dot{\eta}$＇half－board＇；
$\xi \epsilon$－（attached to verbs：‘de，un－＇）：ऍa入i乡oual ‘I（begin to）feel dizzy＇$\rightarrow$ $\xi \epsilon \zeta a \lambda i \zeta o \mu a i$＇I（begin to）recover from dizziness＇；$\chi \epsilon \rho \rho$＇arm，hand＇ $\rightarrow \xi \in \chi \epsilon \rho \iota a ́ \sigma \tau \eta \kappa a$＇my arms are practically dropping off（through heavy lifting etc．）＇（genuine demotic prefix）；
$\pi a \nu$－（attached to nouns and adjectives：＇pan－，very＇）：ă $\sigma \chi \eta \mu o s$＇ugly’ $\rightarrow \pi a v a ́ \sigma \chi \eta \mu o s$＇very ugly＇；
$\tau \eta \lambda \epsilon$－（attached to nouns and adjectives：＇remote；tele－（concerning telephones or television）＇）：катєv७̛́vف＇control＇$\rightarrow \tau \eta \lambda \epsilon к а \tau \epsilon v-$ $\vartheta v \nu \delta \mu \epsilon \nu o s$＇remote－controlled＇；$\vartheta \epsilon a \tau \eta \mathrm{\eta}$＇spectator＇$\rightarrow \tau \eta \lambda \epsilon \vartheta \epsilon a \tau \eta \mathrm{\eta}$ ＇television viewer＇（cf．F téléspectateur）．

Like some suffixes，some prefixes are derived from existing words， but have special meanings．These include：à $\omega$－，＇－worthy＇（ $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \iota \omega \omega \omega$ ＇I note＇$\rightarrow$ à $ю \sigma п \eta \mu i \omega \omega \tau o s ~ ' n o t e w o r t h y '), ~ к \rho v \pi \tau о-~ ' c r y p t o-' ~(к \rho и \pi \tau о х о и \nu-~$ $\tau \kappa \delta \delta s$＇clandestine supporter of the junta＇），$\mu є \gamma a \lambda o$－＇big＇（ $\mu \in \gamma а \lambda о є \pi \iota \chi \epsilon \iota-$ $\rho \eta \mu a \tau i a s ~ ' b i g ~ b u s i n e s s m a n '), ~ \mu к к о о-~ ' s m a l l, ~ p e t t y, ~ m i c r o-' ~(~ \mu к к о о д а ́ \delta а ~ а ~$ ＇small group＇），$\mu о \nu o-$＇uni－，single＇（ $\mu о \nu o ́ \pi a \pi o s ~ ‘ s i n g l e-s t o r e y e d '), ~ \nu \epsilon o-~ ' n e o-' ~$ （ $\nu \in 0 \times 0 u v \tau \iota \kappa \delta{ }^{\prime}$＇latter－day supporter of the junta＇），$\pi 0 \lambda v$－＇multi－，much－， poly．＇（ $\pi о \lambda \nu є к а \tau о \mu \mu \nu \rho ю о и \chi о s ~ ' m u l t i-m i l l i o n a i r e ', ~ \pi о \lambda \nu ф \omega \tau о \gamma \rho a ф \eta \mu e ́ v o s ~$ ＇much－photographed＇），and $\phi \iota \lambda$－＇pro－＇（ $\phi \iota \lambda о к \cup \beta \epsilon \rho \nu \eta \tau \kappa \kappa \delta ́ s ~ ' p r o-~$ government＇；also suffix－$\phi і \lambda о \varsigma: ~ \tau о \cup \rho к о \phi \iota \lambda о \varsigma ~ ' p r o-T u r k i s h ') . ~ E s p e c i a l l y ~$ worth noting are some prefixes expressing opprobrium：$\beta \rho \omega \mu 0$－（ $\beta \rho \omega$－ $\mu \delta к а ц о я ~ ' f i l t h y ~ w e a t h e r '), ~ к \omega \lambda о-~(\kappa \omega \lambda о ́ т о т о s ~ ' b l o o d y ~ a w f u l ~ p l a c e '), ~$

'bloody awful weather'), and $\psi \epsilon v \tau o-$ ( $\psi \epsilon v \tau o \pi o \lambda u \tau \in ́ \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \varsigma ~ ' p h o n e y ~$ luxuries').

### 10.3.3 COMPOUNDING

Traditional demotic was rich in compound words, which were used especially in oral poetry. Greek poets of the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries were eager to exploit to the full both the wealth of existing compounds and the ease with which new compounds could be formed. Much compounding still takes place, but, as has been mentioned (10.2), recent compounds tend to be based more on the learned than on the demotic tradition (for literary compounds, see also 11.1.2.1).

An attempt will be made here to classify some of the chief ways in which compounding takes place in the present state of the language. These can be classed under three heads, according to whether (a) the base-words belong to the same part of speech, each playing the same grammatical role; (b) one of the base-words modifies the other; or (c) one of the base-words is a verb and the other is its subject or direct object.
(a) When two or more words of the same part of speech are compounded, they may be nouns, adjectives, adverbs, or verbs. If each base-word plays the same grammatical role in the compound, the compound belongs to the same part of speech as each of the base-words:
$\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{N} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}$ : à $\pi \sigma \gamma \epsilon \omega \pi \rho o \sigma \gamma \epsilon \iota \omega \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ 'take-offs and landings'; $\chi a \rho \tau о-$ фа́кє $\lambda \lambda a$ 'notepaper and envelopes';
A $+\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A : ~ d o \pi \rho \delta ́ \mu a v \rho o s ~ ' ( o f ~ f i l m ~ e t c . ) ~ b l a c k ~ a n d ~ w h i t e ' ; ~ o \pi \tau к к о - ~}$ akovatıкós ‘audio-visual';
$\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}$ : катоккоє $\delta \rho \epsilon \dot{v} \omega$ 'I live and work (at)'.
There are also a few indeclinable nouns derived from singular imperative forms: e.g. тó ă $\rho \pi a-\kappa \delta \lambda \lambda a$ 'hurried and botched job/work' (à $\rho \pi a ́ j \omega$ 'I snatch' + кол $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \omega$ 'I stick').
(b) When one of the base-words modifies the other, the possible relationships between them are various. In most cases, the second base-word is modified by the first. In ( $\mathbf{N}+\mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ ) compounds, in which $A$ represents the first base-word and $B$ the second, the compound means ' $B$ of $A$ ', ' $B$ made of $A$ ', ' $B$ as of $A$ ', ' $B$ for $A$ ', or ' $B$ like $A$ ':
vootротia 'mentality' (< voús 'mind' + т $\rho o \pi-$ 'turn': B of A );
$\pi \epsilon \tau \rho \epsilon \lambda a \omega \kappa \eta \lambda i \delta a$ 'oil-slick' (< $\pi \epsilon \tau \rho \epsilon \in \lambda a \omega \circ$ 'oil' $+\kappa \eta \lambda i \delta a$ 'stain': B made of A);
 'sandal': B as of A);
$\dot{\omega} \tau 0 a \sigma \pi i \delta \in \varsigma$ 'ear-plugs' (< AG $\dot{\omega} \tau$. 'ear' + MG à $\sigma \pi i \delta a$ 'shield': B for A);

There are many other types of combination under this head (where one of the base-words is a verb and the other a noun, the latter appears to stand in an adverbial relationship to the former):

A + N $\rightarrow$ A: $\chi a \mu \eta \lambda \dot{\prime} \mu \iota \sigma \vartheta o s ~ ‘ l o w-p a i d ’ ~(<\chi a \mu \eta \lambda o ́ s ~ \mu ı \sigma \vartheta o ́ s ~ ‘ l o w ~ w a g e s ') ; ~$
$\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}$ : à $\gamma \gamma \lambda o \phi e ́ \rho \nu \omega$ v.i. 'imitate the English' (<"A $\gamma \gamma \lambda o s$ 'English-
 $+\kappa \rho i \nu \omega$ 'I judge'; also derivative $\lambda о$ оокрıт $\eta$ s 'censor'); $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau о-$ $\gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\omega}$ v.t. 'I naturalize' (< $\pi 0 \lambda i \tau \eta s$ 'citizen' $+\gamma \rho a \phi$ - 'write');
 or F dactylographe);
$\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}: \delta a \kappa \pi v \lambda \delta \gamma \rho a \phi o s$ 'typewritten' (here the verb has a passive rather than an active sense);
 'clearly' + $\gamma \rho \dot{d} \phi \omega$ );
 $\gamma \rho a \phi-$ );
Adv. $+\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}: \pi 0 \lambda v \gamma \rho a ́ \phi o s$ '(of writer) prolific' (active meaning); бvбко入оката́ктๆтоя 'difficult to master' (< $\delta$ v́бкола 'with difficulty' + катакт $\bar{\omega}$ 'I conquer’: passive meaning).

There are also a very few compounds in which the second element modifies the first:
$\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{N} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}$ : $\tau \sigma \kappa \lambda$ о́фоибка 'bubble-gum' (< $\tau \sigma i к \lambda a$ 'chewing-gum' + фои́бка 'bubble') ( $\tau \sigma i \kappa \lambda a$ (also $\tau \sigma i x \lambda a$ by erroneous assimilation with $\tau \sigma$ ' $\chi \lambda a$ 'thrush') is the singular of an originally plural $\tau \sigma i k \lambda \epsilon \varsigma$ <'Chiclets' (brand name) or Sp. chicle).

Finally, there are some adjectival formations in which the first baseword (A) is an adjective, the second (B) a verb, and the sense of the compound is ' $A$ to be $B$ 'ed':
$\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}:$ è тощотара́סotos 'ready to be delivered/for delivery' (< Е̇тоцоя 'ready' $+\pi a \rho a \delta i \delta \omega ~ ‘ I ~ d e l i v e r ') . ~$
（c）In the last category are compounds formed from a verb and a noun，the latter being the subject or direct object of the former．When the noun is the verb＇s subject，it may precede or follow the verb：

$\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{N} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}$ ：$\rho a \pi \tau о \mu \eta \chi a \nu \eta$＇sewing－machine＇（ $<\mathrm{K} \rho a d \pi \tau \omega$＇I sew＇＋ $\mu \eta \chi a \nu \eta$＇machine＇）．

Similarly，when the noun is the direct object it may also come first or second：
$\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}$ ：àфıбоко入入 $\bar{\omega}$＇I stick posters（on walls）＇（＜áioa＇poster＇ $+\kappa о \lambda \lambda \dot{\omega}$＇I stick＇）；
 construct＇）；
$\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}: \pi \epsilon \tau \rho \epsilon \lambda a \omega \pi a \rho a \gamma \omega \gamma \delta$（ adj ．and noun）＇oil producing／－er＇ （ $\pi \epsilon \tau \rho \in \grave{\lambda} a \omega$＇oil＇$+\pi a \rho a ́ \gamma \omega$＇I produce＇）；
$\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{N} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}: \sigma \pi a \rho a \xi \kappa k a ́ \rho \delta i o s ~ ‘ h e a r t-r e n d i n g ’ ~(<~ \sigma \pi a \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \omega ~ ' I ~ t e a r ~$ apart＇＋кар $\delta$ d $^{\prime}$＇heart＇）．

In the examples given above，the derivation has sometimes been specified rather abitrarily，and it is possible to see some of these words as being derived in a different way（e．g．$\pi \epsilon \tau \rho \epsilon \lambda a \ldots \pi a \rho a \gamma \omega \gamma \delta \rho<\pi \epsilon$－ $\tau \rho \epsilon ́ \lambda a \omega+\pi a \rho a \gamma \omega \gamma o ́ \rho$＇producer＇）．Some words may be seen as derivatives of non－existent compounds：one example above is $\eta \lambda \omega \beta a \sigma \lambda \lambda \epsilon \mu a$ ，which may be seen as deriving either from $\ddot{\eta} \lambda \iota o s+\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon \mu a$＇setting＇or from a non－existent verb ${ }^{*} \eta \lambda \iota o \beta a \sigma \lambda \lambda \epsilon v ́ \omega$ ；similarly，$\pi a \lambda a \omega \eta \mu \in \rho o \lambda o \gamma i \tau \eta s$＇old－ calendrist＇may be said to come either from $\pi a \lambda a i d$ 市 $\mu \in \rho o \lambda \delta \gamma \omega$＇old calendar＇＋－iтךs or from a non－existent form＊$\pi a \lambda a \iota \neq \mu \in \rho o \lambda d \gamma ю$ ， while $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda o \ddot{\delta} \delta \in a ́ \tau \eta s$＇supporter of the Megali Idea［the nineteenth－ century irredentist ideology］＇may derive from Mefá $\lambda \eta$＇I $\delta \in ́ a$＇Great Idea＇or from＊Meүа入oïßéa．

## 10．3．4 LOOSE COMPOUNDS BY APPOSITION

The last few decades have seen a huge increase in the use of loose com－ pounds consisting of two words（almost always nouns），normally united by a hyphen，such as $\pi a i \delta i-\vartheta a u ̈ \mu a ~ ' c h i l d ~ p r o d i g y ' . ~ S e m a n t i c a l l y, ~ t h e s e ~$ expressions may mean either＇ A which is also B ＇（i．e．the two base－ words are grammatically equivalent），or＇$A$ which is like $B$＇．Some set phrases in which a noun is placed appositively after another noun， which it qualifies，existed in traditional demotic（e．g．$\pi a \kappa l$ l $\mu \lambda \lambda a \mu a$ ＇first－rate child／lad＇（lit．＇child－gold＇），or $\vartheta a ́ \lambda a \sigma \sigma a ~ \lambda a ́ \delta \iota ~ ' c o m p l e t e l y ~$
calm sea' (lit. 'sea oil')). Most of these are of the 'A which is like B' variety, and are usually written without a hyphen. In addition, there is the phrase кivסuvos $\vartheta a \dot{v} a \tau o s$ 'deadly danger' (lit. 'danger death', with both words in the nominative), which appears on signs at electrical installations etc., and is constructed in a different way.

More recent formations, which are found frequently, particularly in journalism but also in everyday speech, are often based on French: such formations are condemned by Triandaphyllidis (1941: 177-8) as being alien to the spirit of the Greek language. The earliest of these can easily be traced back to their French origins: e.g. $\lambda \epsilon \xi \eta-\kappa \lambda \epsilon \kappa \delta i$ 'key-word' (mot clé), д̀ $\pi a ́ t \eta-\mu a \mu \mu o v ่ \vartheta ~ ' m a m m o t h ~ f r a u d ' ~(i m p o s t u r e ~ m a m m o u t h) ; ~ ;$ and the second element of such compounds ( $\kappa \lambda \epsilon i \delta i, \mu a \mu \mu o v i \vartheta$, also $\epsilon ̈ \kappa \pi \lambda \eta \xi \eta$ 'surprise' and $\dot{a} \sigma \tau \rho a \pi \eta$ 'lightning') may be freely added to a wide range of nouns. Other compounds may however be coined ad hoc, using practically any pair of nouns.

Morphologically, when the first word is in an oblique case or in the plural, the second may or may not follow it in case and number. It is difficult to establish a criterion as to whether or not the second baseword is to inflect; it seems, however, that more formal usage prefers to have both words inflected (as occurs in French for number), but that the second element is less likely to indicate an oblique case than it is to appear in the plural.

Examples: ধ̌va $\gamma \kappa \dot{\prime} \lambda$ - $\dot{a} \sigma \tau \rho a \pi \eta$ 'a lightning goal' (cf. F compounds in
 'a team that has shot up to the top of the league' (lit. 'team-lift') ( $T$ 27 Sept. 1979, 35), $\dot{\eta}$ ëк $\rho \eta \xi \eta-\tau \dot{\nu} a \xi \eta \eta \quad \tau o \tilde{v} \mu \dot{\tau} \tau о \rho \sigma \iota \pi$ 'the explosion [and] blowing up of the motor-yacht' ( $T 11$ Oct. 1979, 15), $\mu a ́ \sigma v \nu \in ́ v \tau \epsilon v \xi \eta$ $\dot{\epsilon} \xi о \mu о \lambda \not \partial \gamma \eta \sigma \eta$ 'a confessional interview' (lit. 'an interview-confession'), o vimovofós-àva $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \varsigma$ ovvioviouoũ 'the deputy minister of coordination', oi $\pi a i k \tau \epsilon \varsigma-\kappa \lambda \epsilon \delta \dot{\text { a }}$ (pl.) 'the key players', oi $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \pi \not \beta a ́ \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ $\phi \rho o v \rho o i t o v(p l) ~ ' t h e ~ b o d y g u a r d s ~ w h o ~ d r o v e ~ w i t h ~ h i m ' ~.(l i t . ~ ' t h e ~ f e l l o w ~$ passengers-guards of-him'), $\tau \dot{\eta} s ~ \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o w i \delta o s-\vartheta a u ̄ \mu a$ (lit. 'of-the misswonder': the first noun is in the genitive, the second in the nom./acc.), $\dot{\eta}$ єikóva $\tau \dot{\eta} \varsigma \mu a ́ v a s-\sigma \kappa \lambda \dot{\beta} \beta a s$ (both gen.) 'the picture of the mother [as
 second noun is singular) ( $T 4$ Dec. 1980, cover), tis x $\rho o v o \lambda o \gamma i \epsilon \varsigma$ $\sigma \tau a \vartheta \mu 0 v{ }^{\prime}$ (both acc. pl.) 'the dates [which are/were] milestones'.

Syntactically, the second base-word may govern, or be governed by, another word or phrase. Such constructions are especially frowned upon by grammarians. Examples: $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \chi \omega \rho \bar{\omega} \nu-\mu \epsilon \lambda \bar{\omega} \nu$ (or $-\mu \bar{\epsilon} \lambda \eta$ ) $\tau \dot{\eta} s$

EOK 'of the member-countries of the EEC', ウ̀ $\mu a \dot{v a}-\pi \rho o a \gamma \omega \gamma o ́ s ~ \tau \dot{\omega} \nu$ $\tau \rho \iota \omega \bar{\nu} \dot{a} \nu \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa \omega \nu$ корı $\tau \sigma \iota \omega \nu$ 'the mother of the under-age girls, who was also their procuress' (lit. 'the mother-procuress of . . .') (T 29 Nov. 1979, 20), ठ є́ $\mu \pi о \rho о \varsigma-\epsilon ̇ к \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi о \varsigma ~ \tau \dot{\omega} \nu \pi a \rho a \gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\omega} \nu$ 'the merchant [who is also the] representative of the producers', $\mu \dot{\prime} \phi \cup \lambda a \kappa \dot{\eta}-\pi \rho \dot{\omega} \eta \nu$ $\sigma \chi o \lambda \epsilon i o ~ ' a ~ p r i s o n ~ w h i c h ~ w a s ~ f o r m e r l y ~ a ~ s c h o o l ' ~(~ R ~ 12 ~ J a n . ~ 1982) . ~ . ~$

In many instances, the second word could be replaced by an adjective (e.g. à $\sigma \tau \rho a \pi a i o ~ \tau a \xi i \delta l$ 'a lightning trip' for $\tau a \xi i \delta l-\dot{a} \sigma \tau \rho a \pi \dot{\eta}$, or $\sigma \kappa a \nu \delta a \lambda \omega \dot{\delta} \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ парабтá $\epsilon \iota \varsigma$ for $\pi a \rho a \sigma \tau a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma-\sigma \kappa a ́ \nu \delta a \lambda o \quad$ above). It seems to be especially in such instances that the second word is uninflected.

In the writing of some journalists, such loose compounds are often overused:




 ovंrरoopms rovaikas 'With an analysis-cum-docamentary which records during the time limit of one night the 'woman: symbol and guinea-pig' through the modern currents of 'art' and 'politics', the young Salonican director S.V. attempts through his new film-cum-psychological portrait [. . .] to convey the true face of modern woman' ( $N 8$ Sept. 1980) (my underlinings).
(Here the phrase $\gamma \nu v a i k a: ~ \sigma \dot{\jmath} \mu \beta o \lambda o-\pi \epsilon \varphi a \mu a \tau o ́ \zeta \omega o$ is perhaps an example of a triple compound!)

Lastly, there are other types of combination in which two words are joined by a hyphen but which are formed in a different way, in that the two nouns do not have the same referent. Examples include: $\delta \nu \delta \mu o s$ $\pi \rho о \sigma \phi о \rho a \dot{-} 5 \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \sigma \eta$ (nom.) 'the law of supply and demand', $\pi \dot{\prime} \rho a \cup \lambda o s$
 $\mu a \vartheta \eta \tau \bar{\eta}$ (gen.) 'the teacher-pupil relationship'.

### 10.3.5 ACRONYMS AND STUMP COMPOUNDS

The use of acronyms and stump compounds has become prevalent in Greece, particularly since the inter-war period, under the influence of the practice in various countries (especially the Soviet Union). An acronym consists of the initial letters of a phrase which are pronounced together as a word; stump compounds are similar, except that they
involve the use of initial syllables．Such words are extremely frequent in popular use，so much so that many have formed derivatives．The gender of the acronym is almost always that of the head－word of the full phrase．

Among the most common acronyms and stump compounds are the
 ‘E入入áסos ‘Telecommunications Organization of Greece’；ò EOT（eót）＝ ＇E७vıós＇Opravıouós Tovpıouov̀＇National Tourist Organization＇；
 Enterprise＇；$\grave{\eta}$ EPT $=$＇${ }^{2} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \kappa \eta$ Pa
 ＇Panhellenic Socialist Movement＇（also adjective пабокккós and noun Пабоктऽŋ̀s＇PASOK supporter＇）；тó ПРО－ПО（propó）$=$ Проүv $\omega \sigma \tau \kappa \delta ́$

 ＇Communist Youth［Movement］of Greece＇（also $\delta$ K $\nu i \tau \eta \eta^{\prime}$＇member
 Industry＇（suppliers of milk and ice cream；also $\dot{\delta}$＂ $\mathrm{E} \beta \gamma a{ }^{\circ}$＇one who runs a shop selling milk etc．＇）．Some acronyms actually consist of the initials of non－Greek words：e．g． tó NATO（náto）＝＇North Atlantic Treaty Organization＇；$\grave{\eta}$ 上IA（sía）＝‘Central Intelligence Agency’；$\dot{\eta}$ OПEK （opék）＝＇Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries＇．Some－ times an acronym is formed from the names of the initial letters（either the official or the popular names：see 1．2）：e．g．$\tau \boldsymbol{\delta}$ IX（jotaxi）＇private car＇（from the now obsolete classification of such vehicles as＇Iठıんтル $\bar{\eta} s$ X $\rho \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \omega \varsigma$＇of private use＇；also $\delta \boldsymbol{\gamma} \omega \tau \pi a \chi \eta$＇s＇private motorist＇）；$\tau \dot{\delta}$ KKE
 munist Party of Greece＇（also o Koukovés＇member of the KKE＇and $\dot{o}$ коvкоv $\epsilon \delta o \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \mu о \varsigma$＇the war against the KKE（i．e．the Civil War）＇）； $\grave{\eta}$ TV $(t i v i)=$＇television＇（ also diminutive $\grave{\eta} \tau o v \beta o u ́ \lambda a)$ ．

## 10．4 SEMANTIC CHARACTERISTICS

When one considers that the MG language has been widely and con－ sistently used and developed for the purposes of communication on subjects outside the rural domain only since the early nineteenth century，it is remarkable how successfully it can cope with the require－ ments of the modern world．If one leaves aside such special fields as computer science，MG has managed，using chiefly the diachronic resources of the Greek language and a remarkably small number of
foreign loanwords, to keep abreast with the advances in the various areas of science and culture. Nevertheless, the normal speech of educated Greeks tends not to contain either as many scientific terms (whether used in a technical or a figurative sense) or as many literary allusions as that of English-speakers of a similar educational level. This may be due to the lower popular awareness of literature and science in Greece.

### 10.4.1 SEMANTIC FIELDS

Many concepts which had words attached to them in traditional demotic have disappeared from today's SMG, and one frequently hears educated Greeks lamenting the paucity of colourful words and expressions in the speech of urban people in comparison with that of the traditional peasant. The chief cause of the disappearance of such colourful elements is most probably the traditional insistence in Greek schools that demotic words were to be avoided, and the consequent social stigma that attached to anyone who used words or forms which were not generally considered correct. Literary writers have often attempted to preserve such words and expressions in their work. Anyone who reads MG literature is struck by the number of non-standard words used: and some of these words have equivalents in English which are quite
 various forms in the dialects and in literature, which has now been excised from SMG, with the result that ákov́ $\omega$ has to cover both 'I hear' and 'I listen to'. Another example found in literature and clearly widespread in the dialects is к $\rho o u v \in \lambda$ cás $\omega$ 'I trickle' (again, the verb has various forms), which in SMG can be rendered only by $\tau \rho \epsilon \chi \omega \omega$ 'I run' or $\kappa v \lambda a ́ \omega$ 'I roll', both of which existed side by side with $\kappa \rho o v \nu \epsilon \lambda l a ́ \xi \omega$ in the dialects and in the traditional literary language. One result of this impoverishment is that many Greeks find it difficult to read their own literature.

There are naturally certain concepts which are difficult to translate into MG, just as there are MG concepts which cannot properly be rendered in other languages. There is, for example, no MG word for 'privacy', the nearest equivalent ( $\mu 0 \nu a \xi a \dot{a}$ 'loneliness') having a necessarily negative sense.

There are few basic colour terms in SMG. The 'prime' colours (linguistically speaking) are ко́ккıขos 'red', кiтрıvos 'yellow', and $\pi \rho a ́-$ owos 'green', all three characteristically derivatives of nouns for fruits or vegetables, namely AG ко́ккоя ' "kermesberry"' (actually an insect, but formerly believed to be a fruit), кíт o 'citrus', and $\pi \rho a ́ \sigma o ~ ' l e e k ' . ~$

There are two traditional words for 'blue' in use today, namely ràájos and rajavós (both derived from rá入a 'milk'), but these are reserved for light blue, the darker shades being denoted by $\mu \pi \lambda \epsilon(<F$ bleu), which can also be used for 'blue' in a general sense. It is indicative that there are verbal derivatives of the other chief colour adjectives (meaning 'I am/make/become' the relevant colour), but not of the words for 'blue': à $\sigma \pi \rho i \zeta \omega$ '. . . white', $\mu a v \rho i \zeta \omega$ '. . . black', коккшіі广 $\omega$ ‘. . . red', кıт $\omega i \zeta \omega / \kappa \iota \tau \rho \omega l a ́ \xi \omega ~ ‘ . ~ . ~ . ~ y e l l o w ', ~ a n d ~ \pi \rho a \sigma \omega i \zeta \omega ~ ‘ . ~ . ~ . ~ g r e e n ', ~$ but not ${ }^{*} \gamma a \lambda a \nu i \zeta \omega$ or * $\gamma a \lambda a \nu t a ́ j \omega$ '. . . blue' (the verb $\mu \pi \lambda a \beta i \zeta \omega$ $\mu \pi \lambda a ́ ß o s ~ ‘ b l u e ' ~<~ V e n . ~ b l a v o, ~ h a s ~ a ~ m u c h ~ m o r e ~ r e s t r i c t e d ~ m e a n i n g) . ~$.

As has been mentioned, French words have been taken over into Greek to cover the various nuances of colour, sometimes superseding native Greek words (especially denominal adjectives in $\cdot \boldsymbol{\eta} s$, of which there were a large number: ovax

 'prime' colours (with the addition of 'black' and 'white') has to cover a wide range of shades: ко́ккшоя, for instance, is applied to meat that has been well browned by roasting or grilling; 'brown bread' is $\mu a \tilde{v} \rho o$ $\psi \omega \mu i$, and the verb used to mean 'I go brown (in the sun)' is $\mu a v \rho i \zeta \omega$.

By contrast, there is a relatively large number of words for family relationships, the most interesting of which concern relationships by marriage. The use of some of these words differs according to whether one is looking into or outside one's own family. Thus when a man marries, he becomes the raunjós (also 'bridegroom') of his wife's brothers and sisters and of her parents; similarly, a woman is the $\nu v \prime \phi \eta$ (also 'bride') of her husband's siblings and parents. In these cases the members of the wife's family (in the first case) or the husband's family (in the second) are looking outwards to someone who has married into their family. On the other hand, the $\gamma a \mu \pi \rho o \delta s$ or $v v \dot{\prime} \eta$, looking inwards into his/her spouse's family, calls his/her spouse's sister кouvdó $\delta a$ and his/her spouse's brother kouviá $\delta o s$. There is a separate pair of words for father-/mother-in-law ( $\pi \epsilon \vartheta \epsilon \rho \delta \varsigma / \pi \epsilon \vartheta \epsilon \rho a ́$ ), even though the same word is used for son-in-law as for brother-in-law (looking outwards), and for daughter-in-law as for sister-in-law (again looking outwards). Additionally, the husbands of two sisters are called $\mu \pi a \tau \zeta a-$ $\nu \dot{\alpha} \kappa \eta \delta \epsilon \varsigma$ in relation to each other; the wives of two brothers are $\sigma v \nu$ $\nu v \phi \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \varsigma$; and the fathers of a married couple are $\sigma v \mu \pi \epsilon \vartheta \epsilon \in \circ$. This complex vocabulary reflects the importance attached to the relative family roles by Greek society.

Partly no doubt because of the inaccuracy and inadequate coverage of lexicography in Greece，and because of the low level of linguistic education，borderlines between concepts in MG often appear relatively blurred to the foreign observer．There is a tendency for Greeks not to feel a necessity to be specific or even accurate when naming concepts． Many non－Greeks have been amused or frustrated when they have asked a Greek townsman or peasant the name of a particular kind of flower， only to be told that it is called $\lambda o u \lambda o u ́ \delta \iota$＇flower＇or perhaps $\lambda o u \lambda o v \delta a ́ k \iota$ ＇little flower＇；and the owner of a small restaurant，when asked what food is available，will often include коéas＇meat＇in the list of dishes， and will have to be questioned further to specify which animal has provided this meat．There are some widespread confusions about the meanings of certain words：a prime example is $\tau 0$ 多o＇bow＇，which is widely used to refer also to an arrow（properly $\beta \in \neq \lambda o c$ ）on road signs （the confusion is even enshrined in the Greek highway code，and I have heard the＇wrong＇word used in conversation by one of the leading
 often said for $\lambda a ́ \mu \pi a \quad \phi \vartheta o \rho ı \sigma \mu o v ̄ ~ ' f l u o r e s c e n t ~ l i g h t ' ~(e . g . ~ D o u k . ~ 1979: ~$ $23)$ ，and $\phi i \lambda \nu \tau \iota \sigma \iota$＇ivory＇is popularly used also for $\sigma \epsilon v \tau \epsilon ́ \phi l$＇mother－ of－pearl＇．

## 10．4．2 POLYSEMY AND SYNONYMY

There is a high degree of polysemy in SMG．Some instances existed in traditional demotic（e．g．$\pi \delta \delta \delta \iota$＇foot；leg＇，रépı＇hand；arm＇）；some are due to a traditional demotic word having dropped out of the standard language without being replaced，its meaning being taken over by an already existing word（e．g．àkov̀ $\omega$ ：see 10．4．1）；and others are due to new meanings，imported from Western Europe，being added to exist－ ing words．

Some examples are the following：ava入oria＇proportion；analogy＇； à $\sigma \tau \kappa \dot{\delta} \varsigma$＇urban；bourgeois；civil（code）＇；ăтоно＇individual；atom＇； $\delta \eta \mu о \tau<\kappa o ́ s ~ ' p r i m a r y ~(s c h o o l, ~ e d u c a t i o n) ; ~ d e m o t i c ~(l a n g u a g e) ; ~ f o l k ~(s o n g) ; ~ ;$ of a town council＇；ка入á $\mu$＇reed；stem（esp．of cereals）；fishing rod； shin－bone＇；$\lambda$ d́ $\sigma \tau \iota \chi o$＇rubber；elastic；tyre；elastic band；（child＇s）cata－ pult＇；$\mu \epsilon ́ \tau \rho o ~ ' m e a s u r e ; ~ m e t r e ' ; ~ \pi o \lambda i \tau \eta s ~ ‘ c i t i z e n ; ~ c i v i l i a n ' ; ~ \sigma \delta \lambda \lambda \eta \psi \eta ~ ‘ c o n-~$ ception（biological or abstract）；arrest，capture＇；$\sigma \nu \mu \phi \omega \nu i a ~ ' a g r e e m e n t ; ~$ symphony＇；$\sigma \dot{v \tau a \xi \eta ~ ‘ c o m p i l a t i o n ; ~ p e n s i o n ; ~ s y n t a x ' ; ~} v \pi \epsilon \rho \beta o \lambda \eta$＇exaggera－ tion；hyperbole；hyperbola＇；$\cup \pi \delta \vartheta \epsilon \sigma \eta$＇supposition；hypothesis；affair； business；plot（of novel etc．）＇．

Some generalizations may be made about certain of the above
examples. In some instances (e.g. ov $\mu \phi \omega v i a)$ there are two meanings, for each of which English or French has a separate word, one from Latin, the other from Greek (see further, 10.4.3). In others (e.g. $\lambda \dot{a}-$ $\sigma \pi(\chi o)$ the word for a substance is also used for various objects made of that substance (this is perhaps another manifestation of the unspecific character of the MG vocabulary); the meanings of $\lambda a ́ \sigma \tau \iota \chi o$ may be supplemented if one bears in mind that the plural $\lambda$ á $\sigma \tau \chi a$ can mean 'galoshes', and the diminutive $\lambda a \sigma \tau \iota \chi a ́ k \iota ~ m e a n s ~ ' w a s h e r ~(e s p . ~ i n ~ p l u m b-~$ ing)'; similarly, the diminutive калана́кє is 'drinking-straw' and 'piece of split cane on which souvlaki is grilled'; also, $\xi v\rangle \lambda o$ is 'wood; piece of wood; stick; beating', and the diminutive छ७৩入apákı is 'stick (for lollipop)'. There is also a number of adjectives that have become substantivized, the substantives then giving rise to adjectives that have the same form as the original adjectives: e.g. фv́on 'nature' $\rightarrow \phi$ vouós 'natural' $\rightarrow \dot{\eta} \phi \cup \sigma \kappa \eta$ [ $\tau \epsilon \in \chi \nu \eta$ ] 'physics' $\rightarrow$ фvaúós 'physical (of physics)' and even $\delta$ фvowós 'physicist'. Other examples of such 'semantic derivatives' of substantivized adjectives are given by Lypourlis (1977): e.g. à $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o ́ s ~ ' l e f t ; ~ l e f t-w i n g ' ; ~ \delta c a \mu a \rho \tau v \rho \delta \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ ' p r o t e s t i n g ; ~ P r o t e s t a n t ' ; ~ ;$ $\kappa а \vartheta о \lambda \kappa о ́ s ~ ' u n i v e r s a l ; ~ C a t h o l i c ' ; ~ є ̇ \sigma \omega \tau \epsilon \rho к к о ́ s ~ ' i n n e r, ~ i n t e r i o r ; ~ d o m e s t i c ' ; ~$


Such polysemy can sometimes cause real confusion in the reader's mind, as when, for instance, a literary critic is discussing the a a $\sigma$ тќ $\mu v \vartheta \iota \sigma \tau \delta \rho \eta \mu a$, by which it is not clear whether (s)he means the 'urban' or the 'bourgeois' novel.

On the other hand, endings may serve to differentiate various shades of meaning: e.g. $\tau \delta \delta \epsilon \bar{\beta}$ óvc 'sewing or knitting needle' and $\tau \delta \delta \beta \epsilon \lambda o v a ́ k \iota$ 'crochet needle', as well as the augmentative $\grave{\eta} \beta \epsilon \lambda o v a ́ \rho a$ 'huge needle'; and there are also compounds denoting needles used for knitting various articles ( $\kappa a \lambda \tau \sigma o \beta \epsilon \lambda \delta \nu a$ for socks, $\phi a \nu \epsilon \lambda o \beta \epsilon \lambda \delta \nu a$ for vests, and so on).

As has been said (10.1), there is a large amount of synonymy in MG. In some pairs of synonyms there is no difference in meaning or use, one of the words being substitutable for the other in all contexts and all
 member may be replaced by the other in certain linguistic contexts: thus, while in the literal meaning of кобтi乡єı and $\sigma \tau o \iota x i \zeta \epsilon \iota$ 'it costs' the latter belongs more to K than does the former, the verbs are freely interchangeable in the figurative expression $\mu о \overline{0} \kappa о ́ \sigma \tau \iota \sigma \epsilon$ or $\mu о \bar{v} \sigma \tau о i ́ \chi \iota \sigma \epsilon$ 'it was a great blow to me.' In a large number of cases, however, the difference is a matter of register, one of the pair originating from $D$ and
the other from K : in most such cases the D word is the more frequently used in informal speech and literature, while the K equivalent (which may also be used in more formal speech) is the one generally found in more official writing. Examples of these (the first word is of D , the second of K origin) are: äбт $\rho o s$ and $\lambda \epsilon v \kappa \delta \rho$ 'white', $\lambda \epsilon \phi \tau a ́$ and $\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau a$ 'money', $\rho \delta \delta \delta a$ and $\tau \rho o \chi o ́ s$ 'wheel', $\sigma$ 'youpos and $\beta \in \in \beta a \omega s$ 'sure, certain', бофє́ $\rho$ and $\delta \delta \eta \gamma o o s$ 'driver', $\tau a \beta a ́ \nu \iota$ and $\delta \rho o \phi \eta$ ' 'ceiling', $\tau \rho \iota a v t a ́ \phi u \lambda \lambda o$ and $\rho \dot{\delta} \delta o$ 'rose' (the latter is also poetic), and $\phi \tau \alpha \dot{\nu} \in \iota$ and d $\rho \kappa \epsilon і$ 'it's enough/ sufficient'. In some cases the synonymous words are equally demotic, one being neutral (and therefore usable in most registers) and the other reserved for colloquial speech; examples (with the neutral member first) include $\chi \tau v \pi a ́ \omega$ and $\beta a \rho a ́ \omega$ 'I hit, strike, beat', крaтá $\omega$ and $\beta a \sigma \tau a ́ \omega$ 'I hold; I keep', and $\delta \rho a \chi \mu$ ' and $\phi \rho a ́ \gamma \kappa o ~ ' d r a c h m a ' . ~ W i t h ~ r e g a r d ~ t o ~ t h e ~$ last pair, however, the derivative $\delta i \phi \rho a \gamma \kappa o$ is the neutral word for 'twodrachma piece', while $\delta i \delta \rho a \chi \mu o$ is reserved for more official usage. Lastly, the use of some synonyms seems to be a matter of individual style, in other words, personal preference: e.g. $\tau \delta \dot{\delta} \pi \tilde{\eta} \rho a \chi a \mu \pi a ́ \rho \iota$ and $\tau \dot{\delta} \pi \tilde{\eta} \rho a$ є̌$\delta \eta \eta \sigma \eta$ 'I got wind of it', or äк $\rho \eta$ and $\mu \pi a ́ v \tau a$ 'side, edge', together with the idiom $\beta a ́ \zeta \omega ~ \lambda \epsilon \phi \tau a ́ ~ \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ äк $\rho \eta / \mu \pi a ́ v \tau a$ 'I put money aside' (nevertheless, $\mu \pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau a$ has a more restricted meaning than ăk $\eta \eta$ since, unlike the former, the latter can also mean 'end').

### 10.4.3 PROBLEMS OF THE MG VOCABULARY

One problem of the MG vocabulary has already been alluded to: this is caused by the perfectly laudable convention that, as far as possible, neologisms are coined from exclusively Greek roots. This means, as we have seen, that sometimes a single Greek word has to cover at least two quite different meanings, for which English or French possesses two separate words, one from Latin and the other from Greek.

It has been suggested by at least one historian that the lack of distinction in MG between 'democracy' and 'republic' (both $\delta \eta \mu о к \rho a \tau i a)$ has contributed to the political turmoils of Greece. In fact, the two concepts may be distinguished by the addition of the adjective áßaoti$\lambda \epsilon v \tau \eta$ ( $<\beta a \sigma \lambda \lambda \epsilon v \in \omega$ 'I reign') for 'republic', but the fact is that this distinction is not normally made; and, in reality, it is quite possible to have an undemocratic republic! The clumsiness of the full phrase for 'republic' is evident when one wants to talk about 'republicans': one author talks of oi $\Delta \eta \mu о к \rho а т к к о i ~-~ \tau \dot{\eta} s ~ ' A ß a \sigma \lambda \epsilon е \tau \eta s$ (lit. 'the Demo-crats-of the unreigned [Democracy]') (Chr. 1976: 62). When referring

from oi $\Delta \eta \mu о к \rho a \tau к о i$, but the former word is not used with reference to other countries: for instance, the Irish Republican Army is 'I $\rho \lambda a \nu$ סккós $\Delta \eta \mu о к \rho a \tau \kappa \delta o ́ s ~ \Sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ́ s$ (which suggests 'Democratic' and is not normally associated in the Greek speaker's mind with the Irish Republic); and the German Democratic Republic is $\eta$ Иаiк $\eta \Delta \eta \mu о к \rho a \tau i a ~ \tau \bar{\eta} s$
 correct).

There are problems in distinguishing between 'political' and 'civil rights' (both полıтка́ бккає $\omega \mu a \tau a)$. But there are also rather amusing confusions inherent in the double meanings of á $\tau о \mu c \kappa o ́ s ~ ' i n d i v i d u a l ; ~$
 mean 'individual action' or 'atomic energy'; and a 'personal nuclear shelter' is à $\tau о \mu к о \dot{o}(!) \pi v \rho \eta \nu к о ́ ~ к а \tau а ф \cup ́ \gamma ю . ~$

Especially problematic are various scientific and technical terms which Western European languages have coined on the basis of Greek roots. When the Greeks want to talk about such concepts, they often find that the word concerned already means something quite different in their own language. Thus $\kappa \approx \beta \epsilon \rho \nu \eta \tau \kappa \kappa \delta s$ 'governmental' has to take on the meaning 'cybernetic', $\pi a \rho a \delta \epsilon \iota \gamma \mu a \tau \kappa \delta \delta$ 'exemplary' has to do for 'paradigmatic', and ovvтaүцaткós 'constitutional' for 'syntagmatic' (both these new meanings concern linguistics), and, in philosophy and science, it is difficult, if not impossible, to translate 'stochastic' (cf.
 (adj.) official'), and many other terms.

Sometimes foreign technical terms of Greek origin have been successfully translated using other roots: e.g. $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \omega \lambda o \gamma i a$ 'epistemology' (< $\gamma \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \eta$ 'knowledge'; cf. ̇̀ $\pi \omega \tau \tau \eta \mu \eta$ 'science'), and $\sigma \eta \mu a \sigma \omega \lambda o \gamma i a$ 'semantics' (< oŋ $\mu a \sigma i a ~ ' m e a n i n g ' ; ~ c f . ~ G ~ S e m a s i o l o g i e, ~ b u t ~ \sigma \eta \mu a \nu \tau \kappa o ́ s ~$ 'significant'). But often writers, especially those translating hurriedly, use the 'wrong' Greek word (i.e. èmıoтпuо入oria, properly 'philosophy of science', for $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \omega \lambda о \gamma i a$, or $\sigma \eta \mu a \nu \tau \kappa \kappa o ́ s ~ f o r ~ \sigma \eta \mu a \sigma ю \lambda о \gamma ю к o ́ s), ~ t h u s ~$ causing further confusion. Hence writers often feel the need to gloss a technical term (or the technical use of a term) by placing its French, English, or German equivalent in brackets after it.

## 11

## STYLE AND IDIOM

### 11.1 GENERAL STYLISTIC CHARACTERISTICS

The culture of modern Greece is still to a larger extent an oral one than are those of northern European countries. Greeks spend little time on their own: they are usually either working together, eating together, walking together, or at least talking together with their friends or extended families. They spend a considerable time discussing and arguing about various topics, and in telling stories (usually humorous). Greeks talk a lot, and effusiveness is a highly valued and sometimes obligatory component of behaviour between people. Few spend much time in the solitary activity of reading, and, even on crowded commuter trains or buses, they are usually ready to express their opinions to complete strangers. The advent of the telephone, radio, and television in this century has only served to consolidate the oral basis of the culture.

With Greeks, among others, in mind, Tannen (1980a) has attempted to define the linguistic difference which separates oral from literate cultures: 'What has been called "oral tradition" is language use which emphasizes shared knowledge or the relationship between communicator and audience; what has been called "literate" emphasizes decontextualized content or downplays communicator/audience interaction' (326). In oral cultures, when telling stories, people tend to point up the story by non-linguistic means (gestures, facial expression, etc.); in more literate societies they tend to underline their points more explicitly, that is, verbally: all the information they are communicating is part of a text, not a combination of text and context (ibid. 336).

Elsewhere (1980b: 84) Tannen writes that while educated members of American and some western European societies have conventionalized literate rhetorical strategies for oral use in many public situations, this is not true to such an extent of Greeks; that is, for oral purposes Greeks tend to use a 'restricted code' rather than an 'elaborated code' (Bernstein 1970), and their conversational style is likely to be very
different from their written. Indeed, people are often laughed at in Greece for using in their speech linguistic elements which are felt to belong more to written styles.

Until 1974, most of the non-literary reading that was done (newspapers, text-books, instruction manuals, etc.) was of texts written in katharevousa: thus a SMG prose style suitable for abstract but precise writing has been slow to evolve; but it is significant that it is literary writers who have been chiefly responsible for its development, whether in literary essays (such as those of Seferis) or in $\epsilon \pi\llcorner\phi \nu \lambda \lambda i \delta \epsilon \varsigma$ (feuilletons) in the daily press. On the other hand, the reading of poetry has been a favourite and highly valued activity among a significantly large group of educated Greeks, and books of poetry often appear near the top of the lists of best-sellers. The concrete expression of the MG language and the vagueness of its semantic boundaries (see 10.4.1) have contributed to make it an eminently suitable language for poetry. Another factor contributing to the success of poetry in Greece is perhaps the relative indirectness (the use of hints instead of direct expression) which has been observed in Greek conversational style (e.g. by Tannen, 1981).

Anyone who has attempted to translate from a language such as English or French into MG will have been struck by the difficulties of conveying abstract yet precise concepts in that language. (The absence of an infinitive, which means that every verb must have at least an implicit subject, has already been remarked on: 9.4.2.)

Mirambel (1959), with many decades of work on MG language and literature behind him, makes some perceptive generalizations about the 'spirit' of the MG language. He talks, for instance, of the 'concrete' nature of MG, which prefers 'the image to the concept' (409). As examples of this, he points to expressive compound adjectives, which are 'motivated' (in the Saussurean sense: i.e. their meaning is clear to see): $\lambda \iota \gamma о \mu i \lambda \eta \tau o s ~ ' t a c i t u r n ’ ~(l i t . ~ ‘ l i t t l e-s p e a k i n g '), ~ \pi o \lambda v a ́ \rho っ \vartheta \mu о s ~$
 [lit. 'many-paged'] book' (404). One could add compound nouns such as $\mu a \chi a \varphi \rho o \pi{ }^{\prime} \rho o v v a$ 'cutlery' (lit. 'knives-forks', but including spoons too).

Conscious of the problems involved in writing about abstract matters in MG, Mirambel says:

Le traducteur d'une langue occidentale en grec moderne, aussi bien que le technicien hellène lui-même, se trouvent en présence du problème d'expression 'précise' (c'est-à-dire non équivoque et aisément identifiable), 'immédiate' (c'est-à-dire adaptée aux besoins sans cesse accrus
de la pensée scientifique, du développement des techniques, et qui ne souffrent pas du retard), 'impersonnelle' (c'est-à-dire communicable et compréhensible en toute occasion, et fixée autant qu'il est possible). (410)
There is, however, a positive corollary of this: 'On peut, semble-t-il, parler ici du caractère particulièrement humain de cette langue, en ce sens que la personnalité de l'individu apparaît sans cesse dans l'usage qu'il en fait, ce qui suppose une part de variabilité dans l'expression' (ibid.). The $\pi 0 \lambda v \pi v \pi i a$ of MG, which has already been alluded to (4.8), provides ample opportunity for stylistic choice, even though the very existence of alternative forms often leads to individual styles being based simply on phonological and morphological variants rather than on more subtle choices, such as synonyms, length of phrases and sentences, etc.

Mirambel contrasts AG and MG style in the following terms:
Alors que l'effort de la pensée grecque antique avait consisté à faire entrer la réalité dans les schèmes construits par l'esprit de façon à ramener le réel à des principes intellectuelles, le grec démotique d'aujourd'hui exprime de préférence la réalité telle qu'elle apparaît à l'observateur 'vivant' plutôt que 'pensant'. (428)

Mirambel cites the use of parataxis (which avoids the rationalization of cause and effect) as evidence of this tendency in MG. He concludes that: 'Le style néo-grec est caractérisé par l'intensité dans l'expression plutôt que par la représentation objective' (432).

The fact that MG has few periphrastic forms of the verb, and has no separate word for 'of' makes it sometimes more concise than English or French: e.g. $\tau \rho \omega \bar{\varsigma} ;$-_'Are you eating?'-‘[Est-ce que] tu manges?' When the language is handled by a competent poet, the conciseness it can achieve is remarkable, as witness the following extract from a poem by Seferis (1969: 302-3) and its (inevitably longer) English translation:
T' $\dot{\lambda} \lambda \phi a \beta \eta \tau \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \tau \dot{\omega} \nu$ ä $\sigma \tau \rho \omega \nu \pi о \dot{v}^{\sigma} \sigma \nu \lambda a \beta i \zeta \epsilon \iota \varsigma$
Few are the moonlit nights that I've cared for:
the alphabet of the stars-which you spell out
as much as your fatigue at the day's end allows
and from which you gather other meanings and
other hopes-
you can then read more clearly.

Here the Greek is more compact in words than the English（ 35 to 45）， although in syllables the proportions are nearly reversed（66 to 54）． Typically，words have to be inserted in English to make semantic and syntactical connections clearer（＇are＇and＇have＇in line 1 ，＇from which＇ line 4 ，and＇then＇in line 5）；and it is still not as obvious as it is in the Greek that＇the alphabet＇is the direct object of＇read＇．

## 11．1．1 SPOKEN STYLES

A striking feature of MG oral style is the use of＇obligatory situational formulas＇（Tannen and Öztek 1981）．There is a large number of such formulas（though considerably fewer than in Turkish），which have a ritualistic nature and are uttered automatically，though not without genuine feeling．These formulas，which generally express wishes，are used in a variety of particular circumstances．Thus，apart from ка入á X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o v \gamma \epsilon \nu v a$＇happy Christmas＇，кa入ó Пáoхa＇happy Easter＇，and
 ＇many years＇，said on namedays and other festivals），ка入ó $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu a$ and ка入ท＇ $\beta \delta o \mu a ́ \delta a$（said at the beginning of the month and week respectively）； beside кад$\eta \sigma \pi \epsilon \in \rho a$＇good evening＇（when meeting），there is also ка入ó $\beta \rho d \delta \iota \iota$＇have a good evening＇（when parting），and beside ка入$\eta_{0}{ }^{\prime} \chi \tau a$ ＇goodnight＇，there is also ка入д́ $\xi \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \mu a$（lit．＇good dawning＇：said when parting in the early hours of the morning）；as well as ка入ो $\partial \rho \rho \epsilon \eta \eta$ ＇bon appétit＇（said to someone eating or about to eat），there are rєá ová đépia oov！（lit．＇health to your hands！＇，said to the person who has prepared the meal）and $\kappa a \lambda \eta$＇$\chi \omega \dot{\omega} \epsilon \psi \eta$（lit．＇good digestion＇，said to someone who has just had a meal）；beside $\kappa a \lambda \eta$＇$\epsilon \pi \tau \tau v \chi i a ~ ' g o o d ~ l u c k ' ~$ （e．g．in examinations），there is ка入á à $\pi о \tau \epsilon \lambda$ é $\sigma \mu a \tau a$（lit．＇good results＇， said after the examinations have taken place but before the results are known）；and there are various other expressions，such as ка入 $\dot{\omega} \varsigma \eta \rho \vartheta \vartheta a \tau \epsilon$ ＇welcome＇，to which the reply is кал $\tilde{\omega} \varsigma ~ \sigma a ̈ s ~ \beta \rho \eta \dot{\kappa} а \mu \epsilon ~(l i t . ~ ' w e l l ~ w e ~ h a v e ~$ found you＇），ка入орi乡ко（lit．＇well－rooted＇，said to one who is moving house），$\mu \epsilon \in \epsilon a^{\prime}$（＇with health＇，to one who is wearing a new item of clothing），and ка入ウ่ $\lambda \in v \tau \epsilon \rho \dot{a}$（lit．＇good liberty＇，to an expectant mother）．

One particular characteristic of MG spoken style is the very frequent use of interrogative sentences as rhetorical questions（（1）－（3）），often as responses to other questions（（4）－（5））：
（1）$\tau i$ i $\lambda \in s^{\prime}$ ；you don＇t say＇（in its non－sarcastic meaning）（lit．＇what are you saying？＇）；
（2）$\tau i$ vá кávovuє；（usually untranslatable：sometimes＇what can we do？＇（lit．＇what should we do？＇）；
 $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau a$ ；＇I＇d like to read a whole load of books，but there＇s no time［lit．＇where time＇］for such things＇；
 want to come？＂＂Of course I do［lit．＇how do I not want？＇］＂＇；
（5）－Ti é é $\epsilon \tau \epsilon ~ \nu a ́ ~ ф a ̀ \mu \epsilon ; ~-~ K a i ~ \tau i ~ \delta e ́ v ~ e ́ \chi o v \mu \epsilon ; ~ " ~ " W h a t ~ h a v e ~ y o u ~$ got for us to eat？＂＂Anything you like［lit．＇And what haven＇t we got？＇］＂，

Sarcastic exclamation is also frequent：e．g．$\mu \mathrm{a} \varsigma ~ \phi \omega ́ \tau \omega \epsilon \varsigma!$（lit．＇you enlightened us＇）＇you don＇t say＇（sarcastic：also máyos cioal；（lit．＇are you［a］magician？＇）），or ка入á $\tau a ́ ~ к a \tau a ́ \phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \varsigma!~ ‘ y o u ' v e ~ r e a l l y ~ m e s s e d ~$ things up！＇（also，more literally，＇you＇ve managed things well＇）．

Another characteristic of MG style（written as well as oral），which is a consequence of the syntactical make－up of the language，is the narrower range of possibilities of ellipsis than there are，for instance，in English．Thus，a verb has to be repeated in MG when in English an auxiliary or the pro－form do can be used：
（6）$\vartheta a ́ d ~ \phi a ́ \omega ~ \psi a ́ \rho ı a ~ a ̆ \nu ~ \vartheta a ́ ~ \phi a ̀ s ~ \kappa \iota ~ e ́ \sigma v ่ ~ ' I ' l l ~ e a t ~ f i s h ~ i f ~ y o u ~ w i l l ~(t o o) ' ; ~ ;$

Perhaps connected with this（as also with the display of enthusiasm and effusiveness in expression which is valued in the culture）is the tendency to echo words or phrases from a yes／no question in an answer，with or without using $\nu$ vai＇yes＇or óxı＇no＇：
（8）— €á $\pi a ̃ s ; ~ — ~ \Theta a ́ ~ \pi a ́ \omega . ~ " ~ " W i l l ~ y o u ~ g o ? " ~ "[Y e s], ~(I ~ w i l l ~ g o) " ~ ' ; ~ ;$
 I didn＇t（win）．＂

On the other hand，verbless sentences are far more common in Greek than in English，and are perfectly acceptable in written styles．Indeed， some idioms depend on this facility：e．g．$\tau$ á $\mu a ́ \tau 兀 a ~ \sigma o v ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \rho a ~ ' k e e p ~$ your eyes skinned＇（lit．＇your eyes four＇），or $\delta$ Пaüえos $\tau \delta$ ß́ $\beta \omega \lambda i$ tov ＇Paul kept harping on the same tune＇（lit．＇Paul his violin＇）．

On the whole，Greeks tend to be eloquent，but many of them need to use＇fillers＇which have little or no semantic content，such as $\nu \dot{d}$ $\pi o \tilde{u} \mu \epsilon$（normally unstressed）＇let＇s say＇，кãá入aßєऽ；＇do you under－

when the speaker is trying to think especially of an abstract noun or an adjective), but also $\ddot{\epsilon}, \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \mu, \ddot{a}, \tau \sigma, \mu$, which are used generally while the speaker is hesitating. Such fillers are of course normally banished from written styles. Apart from the filler $\ddot{\epsilon}$, which is unstressed in speech, there are two kinds of stressed $\epsilon:$ (a), with falling intonation and preceded by a glottal stop, implying optimistic resignation; and (b), with rising intonation, meaning either 'What did you say?' or 'What do you say to that?' (Waring 1976: 318-19). Other words which also belong exclusively to spoken styles are à $\mu$ é (optionally preceded by $\nu a i$ ) 'yes' and $\mu \pi \dot{a}$ 'no; I don't think so' (and with a wide range of other meanings according to intonation).

Perhaps connected with the indirectness of MG oral styles (mentioned in 11.1) is the highly idiomatic nature of colloquial Greek speech, in contrast to the sometimes monotonous literalness of much non-literary writing. Andriotis (1976: 515) provides some striking examples of idiomatic phrases which express the speaker's attitude to what is being talked about and which would be excluded from formal written styles. Some of these examples (slightly adapted) are: карфi
 expressing disapproval: cf. the less expressive $\delta \dot{v} \nu$ èv $\delta a a \phi e ́ \rho \epsilon \tau a l$ 'he's not interested'), $\pi 0 o o ́ s ~ \tau \dot{\eta} ~ \chi a ́ \rho \eta ~ o o v!~ ' y o u ~ l u c k y ~ t h i n g!' ~(l i t . ~ ' w h o ~ y o u r ~$ grace', expressing envy: cf. єíaal $\tau v \chi \epsilon \rho o ́ s ~ ' y o u ' r e ~ l u c k y '), ~ e ̀ \chi \epsilon \iota ~ \gamma o v ̃ \sigma \tau o ~$ $\nu$ d ' $\rho \vartheta \epsilon!$ ! 'it would be just [my etc.] luck if (s)he came' (lit. 'it-has taste to (s)he-come', expressing apprehension: cf. Ė $\lambda \pi i \zeta \omega \omega$ dá $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ є́ $\rho \vartheta \epsilon \iota$ 'I hope (s)he doesn't come'), $\mu \epsilon ́ ~ \gamma \epsilon \in a ́ ~ \sigma o v, ~ \mu \epsilon ́ ~ \chi a \rho a ́ ~ \sigma o v ~ ‘ d o ~ w h a t ~$ you like for all I care' (lit. 'with your health, with your joy', expressing indifference: cf. $\partial \pi \omega \varsigma \vartheta \nexists \lambda \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ 'as you like').

There are also more or less derogatory alternatives for certain words, where the neutral terms are more likely to be found in written styles: e.g. $\tau \sigma i \tau \sigma \delta o s$ 'starkers' for $\gamma v \mu \nu o \delta s$ 'naked', kupá 'missus' for kvpia 'lady; Mrs; Madam', $\mu \pi a ́ \sigma \tau a \rho \delta o s ~ ' b a s t a r d ’ ~ f o r ~ \nu o ́ v o s ~ ' i l l e g i t i m a t e ', ~$ $\mu о$ йт $\rho$ or $\mu о \cup ́ \rho \eta ~ ' m u g ' ~ f o r ~ \pi \rho \delta \sigma \omega \pi о ~ ' f a c e ', ~ \mu a ́ \pi a ~ ' b o n c e ' ~ f o r ~ к є ф a ́ \lambda \iota ~$ 'head', à $\rho i \delta \epsilon \varsigma, \xi \in \rho a ́$, or $\xi \in \rho a ́ \delta i a$ for $\pi \delta \delta \dot{a}$ 'feet; legs' (the last two may also stand for $\chi$ е́ $\rho \sim a ~ ' h a n d s ; ~ a r m s '), ~ \gamma к о v \beta \epsilon ́ \rho \nu o ~ f o r ~ к v \beta e ́ \rho \nu \eta o \eta ~ ' g o v e r n-~$ ment', and $\pi \delta \sigma \sigma \tau$ or $\delta \phi i \tau \sigma \omega$ for $\vartheta \neq \sigma \eta$ 'position' (especially, 'plum/ cushy job in the civil service'). It is noticeable that in some instances the derogatory term is a loanword, while the neutral term is of AG origin.

The use of such slang vocabulary is quite common, even among educated people, in their informal speech. MG slang ( $\lambda a \ddot{k} \eta$ ), which
owes much to the low-life characters of pre-war Athens ( $\mu$ á $\gamma \kappa \epsilon \varsigma$ ), consists of a large number of words, almost all of which conform with normal MG phonology and morphology, and there are no particular syntactical features which distinguish $\lambda a i ̈ \eta \dot{\eta}$ from SMG. A number of slang words have entered the vocabulary of SMG (partly perhaps as a result of compulsory military service), such as those given in the previous paragraph. As is to be expected, there is a wealth of slang words and phrases with sexual meanings, some of the most characteristic being the following: $\mu а \lambda$ áкая 'wanker, jerk' (a familiar and often affectionate form of address among young males), nov́arخc (used metaphorically as 'bugger' is in English, but literally denoting the 'female' partner in a homosexual relationship; the 'male' partner is $\kappa \omega \lambda о \mu \pi a \rho a ́ s) ;$ and $\gamma \kappa \delta \mu \epsilon \nu о \varsigma$ and $\gamma \kappa \delta \dot{\mu \epsilon \nu a}$ 'lover', or simply '(handsome) lad' and '(beautiful) girl' respectively. Other commonly used slang words include
 $\sigma \mu \delta \varsigma), ~ \grave{~} \mu \mathrm{á} \mathrm{\sigma a}$ 'grub' (= фaí 'food'), o $\mu \pi \delta \mu \pi \varphi a \varsigma ~ ' s h o r t i e ' ~(=~$
 guy' (= $\psi \eta \lambda o ́ \varrho)$. A thorough list of the vocabulary of $\lambda$ aïk $\eta$, much of which is of Turkish, Italian, French, and English origin, is to be found in Dangitsis (1967).

The only other kind of special language to be found until recently in Athens is ка入ıapvtá, the jargon of homosexuals (see Petropoulos 1971). Again, the grammar is Greek, but many of the words are of French, Italian, English, and even Romany origin. Very few of these words are normally used in SMG, exceptions being $\tau \delta \delta \tau \epsilon \kappa \delta \delta$ '(younger) boyfriend; handsome lad' and its derivative $\dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \kappa \nu a \tau \zeta o v$ 'woman who has a younger lover or lovers'. In very recent years, however, a new slang has been developing among young people, especially those who are involved in drugs: many of the words used are of English (US) origin, including $\tau \delta \phi \rho c k i \delta^{\prime}$ "freak" ' (i.e. drug-taker).

Apart from these, there is also a children's jargon (коракібтка, lit. 'the ravens' language'), which consists of normal Greek but with the syllable ke inserted before every syllable.

Lastly, a few words should be said about the incidence of 'learnedisms' (Kazazis 1979) in the speech of educated Greeks. Kazazis has studied the use of forms and expressions belonging to AG, koine or katharevousa in the novel Tó $\tau \rho i \neq 0 \quad \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \dot{v} \iota$ (1962) by K. Tachtsis, in which the narrator is a middle-class Athenian woman (born soon after 1900) who completed high school but did not attend university. The language in which Tó $\tau \rho i \tau o ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a ́ v t ~ i s ~ w r i t t e n ~ i s ~ g e n e r a l l y ~ a g r e e d ~ t o ~ b e ~$
representative of the speech of such a person. Some of the features of this kind of language are simply morphological deviations from SMG: others (which concern us more here) consist of more or less fixed phrases which the author (though not the narrator) no doubt sees as clichés.

Since the Bible (particularly the New Testament) is normally known in its earliest Greek version, and the liturgy and other ecclesiastical writings are all in Byzantine Greek, expressions taken from these texts are in a form of the language often far removed from SMG. Kazazis finds in Tachtsis's novel many proverbial sayings of Christian origin,
 willing, but the flesh is weak', ä $\lambda \lambda a \iota$ ai $\beta o v \lambda a i ́ a ̀ \nu \vartheta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$, ä $\lambda \lambda a \dot{o}$

 $\epsilon \phi \omega ่ \nu \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau \rho i \varsigma ~ ' w h e n ~ t h e ~ c o c k ~ c r e w ~ t h r i c e ' ; ~ h e ~ a l s o ~ q u o t e s ~ o t h e r ~ a r c h a i c ~$ phrases which are not necessarily ecclesiastical, such as $\epsilon i \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ $\pi a \rho o ́ \delta \omega$ 'it should be said in passing', ò $\lambda i \gamma o v$ $\delta \epsilon i$ каi . . . 'nearly', ă $\nu \omega$ $\pi о \tau a \mu \omega \bar{\nu}$ 'incredible', ко́ $\eta$ $\delta \phi \vartheta a \lambda \mu о \bar{v}$ 'apple of [my etc.] eye', $\tau i$

 my last.' He also finds in the same novel several examples of legal phrases which belong to katharevousa: e.g. סiátarua $\pi \epsilon \rho i ́ ~ Є \vartheta \epsilon \epsilon \lambda o v o i a s ~$ $\epsilon \xi \delta \delta o v \tau \dot{\omega} \nu \pi a \lambda a \iota \bar{\omega} \nu \dot{\nu} \pi a \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda \omega \omega \nu$ 'decree concerning voluntary retire-
 $\delta a \gamma \omega \gamma \eta \nu \nu$ 'he showed repentance and excellent behaviour', кєклєו$\sigma \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \omega \nu \tau \dot{\omega} \nu \vartheta \nu \rho \bar{\omega} \nu$ 'in camera'; but also other katharevousa expres-

 One could easily add other archaic expressions which are used frequently
 'are you coming or not?’), éк $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \dot{\omega} \nu$ oủk ăvev 'sine qua non', $\tau \delta$ äк $\rho o \nu$ á $\omega \tau \sigma \nu$ 'the last word, the ultimate', or $\tau \rho \delta \dot{\pi} \pi o s ~ \tau o \bar{u} \lambda \hat{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \omega \nu$ 'in a manner of speaking'.

Some of the above expressions contain not only non-standard lexical items, but morphological and syntactical categories which do not appear in SMG, such as the dative case, the infinitive, the aorist middle tense, the perfect participle, and the third person of the imperative, as well as other grammatical features which are different from those of the standard language. In addition to these expressions, there is a large number of collocations consisting of preposition + noun, some examples of which have been given in 6.2.6.

Some of these expressions are normally said only with comic intention (at least nowadays), while others are spoken in all seriousness. There is, however, a tendency for most proverbial expressions, whether of popular or of learned origin, to be avoided by educated people, who tend to find them amusing when uttered seriously (and sometimes incorrectly) by the less educated.

Clearly the subject of style (like those of vocabulary and idiom) is inexhaustible, and one can do no more than mention a small number of striking characteristics.

### 11.1.2 WRITTEN STYLES

Since the late nineteenth century there has been a wide chasm between literary and non-literary styles in Greek, literature being composed in demotic and other writing in katharevousa. Until recently the demotic of literature often displayed an attempt to approximate to the speech of the uneducated peasant; and even though, on the one hand, the language of literature has gradually been brought closer to the spoken language of the educated, while, on the other, the language of journalism, of the administration, of science, etc., has in its turn moved away from K, there is still a gap between literary and non-literary styles. The lack of common ground in Greece between literature and science is striking: scientists do not normally allow themselves to write in either an elegant or an everyday style, while novelists have avoided the kind of analytical, expository writing that forms an important element of much of English and French fiction. Indeed, according to Andriotis (1976: 532-3), scientific writing should not possess 'style' at all (by which he presumably means personal style). The same writer expresses his objection to the use of the popular names of plants in botanical treatises (ibid. 529): in fact, it is the scientific names (not even the Latin ones, which are accepted world-wide, but pseudo-AG ones) that are taught in natural history in Greece, so that the popular names of certain phenomena of nature are in danger of dying out. In Greece there is as yet no use of colloquial language in scientific terminology as there is in AngloAmerican science (e.g. 'hairy spheres' in mathematics (topology)). It is noticeable that even demoticists are unwilling to use everyday terms in scientific discourse, since they have too much of a familiar, homely ring about them (or simply sound vulgar, like $\grave{\eta}$ raïßoúpa 'she-donkey', for which a writer on veterinary medicine will use the AG $\eta \dot{\eta} \delta o s)$. These writers will often use a word which does not follow a demotic declension in preference to an everyday word (e.g. $\beta a \rho \delta$ Ü $\delta \omega \rho$ 'heavy water'
for *ßapú $\nu \epsilon \rho \delta$ ); and a doctor is likely to prefer $\tau o ́ \eta ̉ \pi a \rho$ to $\tau o ́ \sigma v \kappa \omega \dot{\tau} \iota$ for 'liver', particularly perhaps because the latter is redolent of the kitchen. (For interesting discussions of the problems of writing about science in demotic, see Techni 1976, and Provlimata 1977.)

The gap between bellettristic and other styles is thus obvious to see. Prose fiction has traditionally tended to be written in short, colloquial and idiomatic sentences, with a high incidence of proverbial and metaphorical language; journalism and other non-literary writing has tended to be literal, flat, colourless, and highly complex in syntax. The difference is also detectable at the levels of morphology and phonology, and a writer who writes (and says) $\tau \dot{\eta} \varsigma ~ \kappa \nu \beta \epsilon \rho \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ 'of the government' or $\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \kappa \tau<\alpha \dot{a}$ 'carefully' outside literary discourse will normally write $\tau \dot{\eta} \varsigma \kappa \nu \beta \dot{\rho} \rho \nu \eta \sigma \eta \varsigma$ and $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \in \chi \tau \kappa a ́$ in a poem or novel. Indeed, it is at these levels that 'style' is usually talked about by Greek readers, who often concentrate so much on such niceties that they seem unaware of far more interesting stylistic differences.

One of the unfortunate results of MG diglossia, with the consequent polarization of styles between the faux-naïf hyper-demotic and the impersonal but turgid, has been that it is impossible to base one's usage on that of the 'best authors', as is usually the case in standard European languages. In their enthusiasm to promote the language of the people at the expense of the official K , literary writers have tended until recently to flaunt with defiance precisely those words and forms which are most at variance with official usage. Their desire to enshrine in their works forms of MG which they saw as being in danger of extinction under the pressure of education and the press led them to employ dialect features, vulgarisms, and sometimes quite arbitrary coinages, many of which were (and are) alien to the linguistic sense of the majority of educated Greeks.

Thus it is difficult to find a Greek literary writer whose language and style can stand as a model. The author who comes to mind most often in this context is G. Seferis, whose essays on poetry, language, and culture are among the finest examples of MG style: but even Seferis, if one examines his language closely, produces sentences which are clumsy or even ungrammatical (for instance, by using the present participle in an idiosyncratic manner, probably under French or English influence); and he sometimes uses forms which mark him as an extreme demoticist. It is certainly difficult to find prose fiction written in a style on which a Greek can model his own writing; so that one is limited to the work of Seferis and a few other literary critics and essayists. If one looks
elsewhere (at journalism, for instance), one finds the most heterogeneous types of writing, much of which clumsily preserves many of the forms and structures of $K$.

It is nevertheless inevitable that the style of much non-bellettristic writing should be to some extent based on $K$, since the style of $K$ itself was to a significant degree modelled on written French, and was thus a vehicle through which MG could enter the so-called European Sprachbund and take on many additional characteristics of 'Standard Average European'. Some elements of the impersonal style developed by K are clearly suitable for administrative documents, although readers of the Greek press have reason to lament the fear of metaphor from which many journalists seem to suffer. Traditionally, along with K, Greek children were taught the importance of $\kappa v \rho \omega \lambda \epsilon \xi i a$ ('literalness'); and exhortations to avoid figurative language seem to have led some writers to adopt the annoying habit of placing inverted commas around any expression which is felt to be colloquial or metaphorical. For example:
 $\chi \omega \rho a ́ \phi i a ~ \tau \eta ̄ s ~ B \epsilon \rho \gamma i v a s .[. . ~] ~ " E v a. ~ \mu \epsilon \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \rho ı, ~ \pi \rho i v ~ 15 ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon s ~ o ́ ~$
 тádov! 'For twenty-four centuries [King] Philip had been "sleeping" under the fields of Vergina. [. . .] One afternoon, a fortnight ago, the pickaxe of the dogged archaeologist "hit upon" the ceiling of the tomb!' ( $T 1$ Dec. 1977, 101).

Greek journalistic and official writing also suffers from an overuse of nominal style (see 'substantivitis', 11.1.2.2) and of passive constructions, which are intended to give an effect of impersonality. In both journalistic and other non-literary styles, however, writers attempt to avoid repetition through the use of periphrases, including personifications such as $\dot{\eta} \Theta \epsilon ́ \mu \iota s$ (the ancient goddess of justice) for 'justice' or 'the legal profession', hackneyed metaphors such as $\tau \delta$ vypo $\sigma \tau o x \chi \in i o$ 'the liquid element' (= 'the sea') or $\tau \delta \mu a \dot{\nu} \rho o ~ \chi \rho v \sigma o ́ ~ ' b l a c k ~ g o l d ' ~(=~ ' o i l '), ~ a n d ~$ sobriquets and metonymic appellations, as when a journalist writing about a football team calls it by its name, 'Олиллиако́s, in the first sentence, then goes on to refer to it in the second and third sentences
 and-whites' respectively.

It is in fact in the sporting newspapers and in the sports pages of the press in general that journalists seem to permit themselves to indulge in figurative language, and it is no wonder that such writing is read with
far greater enthusiasm than are the dull and basically uninformative columns of news and comment on current events. Thus the players of Пava७ŋvaïós (or ПАО) are oi Прáбwoc 'the Greens', while their supporters are oi $\phi i \lambda o l ~ \tau o v ं ~ \tau \rho \iota \phi u \lambda \lambda \omega \bar{v}$ 'friends of the clover' (after the team's emblem); AEK is $\delta \Delta$ úé $\phi$ a $\lambda o s$ 'the Double-headed [eagle]' (the Byzantine eagle which serves as its badge) or $\delta$ кıтрwópavpos d $\epsilon \tau \delta \delta$ 'the yellow-and-black eagle'; and, amid the colourful use of the technical terminology of football, of augmentatives, appositional compounds (of the type mentioned in 10.3.4), and idiomatic expressions, one encounters metaphorical phrases such as the following:
(2) $\dot{\eta}$ AEK $๕ \in \omega \omega \sigma \epsilon \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota \tau a ́ \lambda, \mu \epsilon ́ \sigma o \lambda i ́ \sigma \tau \tau \dot{\partial} \nu \mathrm{X}$. 'AEK gave a recital, with X . [one of the leading players] as soloist' (AI 15 Sept. 1980);
 $\lambda \epsilon \pi \tau o \tau o u ̃ ~ \pi \rho \omega \dot{\tau} о \cup \mu \notin \rho o u s$ 'an aggressive crescendo by Panathinaikos in the last ten minutes of the first movement ${ }^{\prime}$ ( $F D$ 15 Sept. 1980);
 'Hercules played Apollo's lyre with his club' (Hercules and Apollo are both football teams) (quoted in $T 25 \mathrm{Feb} .1982,75$ )!
(For further details about the language of Greek football see Rotolo 1973.)

### 11.1.2.1 Literary styles

As has been said before, the language of literature since the end of the nineteenth century has usually stood at a considerable distance from $\mathbf{K}$, and even from the ordinary spoken language of educated Greeks. The demoticist reaction against the official domination of $K$ led to the use of many features which are non-standard according to the norms of present-day Greek. In their effort to recapture the time when their own speech was unadulterated by the influence of education, many writers used dialectal words and forms in their poetry and prose. Indeed, the language of prose fiction did not become distinguished from the language of poetry until rather recently, and many prose-writers used linguistic features which came to be seen as conventionally poetic. In the last decade of the nineteenth century and the first two of the twentieth it became almost de rigueur, particularly in poetry, to employ linguistic features marked as poetic, whether these were lexical items (e.g. $\tau \delta \dot{d}$ ä $\tau \iota$

for $\tau 0 \lambda \mu \tilde{\omega}$ 'I dare', or $\delta \alpha a \phi \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \dot{\omega} \omega$ for $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau a \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \omega$ 'I defend', and especially compound words, e.g. $\gamma \lambda \nu \kappa o \chi a \rho a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota ~ ' i t ~ b e g i n s ~ t o ~ d a w n ~$ (sweetly)', or morphological variants (e.g. $\pi \epsilon \bar{\lambda} a \gamma o$ for $\pi \epsilon \hat{\lambda} a \gamma o s$ 'open sea', or $\pi a \rho a \vartheta v o \rho \iota ~ f o r ~ \pi a \rho a ́ \vartheta v \rho o ~ ' w i n d o w ') . ~ S u c h ~ f e a t u r e s ~ b e c a m e ~ s o ~$ conventionalized that their use was taken by lesser writers as being a sure recipe for good poetry, and much poor verse was written because writers believed that such features were all that was needed. There was also a tendency among early demoticists, especially in prose, to take $K$ words and adapt them to D phonology (e.g. סtev́rvan for $\delta t \in v^{\prime} \vartheta v v \sigma \eta$ 'address', or $\beta \nu \omega \mu o \sigma v i v \eta$ for $\epsilon v ่ \gamma \nu \omega \mu o \sigma v ́ v \eta ~ ' g r a t i t u d e '), ~ a n ~ a t t e m p t ~$ which has been resisted by SMG.

A reaction to such tendencies came in the poetry of Cavafy and Karyotakis, who freely used $K$ elements alongside D. But the real revolution occurred during the 1930s, when poets such as Seferis began using a variety of the language which, without straying far from the demotic, avoided those linguistic elements which had become poetic clichés and which were outside the standard language as it had by then developed. Nevertheless, extremes persisted in literature, Kazantzakis (justifiably) feeling the need to append a glossary of 3000 unusual words to his hyper-demotic epic poem 'O反vóea (1938), and, at the other extreme, the surrealist Embirikos using K in the prosepoems of his collection ' $\Upsilon \psi$ cкáuwos (1935), which were allegedly composed by means of 'automatic writing'. This use of $K$ in literature by Embirikos and others served two purposes: it was a reaction against the folksy demotic of earlier writing, but it also undermined convention through writing about taboo subjects (such as sexual pleasure) in a variety of the language which was highly euphemistic and was normally used for administrative and scientific purposes.

K is sometimes used outside literature for comic purposes. From 1979 to 1983 the weekly magazine Tachydromos published the stripcartoon Blanche Epiphanie, in which the narrative and dialogue (translated from the French) appeared in a K which suited the historical setting (about 1900) but contrasted amusingly with the sexual misadventures of the heroine. There are occasionally whole articles in such popular magazines which are written in K for comic effect, chiefly because their style is in striking contrast with the often scurrilous or at least trifling nature of their content. Such styles perhaps owe as much to Embirikos as they do to memories of the time when all non-literary prose was written in $K$.

Since the Second World War, the language of literature has come
much closer to SMG, culminating in Tachtsis's Tó $\tau \rho i \neq o \sigma \tau \epsilon \neq a ́ v \iota$ (already mentioned in 11.1.1), which faithfully captures the spoken style of a certain type of Athenian woman. Nevertheless, some writers, such as Kazantzakis and Prevelakis, continued to use the 'popular style' in their novels, which teem with linguistic features that are dialectal, or at least obsolete in the speech of urban Athenians.

In poetry, of course, more liberties may be taken than in prose, and the work of Elytis, particularly Tó ä $\xi\llcorner\nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i(1959)$, contains a large number of non-standard features which are not so much dialectal elements as coinages by the poet himself. Some of these are compound words or other derivatives which Elytis generally forms from AG rather
 'erebus-slayer', oúpavoov́vך 'sky-ness', хщаєротоíкллоs 'adorned with chimaeras', and $\nu \eta \sigma \omega \tau \tau i \zeta \omega$ v.t. 'I island'. Elytis also employs nonstandard morphology and syntax. Like other MG poets he sometimes uses normally intransitive verbs with direct objects. An example from another of his poems is:
(1) кai $\mu$ á $\sigma \eta \mu a i a \pi \lambda a \tau a ́ \gamma ı \zeta \epsilon \psi \eta \lambda a ́ \gamma \bar{\eta}$ каí $\nu \epsilon \rho \delta$ 'and a flag on high was flapping earth and water' (Ely. 1971: 9):
although $\pi \lambda a \tau a \gamma i \xi \omega$ may have a direct object when it has a human subject ( $\pi \lambda a \tau a \gamma i \zeta \omega \tau \eta \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma a \mu o v$ 'I click my tongue'), the verb is normally intransitive when its subject is onuaia. Elytis is sometimes idiosyncratic in his use of participles, as in:
 conquered Hades and saved Love' (Ely. 1974: 115):
here the ancient aorist active participles $\nu \kappa \kappa \eta \sigma a s$ and $\sigma \omega \sigma \sigma a s$ have been given a demotic ending (cf. SMG present active paticiples $\nu \kappa \kappa \dot{\omega} \nu \tau a s$ and $\sigma \omega \mathfrak{\omega}$ ovtaৎ), but have also been substantivized with the addition of the definite article, a process which does not take place with active participles in SMG.

Despite the possibility of such poetic licence, it is impossible to write Greek (as it is possible to write English) in such a way as to leave it uncertain whether certain words are nouns or verbs; even in the most Surrealistic of Greek poetry there is a strong element of logic, in that it is almost always clear which is the subject and which the direct object of a given verb, and other syntactical connections are usually equally unambiguous (e.g. adjectives have to agree with their nouns etc.), since
every noun, adjective, and verb has a suffix which indicates its connection with other elements in the phrase.

The use of specially coined compound words in literature, which was a frequent phenomenon in nineteenth-century poetry as well as in that of Elytis and other modern poets, represents an attempt to form new metaphors by condensing the meaning of a whole phrase into a single word. And just as in everyday language the compound noun à $\sigma \pi \rho \delta$ pouxa 'underclothes' does not mean precisely the same as the phrase from which it has been formed (äonja $\rho o u ̈ \chi a$ 'white clothes': underclothes are not always white, nor are all white clothes underclothes!), so in poetry Elytis's र $\rho v \sigma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \in \rho \tau \eta \varsigma$ (ävє $\boldsymbol{\mu} \circ \varsigma$ ) (1974: 130) '(the wind) which raises gold' (a reference to golden dust in the sun) has a different resonance from a more analytical phrase, especially since this particular compound is reminiscent of an adjective applied to Christ by the Church Fathers ( $\nu \in \kappa \rho \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \rho \tau \eta s$ 'He who raises the dead'). Thus, analysed in full, the phrase $\chi \rho v \sigma \epsilon \notin \rho \rho \tau \eta \varsigma$ äv $\in \mu \circ \varsigma$ means 'the wind which raises golden dust just as Christ raises the dead'.

To finish this section, let us look at three complex sentences which show how literary demotic, as developed over the past hundred years, has become capable of moving away from 'popular' conversational styles and of producing sentences which are both complex and precise in expression. In (3), which is taken from a piece of literary criticism, distinctions of aspect are employed to remove likely ambiguities:

 àva$\sigma \tau a \vartheta \epsilon i, ~ \nu a ́ ~ \pi a i ́ \rho \nu \epsilon \iota ~ a ̀ \nu \vartheta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega o ~ \sigma x \eta ̄ \mu a ~ \mu є ́ \sigma a ~ \sigma \tau o ́ ~ a ̀ \tau \tau \varkappa o ́ ~$
 [Ascraeus] from the beginning, showing this [man who was] always symbolically ready to be resurrected emerging again into contemporary life, taking on human form in the Attic light, and changing from a spectre into a man' (Karandonis, NE 73 (1963): 468).

The clumsy English rendering does not do justice to the Greek, which, with its use of $\nu$ á, makes the connections between clauses absolutely clear, and indicates by its use of aspects that only $\nu^{\prime}$ a $\nu a \sigma \tau a \vartheta \epsilon i$ (perfective) depends on $\notin \tau о ч \sim o \varsigma$, while all the other vá-clauses (in which the verbs are in the imperfective) are governed by $\delta \in i x \nu o v \tau d s ~ \tau o \nu$.

Despite his use of non-standard elements, Kazantzakis could be
a fine stylist, as is shown in (4) and (5) by the way in which he arranges the order of constituents to achieve the greatest possible impact:




 крv́os iסןผ́тas. 'When a man returns to his homeland after many years of struggling and wandering in foreign parts; when he touches his native [lit. 'paternal'] stones and surveys the familiar landscape [which is] thickly populated with local spirits, childhood memories and youthful yearnings, he feels a sudden chill come over him [lit. 'sudden cold sweat cuts him']' (Kaz. 1965: 524).

Here a powerful effect is achieved through the delay between évas $a \mathrm{a} v \vartheta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \varsigma$, placed at the very beginning of the sentence and interpreted by the reader as the subject of a main verb, and the verb whose subject it is. In the event, the main verb turns out to have a different subject
 pronoun $\tau \delta \nu$. Quite apart from the grammatical surprise of the anacolouthon (which is in fact not uncommon in spoken Greek: see 2.2.6), the fact that 'man', which is the subject of three active verbs in the subordinate clauses, suddenly becomes the object of the main verb indicates what a shock it is to return home. The delay between apparent subject and main verb is achieved by the interpolation of a complex series of three clauses depending on $\begin{aligned} \text { rav } \\ \text {, the first interrupted by a long ad- }\end{aligned}$ verbial phrase introduced by $ช \quad \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho a \dot{a} \pi \dot{\delta}$ and the third lengthened by the interpolation (by hyperbaton: see 6.1.1) of an adverbial phrase introduced by à $\pi \delta$ between the adjectival participle $\pi \cup к \nu о к а т о к п и е ́ \nu а ~ а ~$ and the noun топia.

The effect of (5) is again achieved through postponement, this time of the subject:





$\pi \dot{a} \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ äкра $\tau \bar{\eta} \varsigma \pi о \rho \epsilon i a s$. 'And one evening Charos [= personification of death] came and curled up in the bows [of our boat], dressed like us in fox-skins, wearing a pointed blue cap with a red tassel, with [a] snow-white beard, (with) his face, chest, arms, and thighs furrowed with scars; he smiled tenderly at us, and we realized that we had (now) been approaching the end of the journey' (Kaz. 1965: 588).

In the Greek, despite the fact that he is the subject of all the verbs before the semi-colon and is described in vivid detail, $\delta$ Xápos is not named until immediately before the semicolon. The very structure of the sentence imitates the process which the 'we' of the discourse pass through, that is, the gradual realization that the old man is none other than Death himself.

### 11.1.2.2 'Genitivitis' and 'substantivitis'

Two of the chief targets of attack from demoticist grammarians are the overuse of the genitive and the overuse of abstract nouns (as opposed to verbs), which are characteristic of journalistic and bureaucratic styles: demoticists view these abuses as particularly clumsy relics of katharevousa. It is not difficult to find examples of such abuses, which in fact regularly co-occur in the same phrase: such phrases could indeed be made clearer and less objectionable were one or two of the nouns to be replaced by verbs. Such phrases as these are frequent:
(1) $\grave{\eta} \mu о \nu a ́ \delta a ~ \beta \epsilon \nu \zeta i v \eta \varsigma, ~ v ̌ \psi o v \varsigma ~ є ̇ \pi \epsilon \nu \delta v ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \tau \rho i a ́ v \tau a ~ є ́ к а \tau о \mu \mu v \rho i ́ \omega \nu ~$ $\delta o \lambda \lambda a \rho i \omega \nu$. 'the oil refinery, in which thirty million dollars have been invested . . .' (lit. 'the unit of-petrol, of-height of-investment of-thirty millions of-dollars') ( $T 4$ Oct. 1979, 16):
the genitives appear to consist of one of quality or purpose ( $\beta \epsilon \nu \zeta \dot{\omega} \eta \zeta$ ),
 sion ( $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \nu \delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega \varsigma)$, and one partitive genitive ( $\delta 0 \lambda \lambda a \rho i \omega \nu$ ). It is even possible to find strings of genitives as long as that in the following example:


 $\pi \epsilon \nu \vartheta \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \rho o v ~ \tau o v ̃ ~ \mu \eta \nu o ́ s ~ \Delta \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \mu \beta \rho i o v ~ ' t h e ~ i n t e r e s t-f r e e ~ l o a n s ~ w i l l ~$ be issued on condition that any earned income owed up to the
present, plus holiday bonuses, and the income for the second fortnight in December are all paid' (lit. 'the interest-free loans will be-issued under the condition of-payment of-the by-anychance owed until today (worked-for) income, of-the bonus of-festivals and of-the income of-the second fortnight of-the month of-December') (K 23 Dec. 1980).

The total of eight nouns in the genitive presents a complex pattern of dependence (which is further complicated by the hyperbaton, characteristic of katharevousa, consisting of an adverb, an adverbial phrase and two participles inserted between the article $\tau \dot{\omega} \nu$ and the noun àmo$\delta o \chi \omega ั \nu)$.

### 11.2 IDIOM

We have already mentioned that, outside belles-lettres, MG written styles tend to be rather unidiomatic. The same cannot be said either of colloquial or of literary styles. On the other hand, educated people may sometimes adopt an approximation of a written style when speaking in a formal context, and their speech then tends to become literal and unidiomatic. The fact is that there is a tendency for urban people to avoid linguistic features which might betray rural origins. The disappearance of proverbial expressions has already been referred to.

In less formal situations, however, educated urban people use a large amount of idiomatic language (some examples are given in 11.1.1 as well as elsewhere in this book), although many of the idioms concerned may employ a small repertoire of words. A type of idiomatic expression frequently found in the contemporary spoken language is one that consists of a verb used with a clitic object pronoun which has no referent in the linguistic or situational context. Thus the clitic pronoun appears to stand for a deleted noun that may or may not be identifiable. Examples of widely used traditional phrases include the following: $\tau \delta$ ßáj $\omega$ ord́ $\pi \delta \delta \dot{\sigma} a$ 'I take to my heels' (lit. 'I put it to the feet/legs'), $\tau \dot{d}$ ßáj $\omega \boldsymbol{\mu} \notin$. . . 'I pick a quarrel with . . .' (lit. 'I put them with . . .'), $\tau \dot{d} \beta \gamma d \zeta \omega \pi \epsilon \in \rho a$ 'I manage' (lit. 'I take them out beyond'), $\phi \tau \eta \nu \alpha \dot{d} \tau$ $\gamma \lambda \dot{v} \tau \omega \sigma a$ 'I got off lightly' (lit. 'cheaply I escaped/saved it': sc. $\tau \eta$ J $5 \boldsymbol{\eta}$ '[my] life'?), $\tau$ á катафé $\rho \nu \omega$ 'I manage it', $\tau \eta \nu \nu$ ë $\pi a \vartheta a$ 'I copped it' (lit. 'I suffered it': sc. $\tau \dagger \dot{\eta} \delta o v \lambda \epsilon a \dot{a}$ 'the business'?), $\tau \eta \nu \pi a ́ \tau \eta \sigma a$ 'I've put my foot in it' (lit. 'I trod on it': sc. $\tau \eta \nu \pi \epsilon \pi o \nu \delta \phi \lambda o u \delta a$ 'the melon-skin'?), $\boldsymbol{\tau} \delta \mathrm{\rho} \dot{\chi} \nu \omega \sigma \epsilon \in$. . 'I indulge immoderately in . . .' (lit. 'I throw it to . . .'),
$\mu o v ̃ ~ \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ モ̈ $\varnothing \tau ん \zeta \epsilon$ '(s)he played a trick on me' (lit. '(s)he fixed it of-me': sc. $\tau \dot{\eta} \delta o u \lambda \epsilon \dot{a}$ ?).

Some verbs may be constructed with different pronouns in different

 котavàs 'don't rub it in' (in the last, $\tau \delta$ may in fact be seen as referring to the point that the other speaker has been making). In some similar expressions the pronoun could be construed as referring either to some specific idea or to a following clause, with a noun after the verb in apposition to the pronoun: e.g. $\tau \dot{\delta} \beta a ́ j \omega$ $\pi \in i \sigma \mu a \nu d$. . . 'I'm firmly resolved to . . .' (lit. 'I put it obstinacy to . . .'), $\tau \delta$ dá $\omega$ oroíx $\eta \mu a$ [ $\pi \omega \dot{\varsigma}$ ] . . . 'I bet . . .' (lit. 'I go wager [that] . . .'), and $\tau \delta$ taip $\omega \omega$ à $\pi \delta$ $\phi a \sigma \eta$ 'I accept the inevitable' (lit. 'I take it decision'). The following idioms appear to be of more recent origin: $\tau \eta \quad \beta \gamma a ́ \zeta \omega(\mu \epsilon)$ 'I get by (with)', $\tau \dot{\eta} \beta \rho i \sigma \kappa \omega$ ( $\mu \hat{\epsilon}$ ) 'I get a kick (out of)', and $\mu o \bar{v} \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \pi a ́ \epsilon l$ '(s)he gets on my nerves' (also $\mu \circ \bar{v} \tau \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\nu} \in \epsilon$, with the same meaning or with a contrary sense: '(s)he excites me').

Colloquial MG speech teems with idioms (or metaphorical usages) based on a few common verbs. A selection of these is given below, with (where appropriate) synonymous equivalents in parentheses.

1. ßásc 'I put'; also 'I put on (clothes)' (фopá $\omega$ ), 'I serve with (at table)' (with indirect object of person), 'I get (person to do something)', 'I lay (table)' ( $\sigma \tau \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \omega$ ), 'I impose (tax)'; (perfective passive + $\nu a ́) ~ ' I ~ s e t ~ a b o u t ~(d o i n g ~ s o m e t h i n g) ' ; ~(~ \pi \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} \nu \tau a) ~ к а i ́ ~ \beta a ́ \lambda \epsilon ~ '(f i f t y) ~ a n d ~$


 'I moderate my views/tone', $\beta a ́ j \omega$ oroix $\eta \mu a$ 'I lay a wager' ( $\sigma \tau o \iota \chi \eta$ -

 $\kappa \alpha ́ \tau \omega ~ '(s) h e ~ w o n ' t ~ g i v e ~ u p ', ~ \tau o ́ v ~ \beta a ́ \lambda a \nu \epsilon ~ \mu e ́ \sigma a ~ ' t h e y ~ p u t ~ h i m ~ a w a y ' ~(~ \tau \delta \nu ~$ $\phi \cup \lambda a ́ k \omega a \nu$ 'they imprisoned him'), ßás $\omega \mu \pi \rho o ́ s ~ v . t . ~ ' I ~ s t a r t ~ u p ~(e n g i n e) . ' ~$
2. $\beta \gamma d \ddagger \zeta \omega$ 'I take out/off'; also 'I extract', 'I put out (leaves, shoots, etc.)', 'I give off (smoke, smell)', 'I publish' ( $\epsilon \kappa \delta i \delta \omega)$, 'I elect', 'I produce' ( $\pi a \rho a \dot{\gamma} \omega$ ), 'I earn' ( $\kappa \in \rho \delta i\} \omega$ ), 'I make out (meaning, writing)',
 lead?', $\tau \delta \nu \quad \beta \gamma a ́ \lambda a v \epsilon$ Гávvn 'they named him John', $\beta \gamma a ́ j \omega$ кáтı $\sigma \tau \eta \nu$
 got him out of the way', $\tau \dot{\delta} \beta \gamma \dot{\lambda} \lambda a v \epsilon ~ \sigma \tau \delta \dot{\delta} \sigma \phi \nu \rho i$ 'they auctioned it',
 ä $\chi \tau \iota \mu o v$＇I let off steam＇，$\beta \gamma \dot{\jmath} \zeta \omega \gamma \lambda \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma a$＇I answer cheekily＇，$\beta \gamma \dot{\jmath} \zeta \omega$ סíco＇I pass the hat round＇，$\tau \delta \nu \beta \gamma a ́ \lambda a \nu \epsilon \lambda a ́ \delta \iota$＇he was acquitted＇（ $\tau \delta \nu$ $\dot{a} \vartheta \omega \dot{\omega} \omega \sigma a \nu)$ ，$\tau o u ̄ \beta \gamma a ́ \lambda a v \epsilon \lambda a ́ \delta \iota ~ ' t h e y ~ d r o v e ~ h i m ~ h a r d ', ~ \beta \gamma a ́ s \omega ~ \tau \delta ~ \lambda a \rho u ́ \gamma \gamma \iota ~$ $\mu o v$＇I shout myself hoarse＇，$\beta \gamma a ́ j \omega$ 入ó $\gamma o$＇I make a speech＇，$\beta \gamma a ́ \zeta \omega$ óvoua＇I become well known＇，$\mu о \bar{v} ` \beta \gamma a \lambda \epsilon \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \pi i \sigma \tau \eta$＇（s）he gave me a hard time＇，$\beta \gamma a \dot{j} \omega \tau \dot{\delta} \phi \dot{\delta} \iota \iota a \dot{a} \pi$＇$\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \rho u ́ \pi a$＇I pull the chestnuts out of the fire＇，$\tau \delta \nu \quad \beta \gamma a ́ \lambda a \nu \epsilon \psi \in u ̛ \tau \eta ~ ' h e ~ w a s ~ p r o v e d ~ a ~ l i a r ', ~ \beta \gamma a ́ j \omega ~ \tau o ́ ~ \psi \omega \mu i$ $\mu o v ~ ' I ~ e a r n ~ m y ~ l i v i n g . ' ~$

3．é $\chi \omega$＇I have，possess＇；（impersonal）＇there is／are，there was／were＇； $\tau i$ éモ $\epsilon \iota \varsigma$ ；＇what＇s the matter with you？＇，$\pi \delta \sigma o$ é $\chi \epsilon \epsilon$ ；＇how much is it（does

 ＇I haven＇t seen him for two days＇，$\tau i \tau \delta \nu$ é $\chi \in \iota \varsigma$ ；＇what relationship is he to you？＇，aútós è $\chi \epsilon \iota ~ \tau o ́ ~ \Theta \epsilon \delta ́ ~ \mu \pi a ́ \rho \mu \pi a ~ ' h e ~ h a s ~ p o w e r f u l ~ c o n n e c t i o n s ' ~$ （lit．＇he has God［as his］uncle＇），$\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \tau \delta \delta \quad$ é $\chi \omega$ $\sigma \epsilon \in \mu \epsilon a ́ \lambda \eta ~ \nu \pi \delta o ́ \lambda \eta \psi \eta$

 ＇I prefer to ．．$\therefore, \tau \dot{\nu} \nu$ é $\chi \omega$ калá＇I＇m sitting pretty＇，$\tau$ de é $\chi о \nu \mu \epsilon к а \lambda a ́$ ＇we＇re on good terms＇，tá é $\chi \omega$ ua̧i $\pi \eta s$＇I＇m having an affair with her＇， $\tau$ á è $\chi \epsilon \iota \tau \epsilon \tau \rho a \kappa \delta \sigma a$＇（s）he has his／her head screwed on the right way＇，
 important＇，$\tau \dot{\delta}$ モ̌ $\chi \omega \pi \bar{\omega} \varsigma$ кai $\pi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$＇I look after it very carefully＇，$\tau \delta \nu$ ёXovvє $\omega \pi a-\omega \pi a$＇they make a fuss of him．＇

4．кáv $\omega$＇I do＇；also＇I make（bed）＇（ $\sigma \tau \rho \omega \dot{\nu} \omega$ ），＇I give（a present）＇ （ $\pi \rho o \sigma \phi \dot{\rho} \rho \omega$ ）；（with $\pi \omega \prime \varsigma$ ）＇I pretend（to／that）＇；（with $\nu \dot{a}$ ）＇I make（as if） to＇；$\tau i$ кaveıs；＇what are you doing？；how are you？’，$\pi \delta \sigma o$ кávet；＇how
 ＇don＇t go on like that！’，$\delta e ́ \nu ~ к a ́ v \epsilon \iota ~(\nu a ́) ~ ' i t ~ d o e s n ' t ~ d o ~(t o) ', ~ \delta e ́ ~ \mu o u ̀ ~ к d \nu \epsilon \iota ~$ ＇it doesn＇t suit me；it＇s not what I want＇，$\tau \delta$＇$\grave{\delta} \delta \omega \mu o v ̃ ~ \kappa a ́ v \in \iota ~ ' i t ' s ~ a l l ~ t h e ~$ same to me＇，ëкаעє $\delta u \delta$ à ádoıa＇she had（gave birth to）two boys＇， є̈каขа $\sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$＇A७n่va＇I spent some time in Athens＇，èкаva $\mu \tilde{\eta} \nu \in \varsigma$ vá $\pi a ́ \omega ~ ‘ I ~ d i d n ' t ~ g o ~ f o r ~ m o n t h s ', ~ к a ́ v \omega ~ \tau o ́ ~ \gamma o ́ \rho o ~(+~ g e n) ~ ' I ~ t o u r,. ~ g o ~ r o u n d ', ~$


 having／giving a lesson＇，$\kappa a ́ v \omega \mu \pi a ́ v \omega$＇I have a bath；I go for a swim＇， $\kappa \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \iota ~ \nu \epsilon \rho a ́ ~ ' i t ' s ~ l e a k i n g ', ~ \kappa a ́ \nu \omega ~ v ̀ \pi o \mu o \nu \eta ' ~ ' I ' m ~ p a t i e n t ', ~ \kappa d ́ \nu \omega ~ \phi \tau \epsilon \rho a ́ ~$
 $\sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ äк $\rho \eta$ v．t．and v．i．＇I move aside．＇
5. $\pi a i \rho \nu \omega$ 'I take; I receive'; also 'I buy', 'I assume, take on (an appearance, etc.)', 'I earn', 'I have (a drink)', 'I marry', 'I contain, hold'; (with $\nu a ́)$ 'I begin'; $\tau \dot{\nu} \nu \pi a i \rho \nu \omega$ 'I take a nap', $\mu \epsilon \in \dot{\eta} \rho \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta} \pi \nu o s$ 'I fell asleep', $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu ~ \pi \dot{\eta} \rho a \nu \tau a ́ k \lambda a ́ \mu a \tau a / \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \lambda c a$ 'she burst out crying/laughing',


 $\mu \epsilon \zeta \epsilon '$ 'they made fun of him', $\tau \delta \nu \pi \tilde{\eta} \rho \epsilon \varsigma \sigma \tau o ́ \lambda a \mu \delta \delta^{\prime} \sigma o v$ 'you were the cause of his misfortune', $\tau \delta \nu \pi \dot{\eta} \rho \in \tau \dot{\rho} \mu a \tau \iota \mu o v$ 'I caught sight of him', $\delta \epsilon ́ ~ \mu a ̄ s ~ \pi a i \rho \nu \epsilon \iota ~ \dot{\eta} \dot{\omega} \rho a$ 'we haven't got time', $\pi a i \rho \nu \omega$ àé $\rho a$ 'I become cheeky', $\pi \tilde{\eta} \rho \epsilon$ ò voús $\tau 0 v$ àé $\rho a$ 'he's got too big for his boots', $\pi a i \rho \nu \omega$ $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \kappa a ́ \tau \omega \beta \dot{\delta} \lambda \tau a$ 'I run to seed', $\pi a \dot{\rho} \nu \omega \tau$ тá $\beta o v \nu a \dot{a}$ 'I take to the hills',
 $\sigma \beta a \dot{\rho} \rho \bar{a}$ v.t. 'I make the round of', $\pi \dot{\eta} \rho \epsilon \phi \omega \tau \dot{\alpha}$ 'it caught fire', $\tau \delta$ $\pi a i \rho \nu \omega$ à $\pi a ́ \nu \omega \mu o v$ ‘I give myself airs', $\pi a \dot{\rho} \nu \omega$ à $\pi a ́ v \omega \mu o v$ ‘I recover (in health)', $\tau \delta \nu \pi a i \rho \nu \omega \dot{a} \pi \delta \pi i \sigma \omega / \kappa o \nu \tau a \dot{a} ‘ I ~ f o l l o w ~ h i m ~ c l o s e l y ’, ~ \delta \epsilon ́ \nu ~ \pi a i \rho \nu \epsilon \iota$
 'the child doesn't take after me', $\pi a i \rho \nu \omega \mu \pi \rho o ́ s ~ v . i . ~ ' I ~ s t a r t ~ u p / o f f ', ~$ $\pi a ́ \rho \epsilon-\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon$ 'dealings'.
6. $\pi a \dot{\omega} \omega / \pi \eta \gamma a i \nu \omega$ 'I go; I take (convey)', 'I bet, stake'; also (with $\nu a ́) ~ '[I ' m]$ nearly . . .'; (usually third person) 'it/they suit(s)/fit(s)'; $\pi a ́ \epsilon \iota ~ a u ́ \tau o ́ s ~ ‘ h e ’ s ~ f i n i s h e d / d o n e ~ f o r / d e a d ', ~ \pi a ́ \epsilon \iota ~ v a ́ ~ \pi \epsilon i ̃ ~(o r ~ \pi a v a \pi \epsilon i) ~ ' i t ~$ means; in other words', $\pi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$ ( $\tau$ á) $\pi a \check{\varsigma}$; 'how are you doing?', $\tau \dot{a} \pi \tilde{a} \mu \epsilon$

 then' ( $\pi \epsilon \rho \rho a \sigma a \nu$ ), aúró $\pi a ́ \epsilon \iota \pi o \lambda u ́!$ 'that's too much, that's going too far',
 'tomatoes (have) reached 40 a kilo', $\pi \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \dot{a} \pi \delta$ ( $\kappa a \rho \delta \dot{a}$ etc.) '( s )he died of (a heart attack etc.)', $\pi \alpha \dot{\omega} \omega \gamma \dot{\alpha}$ ( $\pi \rho \delta \delta \in \delta \rho o s$ ) 'I'm standing for (president)', $\pi a ́ \omega \gamma \dot{a}$ v̈ாvo 'I go to bed', $\pi a ́ \epsilon \iota ~ \gamma v \rho \in v o v i o s ~ '(s) h e ' s ~ a s k i n g ~ f o r ~ t r o u b l e ', ~$ $\dot{\eta} \gamma \lambda \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma a$ rovs $\pi a ́ \epsilon \iota ~ \rho o \delta a ́ v \iota ~ ' t h e y ~ t a l k ~ n i n e t e e n ~ t o ~ t h e ~ d o z e n ', ~ \pi a ́ \epsilon \iota ~$ $\rho o \lambda o ́ \iota ~ ' i t ’ s ~ g o i n g ~ l i k e ~ c l o c k w o r k ', ~ \tau o ́ ~ \rho o \lambda o ́ l ~ \pi a ́ ~ \epsilon \iota ~ \mu \pi \rho o \sigma \tau a ́ / \pi i \sigma \omega ~ ' t h e ~$ watch/clock is fast/slow', $\pi a ́ \epsilon \iota ~ \chi a \mu \epsilon ̇ \nu o ~ ' i t ' s ~ w a s t e d . ' ~$
7. $\pi \alpha^{\prime} \nu \omega$ 'I catch, seize'; also (v.t.) 'I occupy, take possession of', '(of fever, anger, etc.) I overcome (someone)', 'I begin (conversation, job)'; (v.i.) 'I put in (of ship)', 'I germinate (of seed)', 'I stick (of label etc.)', 'I catch on (of fashion)', 'I come off (of joke etc.)', 'I burn, catch (of food, saucepan, firewood)', 'I come on, break out (of rain, wind, cold, fire, etc.)' ( passive) 'I am paralysed', (pl.) 'we quarrel;

$\kappa є ф a ́ \lambda \iota ~ \mu o v ~ ' I ’ v e ~ g o t ~ a ~ h e a d a c h e ', ~ \mu \epsilon ́ ~ \pi a ́ v \epsilon \iota ~ \grave{\eta} ~ \vartheta a ́ \lambda a \sigma \sigma a ~ ' I ~ g e t ~ s e a s i c k ', ~$
 space; it comes in useful', $\neq \pi \mu a \sigma a ~ \phi i \lambda i \epsilon \varsigma ~ \mu a \zeta i ~ \tau o v s ' I ~ m a d e ~ f r i e n d s ~ w i t h ~$
 'I leant on him.'
8. $\tau \rho \omega$ ' $\omega$ 'I eat'; also 'I spend, consume, squander', 'I eat away, wear away/out, fray', 'I worry, nag', 'I beat, get the better of, 'I suffer, undergo'; (passive) 'I am edible', 'I grumble', (with vá) 'I'm madly keen

 'my . . . itches', $\tau \delta \nu \tau \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \epsilon \iota \dot{\eta} \mu u ́ \tau \eta \tau o v ~ ' h e ' s ~ a s k i n g ~ f o r ~ t r o u b l e ', ~ \phi a ́ \gamma a \mu \epsilon ~$ $\delta v o ́ ~ \gamma \kappa \delta \delta \lambda$ 'we had two goals scored against us', tis фá $\gamma a \mu \epsilon$ 'we came a cropper', $\tau \delta \delta \nu$ фá $\gamma a v \epsilon \lambda a ́ \chi a v o ~ ' h e ~ w a s ~ d o n e ~ i n ~(=~ k i l l e d) ', ~ \delta e ́ v ~ t p \omega ' \omega ~$
 a kick in the backside', $\tau \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \omega \tau \dot{\nu} \nu \kappa \delta \sigma \mu o$ ( $\nu \dot{a}$ ) 'I search everywhere (to)',
 'I bite my nails', $\tau \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \xi{ }^{v} \lambda \lambda_{0}$ 'I get a hiding', $\tau \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \tau \delta \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i \delta \rho o \mu o$ or $\tau \rho \omega \dot{\omega}$ $\tau о \tilde{~} \sigma \kappa a \sigma \mu о \tilde{~ ‘ I ~ e a t ~ f i t ~ t o ~ b u r s t ', ~ e ́ ф а \gamma \epsilon ~ ф v ́ \sigma \eta \mu a ~ '(s) h e ~ w a s ~ g i v e n ~ t h e ~ b o o t ; ~}$ (s)he was transferred elsewhere.'
9. $\phi \in ́ \rho \nu \omega$ 'I bring; I fetch'; also 'I bring on/about'; (passive) 'I behave';
 speaking', фé $\rho \nu \omega$ à $\nu \tau \varphi \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ ' I ~ r a i s e ~ o b j e c t i o n s ', ~ \tau a ́ ~ \phi e ́ \rho \nu \omega ~ \beta o ́ \lambda \tau a ~$ 'I make ends meet', $\tau \delta \nu \phi \hat{e} \rho \nu \omega \beta \delta \lambda \tau a$ 'I get round him', $\phi \in \rho \nu \omega$ ढ̆va $\pi a \rho d \delta \epsilon \tau \gamma \mu a$ 'I produce/bring forward an example', $\phi \in \epsilon \rho \nu \omega$ rúp $\omega$ v.t. 'I go round', $\pi o \tilde{~} \phi \in ́ \rho \nu \epsilon \iota ~ a u ̛ t o ́ s ~ o ̀ ~ \delta \rho \delta \partial \mu o s ; ~ ' w h e r e ~ d o e s ~ t h i s ~ r o a d ~ l e a d ? ', ~$



While many of these collocations or figurative uses of verbs have close parallels in Turkish and in other Balkan languages (the same is true of many of the situational formulas given in 11.1.1: for GreekTurkish parallels see Newton 1962), idioms which belong more to written styles tend often to be based on Western European languages (especially French). There is little point in supplying a large number of examples (a list of Gallicisms is given in Papadopoulos 1930); but it is noticeable, especially in the political commentary columns of the Greek press, that much use is made of (sometimes inaccurate) translations of foreign turns of phrase (which nowadays are often English). Some examples are the following:

'the question of NATO is simply the tip of the iceberg' ( $T$ 20 Sept. 1979, 12);
 iceberg');
(2a) $\mu$ ú à $\lambda v \sigma \delta \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \dot{a} \nu \tau i \delta \rho a \sigma \eta$ 'a chain reaction';
(2b) $\epsilon i \chi \chi \epsilon \dot{a} \lambda \nu \sigma \delta \delta \omega \tau \notin \varsigma ~ \in ̇ \pi \pi \pi \tau \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma \sigma \epsilon$. . . (lit. 'it had chain effects on . . .');
(2c) $\mu d \dot{a} \sigma \epsilon \varphi \dot{a} \dot{a} \lambda \nu \sigma \delta \delta \omega \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \nu \tau \tau \delta a \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ (lit. 'a series of chain reactions': the writer presumably intended to render ' $a$ chain reaction') (T 10 May 1979, 84);

 $\Sigma v \mu \beta o u ́ \lambda \omega$ 'among Mr X's duties will be that of clarifying the cause of the Board of Directors' resignation' (for $\tau \delta \xi \in \kappa a \vartheta a ́ \rho \iota-$ $\sigma \mu a)(T 2$ July 1981, 50);
 $\gamma \nu \omega \rho i \zeta \omega \gamma{ }^{\prime}$. . . 'I'd like to apologize to the audience because I know very little about . . . (for $\nu$ á $\zeta \eta \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \sigma \gamma \nu \omega \dot{\mu} \eta$ à ád $\tau \dot{\delta}$ áкроатท่ $\rho$ о) (Prov. 1977: 119).

All these would be felt by most speakers of Greek to be un-Greek turns of phrase. Indeed, I have been unable to find a Greek (other than those who know English well) who can tell me the meaning of (1a) and (1b). Although the above phrases were taken from articles or talks written or given in Greek, they clearly originated in other pieces hurriedly translated from English or some other foreign language.

## APPENDIX I

## TABLES OF INFLECTION

## NOUNS



ADJECTIVES

| 2A－2B－1F（a） |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | M | N | F |
| S | N | vóotu－0－s | $\nu \delta \sigma \tau \mu$－ | ขоботц－$\quad$ ¢ |
|  | V | $\nu \delta \sigma \tau \mu-\epsilon$ |  |  |
|  | A | $\nu \delta \delta \sigma \tau \mu-0$ |  |  |
|  | G | $\nu$ 人 $\sigma$ |  | $\nu \delta \dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau} \mu \mu-\eta-\varsigma$ |
| P | NV | $\nu \delta \sigma \tau \mu-0 ¢$ | vóoтu－a | vóotu－¢ |
|  | A | $\nu \delta \sigma \tau \mu-0 v s$ |  |  |
|  | G | $\nu \delta \sigma \tau \mu-\omega \nu$ |  |  |


| 2A－2B－1F（b） |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M |  |  | N | F |
| $\mathbf{S}$ | N |  | ä $\mathrm{c}^{\prime}-0$ | $a \mathrm{a} k c-a$ |
|  | V | ä ${ }^{\text {a }}$－є |  |  |
|  | A | ágl－0 |  |  |
|  | G | ä ${ }^{\text {cos }}$－0 |  |  |
| P | NV | ä ${ }^{\text {coul }}$ | ä $\ddagger$－a | a引そしモ¢ |
|  | A | ä ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ l－ovs |  |  |
|  | G | $a ँ \xi t-\omega \nu$ |  |  |


| $-2 \mathrm{C}-1 \mathrm{~F}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | M | N | F |
| $\mathbf{S}$ | N | 及avo－s | $\beta$ Bov＇ | $\beta$ avt－á |
|  | A | $\beta$ Bơv |  |  |
|  | G | （ $\beta$ avı－ov／$/ \beta a \vartheta$ ） |  | $\beta$ ß̧l－ä－¢ |
| P | N | $\beta a \vartheta t-0 i$ | $\beta a \vartheta 1$－á | $\beta a \vartheta l$ ¢́s |
|  | A | ßavt－ov＇s |  |  |
|  | G | $\beta a \vartheta t-\bar{\omega} \nu$ |  |  |


|  |  | M | N | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S | N |  |  |  |
|  | VA | $\zeta \eta \lambda<d \rho-\eta$ |  |  |
|  | G |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{P}$ | NVA | S $\eta \lambda 1 \dot{L} \rho-\eta-\delta-\epsilon s$ |  |  |
|  | G | ¢ $\eta \lambda<\dot{\alpha} \rho-\eta-\delta-\omega \nu$ |  | － |


| (1 Ma-3B) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | M/F | N |
| S | N |  | àкрß-ध́s |
|  | A | $\dot{\alpha} \times \rho \beta-\eta{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
|  | G | àkpభิ-oũs |  |
| P | NA |  |  |
|  | G |  |  |

$\pi 0 \lambda$ ÚS

|  |  | M | N | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S | N | $\pi 0 \lambda \delta-\varsigma$ | $\pi 0 \lambda \underline{\prime}$ | $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda-\eta$ |
|  | A | $\pi 0 \lambda v$ |  |  |
|  | G | ( $\pi 0 \lambda \dot{v} / \pi 0 \lambda \lambda-0 \bar{u})$ |  | $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda-\bar{\eta}-\varsigma$ |
| P | N | $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda-0 i$ | $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda-a ́$ | $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda$ - ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | A | $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda$-ovs |  |  |
|  | G | $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda-\tilde{\omega} \nu$ |  |  |


|  |  | M | N | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S | N | èv | évסua¢ép-ov |  |
|  | A | èv |  |  |
|  | G |  |  | èv |
| $\mathbf{P}$ | NA | èvరLaфép-ov-тes | èvరuaфépov-та | Ėvరla¢ép-ovo-es |
|  | G | $\epsilon \nu \delta<a \phi \epsilon \rho-\delta \nu-\tau \omega \nu$ |  | ( $̇ \nu \delta<\Omega \phi \epsilon \rho-0 v \sigma-\omega \sim \nu)$ |

## ARTICLES AND NUMERALS

Definite article

|  |  | M | N | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S | N | $\delta$ | т ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\dot{\eta}$ |
|  | A | $\tau \delta(\nu)$ |  | $\tau \dot{n}(\nu)$ |
|  | G |  |  | $\tau \bar{\eta} S$ |
| P | N | oi | $\tau$ da | ol |
|  | A | toús |  | Tis |
|  | G |  | $\tau \bar{\omega}$ |  |

Indefinite article and 'one'

|  |  | M | N | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S | N | Evas | éva | $\mu \dot{\alpha}$ |
|  | A | Ėva( $\nu$ ) |  | $\mu \mathrm{c} \dot{(2)}$ |
|  | G |  |  | $\mu \mathfrak{a} \boldsymbol{s}$ |


|  | 'three' |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | M/F |  |  |
| $\mathbf{P}$ | NA | $\tau \rho \epsilon i s$ | $\tau \rho i a$ |
|  | G | $\tau \rho \iota \omega \bar{\omega}$ |  |


| 'four' |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{F}$ |  |  |
| P | NA | $\tau \epsilon \in \sigma \sigma \epsilon \rho ı S$ | $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \rho a$ |
|  | G | $\tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma a ́ \rho \omega \nu$ |  |

## VERBS

## ACTIVE

Imperfective non-past

|  |  | 1 | 2a | 2b(i) | 2b(ii) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S | 1 | $\delta$ Sèv-u | $\vartheta \epsilon \omega \rho-\bar{\omega}$ | $\dot{a} \gamma a \pi-\dot{a} \omega /-\bar{\omega}$ | סiast- |
|  |  | $\delta \dot{e} v$ - $\epsilon$ IS | $\vartheta \epsilon \omega \rho$ - $-\frac{1 s}{}$ | à $\gamma$ at-ãs | $\delta<a \sigma \pi-a ̈ s$ |
|  | 3 | $\delta \epsilon \nu-\epsilon \iota$ | $\vartheta \in \omega \rho-\epsilon i$ |  | $\delta<a \sigma \pi-\tilde{a}$ |
| P | 1 | $\delta E ́ \nu-o v \mu \epsilon /-о \mu \epsilon$ | $\vartheta \epsilon \omega \rho$-oṽ $\epsilon$ | à $\gamma a \pi-\bar{a} \mu \epsilon /-$ ט̄ $\mu \epsilon$ | $\delta \kappa a \sigma \pi-o u ̃ \mu \epsilon$ |
|  | 2 | $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \nu-\epsilon \tau \epsilon$ | $\vartheta \epsilon \omega \rho-\epsilon і \bar{\tau} \epsilon$ | à $\gamma \mathbf{a} \pi$-äт $\epsilon$ |  |
|  | 3 | $\delta \dot{\text { cé }}$-ovv $(\epsilon)$ | $\vartheta \epsilon \omega \rho$-oṽv( $\epsilon$ ) |  | $\delta u a \sigma \pi-o u ̃ \nu$ |

Imperfective imperative

|  | 1 | 2a | 2b(i) | 2b(ii) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S | $\delta \dot{\delta} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ - $\epsilon$ | ( $\vartheta \epsilon \omega \dot{\omega} \rho-\epsilon \iota)$ | à ád $^{\text {a }}$-a | - |
| P | $\delta \in \mathcal{L}$ - $\epsilon \tau \epsilon$ | $\vartheta \epsilon \omega \rho-\mathbf{- i \tau \epsilon}$ | $\dot{\text { a }} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{a} \pi$-äт $\epsilon$ | - |

## Present participle


Imperfective past

|  | 1 | 2a and 2b(ii) 2b(i) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S 1 | $\epsilon$ ésev-a | $\vartheta \epsilon \omega \rho-o v ̃ \sigma-a, \delta \iota a \sigma \pi-o v ̃ \sigma-a \dot{a} \gamma a \pi-o v ̃ \sigma-a / \dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\pi} \pi-a \gamma-a$ |
| 2 | Ė- $¢ \in \nu-\epsilon S$ | (then endings as for 1) (then endings as for 1) |
| 3 | $\epsilon$ ¢- $-\delta \epsilon \nu-\epsilon$ |  |
| P 1 | $\delta \dot{\nu} \nu-a \mu \epsilon$ |  |
| 2 | $\delta \in \nu$-ate |  |
| 3 | $\epsilon$ - $¢ \epsilon \nu$-av/ $\delta \hat{\epsilon} \nu-a \nu \epsilon$ |  |

Perfective non-past


Perfective past


Perfective imperative

|  | 1 | 2a | 2b(i) | 2b(ii) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S | $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma$ - $\epsilon$ | $\vartheta \epsilon \dot{\omega} \rho \eta \sigma$ - $\epsilon$ | $\dot{\text { à }}$ ád $\pi \eta \sigma$ ¢ | - |
| P | $\delta \epsilon \in \sigma$-т $\epsilon$ | $\vartheta \epsilon \omega \rho \bar{\eta} \sigma-\tau \epsilon$ | $\dot{a} \gamma a \pi \bar{\eta} \sigma-\tau \epsilon$ |  |

## Perfect

| 1 | 2a | 2b(i) | 2b(ii) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\grave{\epsilon} \chi \omega \delta \bar{\epsilon} \sigma-\epsilon \iota$ |  |  |  |

## PASSIVE

Imperfective non-past


## Present participle

1 охє
3a $\sigma v \nu \sigma \tau-\alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu O s \quad 3 b \delta \omega \tau \tau \vartheta-\epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu o s$
Imperfective past

|  | 1 | 2a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S | $\delta \epsilon \nu-\delta \mu 0 \nu \nu(a)$ |  |
|  | $\delta \epsilon \nu-\delta \sigma 0 v \nu(a)$ | ( $\vartheta \epsilon \omega \rho$-טช́бovv) |
|  | $\delta \epsilon \nu-\delta \dot{T} a \nu(\epsilon)$ | $\vartheta \epsilon \omega \rho$-ovvtav/-єĩo |

${ }^{1}$ Since $\delta \epsilon \nu \omega$ does not happen to possess a present participle passive, the verb $\sigma x \in \delta c i \xi \omega$ has been given instead.

| P 1 | $\delta \epsilon \nu-\delta \mu a \sigma \tau \epsilon$ | ( $\vartheta \epsilon \omega \rho-0 \cup \mu \sim a \tau \tau \epsilon$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $\delta \epsilon \nu$-dбãт $\epsilon$ | ( $\vartheta \epsilon \omega \rho$-ovoaot $\epsilon$ ) |  |
| 3 | סév-ovtav/-óvtovaal | $\vartheta \epsilon \omega \rho$-ov́vtav/-oũvto |  |
|  | 2b(i) | $2 \mathrm{c}$ |  |
| S 1 | à $\gamma a \pi-$-ó $\mu o u v(a)$ (then with endings as 1 , but with $-j$-) | $\vartheta v \mu-\sigma \mu \circ v \nu(a)$ (then with endings as 1 ) |  |
|  | 3 a | 3b | Giual |
| S 1 |  |  | $\bar{\eta} \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| 2 |  |  | Hoouv(a) |
| 3 | ovviot-ato | $\delta a \tau i \vartheta-є \tau 0$ | ท̇Tav/ $\mathrm{\eta} \tau \mathrm{~L} \boldsymbol{\nu} \epsilon$ |
| P |  |  | п̆ $\mu$ абтє |
|  |  |  | ク̈бабтє |
|  | ovviot-avto |  | $\dot{\eta} \tau a \nu / \grave{\sim} \tau a \nu \epsilon / \eta{ }^{\text {® }} \sigma$ |

Perfective non-past
$1 \delta \epsilon-\vartheta-\bar{\omega} \quad 2 \mathrm{a} \vartheta \epsilon \omega \rho-\eta \vartheta-\bar{\omega} \quad 2 \mathrm{~b}(\mathrm{i}) \dot{a} \gamma a \pi-\eta \vartheta-\bar{\omega} \quad 2 \mathrm{~b}(\mathrm{ii}) \delta \mu a \sigma \pi-a \sigma \vartheta-\bar{\omega}$ 2c $\vartheta v \mu-\eta \vartheta-\bar{\omega} \quad 3 \mathrm{a} \sigma v \sigma \tau-\eta \vartheta-\bar{\omega} \quad 3 \mathrm{~b} \quad \delta \iota a \tau \epsilon-\vartheta-\tilde{\omega}$
(then with endings as for imperfective non-past active of 2 a )
Perfective past


3b $\delta a \tau \epsilon \in-\vartheta-\eta \kappa-a$
(then endings as imperfective past active of 1)
Perfective imperative

|  | 1 | 2a | 2b(i) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S | ర́̇́ $\sigma$-ov | $\vartheta \epsilon \omega \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma$-0 |  |
| P | $\delta \epsilon-\vartheta$ - $\boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\prime} \epsilon$ | $\vartheta \epsilon \omega \rho-\eta \vartheta-\epsilon \bar{\tau} \epsilon$ | à $\gamma a \pi-\eta \vartheta-\epsilon \bar{\tau} \epsilon$ |
|  | 2b(ii) | 2c |  |
| S | סıбпа̇ $\sigma$-ov | $\vartheta \nu \mu \eta \sigma-0 v$ |  |
| P | $\delta \stackrel{1}{ }$ | $\vartheta ง \mu-\eta \vartheta-\epsilon і \tau \epsilon$ |  |

Perfect

| 1 | 2a | 2b(i) | 2b(ii) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underline{\epsilon} \chi \omega \vartheta \neq \omega \rho$ |  | éx $\omega$ סaaon-a |

2c
Eौ $\chi \omega \vartheta v \mu-\eta \vartheta-\epsilon i$
3a

Past participle

| 1 | 2a | 2b(i) | 2b(ii) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\vartheta \epsilon \omega \rho \eta$ - $\mu$ '́vos |  |  |

## APPENDIX II

## THE MONOTONIC SYSTEM

In 1982 the monotonic (single-accent) system was introduced by presidential decree into education and the civil service. Over the years several versions of the monotonic system have been proposed, and the one adopted by the Greek authorities may not be the most efficient. Its chief principles are as follows:
(a) the breathings are abolished;
(b) monosyllabic words are written without an accent;
(c) words of more than one syllable are written with an acute accent over the stressed vowel.

The exceptions to these rules are as follows:
(i) an accent is written over words which have become monosyllabic as a result of elision or prodelision (e.g. $\kappa \delta \psi$ ' $\tau 0$ 'cut it' $<\kappa \delta \psi \epsilon ; \vartheta a$ ' $\rho \vartheta \dot{\omega}$ 'I'll come': $\vartheta a r \vartheta o ́)$; but it is not written when, as a result of prodelision, the stress is shifted on to the previous vowel (e.g. $\vartheta a$ ' $\rho \vartheta \omega$ ( $\vartheta$ är $\vartheta 0$ ) for $\vartheta a$ é $\rho \vartheta \omega$ );
(ii) the following monosyllables take an accent: the disjunctive conjunction $\eta$ ' 'or' (cf. article $\eta$ ) and the interrogative adverbs $\pi o \delta^{0}$ and $\pi \omega \varsigma$ (cf. relative pronoun $\pi o v$ and conjunction $\pi \omega \varsigma$ );
(iii) a proclitic pronoun may be accented if in the context it might otherwise be mistaken for an enclitic (e.g. o matépas mov́ $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon$ 'the father said to me', but o $\pi a \tau \epsilon \rho a \varsigma \mu o v \epsilon i \pi \epsilon$ 'my father said');
(iv) when an enclitic causes a stress shift in the previous word, an accent is written both over the syllable actually stressed and over that which would have been stressed but for the presence of the enclitic (e.g. o dáбкалоs 'the teacher', but o סágка入ós pas ‘our teacher').

These rules are by no means perfect (to (ii) one might want to add $\gamma \iota a \tau \ell$; 'why?' and $\gamma \mu a \tau \iota$ 'because', $\omega s$ 'until' and $\omega s$ 'as'), and they do not always make the written accent conform to the spoken stress (e.g. $a \pi \delta \chi \tau \in \varsigma$ is actually stressed only on the last syllable: apoxtés).

The following (unconnected) sentences are a sample of the three chief versions of MG orthography: (a) traditional, (b) simplified, and (c) monotonic. Certain additional features of the traditional orthography have been tacitly included.

## (a) TRADITIONAL






## (b) SIMPLIFIED






## (c) MONOTONIC






It can hardly be denied that a greater economy is achieved by the use of the monotonic system; for example, whereas for the traditional system the typesetter needed thirteen different alphas, according to the presence or absence of one of the two breathings, one of the three accents, and the so-called iota subscript (or a combination of two or three of these), only two alphas are required for the monotonic, viz. $a$ and $\dot{a}$.

It will have been noticed that most of the spelling reforms concern only accents and breathings. For the rest, the orthography of MG retains the ancient spelling in words and roots of AG origin (as long as this does not conflict with the actual pronunciation), and the number of voices raised during the past two centuries in favour of so-called phonetic spelling, in which each sound would be always represented by the same letter, has been small. In particular, it has been extremely rare for the introduction of the Latin alphabet to be mooted. Bearing in mind that the Greek alphabet was the first properly phonetic (or phonemic) alphabet in the world, and that the letters of the present alphabet (at least the capitals) have remained in use unaltered since the fifth century BC, most Greeks seem prepared to tolerate the difficulties of the orthography as long as the alternative would threaten to cut the modern language off from its ancient origins. Similarly, there are few people who have tried to promote the complete abolition of accents; this despite the argument that accents are not placed on words written entirely in capitals, and that children have no difficulty in reading aloud phrases in comic books, which are printed in capitals.

Despite the introduction of the monotonic system, it seems unlikely that further spelling reforms will take place in the near future. Even though the spelling of certain sounds still causes difficulties for most Greeks, and there is still a measure of variation in orthographic usage, on the other hand there is no problem involved in reading words from the page.
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## INDEX OF GREEK WORDS

а้ллоя 69，144，194，213，232， 268
a入入oṽ 231－2
वд ${ }^{\text {а }}$ 279，301－2， 304
ă 26，243，245，279，290，299，301－ 3；introducing indirect questions 269－71
ă $\begin{aligned} & \text { каi } 241\end{aligned}$
àvil 203，219，292， 294
aпо 29，33－4，57，63，69，87，98－9， 203－5，208，209－14，219，241， 258，263－5
äs 104，237，241，243，275－6，279， 298－9
aфoṽ 235，271－2，279，294，304－5
rı́̉ 61，70，203－5，214－15，219，241， 266，293－4
rıá vá 292－4
rıati 205，229，232，235，271－2，294， 309
$\delta \epsilon ́$（conjunction）196－7
$\delta \dot{\epsilon}(\nu)$（negative particle）26，243，279， 289，299， 303
$-\delta \dot{\eta} \pi о \tau \epsilon 194,232,259-60,262-4$
סıкós（ $\mu$ оv）69， 194
סixws 203，292，294－5
єі＇тє 240，302－4
èvü 240， 272
е̇ $\pi \epsilon$ Кウ＇235，271－2， 294
ย゙ $\tau \sigma \iota 232,256,296,301-2$
そ 240，302－3
७d $25,33,104,108,131,275,276-$ 7，279，280－2，289，300－2，304， 306
ǐios 144，194，216，228， 266
lows 299， 301
$\kappa \alpha ́ \vartheta \epsilon$ 194，230，233， 257
ка૭évas 69，144，222，230， 233
ка૭ஸ́s 232，271－2
каí 132，202，240－3，267，278，298－ 9，303，309－10
кai vá 241，259，261，264，290－1， 296， 305
ка́ $\mu \pi о \sigma о \varsigma ~ 194,231$

кad 246
кaveis $80,231,282-3$
кavévas 69，144，194，222，230－1， 232
кátolos 69，144，194，200，230－1， 232
ка́тоv 231－2
катá 203，218－19， 220
ка́ть 144，230， 232
K८ ă v 241，259－61，264，290，296， 303，305－6
$\mu \alpha ́$（particle）57－8
$\mu \epsilon ́$（preposition）33，57，82，87，89， 203，205，215－17，241，257， 291
$\mu \epsilon ́ \nu 196$
$\mu \in \tau$ d́ 203－4， 219
$\mu \in \tau a \xi$ v́ 89， 202
$\mu \not ́ \chi \rho \iota 131-2,203,205,211,292$, 294－6
$\mu \eta \dot{\eta}(\nu) 26,124,243-9,279,289$ ；as subjunctive marker 299－301
$\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \omega \varsigma$ 269，299－301
$\mu$ йтє 243， 245
$\mu \delta ́ \lambda \iota \varsigma ~ 257, ~ 279, ~ 304-5 ~$
móvos（ $\mu \mathrm{ov}$ ）68，88，194， 229
$\nu a ́:$ deictic particle $36,57,222,257$ ； subjunctive marker $25,33,57,83$ ， 104，109－16，124，217，237－43， 266，269，272－3，275－9，282－98， 300－1
8лоя 57，144，193－4，216，222－3， 233， 261
ò dmoĩos 144，224，233，237，248－53， 259
8тоьоя 110，144，194，233，258－64
8тотє 110－11，116，233，259， 262
8 $\boldsymbol{\text { пov 1 }}$ 110－11，205，233，249，250－1， 259，262，264，296－7
$8 \pi \omega \varsigma 110-11,233,259,262,271$
8бo（s）110－11，144，224，233，258－ 68，294， 296
ชัтар 233，279，291，304－5， 309
$\delta \tau \iota$（conjunction）132，224，235，254－ 5，269－71，288， 300
$8, \tau \iota$（pronoun）110，144，224，233， 258－60，263－4， 306
ойтє 243，245，257， 279
ல＇xし 243－5
тарá 203，218，257－8，263－4，290， 296－7
$\pi$ เó 155， 218
по九ós 43－4，144，194，205，229， 232
то入ús 142，155， 293
móvo（s） 232
$\pi \delta \dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$（interrogative）205，232， 309
потє́（adverb） 232
mov́：non－relative conjunction 254－8， 266，295，297；pseudo－relative 248， 253－4；relative $33,144,224,233$ ， 237，242，248－53，259－61，264， 278，287，296－7， 309
$\pi o v ̃$（interrogative）205，231－2，248， 310
пovधєขá 231－2
moív 110，203－5，275－7，292，295－6， 304－5
moós 203， 219
тротои 110，276，295－6，304－5
$\pi \omega ́ s$（conjunction）224，235，254， 269－71，288， 300
$\pi \bar{\omega} \mathrm{s}$（interrogative）232， 310
od̀v 26，217－18；conjunction 304； preposition 292，294－5
$\sigma \epsilon \in$（preposition） $33,36,58,61,63,70$ ， 203－5，206－9，210－11， 241
тє́тоюs 194，227，232， 296
$\boldsymbol{\tau} i(144,229-30,232,237,309-10$
тітота 145，230， 232
тठ́бo（ৎ） $144,194,227,232,264,266$, 267－8，272， 296
xwpís 203，292，294－5
$\dot{\omega} s$（conjunction）215， 219
$\mathbf{\omega}_{\varsigma}$（preposition）203，205， 211
山̈бォov 266，295－6
$\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ 272－3，293， 296
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ablative: $\rightarrow$ genitive
accents 16-17, 36-8, 41, 146, 367-8
accusative 54, 56-60, 61, 63, 67, 70, 203, 205-6; in distributive sense 199; of measurement $92 ; \rightarrow$ case
acronyms 309, 330-1
active 85-6; causative meaning of 93; factitive meaning of 86 ; potential meaning of $92 ; \rightarrow$ voice
adjectives 33-5, 37-8, 41, 45-8, 50, 52-4, 56-7, 60, 67-71, 74, 77-8, 81-2, 84, 157-60, 193-7, 206, 208-9, 212, 222, 262, 309, 311, 318; comparative of $59,69,143$, 155-6; compound 339; denominal 308,333 ; feminines of $152-3$; formation of 321-2; governing $\nu$ á 291-2; indeclinable 161-2, 314; negation of 244-5; possessive 69; superlative of $69-70,143,153$, 155-6; verbal 93, 99-101, 171, 191-2; $\rightarrow$ morphology
adverbs 57, 59, 70-1, 140, 196, 203, 206-7, 211-12, 218, 222, 232-3, 259,262 ; comparison of $155-6$; formation of $142-3,152,154-5$; position of 239-40; relative 250 , 253-4
affixes 318-26
affricates 22
agent 85-7, 203, 212
Albanian 1-2
Alexandria 3
Alexiou, M. 11
alphabet 16, 368
anacolouthon $74,252,260,262,353$
Andriotis, N. P. 102, 343, 346
aorist $103 ; \rightarrow$ aspect!; tense
appositional compounds 328-30, 349
appositional constructions $55,68,73-$ 4, 81, 95, 158, 195, 201, 217
Arab incursions 3
Armenian 1
articles 52, 60, 193-4, 197; definite 23, 26, 29, 32-3, 37, 45, 50, 58-9,

79, 115, 140, 143, 156-7 (governing vá 297-8); indefinite 26, 34, 157; use of 198-203; $\rightarrow$ morphology
Asia Minor 1-2, 4
aspect, verbal 102-34, 276-7; and adverbials 106-7, 112-16; in present or timeless reference $107-8 ; \rightarrow$ mood
aspectual distinctions 104-16, 283, 352
Athenian speech $24,38,40,180$
Athens 2, 4-5, 9; University of 9, 11
Attica 2
Atticists 7
augment $34,176,183-7$; internal 169 , 183-7; syllabic $175,183-4,185$; vocalic 168, 183-4, 185-7
augmentatives 48, 51, 158-60, 308, 349
Australia 1
Axis Occupation 9-10
Bakker, W. F. 102, 111, 113, 122
Balkan languages 359
Balkans 4
Boeotia 2
breathings 17, 36-7, 41, 367-8
broadcasting 5, 10, 238-9
Bulgarian 1
Byzantine Empire 3-4,6
Byzantine liturgy 10
Byzantine vernacular texts 3
case 43, 54-80, 204, 252, 260-1; agreement of 74-5; $\rightarrow$ morphology
Caucasus 1
Cavafy, C. P. 186, 350
census 1-2
Centre Union Party 10
Church, Eastern Orthodox 4
Church of Greece 10
clauses: adjectival 248, 253, 260, 269, 271; adverbial 253, 259, 261-2, 290, 297; causal 235, 254-6, 271, 294, 302; contrary 256, 272;
clauses (cont.):
final 292-3, 300; nominal 248, 254, 256-7, 259-61, 297-8 ( $\rightarrow$ indirect speech); relative $41,64,82,132$, 225, 235, 241-2, 248-53, 259-62, 291, 296-7, 305-6; result 241, 254, 256, 272, 291, 293-4, 296-7, 302; temporal 132-3, 217, 241-2, 266, 268, 271-2, 290-1, 295
coinages, literary 351-2
coincidence, temporal 109-12, 113-
14, 116, 119, 243, 278, 304-5
colour terms 332-3
commands 243, 245, 269, 279, 2834, 299; $\rightarrow$ imperative
comparative constructions 209, 213, 217-18, 222, 258, 263-6, 274, 277, 295
complement 55, 67, 90, 92, 140, 201, 214
compound words $30,34-5,192,307-$ 8, 351-2; formation of 326-31
concessive constructions 261, 264, 273-4, 277, 290-1, 296-9, 303
concord $84 ; \rightarrow$ case agreement; gender agreement; number agreement
conditional constructions 127-8, 130, 241-2, 275-7, 280-1, 289-91, 301-4
conjugation: $\rightarrow$ morphology
conjunctions 196, 217-18, 237, 2403,279 ; concessive 273; conditional 299, 301-4; co-ordinating 240, 267-8, 272; governing va 295-7; subordinating 248-73; temporal 272, 275, 299, 304-5
consonants $15-16,18,19-28$; chief features of 28 ; palatalization of $18-19,22$; voicing of $22-5,32$
consonants: alveolar 17, 22, 26-8; dental 21-2, 23, 26-8; double 6, 16, 20, 41 (degemination of 32-3; $\rightarrow$ digraphs); flapped 27-8; labial 19-20, 28 (bilabial 19, 22-3, 28; labio-dental 19, 22-3, 28); lateral 17, 27-8; liquid 23, 27-8; nasal 17, 19-21, 22-6, 28-30, 32; palatal 17, 22, 27-8; palato-alveolar 6, 267; sibilant $23,26-7$; velar $18,20-$ 1, 22-3, 28 (palatalized 6, 20)
Constantinople 2-3; Patriarchate of 4; $\rightarrow$ Istanbul
Constitution, Greek 9-10
co-ordination 240
Corfu 5
correlative constructions 264, 266-8 crasis 35
Crusade, Fourth 3-4
Cyprus 1
dative 221, 345; $\rightarrow$ genitive
declension: $\rightarrow$ morphology
demonstratives 144-5, 193-5, 198, 225, 226-7, 232
demotic vii-viii, 7-14, 29, 31, 71-2, 149-51, 153-4, 156, 162, 178, 181, 183, 201, 204, 221, 245, 249, 269, 307-8, 313, 335-6, 346-7, 350; literary 352-4; traditional $23,25,28,35,45,57,60,68$, 146, 161, 187, 189, 203-4, 218, 319, 326, 328, 332, 334
demoticists vii-viii, 9, 12-13, 186, 218-19, 248, 301, 346-7, 349-50, 354
deontic (obligative) constructions 111, 114-15, 274, 285-6
derivatives 308; of nouns 158-61; of verbs $170-1 ; \rightarrow$ word-formation
diacritics 16-17
diaeresis 17,41
diathesis $85,99-101 ; \rightarrow$ voice
diglossia 6, 11, 14, 347
digraphs 20-2, 27, 41; $\rightarrow$ consonants, double
diminutives $48,147-8,158-60,308$, 314
diphthongs 31,41
direct speech 41-2; $\rightarrow$ indirect speech
distributive meaning 59, 209, 213
doublets 306
dramatists 9
dual 75
education $2-3,5,7-10,11,14,22$, 347, 367
Educational Society 9-10
Egypt 1
elision 25, 33, 34-5, 366
Elytis, O. 351-2
Embirikos, A. 350
emphasis 16, 62-3, 83, 223-5, 228, 234-6, 238-9
English 11, 18, 36, 40, 49, 51, 64, 79, $117,125-9,155,189,191,195$, 207, 211, 227-9, 234-5, 240, 283,

293, 308-9, 312, 335-6, 339-42, 351; influence of 26-7, 51, 119, 161-2, 308-9, 311, 314-16, 318, 325, 327, 344, 359-60
epenthetic consonants 136, 138, 1423, 147, 159, 164-5
Epirus 2
epistemic (inferential) constructions 111, 274-5, 277, 280-1, 285-6, 289, 295, 301
European Community 1,10
exclamations 55-7, 59, 71, 222, 229, 270, 272, 284, 303, 342
expressions of time, place, and measurement 58-9, 66-8, 70, 73, 203-4, 206-14, 216-19, 221
final $-\nu 26,152,157$
focus (rheme) 223-6, 234, 238-41, 290
French 36, 77, 117, 189, 198, 211, 227, 231, 283, 308-9, 311-12, 335-6, 339-40; influence of 7,24, 26-7, 46, 51, 70, 149-50, 161, 190, 204, 220, 237, 256, 308-9, 311-12, 314-18, 320-2, 324-5, 327, 329, 333, 344, 348, 359
French Enlightenment 7,60
fricatives $23,28-9,35 ; \rightarrow$ consonants
future, consuetudinal 108, 131, 281
future-in-past 275, 281-2
future perfect 131
future time, expression of 104,116 , 126, 130-2, 274-6, 280-1, 295
gender 43-54, 57, 59, 74, 78, 135
gender agreement 52-4
gender-sex correlations 48, 160-1
genitive $54,58-9,60-73,205,354-$ 5 ; as object of verbs 95 ; form of 149-51; in ablative sense 60-3; in dative sense 60-3, 204; in ethic dative sense $60,62-3,71$; objective 65-6; of cause or purpose 68, 354; of content 68, 209, 213; of measurement $67,70,354$; of place or time 66-7; of possession 64, 204, 226, 250, 354; of quality 67, 354; partitive 68, 354; subjective 64-6; $\rightarrow$ case
genitivitis 354-5
German 40, 197, 308, 311-12, 317, 337

Germany 1
Greece, Classical 2-4
Greece, Kingdom of 4
Greece, Republic of 1
Greek Enlightenment 7
Greek language, ancient 2, 7-8, 11-12, 14, 23-5, 28-9, 35, 37-8, 52, 60, 85, 102, 147, 149, 168-70, 179, 184-6, 189, 197, 201, 307-13, 318, 320, 323-4, 327, 332, 340, 343-4, 351, 368
Greek language: ancient dialects 2-4; Attic 2-3, 6, 8, 310; Doric 4
Greek language, Classical 7-8, 16-17, 30, 34, 182-4; $\rightarrow$ Greek language, ancient
Greek language, development of 2-4
Greek language, Hellenistic 6-7
Greek language: modern dialects 3,46, 22-5, 27, 54, 118, 171, 183-4, 281, 307, 332; Aegean Islands 38; Corfiote 6; Cretan 3, 6; Cypriot 3, 6; Ionian Islands 5, 38; Istanbul 5; old Athenian 5; Peloponnesian 4-5, 180; Pontic-Cappadocian 4; Tsakonian 4
Greek language, post-Homeric 8
Greek state 3,5, 7-8
Greek War of Independence 4, 7
Hesse, R. 118
homographs 36
homonyms 36, 171
homophones 36
Horrocks, G. 235
hyperbaton 197, 353, 355
hypercorrect forms 24
idioms 343, 355-60
imperative $33,81,104,116,126$, 165, 186, 221, 243-4, 274, 276, 283, 288; aspect of $122-4$; formation of 187-8
imperfect 103; $\rightarrow$ aspect; tense
imperfective: in potential sense 108, 125, 127, 282; $\rightarrow$ aspect
inchoative sense $121,125,127-9$
indicative $102,104,243,274 ; \rightarrow$ mood
indirect questions 229, 236-7
indirect speech 132-4, 247, 269-71, 288
Indo-European 2
infinitive $118,187,282-3,285,339$, 345
infixes 174,180
inflections $45,48,51,311 ; \rightarrow$ morpho$\log y$
internal borrowings 310
International Phonetic Alphabet ix, 17
interrogatives 205, 224, 229-30, 232, 236-7, 269; governing vá 288-9
interrogative sentences 235-7, 274, 283-4, 301, 303, 341-2
intonation 6, 38-40, 123
Ionian Islands 5
Istanbul 1, 5, 175; $\rightarrow$ Constantinople
Italian 3, 6, 41, 307, 311-12, 315, 320-3, 345
Italy 2,4
Jews 1, 3
journalism 5, 8, 42, 46, 86, 89, 126, $131,180,184-5,189,197,202$, 238, 329-30, 346-9, 354, 359

Kahane, H. and R. 116, 118
Karyotakis, K. G. 350
katharevousa vi-viii, 5, 7-14, 23, 29-$31,34,46,54,57,63-4,71,86$, $95,149-53,156,165,174,180-$ $1,183-6,189,194,197,201-5$, 218, 220-1, 224, 226, 237, 240, $244-5,253,301,304,307-8,323$, $328,335-6,339,344-50,355$; for comic purposes 350
Kazantzakis, N. 350-4
Kazazis, K. 344-5
koine: Byzantine 3; Hellenistic 2-5, 344
Korais, A. 7-8
Koumanoudis, S. 312
Koutsovlach (Arumanian) 1-2
Labov, W. 11
Ladino 1
language, learned 61; $\rightarrow$ katharevousa
language, official vi, 1,9-10
language, spoken 5-8, 12-13
language, written 5-7, 13
language question vi, 6-11
Latin 3, 6, 307, 309, 311, 313, 320-1,335-6
learnedisms $184,344-5$
literature, language of $9,11,13 ; \rightarrow$ style
loan translations (calques) 312-13, 316-17
loanwords 8, 14, 24-6, 48, 51-2, 161-2, 311-12, 314-18, 332, 343; from English 316-17; from French 314-15; from German 317; from Italian 318
Lypourlis, D. D. 335
Macedonia 2
Macedonian Slav 1-2
Matthews, P. H. 176
medio-passive 85
metaphor 348, 352
metathesis 167-8
Metaxas, I. 10
middle voice $85,94,97-8$
minority languages 2,4
Mirambel, A. viii, 8, 52, 102, 135, 339-40
modifiers of nouns 193-5
Moldavia 4
monosyllables 309
monotonic (single-accent) system 10 11, 36, 41, 367-8
mood 102, 104, 124, 274-306; and negation 243
morphemes 30, 32-3, 35, 49
morphology 37-8, 310; of adjectives $140-3,152-6,362-3$; of articles and numerals 143-4, 157, 363-4; of imperative 123-5; of nouns 135-9, 146-52, 361; of pronouns and demonstratives 144-6, 157; of verbs 163-92, 364-6

National Theatre, Greek 9
negative particles 26, 32, 112-13, 230-1, 243-7, 272, 279
negatives, double 246-7
neologisms 8, 311-13, 336
New Testament 3-4, 9-10, 345
Newton, B. E. 113
nominative 54-5, 56-7, 59-60; $\rightarrow$ case
northern Greek speech $5,57,175,180$
noun phrase 192-203
nouns 32-5, 37-8, 41, 43, 46, 49-62, 64-8, 70-4, 76-8, 80-1, 84, 1403, 193-7, 201, 205-6, 208-9, 21112, 215, 222, 308-11; abstract 76, 199-201, 204, 354; adverbial uses of 92, 202; Class 1: 135-7, 146-8,

149-51; Class 2: 137-8, 148; Class 3: 138-9, 148; compound 61, 138; derivatives of 158-61; deverbal 170-1; feminine derivatives of $160-$ 1 ; formation of $319-21$; gender of 45,48-52; governing vá 291-2; imparisyllabic 136, 138, 142, 147; indeclinable 51-2, 159, 161-2, 314; irregular plurals of 151-2; negation of 244-5; oxytone 136-9; parisyllabic 136, 138, 142, 147; paroxytone $136-9$; proparoxytone $136-9$; proper 198, 202; terminations of 139; voice in $101 ; \rightarrow$ morphology
number 43-4, $52,74,75-80,89$, 203; agreement of 77-8
numerals 26, 34, 49, 54, 58-60, 68-9, 193-4, 198, 208-9, 222; $\rightarrow$ morphology
object, direct $57,62-3,70,83,85$, 90-1, 206, 221; double 91-2; position of 234-9, 248
object, indirect 6, 57, 61-2, 75, 91, 204, 206, 210, 221
obstruents $28 ; \rightarrow$ consonants
Old Testament 3
optative 274
orthographic reforms 40-1, 367-8
orthography $15-42,310,312,367-8$
Ottoman Empire 4
Pallis, A. 9
parataxis $132,241-3,278,340$
participle, past passive $93,96,118$, 120, 162, 191-2; formation of 166-7, 170-1; semantic anomalies of 99-101
participle, present active 221, 241-3, 287, 291
participles $104,135,351$; aspect of $118-22$; formation of $187,189-92$; negation of 243,245
particles: deictic 57 ; modal $\rightarrow$ subjunctive markers; $\rightarrow$ negative particles
partitive constructions $199,201,230-1$
PASOK 11
passive 86-90, 91-3, 96-8, 348; factitive meaning of 88 ; potential meaning of 108 ; reciprocal meaning of $88-90,101$; reflexive meaning of $87-8 ; \rightarrow$ voice
Peloponnese 2, 4-5
perfect aspect $116-18,129-30,187$; formation of 170
perfect formant $103,118,170,176$, 189
perfective: $\rightarrow$ aspect
person 43-4, 56, 80-4, 176, 182
phonemes: $\rightarrow$ phonology
phonetics 17-40; $\rightarrow$ phonology
phonology 12, 15, 17-40, 308, 31012; of deverbal derivation 170-1
Piraeus 5
pitch levels 38-40
place-names 76, 147-8, 150-1, 202
poetic features 349-50
poetry, language of 6, 8-9, 13-14, 326, 339, 349-52; $\rightarrow$ literature; style
polite plural 77-8, 123
polysemy 334-7
prefixes 32 , $88-9,93,318-19,323-6$; prepositional $183-6,218,323-4$
prepositions 55, 57, 61, 70-1, 82, 203-21, 241, 249, 252; governing vá 292-7; primary 203
present 103; gnomic 125; historic 107-8, 126, 133; $\rightarrow$ aspect; tense
Prevelakis, P. 351
prodelision 33, 34-5, 366; $\rightarrow$ elision
pronouns 26, 52, 54, 56, 60, 68-9, 74, 77-8, 144-6, 259, 262; correspondence table of 232-3; emphatic (disjunctive) 68-71, 80, 145, 157, 203, 205-6, 211, 215, 221, 225-6; indefinite 230-2, 245; intensive 228-9; interrogative $\rightarrow$ interrogatives; partitive 230-1; possessive 31, 64, 151, 198, 222, 226, 228; relative 224-5, $232(\rightarrow$ поv́; $\delta$ дтоios); uses of 221-31
pronouns, clitic $54,61-3,91,119$, 145-6, 157, 182, 205, 208, 211, $215,221-5,226,234,238,309$; in idiomatic expressions $355-6$; proleptic use of $223-4,236$; resumptive use of 223-5, 236
pronouns: enclitic 33, 38,57, 66, 6871, 146, 203, 221; proclitic 23, $25,32-3,88,146,221,249,252$ prose fiction 9, 42, 346-7, 349; $\rightarrow$ literature; style
Psycharis, Y. 12
punctuation 41-2
quantifiers 193-4, 231
radical vowel 167-9, 171
reciprocal constructions 88-90, 99, 220, 227
reduplication 191
reflexive constructions $87-8,93,145$, 158, 222, 227-9
rhythm 40
Romaic 7
Romance languages $165,204,320$
Romany 1, 344
Ruge, H. 139
Russian 40, 308
Salonica 5, 157, 175, 180
scenario expressions 112-16
scientific writing 14,346
scope 112-13, 272
Seferis, G. 339-40, 347, 350
Seiler, H. 109, 118
semantics 331-7
Septuagint 2
sequences of sounds 28-35
Serbia 1
Setatos, M. 28
sex 43-8, 49-51, 78
simplified historical orthography 12 , 17,41, 367-8
situational formulas 341, 359
slang $309,315,343-4$
Slav settlements 3
sound system 15; $\rightarrow$ phonology
Soviet Union 1, 330
Spanish 15, 327
Standard Modern Greek vi, 5, 11-14, and passim
stops 22-6, 28-9, 32, 35; glottal 32; $\rightarrow$ consonants
stress 16, 17-18, 31, 34, 36-8, 40, $144,146,218,222,319$; columnar 141-3, 174-5, 178-9, 183; in adjectives 153-4, 191-2; in clause 223-4, 234-6, 238, 291; in nouns $136-9,153-4$; in verbs $163-4,168$, $172-80,183-5,187-8$; shift of 147-8, 150-2
stump compounds 309, 330-1
style 116, 129, 131, 336, 338-55; colloquial 172, 174-5, 180, 185, 217, 355 ; formal $153,173-4,188,213$; journalistic $\rightarrow$ journalism; legal 345; literary $54,126,172,175,180$, 201, 240, 306, 332, 336, 339, 3467, 349-54, 355; mathematical 156,

221; scientific 346-7; spoken 3389, 341-6; written 338-9, 342-3, 346-55, 359
subject of verb $55,57,63,77-86,221$ 243, 282-3, 300; position of 2349, 248
subjunctive $102,104,243,274-5 ; \rightarrow$ mood
subjunctive markers $24,57,104,108$, 116, 235, 243, 248, 258-9, 272-3, 275-306
subordinate clauses: indicative 132-4, $235,248-73$; subjunctive $83,108-$ 16, 246, 276-80, 282-3, 285-306
subordination 241
substantivitis 348, 354-5
substantivization 140, 318-19
suffixes $93,158,259,325$; augmentative 51, 158-61; derivational 318-23; diminutive $52,158-61$; inflectional 51
suppletion 167-8
synonymy 307-8, 334-6
Tachtsis, K. 344-5, 351
Tannen, D. 338-9
technical terms 337
tense 102-3, 124-34, 176, 207, 2758; $\rightarrow$ mood
tenses, sequence of 132-4, 269-70
Thrace 2
Thumb, A. viii
tones 38-40
topic (theme) 223, 234-5, 238-9
transitivity 85-9, 90-6, 97-101, 351
Triandaphyllidis, M. viii, 10, 13, 319, 329
Tsopanakis, A. G. 314
Turkey 1
Turkish 1-2, 6, 24, 307-8, 311-12, $315,317,320-1,341,345,359$
Turkish Occupation 3
Turks 4
Tzartzanos, A. 13, 102, 243
Ukraine 1
United Kingdom 1
universal relatives $110-11,116,258$ 68, 275, 295-6, 303, 305-6
van Dijk-Wittop Koning, A. M. 310
Venetian dialect $308,320,322,333$
Venetians 4
verbs 32-4, 37-8, 41, 55, 57, 61-3, 67, 70, 77-82, 84, 135, 158, 2069, 212, 221-2, 269, 308-9, 311, 314; Class 1: 163-6, 172, 175, 177-81, 187-9; Class 2: 163-6, 173-5, 177-81, 187, 189; Class 3: $169,179,181$; deponent $84-5,95$, 96-7, 99, 101, 122, 169, 179; formation of 322-3; governing $\nu \alpha$ 285-8; impersonal 82-4, 176, 270, 285; in - $\mu \iota$ 169-70, 179, 185; negation of 243-4; non-finite forms of 187 ; of motion 211,293 ; of perception 109, 242-3, 254-5, 278, 287-8; position of 234-9; with different meanings in active and passive 97-8; with no passive form 96; $\rightarrow$ mood; morphology; transitivity; voice
verb endings $163,167,171-82$; activetype 172-6 (non-past 172-4, 176; past 174-6); passive-type 17682 (non-past 177, 181; past 179, 181)
verb forms $102-4,118$; use of $125-$ $32 ; \rightarrow$ aspect; tense
verb stems 163-71, 176; imperfective 164-5; perfective active 165-6 (asigmatic $165,171,188$; sigmatic 165); perfective passive 31,166
vocabulary $12,14,307-37$; origins of 310-18
vocative 57, 64
voice, verbal 85-101, 102
volitive expressions 274, 280, 286-7, 291-2
vowels 15-17, 18-19, 20-2, 25-9, 31-4, 41; hierarchy of 33-4; length of $18,37-8$

## Wallachia 4

Warburton, I. P. 83, 86-7, 185, 234
Waring, H. 38-40
Western European languages 204, 241, 243, 282, 337, 359
wishes 284, 289
word-formation 318-31; $\rightarrow$ derivation
word order 83; in the clause 234-40, 248, 279; in the noun phrase 72 , 193-7

Yugoslavia 1


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is significant that there is no satisfactory term for 'aspect' in MG: neither
     Kondos 1967: 146-8) is helpful, and neither is in wide use. I would humbly suggest $\delta \psi \eta$ as a direct translation of the Russian vid (from which the term 'aspect' has itself been translated).

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ There is no satisfactory term for this form, either in English or in Greek, as far as I am aware. Greek linguists often call it amaןє́ $\mu \phi а \tau о$ 'infinitive' (which, while justifiable historically, is synchronically misleading); Hesse (1980: 13) calls it 'aorist participle'.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Except most paroxytones referring to men-whether proper names or not -which have -0 .

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ The epenthetic consonant or syllable is usually present in other derivatives too: $\rho o \lambda \delta_{l}$ 'watch, clock' (allostem $\rho o \lambda o \gamma$-) $\rightarrow \rho o \lambda o \gamma-a ́ s$ 'watchmaker'; $\pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu a$ (allostem $\pi \rho a \gamma \mu a \tau$-) $\rightarrow \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a \tau \iota \kappa \delta \varsigma^{\prime}$ 'real'; $\psi \omega \mu a ́ s ~ ' b a k e r ' ~(a l l o s t e m ~ \psi \omega \mu a \delta-) ~ \rightarrow ~$
     airoov-ás ‘egg-seller'.

